THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice / L'honorable juge G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 165

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Thursday, November 22 2007 Jeudi, le 22 novembre 2007

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Peter Engelman Lead Commission Counsel

Ms. Julie Gauthier Registrar

Ms. Maya Hamou Commission Counsel

Mr. Peter Manderville Cornwall Police Service Board

Ms. Suzanne Costom Ontario Provincial Police

Mr. Joe Neuberger Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Mr. Darrell Kloeze Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Ms. Helen Daley Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims Group

Mr. David Sherriff-Scott Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall

and Bishop Eugene LaRocque

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Scott Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Me Danielle Robitaille Mr. Jacques Leduc

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Mr. Frank T. Horn Mr. Carson Chisholm

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	iv
Opening remarks by the Commissioner/Remarques d'ouverture Par le Commissaire	1
GARRY GUZZO, Resumed/Sous le même serment	/
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann(cont'd/suite)	/

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-1002	(124819) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Hon. Jim Flaherty dated 14 Jan 00	4
P-1003	(726099) Letter from Hon. Jim Flaherty to Garry Guzzo dated 07 Mar 00	17
P-1004	(124675) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Hon. David Tsubouchi dated 26 May 00	19
P-1005	(124865) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Standard Freeholder dated 13 Sep 00	33
P-1006	(124850) Letter from P.R. Hall to Garry Guzzo dated 15 Sep 00	46
P-1007	(701035) Letter from Garry Guzzo to P.R. Hall dated 21 Sep 00	46
P-1008	(124962) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Members o the House dated 04 Oct 00	f 49
P-1009	(727759 7110712-15) Detective Inspector P. R. Hall handwritten notes dated 22 Nov 00 to 23 Nov 00	71
P-1010	(125055) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Hon. Michael Harris dated 08 Dec 00	95
P-1011	(125449) Excerpt of Hansard transcript dated 27 Jun 01	112
P-1012	(701008) Letter from Garry Guzzo to P.R. Hall dated 25 Jul 01	124
P-1013	(701019) Letter from P.R. Hall to Garry Guzzo dated 18 Jul 01	125
P-1014	(126168) Garry Guzzo Notice of Libel	134
P-1015	(125041) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Hon. David Young dated 28 Jun 01	137

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-1016	(732754) Letter from Hon. David Young to Garry Guzzo dated 29 Jun 01	141
P-1017	(125437) Transcript Interview between Jeff Hutcheson, Jim Miller, Garry Guzzo on Canada AM dated 24 Aug 01	141
P-1018	(125540) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Shelley Hallett dated 17 Oct 01	152
P-1019	(125539) Letter from Shelley Hallett to Garry Guzzo dated 17 Oct 01	156
P-1020	(125538) Letter from James Stewart to Garry Guzzo dated 31 Oct 01	156
P-1021	(125535) Letter from Hon. David Young to Garry Guzzo dated 29 Nov 01	157
P-1022	(125434 125435) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Members of the House dated 18 Oct 01 Newspaper clippings	159
P-1023	(125534) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Murray Segal dated 01 Nov 01	160
P-1024	(125533) Letter from Murray Segal to Garry Guzzo dated 07 Nov 01	163
P-1025	(125908) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Members of the Legislative Assembly dated 14 May 02 - Newspaper Clippings	165
P-1026	(705627) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Hon. David Young dated 23 May 02	166

1	Upon commencing at 9:39 a.m. /
2	L'audience débute à 09h39
3	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing of the Cornwall
4	Public Inquiry is now in session.
5	The Honourable Mr. Norman Glaude,
6	Commissioner, presiding.
7	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Good morning,
9	all.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr.
11	Commissioner.
12	I have seen the witness
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: in the hallway.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Good, that's not a
16	problem.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sure he'll be here
18	momentarily.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: So I think we all made it
20	in. Mr. Sherriff-Scott, is he here?
21	MR. ENGELMANN: He's arrived just recently.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. What I ask is
23	those of you who have any connections with the local and
24	provincial police, if you could keep us advised of road
25	conditions. I certainly don't want to hold anybody here if

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	they feel that they should be driving home. I don't know
2	how long we're going to be today so I'm concerned about
3	people driving home in the dark, so we'll have to wait and
4	see.
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	THE COMMISSIONER: One thing about coming in
7	last is you can see if any of the colleagues have it's
8	like that in clean-up, you know, if you see anyone in the
9	ditch you can pick them up as you go.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Unfortunately, saw a bit of
11	that last night.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. For a modest fee
13	of course.
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	THE COMMISSIONER: How long do you think
16	you'll be, Mr. Engelmann?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll be about two hours.
18	I'm going to try and move through this fairly quickly.
19	MR. GUZZO: Problem, sir, I'm sorry.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, no, no, that's fine.
21	Your ride in was uneventful I hope?
22	MR. GUZZO: I turned around and came back
23	last night.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, did you?
25	MR. GUZZO: Yes.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.
2	MR. GUZZO: I just it just looked like it
3	was going to be a better deal.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, you certainly
5	planned that right.
6	All right. So, Mr. Engelmann, whenever
7	you're ready.
8	GARRY GUZZO, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
9	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
10	<pre>ENGELMANN (Cont'd/Suite):</pre>
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr. Guzzo.
12	MR. GUZZO: Good morning, sir.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I'd like to show you a
14	letter. It's dated January 14 th , 2000. It's a letter I
15	believe you wrote to the then Attorney General Jim
16	Flaherty. It's Document Number 124819. Madam Clerk, if
17	that could be shown to the witness.
18	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. This is a
20	letter addressed to the Honourable Jim Flaherty from Mr.
21	Guzzo dated January 14, 2000.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: What would the next exhibit
23	number be, sir?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, 1002.
25	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. P-1002:

1	(124819) Letter from Garry Guzzo to
2	Hon. Jim Flaherty dated 14 Jan 00
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, I just want to
4	ask you a few questions about the letter. If you need some
5	more time during my questions
6	MR. GUZZO: No.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: just take it.
8	MR. GUZZO: Thank you.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: This is a letter you wrote;
10	correct?
11	MR. GUZZO: Right, I did.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And the the re. clause is
13	is not about Cornwall, it's about the Supreme Court of
14	Canada Appeal R. v Sharpe?
15	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Why is that the re. clause?
17	What's the connection?
18	MR. GUZZO: Mr. Flaherty has issued a press
19	release on the position of the Ontario Government vis-à-vis
20	this issue. He has
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Sexual abuse of children
22	when you say this issue?
23	MR. GUZZO: The Sharpe issue. I think the
24	Sharpe issue was a little narrower
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

1	MR. GUZZO: if you like.
2	And he has authorized our government to
3	intervene at the Supreme Court level on this matter and he
4	has decided to take the case himself and he's coming to
5	Ottawa and
6	MR. ENGELMANN: When you say take it
7	himself, he actually decided to argue this?
8	MR. GUZZO: He decided to argue it himself
9	and he he's, as I say, coming into my riding, into my
10	area. And I have a few points I want to make to him and I
11	try and relate it to the Cornwall situation, telling him
12	that it's only another 100 kilometres down the road and he
13	should come down and talk to some people, have a look and
14	not worry about pictures, worry about the real thing.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And in the
16	second paragraph of the first page, you tell him a little
17	bit about your personal experience as a judge?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, from a quick
20	review, it appears that when you started on the Bench, you
21	say that you you saw two or three of these cases a year,
22	cases involving sexual abuse of children and by the time
23	your retired there were two or three a month?
24	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Did that at all contribute

1	to your interest in the subject matter?, it's directly the
2	reason why I left the Bench after 11 years, and it was the
3	main main reason why I did. And I have to tell you that
4	why I I find myself back involved with a major file in
5	the new job, you know, that's dealing with the same thing.
6	I can't explain it. I can't explain it to you and I can't
7	explain it to my wife and family.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, on the second page of
9	the letter you refer to, in the first full paragraph, a
10	couple of individuals. Mr. MacDonald, finally charged in
11	early '99 who passed away in Florida, that is that
12	Malcolm MacDonald?
13	MR. GUZZO: It is.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And a Dr. Peachey. Do you
15	know who he was?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I had he was a former
17	coroner and he was, I think, the medical man with the
18	hockey team down here for a couple of years when I may have
19	gone to a few games.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: You say that they had both
21	faced preliminary hearings and been committed to trial.
22	You also say in that paragraph:
23	"My concern, of course, relates to my
24	fears for children in Eastern Ontario,
25	namely Cornwall, where a pedophile ring

1	has been operating for over 30 years."
2	Now, you wrote this letter to Mr. Flaherty
3	and you also copied the Solicitor General who was a new
4	Solicitor General, David Tsubouchi, if I'm pronouncing it
5	correctly?
6	MR. GUZZO: That's correct. Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And Progressive Conservative
8	members of the Standing Committee on Justice?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Why were you commenting or
11	why were you suggesting the term "pedophile ring"?
12	MR. GUZZO: Well
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Where did it come from?
14	MR. GUZZO: I I don't know where it came
15	from to be honest with you. I don't know whether I picked
16	it up or I heard it, but my thinking on it and my
17	definition of it was probably formed from reading the
18	some of the reports on the trial of Father Martin where the
19	individual testified at being taken to a bar and turned
20	over to the piano player and taken home by the piano
21	player; the relationship between the individual who brought
22	him to the bar that night.
23	And then I'm looking at a Crown Attorney
24	whose name is being kicked around with a coroner. I'm
25	thinking that there's a I I've got an imagine of a

1	group that's connected and I I use the term "ring",
2	maybe unadvisedly, I don't know.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, Father Martin?
4	Do we do we know
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not sure if is that
6	one of the Marleau?
7	MR. GUZZO: I believe it was. I believe it
8	was one of the earlier trials, yeah.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
10	MR. GUZZO: I mean, that's where I get the
11	the terminology, you know and I you know
12	MR. ENGELMANN:. But we've also there's
13	an affidavit that had been circulated, had been posted on
14	websites, talking about a clan of pedophiles a fellow by
15	the name of Ron Leroux. I realize you didn't meet with
16	him, but you were familiar with his allegations or you were
17	aware of them?
18	MR. GUZZO: I had seen the affidavit. I had
19	seen the affidavit, I think.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Is that why you
21	refer to a "pedophile ring" or
22	MR. GUZZO: It may have it may have
23	influenced me. Certainly I I use the term based on
24	things I had heard.

8

THE COMMISSIONER: Sir, you're on page 3,

1	now?
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Page 2.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Page 2.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: At the top of the page.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, okay.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So you were aware of the
7	coroner and the former Crown Attorney?
8	MR. GUZZO: I was.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And you were aware of some
10	priests that had been charged?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I had and
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And were you aware at this
13	time about the you would have been aware about the
14	former probation officers?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I was.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: But as far as tying them
17	together as a group, sir, do you have anything else to tell
18	us about why you would have used the term "ring"?
19	MR. GUZZO: No, I can't I can't point to
20	a connecting situation that leads me to that.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if you could
22	then?
23	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't think I could. I
24	think I have an an image in my my mind and I'm
25	sitting down and doing this letter only because Jim Mr.

1	Flanerty issues the press release that he sent out
2	announcing that he was coming to Ottawa, announcing that we
3	were taking the position and intervening in this matter
4	before the Supreme Court and expressing the in that
5	press release very, very serious concerns he has for
6	protection of children.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Because at this
8	point-in-time, as you acknowledge, neither the coroner nor
9	Malcolm MacDonald had been convicted; they had just been
10	committed to trial and then they died?
11	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: The charges were outstanding
13	against Father Charles MacDonald; correct?
14	MR. GUZZO: I I believe so, I'm
15	MR. ENGELMANN: One of the probation
16	officers had been found guilty but the other one had
17	committed suicide, so hadn't been prosecuted?
18	MR. GUZZO: That's right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And there were other trials
20	ongoing at that time?
21	MR. GUZZO: There were.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So it might have been more
23	apt to talk about "alleged pedophile ring", perhaps?
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes, and I'm prepared to admit
25	the use of the word "ring" the ring the word "ring",

1	I have to tell you, has a has a special connotation
2	amongst the members of our caucus at this point-in-time.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: What is that?
4	MR. GUZZO: Well, there's a standing joke
5	around from the OPP in Toronto that there's a new a new
6	definition of a dope ring in Toronto six Cabinet
7	Ministers at a round table, you see, and we're all having a
8	few good laughs about that, you know, so I use the word
9	"ring" to jab them in the ribs.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	MR. GUZZO: Which I'm you know, which I
12	I I don't write this letter if he doesn't issue the
13	press release is what I'm telling you, I guess.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Can I just lower down
15	in that on the second page it says you say, the third
16	paragraph from the bottom:
17	"It is now beyond doubt that a
18	pedophile ring existed and continues to
19	exist in Cornwall."
20	I would like to know what you're thinking;
21	what's the basis for you for saying that?
22	MR. GUZZO: Well, the image I have of the
23	connection of the individuals that I'm hearing about
24	probation officers, former Crown Attorneys, what have you -
25	- and I think, as I stated yesterday, I've been advised as

1	
1	to the number of people referred from counsellors, medical
2	people, psychiatric people to The Men's Project.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MR. GUZZO: And I think I use a indicated
5	yesterday that I found that alarming.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, in that same paragraph,
7	just to carry on:
8	"is clear that no matter how hard
9	committed citizens push, certain people
10	will never be charged. Yes, even more
11	distinguished people than retired
12	coroners and retired Crown Attorneys."
13	You're referring to someone in particular,
14	at that point, that you're concerned that would never be
15	charged?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes. I had had a discussion a
17	short while before that.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: This is January, 2000?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes. I think when Mr.
20	Flaherty takes over as Attorney General in the fall of
21	of '99 after the election, I have some discussions with him
22	and with Mr. Tsubouchi
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Hang on. Mr. Flaherty's
24	been the Attorney General for a while, correct? He's then
25	succeeded by Mr. Young?

1	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't think Mr. Flaherty
2	is the Attorney
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, I'm sorry, he took over
4	from Mr. Harnick. I apologize.
5	MR. GUZZO: Yes. And Mr. Tsubouchi took
6	over short I don't think he took over immediately after
7	the election but shortly after the election and so, you
8	know, they're both lawyers and I zero in on them trying to
9	talk about the Private Members Bill I'm bringing forward
10	and the and the issue, and in discussions I think with
11	Mr. Flaherty, I've we've talked about people we thought
12	were not going to be named and were not going to be charged
13	in this; that maybe there were enough complaints that they
14	should have been, but we don't have the files and we're
15	we're talking in general terms.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, you say a little
17	later:
18	"When that victim confronted the former
19	Crown Attorney for the Province of
20	Ontario who now acts as the defence
21	counsel in these matters, it was clear
22	that all the evidence in the case was
23	available and brought to the proper
24	authorities over 10 years ago."
25	Do you know who you were referring to here,

1	sir?
2	MR. GUZZO: I can't this
3	MR. ENGELMANN: "Former Crown who now acts
4	as defence counsel"?
5	MR. GUZZO: The man's name is Johnson.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Don Johnson?
7	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, okay.
9	MR. GUZZO: And I but I I can't tell
10	you the name of the individual who said to me, when he got
11	to the trial and was testifying, "There was Mr. Johnson
12	defending the accused and he was the Crown Attorney I went
13	to 10 years ago and laid out my case and nothing was done".
14	MR. ENGELMANN: You can't remember who that
15	is?
16	MR. GUZZO: I can't, I'm sorry. I can't.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: But it was a case involving
18	sexual abuse of a young person?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes. And it may have been one
20	lawyer, you know. I'm I'm not suggesting that it was a
21	strong case and something should have been done at that
22	time. It may the evidence may not have been that strong
23	or whatever, I have no idea, but the the man, whoever
24	that alleged victim or victim was, was very upset with the
25	situation, you know.

1	And I explained you know, I tried I
2	remember I can picture the individual a bit but I can't
3	I can't recall him.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And then just a
5	little later you talk about the press release and you talk
6	about a press conference, as well, in Cornwall, the OPP.
7	So are you saying that there was a press conference in
8	addition to the press release
9	MR. GUZZO: No, that that's a misnomer;
10	it should have said "press release".
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And there's a
12	reference to "no stone was left unturned", and the press
13	release we looked at yesterday, you agree, didn't have that
14	wording?
15	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: But you believed it was a
17	Mr. Grasman from the OPP who used that term?
18	MR. GUZZO: And and, you know, quoted
19	quoted in more than one newspaper.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you're
21	reminding again what you've told us earlier at the end
22	of your letter, about four items from Minister Flaherty's
23	press release at the end?
24	MR. GUZZO: Right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And suggesting he come down

1	to Cornwall?
2	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: One more little thing
5	there on that page, please.
6	You are saying that the former police chief
7	of Cornwall is outside the country and refuses to talk to
8	Project Truth? On what basis did you have to believe that?
9	MR. GUZZO: I believe I believe I was
10	told that by the former Attorney General, Mr. Runciman, I
11	think is my
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Runciman was a former
13	Solicitor
14	MR. GUZZO: Solicitor General, rather, yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, it's my understanding
16	that the OPP Project Truth team did interview former Chief
17	Shaver. It wasn't until July of '99, I believe, when they
18	went down to Florida to see him. Were you aware of that at
19	this time in January of 2000?
20	MR. GUZZO: I was not aware of that. I'm
21	learning it for the first time
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Just now?
23	MR. GUZZO: today, but I must tell you I
24	suspected that they had when they were down there.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: You did receive a response

1	from the Minister.
2	If the witness could be shown 726099?
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 1003,
4	a letter to Mr. Garry Guzzo from James Flaherty dated March
5	7 th , 2000.
6	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1003:
7	(726099) Letter to Garry Guzzo from Jim
8	Flaherty dated March 7 th , 2000.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: So I just want to make sure
10	I've got the date right. The date is March $7^{\rm th}$?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Of 2000, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
13	Mr. Guzzo, did you receive this response to
14	your January 14 th letter?
15	MR. GUZZO: I did.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And had the Minister spoken
17	to you between the time of your January 14^{th} letter and
18	this response, or can you remember?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I remember having a chat
20	with him.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I don't think there's
22	anything in your notes about that in that timeframe, but
23	what do you recall?
24	MR. GUZZO: Oh, he let me know he wasn't
25	very happy about it and, you know

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he indicate to you then
2	or at some point shortly after this letter what he meant
3	by, "I can assure you that process will be followed"?
4	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't recall addressing
5	the issue of the letter with him after I you know, it's
6	almost two months before I get this reply.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
8	MR. GUZZO: But I get a verbal reply when I
9	bump into him in the hall or in the Whip's office or
10	wherever I deal with him. I you know, he he lets me
11	know he's not happy, you know, about it. He especially
12	he didn't get a very good reception when he came to Ottawa,
13	if you recall, and he wasn't happy about the whole issue.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: He didn't get a good
15	reception at the Supreme Court?
16	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. But are you talking
18	about from citizens or are you talking about the judges at
19	the Supreme Court, or both?
20	MR. GUZZO: No, I'm not talking about I'm
21	talking at the Supreme Court hearing.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	MR. GUZZO: At the Supreme Court hearing.
24	And, you know, in our discussion following, he concurred
25	that he had made a mistake in taking it himself.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. Sir, getting back to
2	Cornwall issues then, he also says in the letter:
3	"I passed the information along to the
4	appropriate individuals in my
5	ministry."
6	Did he indicate to you who that was?
7	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	Sir, I understand then later on in May of
10	2000 you wrote to the new Solicitor General, Mr. Tsubouchi.
11	If the witness could be shown Document
12	124675?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 1004
14	is a letter to the Honourable David Tsubouchi from Mr.
15	Guzzo dated May 26 th , 2000.
16	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1004:
17	(124675) Letter to the Honourable David
18	Tsubouchi from Mr. Guzzo, dated May
19	26th, 2000.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, is this your first
21	letter, to your knowledge, to the new Solicitor General?
22	MR. GUZZO: I believe it is, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Why are you writing to him?
24	MR. GUZZO: Well, as I explained, I'm trying
25	to lay the groundwork for what you people are doing here,

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	and I want all the information out. And so I sit down and
2	I pull together some material and I think I probably take
3	the letter, if I'm not mistaken I don't know that I say
4	it of April 3rd, when I started this process. The one
5	the eight pager to Mr. McLaughlin.

MR. ENGELMANN: Right.

MR. GUZZO: And I -- Mr. Tsubouchi is a very interesting individual. He's a lawyer. He's done a lot of municipal work, we have a lot in common. And we get along rather well. And I -- I'm trying to put the information that I see as important before him, and I'm quite frankly begging for someone to come to me and say, "Look, you're wrong. Mr. Frechette didn't say this or didn't know that." Mr. Segal -- when I'm dealing with Mr. Flaherty and I'm giving -- I put it before him, didn't say this and didn't take that position, or give me some indication, some explanation of some of the questions that I have been asking about 67-week delay in delivery of documentation, anything like that. Just somebody to come to me and say, "Look, you're wrong." Nobody wants to do that. People who are very helpful, very friendly, we've got a lot in common, they wind up in cabinet in this position and they don't want to talk.

So I sit down and I write and I put everything in front of them, so they're never going to be

1	able to come to this position and say here today and
2	say, "Well, yeah, but I didn't know" or, you know, if you
3	subpoena Mr. Tsubouchi and he you know, he can't say, I
4	when I sent him the letter each and every time I go and
5	sit down with the Minister, corner them over in the House
6	or in the Whip's office or wherever I can do it, and make
7	them address the letter, make them address the letter so
8	that they are knowledgeable as to what the allegations are
9	and the questions that I'm asking and that are not being
10	answered.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	So aside from writing to him, you would have
13	had some discussions with him about this afterwards?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I did.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: So we'll come to that. You
16	send him four newspaper clippings?
17	MR. GUZZO: I guess I did. They're
18	MR. ENGELMANN: If I understand the gist of
19	the first paragraph then, they're talk these are further
20	clippings that talk about Project Truth coming to an end?
21	MR. GUZZO: I believe that's what's
22	happening at that time, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And you say in that
24	paragraph:
25	"This is the fourth time I've read

1	it. Detective Grasman predicting an
2	end to the investigation. Each of
3	the first three has proved to be
4	unreliable. His first prediction of
5	same occurred in 1998."
6	What's the point you're making, sir?
7	MR. GUZZO: Well, we keep announcing that
8	we're going to wind up Project Truth and then they announce
9	they don't announce it, but you say, "Well, why haven't
10	you done it?" And the Minister comes back and says, "Well,
11	they found some new people they want to talk to. They
12	found some new people they want to talk to." I mean, it's
13	not the type of response positioning that inspires a lot of
14	confidence.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	Then in the next paragraph we see that
17	figure, \$200,000 again.
18	"citizens of Cornwall, of their own
19	money to uncover the truth by
20	travelling to State of Ohio, State of
21	Vermont, State of Florida, other parts
22	of Ontario."
23	Are you talking about the Dunlops and Mr.
24	Chisholm? Are you talking about others? Who are you
25	talking about?

1	MR. GUZZO: Well, it's coming from a number
2	of people, whether it's including, I would think, people
3	associated with the Dunlops and Mr. Chisholm. There's a
4	lady down here who's kind of the coordinating secretary.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Who's that?
6	MR. GUZZO: I can't think of the name, but
7	she's she's calling once a month to, you know, prompt
8	me, you know, "Here's what's going on down here. Are you
9	following this? Have you seen are you reading the
10	Standard Freeholder? Do you know what they're saying in
11	the other newspaper? They've got a there's a citizen's
12	group
13	MR. ENGELMANN: This is someone involved
14	with a citizen's group?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes. And they're you know,
16	they're doing a good job in keeping some people informed.
17	I know the NDP House leader, Mr. Kormos, is receiving the
18	material, I believe, and so is Mr. Cleary, because when I
19	hear from this lady or when I get documentation from her I
20	usually to talk to Mr. Cleary about it.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: This is the second time
22	I see the amount of \$200,000. You're saying in this letter
23	that citizens in Cornwall have spent \$200,000. Where do
24	you get that figure and
25	MR. GUZZO: I believe it may have come from

1	ner. You know, I certainly have no verification of it and
2	
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Is this a woman by the name
4	of MacEachern, Sylvia MacEachern?
5	MR. GUZZO: No. No, this is a this is a
6	woman from I don't think Mrs Ms. MacEachern is from
7	Cornwall. This is a lady in Cornwall.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	Was she someone you would have spoken to
10	from time to time?
11	MR. GUZZO: Mrs. MacEachern?
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
13	MR. GUZZO: I've spoken to her on a at
14	this time, I've spoken to her on a couple of occasions.
15	She was writing for a paper.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: The Order?
17	MR. GUZZO: The Order, yeah.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, okay.
19	MR. GUZZO: And she contacted me about the
20	materials and
21	MR. ENGELMANN: But you're talking about
22	someone else as far as the coordinator?
23	MR. GUZZO: Yes, and then
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Someone involved with one of
25	the citizen's groups?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes, and it's because of the
2	professional way in which this woman is keeping Mr. Kormos,
3	Mr. Cleary and myself informed and providing that
4	information the whether they're newspaper clippings or
5	whatever, that when I get home in the summer and we've got
6	a two, three-month break and people start showing up at the
7	door, that's why I get the idea that people are stirring
8	the pot and sending, you know
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	And this isn't Mrs. Dunlop?
11	MR. GUZZO: No. No.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
13	MR. GUZZO: Well, she may have been part of
14	the
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	So you're talking as well here and I just
17	want to run through this quickly you're saying that
18	you're talking about people going to Florida. You're
19	saying Project Truth officers did not attend in Florida
20	until the spring of 1999. And how would you have been
21	aware of that at this time; do you know?
22	MR. GUZZO: I believe I was told that
23	by
24	MR. ENGELMANN: One of your colleagues
25	or

1	MR. GUZZO: Mr. Runciman or somebody in
2	his department.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. You say:
4	"This was six months after the records
5	of the hotels in question had be sold
6	for a tidy sum."
7	And who would have told you that records
8	from one of these motels or hotels had been sold sometime
9	in '98?
10	MR. GUZZO: The first information I heard
11	about it was from a member of the golfing group in Florida.
12	The older gentlemen who play at 8:00 in the morning who
13	heard it from I believe the source was Dickson.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: The retired police officer?
15	MR. GUZZO: The retired police officer.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	MR. GUZZO: I assume that that's where this
18	person picked it up.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So that's when you
20	first heard about it. Do you have any further information
21	in that regard?
22	MR. GUZZO: Well, I sometime later, I
23	think sometime later, I think I get confirmation of
24	that.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: From whom?

1	MR. GUZZO: From somebody in Toronto and,
2	you know, I think it's coming through the Sol Gen
3	Department. Whether it was the Solicitor General that
4	confirmed it or whether it was somebody I was the Police
5	and the OPP or something, but or somehow I get a
6	feeling that I had that confirmed.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. But so what do
8	you know of those details? So you're writing to a Minister
9	and you're saying, "Look it, I know that it was sold for a
10	tidy sum." So what are the details that you know about?
11	Who was it sold to? How much was paid? That kind of
12	thing.
13	MR. GUZZO: Well, just a minute, I don't
14	know that I'm I don't know that I'm talking here about
15	Cornwall information.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: No, you're talking about
17	no, no, you're talking that this was six months after
18	the records of the hotels in question had been sold for a
19	tidy sum. So you say that you know that the records of the
20	hotels in question had been sold for some money? So what
21	are the details that you know about that?
22	MR. GUZZO: Well, the you know, the talk
23	is that the that I am hearing is that the bookkeeper is
24	no longer the bookkeeper, that he's in a hospital and that
25	the records that he had had been transferred to someone

1	else.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, transferred to
3	someone else does not equate to sold for a tidy sum?
4	MR. GUZZO: No, but the figure of \$55,000
5	has is being kicked around the golf course as what he
6	got for the for his documents.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	So these are just rumours, speculation, talk
9	of that nature?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. I have not firsthand
11	knowledge.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: No. No, but you're
13	telling me that you received confirmation of those facts
14	from the Sol Gen's Department?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes, at some point in time in
16	Toronto, we're having a general discussion about this whole
17	thing and
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Who's we?
19	MR. GUZZO: I think it's Mr. Runciman, a
20	lawyer form London, Ontario by the name of Bob Wood and a
21	member of either Mr. Runciman's staff or a that is the
22	ministerial staff or departmental staff. And the
23	issue we're kicking around the issue that the bizarre
24	the whole bizarre blurb of a situation like this where
25	people maintain the records for 20 years and there's I

1	mean, some of the stories of some of the individuals who
2	have been taken down because of the involvement here,
3	prominent people in the State of Ohio and as far away as
4	Wisconsin having been blackmailed, I think, because of this
5	operation. They're rampant, but they circulate rather well
6	in South Florida. And we're talking about the whole
7	operation, as bizarre and complicated as it is.
8	And the individual with Mr. Runciman, as I
9	recollect you know, I said I heard the figure of \$55,000
10	when the man was ill or when he passed away, whatever
11	happened to him, and that seems to be confirmed by the
12	information that this individual has.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Mr. Engelmann?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14 15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So just back to the letter at page 2, you
15	So just back to the letter at page 2, you
15 16	So just back to the letter at page 2, you write about Deputy Commissioner Wayne Frechette. Providing
15 16 17	So just back to the letter at page 2, you write about Deputy Commissioner Wayne Frechette. Providing some background there, I note you say:
15 16 17 18	So just back to the letter at page 2, you write about Deputy Commissioner Wayne Frechette. Providing some background there, I note you say: "For the record, I would like you to
15 16 17 18 19	So just back to the letter at page 2, you write about Deputy Commissioner Wayne Frechette. Providing some background there, I note you say: "For the record, I would like you to know that I believe Mr. Frechette in
15 16 17 18 19 20	So just back to the letter at page 2, you write about Deputy Commissioner Wayne Frechette. Providing some background there, I note you say: "For the record, I would like you to know that I believe Mr. Frechette in March of '99. I believe he was telling
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So just back to the letter at page 2, you write about Deputy Commissioner Wayne Frechette. Providing some background there, I note you say: "For the record, I would like you to know that I believe Mr. Frechette in March of '99. I believe he was telling the truth to this day. I also
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So just back to the letter at page 2, you write about Deputy Commissioner Wayne Frechette. Providing some background there, I note you say: "For the record, I would like you to know that I believe Mr. Frechette in March of '99. I believe he was telling the truth to this day. I also believe"

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	Then you're asking some questions about the
3	different results form the '94 investigation and the
4	current investigation?
5	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you talk about untimely
7	deaths in the third page and, you know, we've heard about
8	several people committing suicide, but you seem to be
9	suggesting here that some others may have done so also or
10	that seems to be the implication. You say:
11	"We've experienced three more
12	untimely deaths of persons charged
13	with offences under this
14	investigation. My information
15	coming in the State of Florida,
16	which may not be 100 percent
17	accurate, notwithstanding that the
18	source has been impeccable to
19	date, indicates that our former
20	Crown Attorney, Malcolm MacDonald
21	committed suicide a couple of days
22	prior to Christmas while in the
23	State of Florida."
24	Now, it's our understanding that Mr.
25	MacDonald Malcolm MacDonald passed

1	away.
2	What is your information here, sir?
3	MR. GUZZO: It came from a Fort Lauderdale
4	police officer who indicated to me that they were of the
5	opinion that it was a suicide.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, if it had been,
7	presumably there would have been some form of report, a
8	coroner's report or something done, would there not?
9	MR. GUZZO: I would have thought so. I'm
10	not sure whether there was or was not.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: You're also referring to an
12	untimely death of a Mr. Dufor (sic) or Dufour. Do you know
13	that individual?
14	MR. GUZZO: Only that he was named or
15	charged and died rather quickly thereafter.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And he was an individual
17	working for whom? Do you recall?
18	MR. GUZZO: No, I think he was no longer in
19	the area, working outside the area.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: But he had been working here
21	in the area for an institution?
22	MR. GUZZO: I don't know for whom he was
23	working, to tell you the truth, but he had been in the
24	area, and my information was that he had been charged.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And do you recall

1	who you had heard that from?
2	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't. It may have been
3	the no, I don't.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	Now, in or around June of 2000, I understand
6	that Bill 103 was carried after first reading; is that
7	correct?
8	MR. GUZZO: I think that's correct, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think you agreed with
10	me yesterday that your primary focus in that bill and, I
11	think, in subsequent bills was looking at how the police
12	were investigating these issues?
13	MR. GUZZO: That is, as I looked at it, the
14	major in fact, the only concern that the government had
15	in this issue.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: That was your view, sir?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And you, in fact, wrote a
19	letter to the $\underline{\text{Freeholder}}$ on that, as I understand it, on or
20	about September 13^{th} , 2000. And perhaps we could look at
21	Document 124865?
22	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
24	Number 1005 is a letter dated September $13^{\rm th}$, 2000 to the
25	Editor of the Standard Freeholder from Mr. Gary Guzzo.

1	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1005:
2	(124865) Letter dated September 13 th ,
3	2000 to the Editor of the <u>Standard</u>
4	Freeholder from Mr. Gary Guzzo
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Just have a quick look at
6	that, Mr. Guzzo. I'm wondering why it is you're writing to
7	the <u>Freeholder</u> about Bill 103?
8	MR. GUZZO: There must have been something
9	in the paper that triggered it. I notice I don't recall
10	the letter.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MR. GUZZO: But I notice that carbon copies
13	go to two people that have been
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	You say, one:
16	"Bill 103 concerns police behaviour."
17	Correct?
18	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Two:
20	"Does not concern itself with the issue
21	of pedophile rings."
22	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And three, you talk about
24	the OPP press well, you say press conference here.
25	MR. GUZZO: Again, a misnomer.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Christmas Eve '94.
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: What is it you're trying to
4	stress on the second page?
5	MR. GUZZO: Well, when I'm I guess when I
6	read that, the allegation or the indication may have been
7	that I was on a witch hunt against the Catholic Church
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	MR. GUZZO: or some individual in the
10	Church and, you know, I'm
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	So that year, in your notes, and in
13	particular, if you could look at C-848(c), your original
14	notes on the yellow paper? There's a Roman numeral. I
15	think it might be iii. It has September '00 at the top.
16	Yes.
17	MR. GUZZO: Right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not sure if you were
19	talking about the bill carried first reading sometime in
20	June, and between then and September, are you receiving a
21	number of calls or visits from alleged victims?
22	MR. GUZZO: Yes, the summer is busy. The
23	summer is busy. I say:
24	"Record 31 new victim calls."
25	Alleged victims I suppose should be the term. I say:

1	"The me toos have started to line up.
2	At least seven seem interested in a
3	civil action. Why not? Money is the
4	goal"
5	And
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You refer that being the
7	case for a couple of individuals that you have obliterated
8	the names of?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And that would be C-32?
11	MR. GUZZO: I don't have that list.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Madam Clerk, could you
14	give him the list?
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I may have your list. I
16	think our list may not be completely accurate, sir, because
17	C-32 and C-33 are the same person, I believe, the first
18	name and the last name?
19	MR. GUZZO: No.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: You think they're two
21	different people?
22	MR. GUZZO: I think they're two different
23	people.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	And C-34?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	So those would be people that you had filled
4	in right after "money is goal of"? You say:
5	"Why not? Money is goal of"
6	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	Can you carry on with the note?
9	MR. GUZZO: I have:
10	"There's at least 10 in the group who
11	are hurting. Some of these are sincere
12	and believable but not strong and
13	stable. All"
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And you give us three names,
15	sir?
16	MR. GUZZO: I do.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And the monikers are what
18	for those names?
19	MR. GUZZO: C-35, C-36 and C-37.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And what do you say about
21	them?
22	MR. GUZZO: "All finger Seguin"
23	I'm talking about the probation officer.
24	"Seem sincere. No criminal records as
25	adults. First two reported to Cornwall

I	Police in '82 and '83 and that was
2	their estimate of when and they are
3	going to speak with counsel in Ottawa."
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir and
5	again, any independent recollection of these two
6	individuals who say they spoke to the Cornwall Police? Are
7	they the names above that we see, two of those three names?
8	It says:
9	"First two reported to Cornwall
10	Police."
11	Can we assume that those are the first two
12	names that you blacked out there, or do you know?
13	MR. GUZZO: I would think the latter two
14	myself, as I look at it now, but I can't be certain. I
15	can't be certain.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any independent
17	recollection of the meeting with these individuals?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I have their in this
19	group, they don't all come together but I over the
20	summer, I sit down. I've got a I have a recollection of
21	about five of them that I
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Out of the 31?
23	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, out of the 10.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, out of the 10
25	MR. GUZZO: Out out of

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Sorry.
2	MR. GUZZO: About five of the ten who are,
3	you know, I say "hurting".
4	MR. ENGELMANN: M'hm.
5	MR. GUZZO: Hurting to an extent that but
6	that I that I refer to or I give some names of lawyers
7	in Ottawa that they may want to contact.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	And you say:
10	"No criminal records as adults"
11	So presumably when they saw and they say:
12	"went to the Cornwall Police in
13	'82/'83."
14	So these individuals would be adults. Were
15	they men?
16	MR. GUZZO: All male.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. All right.
18	And just give us a sense, sir, about any
19	well, first of all, did you take any statements, documents,
20	affidavits, anything like that from any of these
21	individuals?
22	MR. GUZZO: No, but I did not.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	Did you give them advice, as you had in the
25	past, to other alleged victims?

1	MR. GUZZO: And I think in this case in
2	particular, I gave them the names of a couple of lawyers in
3	the Ottawa area.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember which names
5	you might have given them?
6	MR. GUZZO: I probably gave Mr. Yegendorf's
7	name, because I know he was actively involved.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And who else?
9	MR. GUZZO: I gave the name of a husband and
10	wife team in the east end of Centretown with whom I had had
11	some discussions.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: They're civil litigation
13	lawyers?
14	MR. GUZZO: They do she does some civil
15	litigation and he does criminal. A French Canadian couple
16	who live in the Glebe area, the Centretown area, and I
17	think they practice downtown.
18	And I referred a few people to them because,
19	in some cases French was the first language and I thought
20	that they would have an easier time communicating than with
21	Mr. Yegendorf.
22	And I had other names I, you know
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	MR. GUZZO: from the list that I may
25	have, from time to time, recommended.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any
2	recollection, sir, if these individuals told you whether
3	the Cornwall police would have investigated or followed up
4	on their complaints about Mr. Seguin?
5	MR. GUZZO: I don't recollect, you know. I
6	simply want to know, "Did you go to the police at the time,
7	yes or no? If you didn't, you should go back now even
8	though it's 20 years later or whatever and make the get
9	on the record." But as to what happened or any comments
10	from them, I don't
11	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't remember?
12	MR. GUZZO: I don't remember.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, that's fine.
14	MR. GUZZO: And I want you you know, I
15	have to tell you also that many of these people are showing
16	up without appointments.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
18	MR. GUZZO: I mean, they arrive at at the
19	door. You know, "We're in town" or "We've heard about
20	this." You know, and while you don't want to make a
21	practice of doing that and disrupting your entire day,
22	you're being very careful not to dismiss them because if
23	they have been dismissed before, you're just part of the
24	problem.
25	So I'm having a staffer talk to them and

1	then I'm going to give them 10 minutes each or something
2	like that and in between other things.
3	But I'm being very, very careful and I'm
4	I'm leaning on the staff, like if it's about this thing, we
5	could have people in a fragile state. Handle with care,
6	you know.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir, if by
8	this time you're mentioning the Men's Project or other
9	services that might be available to some of these
10	individuals when they see you? You said in this case, you
11	said about 10 of them were hurting?
12	MR. GUZZO: I would think I I do, from
13	time to time, but but these people at this time, I can't
14	recall. I can't recall, but I would venture to say that I
15	can recall on at least 10 or 12 occasions referring
16	telling people about the project and how to get to the
17	YM/YWCA in Ottawa.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	So there were a number of times when you did
20	that?
21	MR. GUZZO: Oh, yes. Yeah.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And these people that
23	are seeing you, these alleged victims, are they always from
24	Cornwall or the Cornwall area, or on occasion are they from
25	somewhere else? Or do you remember?

1	MR. GUZZO: Oh, there are there are
2	people coming in to see me who, you know, "I grew up in
3	Toronto and this happened to me, you know, from all over.
4	But on this file, the things I'm recording,
5	the things I'm zeroing in on are people who are have
6	contact with Cornwall, but they may be they may be
7	Ottawa-based now or
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	MR. GUZZO: in and around the Ottawa
10	area or maybe they're I don't know, maybe they're
11	visiting from out of they're in town.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: But the allegations of abuse
13	when they were young people come from the Cornwall area?
14	MR. GUZZO: Relate to Cornwall.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Okay.
16	And just a bit further down on that page
17	there's another blackout and that's
18	THE COMMISSIONER: C-38.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: C-38?
20	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is another
22	individual who sees you, sir?
23	MR. GUZZO: Yes, correct.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And he alleges he was abused
25	by Father Lapierre?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: You have:
3	"Which one, Paul or Hollis?"
4	MR. GUZZO: And
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Does that mean the fellow
6	didn't know the first name of the priest?
7	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Now, how did you from
9	what I understand of your testimony, you had received a
10	phone call from Father Paul Lapierre sometime before
11	talking about possible abusers. And so what was your
12	reaction when you get this information?
13	MR. GUZZO: I think by this time I'm aware
14	that there are allegations or additional I may have
15	when I got that phone call, and if I recollect what my
16	comment was, I put a question mark after I met with the
17	people, for the first two anyway that were referred, I put
18	a question mark around those.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. GUZZO: Over this whole thing.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
22	MR. GUZZO: You know, there's a question in
23	my mind as to whether I was being put on, on that
24	situation, whether I was actually talking to Father
25	Lapierre and it's still in my mind, because of the fact

1	that the call came to my home. Most people would not have
2	that number, would not know, you know.
3	But anyway, that then when the when
4	the two people come in to see me, as I recollect, I had a
5	bit of a question mark as to what was really taking place
6	here.
7	Here, when this fellow comes in, he's
8	he's very adamant and he has some detail but he doesn't
9	know the name. He tells me that there's a witness to one
10	episode and she will be willing to speak with me and I ask
11	him to have her call.
12	I never hear and I never hear back from him.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So what you're
14	saying is you have some doubts that way back when that it
15	was really Paul Father Paul Lapierre that was calling?
16	MR. GUZZO: You know, I think what I said, I
17	have a I have question mark over that whole thing.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
19	MR. GUZZO: When I called back, I mean, I
20	didn't get a church rectory or something like that. I got,
21	I think, a private, "We'll have him call you." Then I have
22	a call from a person.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
24	MR. GUZZO: I get this.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

1	MR. GUZZO: But in this particular case
2	here, I was quite impressed, I recollect, with
3	THE COMMISSIONER: With C-38?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, with the number.
5	And he assured me that this individual had
6	had witnessed and he'd talked to the witness and that
7	she was willing to talk to me. He he was told her
8	that had contacted her. He told her he was coming to
9	see me and she and I never heard of
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Sir, did you would
12	you have done what you often have done and suggested
13	reporting to authorities and-or considering a civil action?
14	MR. GUZZO: I I don't think we talked
15	civil action.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	MR. GUZZO: I don't think this person, you
18	know, there was no but but I told him definitely that
19	there should be a there should be a record of this
20	someplace and you should go to the police regardless of how
21	long ago it was.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, in or
23	around September I think, you, I believe, are written to by
24	Detective Inspector Hall and I just want to show you a a
25	letter to that affect.

1	Document Number 124850. And I'd also like
2	the witness shown Document Number 701035, which I believe,
3	Mr. Guzzo, was your response.
4	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 1006
6	is a letter dated the $15^{\rm th}$ day of September, 2000, addressed
7	to Mr. Garry Guzzo from Detective Inspector Hall.
8	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO P-1006:
9	(124850) Letter fr P.R. Hall to Garry
10	Guzzo dated 15 Sep 00
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, Document Number
12	701035?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And this is
14	Exhibit Number 1007; a letter dated September $21^{\rm st}$, 2000,
15	attention Detective Inspector Hall from Garry Guzzo.
16	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. P-1007:
17	(701035) Letter fr Garry Guzzo to
18	P.R. Hall dated 21 Sep 00
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, you would have
20	seen these two letters at some point; one from Detective
21	Inspector Hall and then the response from you?
22	MR. GUZZO: Just let me read the second one.
23	I I saw the first one. I I didn't sign the second
24	one, but I probably dictated it.
25	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So he writes to
3	you in mid-September. He sets out some efforts that he's
4	made to get together with you earlier and says that he's
5	reaching the conclusion of his investigation?
6	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And he asks to see you and
10	he wants to be he wants to be assured that all potential
11	victims had an opportunity to speak to him and his
12	investigators. Is that a fair summary of his letter?
13	MR. GUZZO: I think so.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And you write back to him a
15	few days later and you tell him that you're most willing to
16	meet with him?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And you tell him about your
19	only contact with the OPP to date?
20	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: That being with Wayne
22	Frechette?
23	MR. GUZZO: And with with Detective
24	Inspector Hall.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: You set that out in the

1	letter?
2	MR. GUZZO: I do I not? Do I not say my
3	
4	MR. ENGELMANN: You hadn't met with him yet.
5	MR. GUZZO: No.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You met with him in
7	November?
8	MR. GUZZO: No, but I've had my office
9	has had contact with him.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
11	MR. GUZZO: So so I mention it, I
12	believe.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, you say:
14	"I do regret if there's been some
15	misunderstanding in communications
16	here."
17	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: "But I wish to make it
19	abundantly clear that I don't make it a
20	habit of contacting the police with
21	regard to investigations."
22	And that's a point you've made to us?
23	MR. GUZZO: Right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you and
25	you both copy Shelley Hallett?

49

1	MR. GUZZO: That's right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, shortly
3	thereafter you send a package a letter dated October $4^{\rm th}$
4	to other provincial parliamentarians and I want to just
5	take a look at a letter dated October 4, 2000, that you
6	write to colleagues regarding private members' business and
7	it is Document Number 124962.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1008
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: is a letter dated
11	October 4, 2000, from Garry Guzzo to you say to who?
12	MR. ENGELMANN: It just says "colleagues",
13	and I just wanted to ask Mr. Guzzo, is this is this to
14	Progressive Conservative members of the House or is it to
15	all members of the House.
16	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. P-1008:
17	(124962) Letter from Garry Guzzo to
18	Members of the House dated 04 October
19	2000 (Description Changed)
20	MR. GUZZO: It's to all members of the
21	House.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And why are you sending this
23	letter to all members of the House?
24	MR. GUZZO: Well, the Bill is coming up for
25	second reading and I'm lobbying and soliciting support.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, you set out some
2	background on the first page about how you became aware of
3	this?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And under the caption, "The
6	Facts", you set out some other background facts over the
7	next two-and-a-half pages; correct?
8	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And again you talk about
10	to start with, the different police investigations in '92,
11	'93, '94. Is that correct?
12	MR. GUZZO: I believe so, yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, you you refer to a
14	couple of things here.
15	In the second paragraph, for example, you
16	say:
17	"After approximately seven days, the
18	Ottawa Police force apparently reported
19	back they had no time to devote to this
20	issue and closed their file."
21	Now, had you seen some kind of a report at
22	that time or had someone provided you with information at
23	that time about the Ottawa City Police investigation?
24	MR. GUZZO: I had nothing in writing. I had
25	only comments from from people on associated with the

1	force.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And then about
3	five paragraphs down you say:
4	"On an investigative trip to South
5	Florida, these citizens turned up
6	evidence of individual complainants
7	having been registered."
8	And I'm wondering who turned up any evidence
9	of that?
10	MR. GUZZO: Well, this this is part of
11	the group that was associated with Dunlop, Chisholm, et
12	cetera. And
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Chisholm testified here
14	and he talked about going down to Florida with Mr. Leroux.
15	I don't recall him saying that they came back with any
16	registration slips of any sort?
17	MR. GUZZO: I
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm wondering who it is
19	you're referring to, if it isn't yourself?
20	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not bring back any
21	registration slips.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: You told us you saw some?
23	MR. GUZZO: I saw some, but I was told that
24	they whoever got the letter from the owner of the hotel
25	with regard to people staying there, also had registration

1	slips.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Who would have told
3	you that, sir?
4	MR. GUZZO: You know, I would I can't
5	recall, but I have to limit it to maybe four or five people
6	who would have mentioned that?
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Who would they be?
8	MR. GUZZO: Well, I would think either one
9	of the Dunlops and the in the visit.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: The one visit you had with
11	them in
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: In '98?
14	MR. GUZZO: Mr. Seguin or the chap that was
15	with him when I met with him on one or two occasions. I
16	can't think of the name. I can describe the man or it may
17	have come in way from some of the information that was
18	being disseminated from the centre of, quote, "The
19	citizen's groups by the lady who was feeding information".
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And you can't remember her
21	name?
22	MR. GUZZO: I can't, I'm
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did you I'm
24	looking at the fourth page of your letter. You reference
25	the decision of the Divisional Court and this is the

1	judicial review of Dunlop, Police Services Act charges.
2	You suggest that Mr. Justice McCrea, who
3	delivered the unanimous judgement in Divisional Court, was
4	questioning why the superiors of the Cornwall Police had
5	not been charged for attempting to cover up and block
6	information going to the Children's Aid Society as opposed
7	to charging a lowly constable. Did you actually read the
8	decision?
9	MR. GUZZO: I did.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And that was
11	your interpretation, sir?
12	MR. GUZZO: I may have been reading between
13	the lines, but I think he was raising the issue.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I he did stay the
15	charges. He agreed with the decision of the of the
16	Police Services Board, a decision of the tribunal, to stay
17	the charges, but I believe he would have said something
18	like, "To treat the duty of disclosure is subject to orders
19	of a superior officer would be contrary to the intention of
20	the sub section and would defeat the paramount purpose of
21	the Act", or something along those lines.
22	So you're saying you just read between the
23	lines that this is, in effect, what he was saying?
24	MR. GUZZO: It's been a long time since I
25	read the decision.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Fair enough.
2	MR. GUZZO: But I had that impression
3	of
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. All right, and
5	do you you set out a number of issues in your letter.
6	The issue about the 1994 investigation by the OPP?
7	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: The issue about when
9	Inspector Hall received information or didn't in issue
10	number 2?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I believe so.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Issue number 3, your
13	discussions with Deputy Commissioner Frechette. Issue
14	number 4, some information from the Police Services Act
15	volumes that you received?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Issue number 5, your
18	conversation with Murray Segal?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Issue number 6 talks about
21	what happened or didn't happen in Florida?
22	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Issue number 7, you're
24	getting into some of your correspondence with the Premier
25	and-or others?

1	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And, finally, you're ending
3	by asking for their support for the Bill, which is just
4	coming up?
5	MR. GUZZO: Right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And the Bill was
7	carried on second reading. Is that correct?
8	MR. GUZZO: It was.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was on or around
10	the 12^{th} of the October, the year 2000?
11	MR. GUZZO: I believe so, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you have any
13	indication from your caucus and-or the Conservative
14	Caucus or some of your the Conservative Cabinet
15	Ministers as to whether or not that Bill had some chance of
16	success at third reading?
17	MR. GUZZO: Well, I think when you see
18	the when it came to caucus the week before it was to be
19	debated, the the Premier indicated that he wanted it
20	defeated.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Wanted it defeated?
22	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall if the
24	Attorney General spoke to the matter, sir?
25	MR. GUZZO: Mr. Flaherty was then the

1	Attorney General. He came to me a few weeks beforehand and
2	told me he wanted to speak to the Bill and I said, "Well,
3	you're not going to support it because you'll be sitting up
4	in the nosebleed section with me if you do, so why should I
5	let you support it?"
6	And he said, "Well, I have to get on the
7	record". I said, "Well, if you do it, I think I'm
8	obligated to have your parliamentary assistant".
9	But Cabinet Ministers do not speak on
10	private members' Bills. Many of them never show up to vote
11	unless it is an attack on government positioning or
12	government legislation, and then the minister responsible
13	would be front and centre in arguing against the Bill and
14	the caucus would be whipped if it was a direct attack on
15	our legislation or on our program.
16	But if it's normal private members' business
17	concerning an individual's riding or something like that,
18	then there's no whipping that's supposed to go. It's
19	supposed to be a debate on issues of principle.
20	And so I said, "Well, I'll let your
21	parliamentary assistant", and that's when he tells me
22	that he doesn't have one; that Mr. Martiniuk has resigned
23	very recently and it hasn't been no one knows that at
24	the time in the caucus.
25	So I'm in a bit of a box. I have to the

1	opposition could be not going to support my Bill.
2	They're going to get half the time, now I have to give part
3	of my 50 percent of the time over to him to let him speak
4	against the Bill. I don't know what happens if I say no.
5	I mean, I think I win. I think the speaker's going to side
6	with me, but I'm trying to be a gentleman and, you know, so
7	I let him speak. I don't give him a lot of time, but I let
8	him speak.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And he does
10	speak?
11	MR. GUZZO: He does.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And he I'll take you to
13	the transcripts you want, but essentially he argues against
14	the Bill saying that there are matters before the courts
15	and that holding a public inquiry could jeopardize
16	investigations and-or court cases, or words to that effect?
17	MR. GUZZO: He argued strongly that you
18	cannot testify at an inquiry until all the criminal and
19	civil litigation is completed. Then and only then can you
20	have an inquiry or should have an inquiry.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And despite his speaking to
22	it, it does pass at second reading, but you have concerns
23	that it's not going any further. Is that fair?
24	MR. GUZZO: Well, when the when the
25	Premier starts arguing against it, he didn't he didn't

1	whip anybody I don't think on that first Bill that come in.
2	I think sent in a couple of Cabinet Ministers to vote
3	against it, but the second time, the second Bill he
4	definitely did whipped the caucus tried to whip the
5	caucus to vote against him.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, in or around
7	November of 2000, and I'm just referring back to your
8	notes. In particular, I think it's page 5 of C-848(C), you
9	have a meeting with a couple of priests on or about U.S.
10	Thanksgiving?
11	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: So that would be late
13	November of 2000?
14	MR. GUZZO: As I recollect, it was the it
15	was the $22^{\rm nd}$. It was the day that
16	MR. ENGELMANN: The same day you met with
17	Detective Inspector Hall?
18	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	And who were these priests oh, sorry, we
21	have yeah, we have their names publicly. Is one of
22	these priests from Ottawa, sir?
23	MR. GUZZO: No, he's from Italy. He has
24	been in Ottawa, and he's working in Ottawa for a short
25	period of time with the papal nuncio, I believe.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is Father Puccini?
2	MR. GUZZO: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And there's another priest
4	as well?
5	MR. GUZZO: Yes, this is a a man who's
6	studying at the University of Toronto, St. Michael's, and
7	is living near my apartment in downtown Toronto.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's Father Rawson,
9	sir?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And they one of them
12	calls you up and invites you for supper?
13	MR. GUZZO: I have talked to Rawson on a
14	number of occasions. We eat at the same restaurant usually
15	on a Monday night, and we've been introduced he
16	introduced himself. He recognized me from something and he
17	was very interested in the issue and he was the one who
18	called and told me that Father Porchini was going to be in
19	town and wanted to have a chat with me and they'd like to
20	take me to dinner that night.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	So what are you saying in your notes here,
23	sir? Let's look at no, it's not the page on the screen;
24	it's the page that starts November U.S. Thanksgiving at the
25	top.

1	MR. GUZZO: Well, Father Rawson and I have
2	on other occasions, on Monday nights, discussed the
3	Cornwall issue and my involvement in the Private Members
4	Bill. In this discussion we deal with we don't deal
5	with Cornwall to any extent. We're dealing with the
6	Catholic Church, the problems facing the Catholic Church in
7	Canada, the United States and throughout the world.
8	Porchini has been
9	MR. ENGELMANN: General problems or problems
10	of abuse?
11	MR. GUZZO: No, problems of primarily
12	centred around the sexual abuse of children
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	MR. GUZZO: that the Church is facing.
15	And this, Porchini, is extremely knowledgeable. He's
16	he's youngish, I was surprised. He looked to be late 30s
17	at the most, but something I read later led me to believe
18	he was considerably older.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: What do your notes say, sir?
20	MR. GUZZO: My notes say:
21	"Dinner at Biagio's. Frustrated. The
22	tables are close together and the
23	Deputy Minister of Health is at the
24	next table."
25	And I'm trying to explain to them that we

1

2

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can't -- I don't want to -- if we're going to be talking, I don't want too much to be heard. The issue is the CCB, the Catholic Conference of Bishops, and I think we're talking Canadian at that point.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

MR. GUZZO: Failure to follow rules to enforce their noble policies -- to enforce their policy.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: What was that discussion 9 about, sir; do you recall?

MR. GUZZO: Yeah, the -- I had had some meetings in Ottawa with Father Schonenbach, the Executive Director of the Catholic Conference of Bishops -- the Executive Director of the Catholic Conference of Bishops whom I'd known, and, you know, the -- I think he -- well, I had met him on a number of occasions. I met the man before he even entered the priesthood. He was a high-ranking civil servant of 10 years experience, as I recollect, before he gave it up and entered the priesthood. And I had known him -- met him at that time and -- so we've talked about different issues from Catholic education to, you know -- and when this thing comes up I have a discussion with Monsignor Schonenbach and he lays out the policy that is to be followed that they have adopted as a result of the American Catholic Conference and it's an acceptable policy, but they don't follow it in many cases, or individual areas

1	don't follow it. And we go
2	MR. ENGELMANN: That's your view, I assume,
3	not Monsignor Schonenbach's? Or was he also of that view?
4	MR. GUZZO: Oh, I don't think he denied it.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
6	MR. GUZZO: I don't think he denied it. I
7	think it was agreed that certain areas, certain bishops did
8	not follow.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MR. GUZZO: I think he would he would
11	admit that. But we we have a nice discussion. It's a
12	very enjoyable evening. Father Puccini is this is one
13	of the he's a very, very knowledgeable in a lot of
14	things, including wines, and he was very impressed with the
15	wine selection there and we sampled a fair amount of it.
16	And we get into an issue that he describes -
17	- I described it as the papal nuncio issue.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: What is that, sir?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well, Father Puccini has been
20	visiting different jurisdictions with regard to the issues
21	the Church is facing on sexual abuse of children. He has
22	spent some time in Washington with the Catholic Conference
23	of Bishops down there. He is in Canada now. He has been
24	in Ireland. He you know, and he has a wealth of
25	information. He tells me and Father Rawson of some

63

1	experiences that he has uncovered and one of the one of
2	the most unusual I thought was a situation here in Canada,
3	not related to Cornwall, where a bishop had used a papal
4	nuncio to hide documents.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So the term "unbelievable"
6	we see in your notes, is that from you, sir?
7	MR. GUZZO: That's mine. That's mine, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Hiding documents because of
9	diplomatic immunity or?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, okay. And presumably
12	he wasn't happy about that?
13	MR. GUZZO: Well
14	MR. ENGELMANN: That's why he was talking to
15	you?
16	MR. GUZZO: He was
17	MR. ENGELMANN: He wasn't suggesting in any
18	way that that was anything from the diocese here?
19	MR. GUZZO: No, no, this had nothing to do -
20	- you know, he had heard of it happening in Washington on
21	two occasions and he said and I have reason to believe
22	that the practice was followed here. And you know, I think
23	we were talking Western Ontario or Western Canada. And
24	MR. ENGELMANN: What else do you say in your
25	notes then, sir?

1	MR. GUZZO: When I returned to my apartment
2	I made a made some notes and the next day I called
3	Father Rawson.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And is there any follow-up on what you've
6	talked about about the Catholic Conference of Bishops
7	and policies or do you recall?
8	MR. GUZZO: Well, the next Monday I'm very
9	anxious to go to the restaurant I go to on Monday nights.
10	It's a kind of a ritual for me. And I'm looking forward
11	to meeting Father Rawson, and I do, and as I recollect, we
12	sat there for four three or four hours discussing what
13	we had, you know it was quite revealing. It was quite
14	revealing. It was
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	But it was about issues with the Church
17	generally?
18	MR. GUZZO: It was about the global issue
19	yeah. That's right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MR. GUZZO: But I put it in because it put
22	some things in for me, it put some things in context.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, I understand as well,
24	and you have we'll get to your meeting with Inspector
25	Hall in a second.

1	If I could just follow one last point, sir.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: There's a note just
4	underneath this. This is the civil servant I think you
5	said the CS?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: In the department of the
8	Attorney General, and that's the provincial Attorney
9	General, sir?
10	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Not the federal?
12	MR. GUZZO: Not the federal.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. And so you had done
14	some investigation regarding PO docs?
15	MR. GUZZO: I think I think that's PD
16	docs. I think that's
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, Perry Dunlop docs?
18	MR. GUZZO: I think so, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And what what
20	does your note say?
21	MR. GUZZO: It said:
22	"Civil servant in department of AG has
23	done some investigation re: PD docs not
24	forwarded to OPP and confirms what we
25	were told in spring of '99."

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And which is
2	what? What were you told in the spring of '99?
3	MR. GUZZO: That it
4	MR. ENGELMANN: What we saw in the
5	newspapers, that Detective Inspector Hall didn't receive
6	everything?
7	MR. GUZZO: That the documents I think
8	I'm referring to what Mr. Segal told me, that the documents
9	were forwarded to to London, Ontario.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And how did your
11	contact with this civil servant come about?
12	MR. GUZZO: He is he's a driver for one
13	of the Cabinet Ministers. He had been the in the first
14	House, the I think the ministers had exclusive drivers
15	individual drivers assigned to their staff, and now
16	these people had been shunted back to a pool in one of the
17	operative departments and they were being signed out and I
18	I met him one night when we couldn't get cabs. We were
19	sitting at the at the door of the legislature, the low-
20	lifers, waiting for a cab. The ministers are getting their
21	drive and Mr. Harnick says to me, you know, where are you
22	going and I told him. He says, "Jump in with me", and I
23	think another another chap another fellow
24	backbencher, we were going to the same thing, and Mr.
25	Harnick says, "I'm going I have to go in a minute, or

1	I've got to go to my riding, but I'll I'll my guy
2	will drop you off and then drop me off and take you to
3	where you're going".
4	So because you're not going to you
5	know, it's raining or it's snowing or whatever and the cabs
6	disappear in Toronto when it's when the weather's not
7	good.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So this fellow
9	that we've given a moniker, I can't remember the number, I
10	think it was a temporary moniker, sir, C-41?
11	MR. GUZZO: Right.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, C-41.
13	MR. GUZZO: Right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: You met him at through
15	that one ride?
16	MR. GUZZO: I met him through the one ride
17	and I'm I'm on to Mr. Harnick, I guess, about about
18	the what I know at that time think I know
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	MR. GUZZO: about the documents and he
21	he's driving and I guess he's listening and what have
22	you. I I have seen him again, you know, in the same
23	type of situation, driving somebody else, but on this
24	particular case, where I in November here, at this time,
25	he he was standing, waiting for people

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MR. GUZZO: he's waiting for the
3	minister and he comes up to me and and says, "You know,
4	I know what you were talking about and I helped I helped
5	at the time ship those I Purolate those documents to
6	to London, Ontario".
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So he approaches
8	you?
9	MR. GUZZO: He approached
10	MR. ENGELMANN: He indicates that he's
11	directly involved in the transfer of documents?
12	MR. GUZZO: He he prepared the documents
13	for shipment.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. All right.
15	Perhaps
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we take a break now?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
20	veuillez vous lever.
21	This hearing will resume in at 11:15 a.m
22	- Upon recessing at 11:13 a.m. /
23	L'audience est suspendue à 11h13
24	Upon resuming at 11:31 a.m. /
25	L'audience est reprise à 11h31

1	
2	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
3	veuillez vous lever.
4	This hearing is now resumed, please be
5	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
7	Mr. Engelmann?
8	GARRY GUZZO, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
9	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
10	ENGELMANN (Continued/Suite):
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
12	Mr. Guzzo, just before the break, you'd
13	indicated to us, you talked to us, about a couple of
14	meetings you'd had in November of 2000 and one of those
15	meetings occurred on November $22^{\rm nd}$, 2000, the same day you
16	had a meeting with Detective Inspector Pat Hall. Is that
17	correct?
18	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And this was
20	your first meeting with Detective Inspector Hall?
21	MR. GUZZO: It was.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any other
23	meetings with him, sir?
24	MR. GUZZO: No, I have not.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So this is the

1	one and only?
2	MR. GUZZO: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And do you
4	recall who else was in attendance at the meeting?
5	MR. GUZZO: There was a police officer from
6	headquarters by the name of Lewis, and I had arranged to
7	have one a lawyer sit in with me, who had to cancel at
8	the last minute, and I asked a colleague from Ottawa East
9	east end Ottawa, Brian Coburn to sit in.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And when you say colleague,
11	another MPP?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Where was the meeting held?
14	MR. GUZZO: In my office on the first floor
15	of the legislative building the second second floor
16	of the legislative building.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have a sense, sir, of
18	how long the meeting was?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well over an hour, but less than
20	two.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. We do have some
22	notes of Detective Inspector Hall and I don't think you've
23	seen them, sir, and I just want to show them to you if I
24	can.
25	So Document Number 727759; it's a cross

1	document. It's an excerpt of that document number, Bates
2	page 7110712 through 7110715.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. This is
4	Exhibit Number 1009 and these are excerpts of the notes of
5	Detective Inspector Hall. Is that right?
6	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. P-1009:
7	(727759 7110712-15) Detective Inspector
8	P. R. Hall handwritten notes dated 22
9	Nov 00 to 23 Nov 00
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, on the first page, next
11	to "10:50"
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes?
13	MR. ENGELMANN: it says:
14	"Meet with"
15	the word "with" comes twice:
16	" MPP Garry Guzzo on Project Truth
17	matters".
18	THE COMMISSIONER: You might be able to see
19	better on the screen, sir.
20	MR. GUZZO: Oh, yes, thank you.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: It doesn't help me, but
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it says:
23	"Interviewed any people he is aware
24	that he feels"
25	MR. ENGELMANN: I was talking about that

1	third word, sir, next to "10:50", but
2	THE COMMISSIONER: "Meet with"
3	MR. ENGELMANN: In any event, Mr. Guzzo,
4	question you thought more than one hour, probably less
5	than two.
6	It appears from his notes, in any event, the
7	meeting commenced around 10:50 and I'm looking at the third
8	page. It appears to end sometime just before 12:30 because
9	at 12:30 he has lunch with Superintendent Lewis. Does that
10	seem about right, sir?
11	MR. GUZZO: The time the time length
12	of time seems about right. I would have thought that we
13	didn't start to meet until 12:15 or 12:30, but I I could
14	be wrong. I could be wrong.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And do you
16	recall, sir, during the course of the meeting, whether or
17	not Detective Inspector Hall asked you if you had any names
18	of alleged victims that you could share with the OPP?
19	MR. GUZZO: We discussed we discussed
20	names of alleged victims. We discussed a couple that I
21	don't know whether I had seen them first or they came to me
22	after they had talked to to him, but we had discussed a
23	couple of people that we both had spoken with.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you confirmed
25	that some of the people you were speaking to were the same?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall if he
3	asked you if you could provide him with other names?
4	MR. GUZZO: He did.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And were you in a position
6	to do that, sir?
7	MR. GUZZO: I I didn't I was not in a
8	position to do that.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you did not
10	provide him with further names at this time?
11	MR. GUZZO: I did not. I don't I do not
12	my recollection is I did not.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall if
14	you talked to him about the fact that you had asked people
15	to go to police authorities?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes, and he was aware of that.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Because some of
18	those people had, in fact, come to see him?
19	MR. GUZZO: I believe he indicated that.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall,
21	sir, if you would have discussed well, you had been
22	asking a number of questions in the legislature about a
23	number of issues involving the police investigations. Is
24	that fair?
25	MR. GUZZO: Yes.

recollect.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you remember if you
2	would have discussed the whole Dunlop document issue with
3	Detective Inspector Hall?
4	MR. GUZZO: We certainly we certainly
5	talked about the delivery of the documents to him.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And the letter that he
7	had signed that had appeared in the newspaper?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that came up, of course.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And did some of your
10	concerns about how documents went from one ministry to
11	another or how documents got to Pat Hall, did those
12	questions and answers get resolved, sir, to your
13	satisfaction?
14	MR. GUZZO: No, not to my satisfaction.
15	There was an explanation.
16	It's interesting, most of the talking was
17	done Mr. Coburn had nothing to say.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
19	MR. GUZZO: Most of the discussion took
20	place between Detective Inspector Hall and myself.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
22	MR. GUZZO: But with regard to the delivery
23	of documents, Mr. Lewis and I don't know his title
24	Mr. Lewis intervened and and made some comments, as I

74

1	MR. ENGELMANN: What do you recall about
2	that part of the discussion?
3	MR. GUZZO: That the Detective Inspector
4	Hall was saying, and I believe honestly that, you know, a
5	lot of what Mr. Dunlop gave me at that time I did have a
6	fair amount of it but, you know, I asked him about,
7	"Well, you were quoted as saying you didn't have an awful
8	lot of it; all you had ever received you got nothing
9	from headquarters and all you received was some newspaper
10	clippings and some limited paper from Chief Fantino".
11	And as I recollect, he said, "Yeah, you
12	know, I may have expressed that". But he said, "In
13	thinking back, I did have some of the material even though
14	I signed the letter that indicates somewhat differently".
15	And the nobody nobody questioned that the material
16	was received in that manner. It was a question of how much
17	he had prior prior to the service upon him by Mr. Dunlop
18	and whoever.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: You mentioned the letter.
20	Did he express any regret or anything for having signed
21	that given his wording, or do you recall?
22	MR. GUZZO: I think I think I don't
23	want to put words in his mouth, but I think and I was left
24	with the impression that if he had to do it again he might
25	not have done it.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Was there any discussion
2	about your call with Wayne Frechette that you can remember?
3	MR. GUZZO: It certainly came up. It
4	certainly came up.
5	As to what was said, I don't know, but I
6	didn't leave that meeting thinking any differently about my
7	views and what Mr. Frechette had said to me.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And what about
9	your telephone conversation from Murray Segal. Did it come
10	up, do you know?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I think it probably did
12	because, you know, one with the other, it did. It did,
13	because excuse me I remember saying to Detective
14	Lewis when there was some question about, you know I
15	said, "How many members of the legislature have you called
16	this week at home?"
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
18	MR. GUZZO: I asked him. I said, "How many
19	members of the legislature have you called this week at
20	home?" And he kind of gave me a blank stare.
21	And I said, "Well then, let's talk turkey".
22	I said, "I've never had another call at my home, at my
23	office, my constituency office, any place, from a
24	commissioner of the OPP". I said, "This man",
25	indicating Detective Inspector Hall, " wants to talk

1	about a file or something and he contacts my office, that's
2	one thing but", I said, "calls at home, especially
3	when you're on vacation?" I said, "You do that maybe in an
4	emergency and why would you have an emergency with a back
5	bench member?"
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Did either of them give you
7	an explanation as to what
8	MR. GUZZO: No, of course not.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Did Detective Inspector
10	Hall, did he have a notebook with him? Was he taking notes
11	while you were talking, do you remember
12	MR. GUZZO: I don't
13	MR. ENGELMANN: something afterwards?
14	MR. GUZZO: I I don't recall that he did.
15	I don't recall that he did.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And what about the other
17	police officer?
18	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall, but he may have,
19	but I don't think Inspector Hall was was writing notes
20	at the time, but I I could be wrong.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So he and you were talking?
22	MR. GUZZO: We're talking. And it's
23	yeah.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: So nothing arising from this
25	interview answered questions vis-à-vis the Frechette call

1	or the Segal call? Is that what you're saying
2	MR. GUZZO: That's
3	MR. ENGELMANN: to your satisfaction?
4	MR. GUZZO: Well, yes, that's correct.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, did you
6	talk at all about the 1994 investigation, the press
7	release, and sort of the different results that he and his
8	team were arriving at?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, he he explained to me
10	that more information was available now and that was good
11	and, you know, that information or some of that information
12	had had not been available back in '94.
13	But we did discuss certain files that had
14	been available in '94 that were now the subject of of
15	litigation that but but he made the point that a lot
16	of it was new information.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So did that
18	satisfy you as to what happened in '94 compared to what was
19	happening in the late '90s?
20	MR. GUZZO: Not completely.
21	I asked him what it meant when Klancy
22	Grasman used the term "no stone left unturned". I mean
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall what his
24	response was?
25	MR. GUZZO: Well, I said, you know, "If what

1	you're saying is that these things hadn't hadn't cropped
2	up and you're not leaving any stone unturned, it questions
3	it brings to mind a question in my mind as to what is
4	meant and how thorough the turning of the stones that took
5	place".
6	MR. ENGELMANN: There is a very brief
7	reference to this in the notes on the second page about
8	just after the half-way mark.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you see this "Press
10	release of 24 December '94" and I think it says
11	"Circumstances"?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: "Info on how I learned
14	about"; I'm not sure, sir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Don't we have the written
16	note, the typed notes of it? Do we not have typed notes of
17	that?
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't have them available,
19	sir, right now. We will have them.
20	All right. So there's some discussion about
21	the press release, clearly?
22	MR. GUZZO: Yes, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you discuss Fort
24	Lauderdale at all?
25	MR. GUZZO: Yes, we we did.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm looking at the
2	bottom of this page, it says:
3	"Interview"
4	or,
5	"Interviews of Claude Shaver. Trip to
6	Fort Lauderdale. Efforts there."
7	MR. GUZZO: Interview with yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So did he talk to you about
9	efforts either that he or others made in investigating Fort
10	Lauderdale?
11	MR. GUZZO: I asked the only thing I
12	remember about the reference to Fort Lauderdale, I asked
13	him if he had been there; he said yes. I said, "Did you
14	get copies of the registration slips?" And he said, "Yes".
15	MR. ENGELMANN: He said he did?
16	MR. GUZZO: He said he did.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he show them to you?
18	MR. GUZZO: No, but I asked about certain
19	ones. He he patted his briefcase and said, "We have
20	them."
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And which certain ones did
22	you ask about, sir?
23	MR. GUZZO: I asked about at least two of
24	the people that I had seen and I asked about a Father
25	LaRocque.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	And it's Father Eugene LaRocque?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he indicate to you
5	whether he had registration slips for that individual?
6	MR. GUZZO: He did.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he actually show them to
8	you?
9	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you who he met
11	with who he met with in Florida? Do you recall?
12	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't believe he did. He
13	didn't mention Claude Shaver, I can tell you that.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry.
15	MR. GUZZO: He did not mention Claude Shaver
16	to me.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: His note indicates,
18	"Interview", I believe or "Interviews of Claude Shaver."
19	You don't recall that coming up in the conversation, sir?
20	MR. GUZZO: I distinctly do not.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, just a minute. We
22	may be reading a little too much in the notes. I mean,
23	they may the anyways, never mind. The note seems to
24	say, "Interview of Claude Shaver"; right? So the issue of
25	whether or not he was interviewed may have come up.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. Did you ask
2	him if he interviewed Claude Shaver?
3	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: That was a concern you had
5	expressed though, I believe in the legislature or in one or
6	more of your letters?
7	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I thought yes, I had.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And did you discuss
9	at all well, do you remember at all if I would like
10	you to look at the third page of the notes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Madam Clerk?
12	MR. ENGELMANN: About a third of the way
13	down.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: No, a third of the way.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: "Guzzo indicated" it's
16	just a little further down.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Right about there, Madam
18	Clerk, yeah.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: "That some of his
20	colleagues thought he was being hard a
21	little hard on the OPP. Said he
22	reviewed the video of his presentation
23	to the legislature"
24	If you could scroll down a little more.
25	"and agreed he was too critical."

1	MR. GUZZO: Too what? What's clinical
2	MR. ENGELMANN: I think the word is
3	"Critical".
4	MR. GUZZO: critical?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: "It was not his intent,
6	he was referring to CPS. He apologized
7	to the OPP for his critical comments
8	and misinformation."
9	MR. GUZZO: I recall the discussion that
10	they he pointed out a couple of issues to me where he
11	felt I was wrong and I concurred. And I told him why I was
12	concurring because members of the legislature had also come
13	to me. We have a member of the legislature who did not
14	return, but had been very close to me in the first House,
15	was married to an OPP officer in north of Barrie, north
16	of Orillia. And there was another member who had a child,
17	a daughter who was an OPP officer.
18	And I think they had spoken to me about my
19	comments and they both took issue with the contention that
20	when I suggested there appears that there is either an
21	incompetent investigation or a cover-up and both of those
22	individuals were very firm in telling me that it was not a
23	question of incompetence.
24	And I mentioned that to Detective Inspector
25	Hall. I said, "You know, I've had this back from" and I

1	named the people and, "You know, you may know who they are
2	because one is married" you know, and I think there was an
3	acknowledgement that they did, as I recollect. And I said,
4	"That's what they told me." And I said, "If that's the
5	case, I should apologize for using incompetence."
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, but the alternative
7	isn't that much better, it's
8	MR. GUZZO: Correct. Correct. And there is
9	no reaction from either Mr. Lewis or Mr. Hall.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	So would you characterize any part of what
12	you said to the officers as an apology then?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I would. I well, I
14	don't know, I told him if I'm wrong, and I have made
15	mistake, and they were other issues where they thought I
16	was not so much harsh, but that I was wrong and I told him
17	if I you know, I certainly if that's the case, I'm
18	sorry, but I'd want to check my source and check my facts
19	before I you know, but I would be prepared to apologize
20	if I was wrong.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	So, and don't take this the wrong way, but
23	it appears it's sort of the politician's apology, "If I was
24	wrong, then I'm sorry"?

MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I --

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that essentially what you
2	did?
3	MR. GUZZO: I guess that's what it what I
4	did
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	MR. GUZZO: but I you know, I remember
7	I remember the discussion on incompetence and cover-up.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	Now, did you ever discuss with him whether
10	or not there were other people, other individuals that they
11	would be charging?
12	MR. GUZZO: Well, I did. I asked about the
13	about a couple, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And who was that?
15	MR. GUZZO: I asked about Father LaRocque.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you what answer
17	did you get?
18	MR. GUZZO: I remember distinctly he said
19	Detective Inspector Hall said to me, "The well, the man
20	wasn't a bishop then." And I said, "Well, what difference
21	does that make?" And he said, "Well, you know, anything he
22	did or anything that happened down there would have to be
23	dealt with down there." And I said, "Well, I appreciate
24	that, but"
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Down where?

1	MR. GUZZO: Well, in Fort Lauderdale. And I
2	said, "What about what about the value of corroborating
3	evidence from down there? You know, I would think that
4	with what you've been told" and we talked about a
5	couple of allegations that have been made and the
6	corroborating evidence, I said, "Is there any intention?"
7	And he kind of looked at his associate and he said, "Well,
8	I you know, I don't know." I said, "Well, why not?"
9	And he said and this was very close to the last thing he
10	said to me before he left my office, "Don't play dumb with
11	me because I'll go and ask your Premier." And I said,
12	"Well, I already have, that's why I'm asking the questions
13	that I'm asking because everyplace I look, whether it's the
14	Cornwall Police, and Sergeant Lortie, and Deputy Chief St-
15	Denis saying the chain of command is broken. When I listen
16	to Mr. Frechette, he doesn't seem to know very much. Mr.
17	Segal is supposed to be the senior man in Prosecution's, he
18	hasn't seen the documentation. I go, first of all, to the
19	Cabinet Ministers who should know, they know nothing. And
20	then when they make inquiries and learn something, they
21	don't want to talk to me.
22	I said, "Everyplace I look, the chain of
23	command is broken." And now he says to me, "Don't play
24	dumb with me, go and ask your Premier."
25	In other words, he's telling me, a police

1	investigation is being quarterbacked from the Premier's
2	office.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: That was how you interpreted
4	the comment?
5	MR. GUZZO: That's how interpreted the
6	comment.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: The comment was from which
8	police officer?
9	MR. GUZZO: Inspector Hall.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you discuss with him any
11	other individual who might be charged or whether they would
12	be charged?
13	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall. I don't recall
14	specific another specific individual.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you discuss with him at
16	all the death of Malcolm MacDonald?
17	MR. GUZZO: Specifically, no. It may have
18	come up in the conversation, but I I don't recall
19	anything about specifics.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Just looking at the top of
21	that page, sir, about a quarter of the way down, it appears
22	to be a note saying, "Cause of death of Malcolm MacDonald".
23	There's nothing else on it, but do you have any
24	recollection of bringing this up or
25	MR. GUZZO: I don't I don't recall

1	discussing that specifically with him, but it could have
2	been discussed.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: You've got something else
4	there, a little further down:
5	"Discussed Leduc and agreement, not
6	charged. Bishop involvement and lawyer
7	Adams."
8	Do you have any recollection of talking to
9	them about the the settlement that we've found out about
10	here, the illegal settlement?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, the \$32,000 issue was
12	discussed was discussed and the denial that money was
13	paid and then the admission and then the denial that there
14	was a gag order, and then the disclosure of the gag order.
15	And the I think it came up in relation to Sergeant
16	Lorti's comments seen in the notes of Deputy Chief St-Denis
17	when he used the word "cover-up" for the first the first
18	time I had seen it.
19	He was it in terms of the church, a typical
20	Catholic Church cover-up or a typical cover-up by the
21	Catholic Church. And that we got when we got into
22	this is to how you know
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, sir, you were aware
24	were you not that Malcolm MacDonald had been charged with
25	attempt obstruct justice as a result of that settlement?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I was.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And were you discussing
3	issues about whether other people would be charged or why
4	they were not charged with respect to that settlement?
5	MR. GUZZO: Yes. That was that was the
6	nature of the of the discussion.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. You don't
8	remember the details?
9	MR. GUZZO: Well, I don't remember Mr. Hall
10	saying giving any explanation or getting into any, you
11	know I'm I don't know whether I raised the issue or
12	he did, but what we were looking and we're saying, you
13	know, these are the questions, the type of things that
14	aren't being answered and it's not making your job any
15	easier and it's not making mine any easier.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So at the end of
17	this meeting, he doesn't have new names of alleged victims
18	from you; correct?
19	MR. GUZZO: Not from that conversation.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Does he have is there any
21	any suggestion by him or by you that there would be
22	follow-up if names are available or
23	MR. GUZZO: No, we we're talking now
24	about, you know, so your Bill is is finished and, you
25	know, that's the end of it for you obviously, Mr. Guzzo,

1	and I we talk about the possibility of the Bill going
2	for third reading, et cetera, you know.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're you're telling
4	him that's not going to happen?
5	MR. GUZZO: I'm telling him that it's not
6	going to happen and, you know, so I don't think it's the
7	end of the line by any means.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you've had
9	some discussions about the Dunlop document issue and what
10	he received. You've had some discussion with him about the
11	Frechette phone call and possibly the Segal phone call.
12	You've had some discussion about the '94 OPP investigation
13	and different results. You've had some discussion with him
14	about investigations in Florida. You've had some
15	discussions with him about whether or not they would charge
16	certain individuals.
17	How did how did you feel about the
18	meeting after it ended? What was your impression, your
19	sense of what you had learned? Any impressions of Officer
20	Hall or others?
21	MR. GUZZO: Well, I had a very positive
22	impression with Hall and I had Detective Inspector Hall
23	and I had all along because I was of the I had formed
24	an opinion that he was working in a straitjacket. I mean,
25	when I read especially when I read his letter and when I

1	knew what felt I knew about the documents having been
2	sent to London, Ontario and not to headquarters and, you
3	know, if Mr. Frechette doesn't know doesn't appear to
4	know; I'm starting to have a lot of sympathy for this guy
5	on the streets of Cornwall trying to do his job. But
6	there's I haven't read these notes; I haven't seen them
7	before and I'd like to
8	MR. ENGELMANN: No.
9	MR. GUZZO: scan them. We had another
10	very important discussion.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?
12	MR. GUZZO: There was another issue
13	discussed.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you tell us about it,
15	sir?
16	MR. GUZZO: We discussed the issue of the
17	destruction of the films.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, the tapes?
19	MR. GUZZO: I don't know if he's got a note
20	of it here.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, he does.
22	MR. GUZZO: He does?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. I don't just a
24	second here.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Videotapes, just above

1	where, "Interview of Claude Shaver".
2	So probably down, Madam Clerk, page 2.
3	Yeah, that's right, second half, right there.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: There's a comment that says,
5	"Videotapes"
6	THE COMMISSIONER: "Videotapes, why
7	destroy?"
8	MR. ENGELMANN: "why destroy?"
9	THE COMMISSIONER: So what do you have to
10	say about that?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, I asked him about the
12	destruction of the videotapes and he his answer he
13	said to me, "Well, the man was dead. The man's dead. You
14	can't you can't prosecute a dead man. The evidence was
15	wasn't necessary."
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Who was he referring to?
17	MR. GUZZO: Mr Probation Officer Seguin.
18	And I said I asked him, "Well, I said, as
19	a matter of you know, should they not have been returned
20	to his Mr. Seguin's estate?" And he said well, we
21	didn't they weren't found there, they were you know,
22	and somebody else and I think I don't know whether he
23	mentioned Mr. Leroux or C-8 or whoever, but he he said,
24	"Well, we got them from this person and we got to quick
25	release signed by this person".

1	And I said, "Well, you know, we've agreed
2	that they belong to Ken Seguin, why would they not have
3	gone to get a release from his estate before you destroyed
4	them?" And he had no answer. He had no answer.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall anything else
6	about the videotapes or discussion of the videotapes at
7	that time?
8	MR. GUZZO: No. No, it came up, you know,
9	in a we covered a lot of miles in
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
11	MR. GUZZO: an hour-and-a-half and
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But the miles
13	that you did cover had the questions that you were posing
14	to some of your colleagues and-or in the legislature? Had
15	they been answered?
16	MR. GUZZO: Well, some, you know, some had.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
18	MR. GUZZO: Some had, but, you know, I still
19	had I still had some reservations serious
20	reservations.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	MR. GUZZO: But, you know, and I want to
23	make this clear, I found Detective Inspector Hall quite
24	open and and helpful and trying to be helpful and fair.
25	The only time, as I as I mentioned that he kind of he

1	didn't try and he wasn't about to defend the 67-week
2	differential and the you know, and the documents and
3	that, that's when the other gentleman took over.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: But you did have some
5	further information about what he and his team were doing
6	as a result of that meeting?
7	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, and I had some sympathy
8	for them. You know, there were other things we we
9	talked about, how how difficult the investigation was
10	and how hesitant people were, people who had gone through
11	some of the you know, true victims. Anybody who has
12	experienced the situation, to be able to come and and
13	deal with it is one thing, but to deal with it publicly is
14	quite another.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You talked about some of the
16	difficulties victims or alleged victims had in coming
17	forward?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes, and we talked about
19	specific victims that we had both or alleged victims,
20	but that we that each of us had we both had seen and
21	and the strength that they demonstrated in being able to
22	deal with it and the harm that it had done others who had
23	seemingly wasted their lives as a result of maybe other
24	things too, but because of this.

MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So let's take a

1	look at another letter. This is a letter you write to
2	Premier Harris, Document Number 125055.
3	It's a letter dated December 8 th , 2000, from
4	Mr. Guzzo to Premier Harris.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 1010
6	is a letter dated December $8^{\rm th}$, 2000, to the Honourable
7	Micheal Harris from Garry Guzzo.
8	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1010:
9	(125055) Letter from Garry Guzzo to the
10	Honourable Michael Harris dated
11	December 8 th , 2000.
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, is this I know
14	you haven't had the chance to read the whole thing, but
15	this is a letter you would have written?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is after Bill 103
18	receives second reading?
19	MR. GUZZO: By a vote of 45 to 3.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. You say in the letter,
21	first paragraph, you made it clear on the afternoon of
22	October 12 th when asked in the House with regards to this
23	piece of legislation that it was not your intention to
24	allow it to go forward?
25	MR. GUZZO: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	So why are you writing to him at this time?
3	MR. GUZZO: It's Christmas season and maybe
4	he's going to be in a charitable mood, I don't know. Maybe
5	I don't feel I'm going to send him a Christmas card, this
6	is I don't know, I just you know, I want to keep the
7	thing moving and I want to keep and it gives me an
8	opportunity to put forward some other information, I I
9	guess. Trying to
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	Is this a letter to him or perhaps a letter
12	to him and to a future inquiry or
13	MR. GUZZO: Well, it's just
14	MR. ENGELMANN: you talked that about
15	that earlier.
16	MR. GUZZO: it certainly meant to
17	document some some information. I'm I'm surprised
18	that I don't that I don't circulate it. So, I mean, it
19	doesn't it doesn't say that I sent it to
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
21	MR. GUZZO: the select committee and
22	judicial affairs or justice committee or the or anybody
23	else, and that surprises me. But it may be just an
24	oversight. It would have I would think it was probably
25	sent to the strong supporters that I had.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	Just a couple of questions then. Last
3	paragraph, first page, you say:
4	"It's true that the three probation
5	officers are now deceased, two of
6	them at their own hand, however,
7	corroborative evidence remains."
8	So the the two at their own hand are Ken
9	Seguin and Nelson Barque. Is the third probation officer,
10	is that the fellow you've mentioned in some correspondence
11	we just looked at earlier, Brian Dufour, or is that someone
12	else?
13	MR. GUZZO: No. No, no.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not saying he was a
15	probation officer, but
16	MR. GUZZO: No, I think I'm
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know who you're
18	referring to there?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, but I haven't got a name,
20	I'm sorry. But he's a it's a male probation officer in
21	the Cornwall area.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Who at the time of your
23	writing in December of 2000 was deceased?
24	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: That's that's as much as

1	you can tell us about the third individual?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes, and I I want to I
3	want to make it clear that I I think the man had been of
4	assistance to Sirrs in preparing the report. I don't think
5	I don't think I ever heard him mentioned as an alleged
6	perpetrator.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MR. GUZZO: So
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MR. GUZZO: You know, when I read that now,
11	you know, like, I'm afraid somebody might if I could
12	remember the name, might think that, and I that I
13	think he assisted Sirrs in doing the report.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	So you're not suggesting
16	MR. GUZZO: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: by that that there were
18	three alleged perpetrators?
19	MR. GUZZO: No. No.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Just the two?
21	All right.
22	And in your last paragraph you seem to be
23	referring to other inquiries like the Krever Inquiry and
24	others in suggesting a course of action to Mr. Harris?
25	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I I'm jabbing him in

1	the ribs here a bit.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
3	THE COMMISSIONER: He's jabbing him in the
4	ribs a little bit.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. Fair enough.
6	Okay.
7	In your notes, sir, C-848C, the page we were
8	on before, I believe it's page 5, you have a reference to
9	April $1^{\rm st}$, the bottom of the page. The bottom of that page.
10	MR. GUZZO: Yes, right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you can you tell us -
12	- can you read the note to us, sir?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I think it's April '01.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
15	MR. GUZZO: I think it's April '01,
16	"Bank of Montreal, Bay Street.
17	Lawyer in the Department of the
18	Attorney General introduces himself;
19	doesn't give name."
20	I don't think a complete name, but I think
21	he may have given a first name.
22	"Said we are dragging our feet on the
23	Cornwall prosecutions. Why? I asked
24	why and he says, the same reason the
25	OPP found no one to charge in the

1	first investigation."
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Does it continue?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that it, sir?
4	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm I'm
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Was that it for the note?
6	MR. GUZZO: That's it for the note.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you tell us a little bit
10	more about that encounter?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, it's he's ahead of me
12	in the queue, and he waits until I finish doing my banking,
13	and I'm on my way out, and it's I recollect it being
14	lunchtime and I'm on duty for question period, so I'm in a
15	bit of a rush, and he just stops and says to me, you know,
16	"I'm aware of your Bill; I'm aware of you're what
17	you're doing." Encourages you know, he's encouraging.
18	He said, "You know, there's a lot of support in our
19	department for what you're doing and this, that and the
20	other thing, and by the way", you know, like, we're going
21	to blow those prosecutions down there because we're
22	dragging our feet on them.
23	And I said, "Well, in particular which
24	ones?" And he told me, and he said and it was you
25	know, I said, "Well, why would we be doing that?" And he

1	kind of gave me ayou know, like, "Don't you know
2	better than I do", you know. And I thanked him. I thanked
3	him and made a note of it when I got back to the House.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he did you discuss
5	which prosecutions those were?
6	MR. GUZZO: Well, I asked him what he was
7	talking about and he mentioned definitely mentioned
8	Leduc. I don't know whether he mentioned MacDonald, but I
9	always put them in the same category. So when I took
10	action, you know, when I got back and thought about it and
11	raised it in Caucus I raised the two of them together
12	because they were both dragging. At that point in time
13	they both
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Dragging as in the time
15	before the courts?
16	MR. GUZZO: Right they were they were
17	both over Askov's the Askov limit at that time, well
18	over the Askov limit at I think the Askov limit was 18
19	to 22 months. So they're both over 22 months, I think, at
20	that time.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: What what did this mean
22	to you,
23	"Same reason OPP found no one to
24	charge in the first investigation"?
25	MR. GUZZO: Well, I put a lot of different

1	interpretations to it. You know, by this time I'm quite
2	disillusioned starting to be disillusioned with my
3	government, my of which I'm a part, and I'm having some
4	I'm having some doubts as to how effective we're
5	governing if we're not following procedures better than it
6	appears that we're following them.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And you said you talked to
8	your Caucus about this information or some of this
9	information, sir?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, you know, I go to the
11	to the AG. I have spoken to the AG about these things,
12	about the fact that there are delays and I think at some
13	point in time, not immediately thereafter, but at some
14	point in time, we have a change I've raised it with
15	with Mr well, Mr. Flaherty's the Attorney General, but
16	
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm looking at the next page
18	of your notes. It says:
19	"Spring '01, turn up heat on AG in
20	caucus re Leduc re MacDonald."
21	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. I'm asking the you
22	know, why these are not proceeding; why these are are
23	what's the hold-up?
24	And I'm being told, "Well, it's all the
25	defence. The defence is dragging it. We're anxious to go.

1	We're ready to go but the defence all the delays are at
2	the caused by the defence, so don't worry about Askov;
3	there'll never be an Askov situation on these".

And at some point in time when the new
Attorney General takes over, a little later, Mr. Young, who
comes from the back bench into the position, who is newly
elected in '99 and is very interested in my Private Members
Bill and very supportive of what I'm doing, et cetera, all
of a sudden he's the Attorney General and he -- so I'm on
him right away about the Bill and everything, and he's
saying, "Yeah, I'm going to help you with this", and does a
180 degrees shortly thereafter.

But when this thing comes up and I lean on him about the delays on the prosecutions and I say, "I'm going to raise it at caucus next week or the week after; put it on the agenda", he comes in with the newly minted deputy minister. We've just lost our deputy minister and they've taken a fellow from Bay Street, Mark -- Mr. Mark Friedman(sic), Friesan(sic), Friedman(sic)? And he is serving -- I think he served on an interim basis for six or eight months as the deputy minister -- and Mr. Young doesn't want to deal with it.

He has him in there to deal with the issue of the possibility of Leduc and MacDonald being Askov'd and we have a complete debate on it, in caucus, with the

1	assistant deputy minister, which is very rare unless you're
2	bringing in a piece of colossal legislation that, you know
3	would the deputy minister be into the in caucus, and
4	he's explaining.
5	And, quite frankly, I don't get into the
6	a couple of the other lawyers, there's a labour lawyer in -
7	- up around Barrie, a fellow by the name of Tascona, and
8	_
9	MR. ENGELMANN: You're just speaking from
10	memory here; this isn't in your notes?
11	MR. GUZZO: This isn't in the notes
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
13	MR. GUZZO: but I mean it's it's
14	vivid because Tascona takes the lead in, you know, in
15	questioning
16	MR. ENGELMANN: The deputy minister?
17	MR. GUZZO: the deputy minister about,
18	you know, "I don't care who's causing it. I don't care
19	who's causing it. People are going to die, witnesses are
20	dying every day, you know, like get the thing on. What
21	could be holding this thing up?"
22	And it was Tascona and Bob Wood, not Garry
23	Guzzo, that led the attack.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is sometime in the
25	spring of 2001, sir?

1	MR. GUZZO: Well
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Or do you remember when?
3	MR. GUZZO: No. The note here I'm talking
4	about, I'm asking some questions; I'm not getting any
5	answers.
6	And then as to I don't know whether I
7	have a note as to when I brought it up, but I have a in
8	the in the material there was a letter from this from
9	the deputy minister, this particular deputy minister
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Mark Freiman?
11	MR. GUZZO: Right, right. Is it Mark, did
12	you say?
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I think so.
14	MR. GUZZO: Right, yeah.
15	And it was right around that time, right
16	after that meeting he sent me a letter on something else
17	saying, "Be careful, you know, this issue was on the fringe
18	of what came up at the you know, and it's a matter that
19	is subject to a judicial fiat with regard to disclosure".
20	So
21	THE COMMISSIONER: So you're saying Frieman
22	sent you a letter?
23	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: And he says, "Be careful
25	of"?

1	MR. GUZZO: I think he he's it's right
2	after the
3	THE COMMISSIONER: The caucus meeting.
4	MR. GUZZO: the caucus meeting and
5	another another issue has come up other than Leduc
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
7	MR. GUZZO: trial and he's just sending
8	me he said, "Remember that Mr. Justice so-and-so has
9	ruled that this is a matter of confidence".
10	THE COMMISSIONER: A matter of
11	MR. GUZZO: Confidence? Like it's what's
12	the term? Not to it's not to be disclosed.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Privilege?
14	MR. GUZZO: Privilege issue in it's in
15	another trial that's being conducted and there's a non-
16	disclosure issue.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Like a publication ban or
18	something like that?
19	MR. GUZZO: Publication ban or something
20	like that, yeah.
21	So he said you know, just a helpful I
22	think a helpful reminder saying, "Maybe you don't know",
23	you know
24	MR. ENGELMANN: We're going to come to some
25	correspondence you have with Mr. Young and how he refers

1	you to a Mr. James Stewart, and that's later on in 2001.
2	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Might that be the timeframe
4	
5	MR. GUZZO: No.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: or do you recall?
7	MR. GUZZO: No.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. In any event,
9	sir, in the spring of 2001, there's a new Bill, Bill 48,
10	that you propose?
11	MR. GUZZO: Right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And in or around May, I
13	understand, it's carried, the first reading?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I believe so.
15	What has happened is the Mr. Harris has
16	pirogued the House and come back with a new with a new
17	legislative format, a new Speech from the Throne, so we
18	have my Bill died. The bill that had received the two
19	readings has now died and so I throw it back on the order
20	paper.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And you speak to the new
22	Bill a number of times during the months of May and June
23	after that. Is that correct?
24	MR. GUZZO: I believe I did.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And is it true that it's

1	about this time when you also threatened to name names in
2	the legislature? Names of alleged perpetrators?
3	MR. GUZZO: I would have thought it was a
4	little later, but it could have been around that time as
5	yes. No, you're right, you're right, it was the second
6	Bill. I was thinking it was probably the third Bill, but
7	it was the second Bill when I made that error, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, when you
9	MR. GUZZO: When I made that error. That
10	wasn't the smartest thing I ever did.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
12	MR. GUZZO: That wasn't the smartest thing I
13	ever did.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: The threatening to name
15	names?
16	MR. GUZZO: Well, it was you know, I
17	mentioned it in caucus off the top of my head one time and
18	without thinking about it, and immediately two of the
19	individuals, one of them being Tascona, who was a labour
20	lawyer and the other being Bob Wood from London, Ontario,
21	who were very helpful throughout this thing, very
22	supportive and knowledgeable, they they immediately came
23	to me that afternoon and said, you know, "You would never
24	do that, I hope", and I "because we couldn't support
25	you". And I said, "No, no, I appreciate that".

1	But when I mentioned it, for some reason I
2	mentioned two names I think, or three names, from the Fort
3	Lauderdale list and one of the names I mentioned was that
4	fourth name that has never come up. I don't know why it
5	was there, but it was in my mind, that fourth name on the
6	list of the ones I had seen.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: This is one that has been
8	given a moniker now, sir?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes, we have. It's I think
10	we have.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: It should be right near the
12	bottom if we did.
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes, the 46 C-46.
14	And as I I'm not sure of the spelling, as
15	I told you, but I have never other than seeing it there,
16	I've never heard that name from anybody or anything, but I
17	mention it and the Premier goes nuts. The Premier got
18	very, very, very upset and virtually, you know, and accused
19	me of trying to embarrass people deliberately and, you
20	know, over this one person, who who's unknown to me.
21	And I I think at the time, "Well, there's
22	got to be a mistake or something like that", but I I
23	have I talked to, I think, Mr. Runciman's; by this time
24	he's back in the Solicitor General's portfolio. And I have
25	a chat with him and I told him almost immediately that I

1	wasn't going to do anything. I mean, I don't intend to,
2	but the thing the thing comes out of caucus and it's out
3	of control. It's spinning and I don't I don't deny it.
4	And I
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Is one of those names that
6	you mention in caucus get out to the press?
7	MR. GUZZO: Oh, I think a couple of them
8	did. A couple of them did. And
9	MR. ENGELMANN: You got served with a Notice
10	of Liable as a result on one of them?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, yeah, after the I did.
12	Three weeks after the House two weeks after the House
13	shut down, yeah.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: After the House shut down?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	MR. GUZZO: And after I didn't after I
18	didn't do it, but anyway, the I'm tweeding
19	the twiking the Premier's nose because I know that there
20	is something with this name and that is irritating him
21	and I'm trying to negotiate I'm trying to negotiate with
22	him by you know, so
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, let me just ask you a
24	couple of questions. You threaten to name names and you
25	threaten this in the caucus?

l	MR. GUZZO: I did.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And you said the Premier was
3	very upset about that not about the naming of names, but
4	the naming of one particular name as I understand you?
5	MR. GUZZO: Right. Right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you ever name names
7	in the House that spring?
8	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And these would have been
10	names of alleged perpetrators?
11	MR. GUZZO: Right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever intend to?
13	MR. GUZZO: No, I really did not.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Why did you threaten to do
15	it, sir?
16	MR. GUZZO: Well, I came off the top of my
17	head in a heated discussion. The question I can answer is,
18	why I didn't let it drop. And I didn't let it drop because
19	I knew I had Harris on the run Mr. Harris, the Premier -
20	- on the run over this, whatever it was that triggered him.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: In fact, not only did you
22	not let it drop, didn't you give it some leg, sir? Weren't
23	there a number of stories about this?
24	MR. GUZZO: I guess I'm guilty. Yes, I
25	think that's fair. I think that's fair.

PUBLIC HEARING		
AUDIENCE	PUBLIQUE	

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, just before we get
2	there though, I just wanted to you had there were a
3	number of discussions in the House and I just on your
4	timing, I think you may be wrong about when you received
5	this notice, but let's take a look at this. If we could
6	look at Tab 125 sorry, document number 125449? And this
7	appears to be, if I'm reading it correctly, Hansard from
8	June 27 th , 2001.
9	And, sir, I understand that you've spoken to
10	this Bill four or five times before then in late May and
11	then mid June. So it would appear the House is still
12	sitting?
13	MR. GUZZO: I guess it is. It's
14	usually
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's in late June?
16	MR. GUZZO: It usually shuts down between
17	the around the third week of June, but, yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: So Exhibit 1011 is an
20	excerpt from the Hansard; is that right?
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: And it's the 27 th of June,
23	2001.
24	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1011:
25	(125449) Excerpt of Hansard Transcript

1	dated 27 Jun 01
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So we'll go on for
3	another five minutes, Mr. Engelmann
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: and then we'll take
6	lunch.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, there's no
8	discussion here about naming alleged perpetrators, but
9	there is something that I want to there is a discussion
10	about pornographic movies. And you'll see see that in
11	the very first paragraph. You talk about a seizure of a
12	suitcase containing 24 or more pornographic movies. Do you
13	see that in the very first paragraph?
14	MR. GUZZO: Right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And you say this evidence,
16	these films have been in the hands of the OPP for over six
17	years. Do you see that?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, at this point in time,
20	sir, you know, do you not, that these have been destroyed?
21	MR. GUZZO: Do I know that they've been
22	destroyed?
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
24	MR. GUZZO: I've been told by by
25	Detective Inspector Hall and someone else both, yes, that

1	they had been.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	You don't mention that here; do you?
4	MR. GUZZO: No. No, because well, go
5	ahead?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, sorry. You talk about
7	it a little further down on the page. I apologize.
8	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I you refer to a
10	discussion you had with Detective Inspector Hall?
11	MR. GUZZO: Right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, do you just want
13	to have a look at that? Sir, I think you're repeating a
14	little bit about what you told us about your conversation
15	with Detective Inspector Hall, the bottom of the page?
16	MR. GUZZO: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: What I'm curious about are a
18	couple of things. On the second page, you say:
19	"But here's the good news, copies of
20	some of those movies have been found.
21	My question to you, is: What should we
22	do with those? The citizen's group has
23	them, what should they do? The Premier
24	has said, "Give them to the OPP." But
25	the citizen's group says, "They may

1	destroy these ones too." They've been
2	very expensive. What would you
3	recommend that these people do with the
4	copies of these films that they have
5	found, sir?"
6	And then the Mr. Turnbull, who is at that
7	point the Solicitor General; is that correct?
8	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: He says:
10	"Any evidence that is in the hand of
11	citizens should be handed over to the
12	OPP. It's very clear that the
13	integrity of the justice system rests
14	on our ability to proceed without
15	inference, et cetera."
16	So I just want to understand. You've talked
17	about some movies that were seized or some films. You
18	talked about what Detective Inspector Hall told you that
19	they'd been destroyed and now you say:
20	"There is some good news, copies of
21	some of those movies"
22	So let me just ask you this. You're
23	suggesting in the House that some of those 24 movies are
24	still available and were not destroyed?
25	MR. GUZZO: I at least one.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
2	MR. GUZZO: At least one one copy is in
3	existence?
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And how did you know that on June $27^{\rm th}$, 2001,
6	sir? Who told you that or how did you know?
7	MR. GUZZO: Let me just preface what you're
8	saying is, I've gone to the new Solicitor General; Mr.
9	Turnbull has taken over.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
11	MR. GUZZO: Went down to him and I've raised
12	this issue about the films and he tells me that they the
13	films they have the films, that they haven't been
14	destroyed. And I said, "Are you sure, David?" "Well,
15	yes", and he comes back a few days later and he said, "I
16	talked to so and so and those films were not destroyed,
17	contrary to what Hall has told me some time before." This
18	is what the senior Cabinet Minister responsible is telling
19	me. So I said, "Well, I want to put it on the record in
20	the House."
21	Now, I have
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So I just Mr. Guzzo, I'm
23	just trying to get a time here. So you're saying this
24	presumably happened some time before June 27 th , 2001, your
25	discussion with David Turnbull?

I	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, he I think when I'm
2	engaging him here, I think he's just become he's just
3	become Solicitor General shortly before.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	MR. GUZZO: So I raise the issue of
6	the of the films with him and he comes back to me and he
7	said, "No, you're wrong, the films were not destroyed."
8	And I said, "David you are you certain of that because
9	I'm, you know" and he comes back and said, "Yeah, I've
10	reaffirmed that." So I said, "Well, I'm going to put a
11	question in the House and you can answer it then?"
12	MR. ENGELMANN: So that's the context behind
13	
14	MR. GUZZO: That's why I do it. Now, with
15	regard to your question, you know, the issue I have I
16	have a person, alleged victim attend upon me and more
17	than at least two have spoken to me about films. I
18	haven't got a very good recollection of what it is, but
19	this one person says to me tells me he has a copy. I
20	said, "I would like to see it, you know."
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, this is a -
22	- is this is a person that you've already mentioned with a
23	moniker, sir?
24	MR. GUZZO: It is.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you tell us what number

1	that would be?
2	MR. GUZZO: C-39.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I just see the list?
4	I have a couple of more questions in this
5	regard, sir; is it appropriate or do you want to break now?
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's break.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: My intention is to check
9	on the weather.
10	If the weather is still stormy, that I don't
11	want to send people home in the dark, so I would suggest
12	that we rise at 3:30 this afternoon.
13	So let me know how that works with everyone
14	else.
15	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
16	veuillez vous lever.
17	The hearing will resume at 2:00 p.m.
18	Upon recessing at 12:37 p.m./
19	L'audience est suspendue à 12h37
20	Upon resuming at 2:04 p.m./
21	L'audience est reprise à 14h04
22	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed;

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann.

please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.

GARRY GUZZO, Resumed/Sous le même serment

23

1	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
2	<pre>ENGELMANN (Cont'd/suite):</pre>
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, sir.
4	Good afternoon, Mr. Guzzo.
5	MR. GUZZO: Good afternoon.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: When we left off, we were
7	talking about one second some comments in thank
8	you Exhibit 1111 (sic); eleven hundred and eleven (sic).
9	I'm sorry?
10	THE REGISTRAR: 1011.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: 1011.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Oops. I jumped a hundred.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: So we're in the Hansard?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: That's right. We were
15	looking at the Hansard and we had talked we were talking
16	about films or videotapes; do you recall that
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes, sir.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And you had reported on some
21	good news, and you had also reported on the fact that some
22	videotapes had been destroyed, and that had been confirmed
23	or, at least, that is what you had been told by
24	Detective Inspector Hall?
25	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: You told us that you'd heard
2	something else from your cabinet colleague
3	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Turnbull?
5	And I believe you told us that C-39 had told
6	you about the existence of at least one tape?
7	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Now you had met with C-39 -
9	
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Have you ever seen this
11	tape, sir? Like not necessarily the playing of it, but
12	have you ever seen this cassette?
13	MR. GUZZO: It's not a cassette. It's the
14	old 8-millimetre
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, really.
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, okay, sorry. All right.
18	So did you see that?
19	MR. GUZZO: I saw some of it, yes. Part of
20	it.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, just I'll go there
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: if I can.
24	Well, sir, you met with C-39, according to
25	your notes, in March of 1998; I'm looking at

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think that's
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
3	MR. GUZZO: that's correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And at that time you told us
5	he was the second of the two individuals.
6	I'm looking at page it's a Roman numeral
7	page; it's either a v, vi or vii. It starts with "March
8	'98" at the top.
9	"Meet two victims in Ottawa. One
10	hurting."
11	Then underneath that you said:
12	"One hurting but has details re Barque.
13	'Police laughed at me.' Civil suit?
14	Don't want money. Common report."
15	MR. GUZZO: M'hm.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And you gave us C-39's name,
17	at that point; correct?
18	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And would you have seen him
20	more than once, sir? In other words, this is the first
21	time you saw him?
22	MR. GUZZO: This is the first time I saw
23	him; yes it is.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And would you have seen him
25	subsequent to this, as well?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I did.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	And do you know when it is that he would
4	have indicated to you that he had a tape?
5	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall any discussion
6	with regard to tapes with this with this individual, at
7	the first meeting.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	So you would have met with him on another
10	occasion; is that fair?
11	MR. GUZZO: He he showed up at my office
12	over a year later at my constituency office.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	And do you know how many years later, sir?
15	MR. GUZZO: I know it was I believe May
16	of '99.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	Well, when is it you actually and he
19	showed you the tape?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry. He's not saying
21	it's a tape now; he's saying it's an 8-millimetre film.
22	MR. GUZZO: Sorry. Film.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, it might become
24	important at some point.

122

MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

1	You said he showed you a film at some point
2	in time?
3	MR. GUZZO: He has a film with him.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	When would that have been?
6	MR. GUZZO: That was that was in May of -
7	- of '99.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: The reason I'm asking you,
9	sir, and I'm going to come to this letter a bit later;
10	maybe we'll go there right now document number 701008.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you check on that?
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	Exhibit number 1022 is the letter dated July
15	25th, 2001, to Detective Inspector Pat Hall from Garry
16	Guzzo.
17	MR. GUZZO: Right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: One thousand and twelve
19	(1012), sir?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Twenty-two (22).
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Twenty-two (22)?
22	LE COMMISSAIRE: Pardon.
23	THE REGISTRAR: Ten-twelve (1012)
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Ten-twenty you put ten
25	twenty-two (1022).

1	THE REGISTRAR: Oh, no. It's 1012.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, ten twelve (1012).
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm calling it out of order.
4	I see where
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Ten-twelve (1012) it is.
6	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO 1012:
7	(701008) Letter from Garry Guzzo to
8	Detective Inspector Hall dated July 25,
9	2001
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So this is a letter that you
11	write to Pat Hall?
12	MR. GUZZO: Right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And in it you're responding
14	to a letter that he writes to you?
15	MR. GUZZO: I I am, yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And you say among other
17	things:
18	"I advise that I do not have copies of
19	these films nor any films nor have I
20	seen same but they've been described to
21	me as commercially-purchased copies of
22	films which were in the possession of
23	the individual from whom some materials
24	were taken some time ago."
25	So I have a couple of questions.

1	I'm assuming you're referring to Well,
2	maybe we should have the letter from Detective Inspector
3	Hall so we know for sure.
4	Just give me a moment.
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Document number 701019.
7	It's a letter from Detective Inspector Hall to Mr. Guzzo,
8	dated July 18th, 2001.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit number 1013; it's
10	the letter dated July 18th, 2001, addressed to Mr. Guzzo
11	from Mr. Pat Hall.
12	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO 1013:
13	(701019) Letter from Detective
14	Inspector Hall to Garry Guzzo dated
15	July 18, 2001
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So what happens here, Mr.
17	Guzzo, is Detective Inspector Hall is picking up on the
18	transcript that we looked at in 1011, Exhibit number, and
19	he's telling you at the end:
20	"We are interested in determining who
21	has these movies or knowledge of these
22	movies you refer and how we may be
23	provided a copy in order to conclude
24	our investigations."
25	And this is that same transcript where

1	you're saying, well, here's the good news, copies of some
2	of these movies have been found.
3	So we're in the summer of 2001 and so I
4	just I just want to situate ourselves. When and you
5	believe that sometime in 1999, C-39 would have shown you a
6	copy of a film a film?
7	MR. GUZZO: I think I think that the I
8	have it in my mind that it's in the run-up to the election
9	of '99.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	MR. GUZZO: At at a busy time when he
12	when he shows up with it. I think it's I think it's at
13	that time.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So tell us the
15	circumstances when C-39 shows you that he has a film?
16	MR. GUZZO: I'm in a meeting; we're having a
17	large meeting at the constituency office starting around
18	6:00 or 6:30. I'm going overtime with a meeting that's
19	running past six o'clock. They're coming in to break this
20	one up to go to this other meeting where a number of people
21	are are coming in for a sandwich, lunch, at dinner time.
22	And I come out and C-39 is sitting there and
23	I said to whoever was running the office at that time,
24	what's this about? And he said, "Well, he's been here for
25	a while, but he didn't have an appointment and, you know, I

1	told him and …" So I said, "Well, I'm going to give him
2	five or ten bring him in", you know.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah?
4	MR. GUZZO: And he's got this film and he's
5	got this projector type of thing that doesn't work properly
6	and he wants to show me this film.
7	And he puts the thing together and it's
8	taking a long period of time. He wants somebody comes
9	to the door, he said, I want I want the door locked, you
10	know, and I said fine, fine. And he gets it and he starts
11	with this film and I I mean, I may have watched three or
12	four minutes of it at most, but he wanted me to see it and
13	I held the other things up to accommodate him.
14	And when, you know, I would have the
15	letter of July 25, '01
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?
17	MR. GUZZO: I would have dictated that.
18	I didn't sign it, but I would have dictated it. So I
19	you know, but it's it's not accurate. When I do not
20	have copies, when I nor have I seen nor have I seen
21	same. If I put that into the dictation then it's my
22	mistake and but I my recollection is that the date in
23	question was, you know, and I had I had seen a small
24	clip from this from this film.

MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you know if

1	the film you saw do you have any way of knowing whether
2	the film you saw was part of a collection that was
3	destroyed, or allegedly destroyed, after it had been seized
4	from I'm just trying to remember
5	MR. GUZZO: Well, the man identified himself
6	in the film.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
8	MR. GUZZO: In the film, and he said
9	MR. ENGELMANN: The man identified himself
10	as being one of the people in the film?
11	MR. GUZZO: In the film.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And is there
13	anybody else in the film?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yes, there was one other male
15	person.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?
17	MR. GUZZO: And he he told me where he
18	thought it had come from as far as he was concerned, and
19	
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, had he had he
21	had he made the film, sir?
22	MR. GUZZO: No. No.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did he tell you
24	who had made the film?
25	MR. GUZZO: Yes, he did. He

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Who did he say had made the
2	film?
3	MR. GUZZO: He identified the the person
4	the other person in the in the film.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: As?
6	MR. GUZZO: And he identified
7	THE COMMISSIONER: As
8	MR. GUZZO: As does he have a moniker,
9	Mr
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't know, take a look at
11	the list.
12	MR. GUZZO: No, Ken Seguin.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And did you know
14	well, did did you know Mr. Seguin?
15	MR. GUZZO: Well, I had certainly heard the
16	name by that time, but
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. You didn't know him
18	personally?
19	MR. GUZZO: I I don't recall. I don't
20	recall having contact any contact with him in my days in
21	the on the Bench.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: So what what were they
24	doing on the film?
25	MR. GUZZO: They were engaged in a sex act.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And so this fellow had a
3	copy of the film?
4	MR. GUZZO: He did.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: He said it was taken by Mr.
6	Seguin and who was the other person in the film?
7	MR. GUZZO: He did.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he say how it was he
9	came to be in possession of a copy of the film?
10	MR. GUZZO: The description I have is that
11	he and another chap were trying to get money from Mr.
12	Seguin at some point in time when they were engaged in
13	in the the legal system. He had been, I think, a
14	probation on probation under Seguin and they were trying
15	to get money. And they received these films he thought
16	he was of the opinion, but I don't know how he knew it,
17	but it was his opinion that they had come from Malcolm
18	MacDonald with a threat that they would become public
19	documents if they didn't stop trying to shake down Ken
20	Seguin. And he he was admitting that he had been trying
21	to get money from Seguin at that time.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Is this a black and white
23	film?
24	MR. GUZZO: It is.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And did he leave

1	a copy of that film with you, sir?
2	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you encourage this
4	individual to provide the film to the police?
5	MR. GUZZO: I told him that he should make a
6	copy, first and foremost, make a make a copy of it and
7	take it to the police, and he resisted the suggestion of
8	the Cornwall Police. And I told him that as long as he had
9	a copy, you know, there wouldn't be any problem. And we
10	then had a short discussion about Project Truth and and
11	Detective Inspector Hall.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, this same individual,
13	when he saw you back in March of '98, he hadn't mentioned
14	Mr. Seguin had he? He just mentioned Mr. Barque if I'm
15	reading your notes correctly?
16	MR. GUZZO: I don't think he did. I don't
17	think he did mention Seguin at that time, but I think there
18	were he I don't I was I was surprised, I think,
19	you know, when I saw when he said Seguin, you know.
20	I mean, there was nothing that I saw in the
21	film that would allow me to say definitely that he was the
22	person in it other than the fact he claimed to be and that
23	the other person, you know, there were no identifiable
24	no pictures that that showed identification.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Could you see either of the

1	iaces of the
2	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: two individuals?
4	MR. GUZZO: I did not.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: That wasn't evident from the
6	film?
7	MR. GUZZO: Not the part I saw.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: But it was clear to you that
9	there were two individuals two male individuals?
10	MR. GUZZO: Two male individuals.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Engaged in a sexual act?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And you mentioned something
14	about there might have been someone else; did I hear that
15	correctly?
16	MR. GUZZO: Well well, he told me at that
17	time that there was another chap. They both had received
18	copies of of films. I assumed, but I don't know that I
19	questioned, was was he engaged in, you know, with and
20	with Mr. Seguin.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	MR. GUZZO: But I don't know whether I I
23	questioned that, but I just took the you know.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And is that an individual
25	that you ever met with, sir?

1	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know, sir, if C-39
3	was a member of a citizens' group?
4	MR. GUZZO: I believe he was. I believe he
5	had originally been referred to me by somebody in that
6	in the citizen's group.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if anybody else
8	knew of the existence of this particular tape, sir?
9	MR. GUZZO: I can't say.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Or film, I'm sorry.
11	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Sir, I mentioned
14	earlier that as a result of threats that you made
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Threats?
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Threats to name names.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: in the legislature and
19	you told us that I don't know if it was in hindsight,
20	but that that might have been a mistake on your part?
21	MR. GUZZO: Right. I said that and I
22	believe it, yeah.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And there was media coverage
24	of that for a least a couple of weeks in the spring or late
25	spring of 2001; correct?

1	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And, as well, you were
3	served of a Notice of Libel?
4	MR. GUZZO: I believe I was, yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Document 126168, please.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1014 is a Notice
7	of Libel to Garry Guzzo from David W. Scott David
8	Sherriff-Scott, a date of solicitors for Bishop
9	LaRocque.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1014:
11	(126168) Garry Guzzo Notice of Libel
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, this is a document
13	dated June $28^{\rm th}$, 2001. I'm just looking at the last page.
14	Do you recall when you would have been served with this?
15	MR. GUZZO: I had the the session is
16	over, I'm back in Ottawa, but it's I have in my mind
17	it's late June or early July.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, are you sure that this
19	happened in Ottawa? Might you have been served at the
20	legislative building in the province of Ontario, in
21	Toronto?
22	MR. GUZZO: I might have. I remember being
23	served one in late June or early July of one year. I don't
24	mean to suggest I had that many, but I it's possible
25	that I was served with this one in the legislative

1	building, yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And there's
3	I don't want to go into it in any detail, but pages 12
4	and 13, in citing a couple of articles from newspapers, on
5	page 12 under paragraph 13 there's a reference to a portion
6	of an article that says:
7	"Guzzo said he's upset, that his
8	questions and LaRocque's name
9	were leaked to the media saying
10	it 'underlines efforts to
11	avoid having an inquiry'."
12	Do you see that? It's on the screen; the
13	indented paragraph?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And on the following page,
16	same date, May 29^{th} edition, this time <u>The National Post</u> ,
17	second paragraph:
18	"CBC Radio yesterday named the senior
19	religious figure in Cornwall as one of
20	four people who've been investigated by
21	police during their inquiry into the
22	sexual abuse of minors in the eastern
23	Ontario town.
24	And then the final paragraph:
25	"The name was leaked to the press and

1	it was done for a purpose, said Mr.
2	Guzzo. I don't know who else"
3	And then it says:
4	"other than his Tory colleagues had
5	the material."
6	So I think you told us about this earlier,
7	that after a discussion in caucus where you talked about
8	this, someone leaked the name of one of these four
9	individuals?
10	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was the name of
12	Eugene LaRocque?
13	MR. GUZZO: It was.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And you did not do that,
15	sir?
16	MR. GUZZO: I definitely did not.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Did was there ever any
18	kind of action taken against you, sir? Other than the
19	issuance of this notice?
20	MR. GUZZO: I don't believe so.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you wrote to the new
22	Attorney General at or about this time, that was David
23	Young, on June 28 th , 2001?
24	MR. GUZZO: Right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: If we could look at document

1	125041.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1015 is a letter
3	dated June 28^{th} , 2001, addressed to the Honourable David
4	Young from Garry Guzzo.
5	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1015:
6	(125041) Letter fr Garry Guzzo to Hon.
7	David Young dated 28 Jun 01
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, you recall writing this
9	letter?
10	MR. GUZZO: I do.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And it appears you cover at
12	least a couple of issues in this letter; one is this issue
13	of the leak of the name. I'm looking at the front page;
14	you're talking about the caucus; and then towards the
15	bottom:
16	"If I'm named in legal proceedings I'll
17	be obliged to claim over(sic) versus
18	the Caucus Chair. I believe the Caucus
19	Chair when he states that he's
20	satisfied that the leak did not come
21	through his office."
22	So you're referring to the leak of of
23	Father LaRocque's name?
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think it was the only one
25	that was leaked.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So that issue is
2	covered in this letter?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And then on the second page,
5	first paragraph, you're discussing this issue that you
6	brought up earlier about the advice you had from Detective
7	Inspector Hall about the destruction of films. And then a
8	discussion that you had with the Solicitor General, the
9	Caucus Chair
10	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: concerning that same
12	issue?
13	MR. GUZZO: It looks that way.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And, again,
15	that's you're getting different different versions
16	about whether these tapes or films have been destroyed?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I am.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you receive I
19	understand, sir, you received a response to this letter the
20	following day, June 29 th . If the witness could be shown
21	732754.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1016
23	MR. GUZZO: Thank you.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: is a letter dated
25	June 29^{th} , 2001, addressed to Mr. Garry Guzzo from David

1	Young.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1016:
3	(732754) Letter from Hon. David Young
4	to Garry Guzzo dated 29 Jun 01
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So it appears, I'm looking
6	at the middle paragraph, that the OPP was contacted and
7	informed of the matter to which you refer so they could
8	make appropriate inquiries?
9	MR. GUZZO: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: "And in order to do this
11	the OPP have requested that we
12	provide to them a copy of your letter
13	of June 28 th and the attachments to
14	that letter."
15	MR. GUZZO: Right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And he asks your permission
17	to do that?
18	MR. GUZZO: Right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And what do we see in
20	handwriting?
21	MR. GUZZO: I confirm agreement to forward
22	material of 26 or 0628012, I think it's
23	THE COMMISSIONER: The OPP.
24	MR. GUZZO: OPP, yes, and somebody has
25	initialled it for me.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And then after
2	that you get the correspondence we just looked at a minute
3	ago, Exhibit 1013, the letter of July 18^{th} from Detective
4	Inspector Hall.
5	Is that correct?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's correct.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And then you write to
8	him
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, wait a minute? Wait
10	a minute oh, yes, okay, got it. Got it.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And then you write to him on
12	July 25 th and we've looked at that, that's Exhibit 1012?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Correct?
15	MR. GUZZO: Right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, sir, I
17	understand that also that summer you took part in an
18	interview on Canada AM with an OPP Superintendent by the
19	name of Miller?
20	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember that?
22	MR. GUZZO: I do.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
24	shown exhibit sorry, Document Number 125437.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit

1	Number 1017 is a Bowdens Media Monitoring Limited and
2	it's, I guess, the written transcript of the Canada AM
3	program of August 24 th , 2001.
4	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1017:
5	(125437) Transcript Interview between
6	Jeff Hutcheson, Jim Miller, Garry Guzzo
7	on Canada AM dated 24 Aug 01
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, I believe this is
9	a transcript of the of the interview that you and
10	Superintendent Miller had on Canada AM?
11	MR. GUZZO: I believe it is, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't know if you seen
13	this, sir? Have you had a chance to look at it?
14	MR. GUZZO: Not recently, but
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. On the third
16	page in, there appear to be three questions that you're
17	asking Inspector Miller to answer?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: The first being:
20	"How did the OPP miss those 115 charges
21	in '94?"
22	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And I guess as part of that:
24	"How did the Cornwall Police miss them
25	in '93".

1	et cetera.
2	The second question is concerning what
3	you've talked about being a 67-week delay about service in
4	documents?
5	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And the third point is
7	destruction of evidence?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you say here:
10	"Films that were illegally seized and
11	were not returned to their owners."
12	What knowledge did you have at this point as
13	to how these were seized and why are you saying illegal?
14	What do you know about this?
15	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm going from from my
16	discussion with Pat Hall and Detective Inspector Hall
17	when discussed them. We discussed where they were taken
18	where they were found. I suggested that there was no
19	warrant to seize films and I think he concurred with that.
20	I also think that they were at least, I
21	was of the opinion that the warrant was for another home.
22	I had been told that and I asked him and that the documents
23	the films were found in an adjacent home or a
24	neighbouring home and I
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ask him to see a

1	warrant or
2	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: You never looked at the
4	paperwork?
5	MR. GUZZO: I never did we were we
6	were kicking the issue around and I had concluded that
7	there was no warrant for films. And I I think he
8	concurred with that.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Does that necessarily being
10	the search is illegal or the seizure, I mean? Maybe
11	forget the question. I'll move on.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: It's a legal question we
13	can debate later.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I shouldn't be asking legal
15	questions.
16	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Nor illegal ones.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: I think you should stick
20	to legal ones, not illegal ones.
21	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, well, I will refrain
23	from asking questions that require a legal opinion.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: There you go.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, there's some

1	nandwritten notes on the fourth page of this transcript.
2	Are those yours?
3	MR. GUZZO: I think they are.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And what are you saying
5	there?
6	MR. GUZZO: I have a question:
7	"What about the destruction of
8	evidence?"
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And what's your point? What
10	are you
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, I guess I'm still on
12	the on the film issue.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Now, at or about this
14	time, I understand other things were going on that were
15	somewhat related and I'm looking, sir, back to your notes
16	and, in particular, page 6 of C-848C, the yellow copy.
17	I'm just going to ask you to read the
18	reference under July '01 and summer of '01, and as you do,
19	explain to us what this has to do Cornwall and abuse
20	allegations?
21	MR. GUZZO: Well, I start out feeling that
22	and accepting the explanation that the Cornwall Police have
23	investigated themselves. The Ottawa Police have done an
24	investigation of the situation and then the OPP came in and
25	did a nine-month or seven-month investigation,

1	culminating with the press release on December 24^{th} and
2	nothing was found wrong. And everything was copacetic.
3	And I may I don't know whether there was
4	some pressure about that in the summer of '01, but for the
5	first time, I believe at that time, I'm I remember the
6	evening quite well. I'm coming from some kind of a charity
7	auction or something or maybe a golf tournament or
8	something for charity.
9	I remember a couple of the people I'm with
10	and there were some police officers at this golf tournament
11	and we decided we're going to go and have a beer on the way
12	home. There are a couple of former municipal politicians
13	with me who know the police officers who are in the I
14	think it was a golf tournament and the foursome that
15	they had. And it's suggested that we go to the Police Mess
16	on Argyle Avenue in Ottawa and have a nightcap.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: This is the City of Ottawa?
18	MR. GUZZO: Police force Mess.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: The Police force, yes?
20	MR. GUZZO: And we end up there.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	MR. GUZZO: And somebody in that group, or
23	who was there having a beer at the end of the shift or
24	whatever, says to me and tells me that, "You know, the
25	Ottawa Force did not whitewash the Cornwall Police Force.

1	You're wrong when you make that statement. You should get
2	your hands on the report."
3	MR. ENGELMANN: M'hm.
4	MR. GUZZO: And as to who that officer was,
5	I cannot recall. I think it was somebody who was already
6	there and not somebody who was at the golf tournament, but
7	I my ears perk up and I I phone the Police Commission
8	and I phone, I think, a former Chief of Detectives at that
9	time. And I start banging on the door to try and get a
10	copy of the report. I want to get my hands on it. And I
11	don't get any I don't get any help. I'm not going to
12	get it. I mean, it's clear, I've got some good contacts in
13	there and I'm not getting a copy of this report.
14	But I am told by a couple of senior police
15	officers, either recently retired or still on the force,
16	that, you know, "Yeah, you're wrong. We did not whitewash
17	that. We didn't do an investigation."
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And I think you
19	gave us some of those names earlier. It may have been in
20	an in camera session, but told us that a number of officers
21	indicated that to you from the City of Ottawa Police force.
22	MR. GUZZO: Over the last seven years, many.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. What else do we
24	see in the note under "Summer of '01"?
25	MR. GUZZO: Fundraiser, golf tournaments for

1	the party, Leslie Noble wins a long-driving award. Senior
2	OPP officers are guests. I think they have a four-some at
3	this tournament each year.
4	And the union has a they're at
5	everything. They they I guess it's part of their job to
6	show up at these things, but there are a couple of other
7	there's another
8	MR. ENGELMANN: What does that say?
9	MR. GUZZO: "Couple." It says:
10	"Senior OPP officers guests. Union has
11	LN"
12	I think that's Leslie Noble:
13	"on retainer. There will never be
14	an inquiry. You are ruining your
15	career and your health. Wake up."
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So who's telling you that?
17	MR. GUZZO: An OPP officer by the name of
18	oh, golly he's Italian; he's from Ottawa; he's from the
19	heart of the Italian community. I didn't know him; I'd
20	never met him but he knows and grew up with some of my
21	family, some of my uncles, and he is he is he has
22	some knowledge of what has been going on.
23	I think I had seen him before, but I had
24	never spoken with him and he was a substitute on the
25	security detail for the Premier, the group that provided

1	the security detail for the Premier in public. He had I
2	had seen him one or two occasions there, but he wasn't in
3	the he wasn't a familiar person who was there every
4	time.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: His name's not coming to you
6	right now?
7	MR. GUZZO: No, it isn't.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Who's Leslie
9	Noble?
10	MR. GUZZO: Leslie Noble is a
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that man or a woman?
12	MR. GUZZO: That's a lady who is she was
13	one of the original people in assisting Mr. Harris to do
14	the Common Sense Revolution. She had a public events
15	company, a PR company.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	MR. GUZZO: And she, over the years of our
18	government, did extremely well for her clients and they
19	still, quite frankly, they're still doing or one of her
20	senior executives in this company now is the former second-
21	in-command in the office of the present Premier, the
22	Liberal Premier, and she's still doing very well for her
23	clients.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you're being
25	told by other sources now that there's not going to be an

1	inquiry, but you're being told that by your own caucus and-
2	or Cabinet as well?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Let's just turn the page, if
5	we can, and quickly look at what you've written here. This
6	is October, 2001. I think you say "October", if I'm
7	correct, on the left?
8	What do you have written here?
9	MR. GUZZO: I said I raised the issue of the
10	August 24^{th} T.V. interview with Detective Inspector Jim
11	Miller and the August 28^{th} press story of <u>Canadian Press</u> , I
12	think "CP" would stand for. I'm asking:
13	"What other investigation? No warrant
14	for the movies."
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Is this because you're being
16	told that the tapes were seized from a different
17	investigation?
18	MR. GUZZO: Well, yeah, in that transcript -
19	
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
21	MR. GUZZO: You will see Detective Inspector
22	Miller says to me I think I should quote it if I have
23	it. I believe this is the one where we deal with I
24	think it's the only time, so.
25	He says that:

1	"The tapes in question did not relate
2	to Project Truth; that they were
3	related to another and totally
4	independent investigation taking place
5	in Cornwall at the time."
6	And I raised the issue with the Solicitor
7	General. I want to know if he can tell me what the name of
8	that investigation was; how it seemed to involve two people
9	who were connected to the Project Truth situation and
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So these are are these
11	notes to yourself what is going on?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: What other is that
14	"surveillance" or
15	MR. GUZZO: "What other investigation."
16	MR. ENGELMANN: "What other investigations"?
17	Okay.
18	MR. GUZZO: I make it, "No warrant for the
19	movies". And then I have:
20	"No warrant for RL's house."
21	I think that's the home in which they were seized.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Ron Leroux?
23	MR. GUZZO: I think so. I think that's the
24	home in which they were seized and I'm I think I have a
25	Detective Inspector Hall has said to me that I was

150

1	right, that they had a warrant for Mr. Seguin's home, not
2	for the home next door or across the street or wherever Mr.
3	Leroux lived.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Again, you didn't see the
5	warrant that the OPP had?
6	MR. GUZZO: I don't think I don't think I
7	ever saw the warrant, no.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So these notes are sort of
9	notes to yourself what is going on, et cetera?
10	MR. GUZZO: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: What do you say here? Pat
12	Hall?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I'm asking him a question:
14	"What other investigation? Pat Hall
15	did not know in December '01 either."
16	MR. ENGELMANN: This is you tired of being
17	lied to?
18	MR. GUZZO: Right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, in or
20	around October of 2001, you write a letter to Shelley
21	Hallett, I believe?
22	MR. GUZZO: Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: That is Document
24	Number 125540 and the caption is "Leduc Trial".
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 1018

1	is a letter to Ms. Shelley Hallett from Garry Guzzo, dated
2	October 17 th , 2001.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO 1018:
4	(125540) Letter fr Garry Guzzo to
5	Shelley Hallett dated 17 Oct 01
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, 1017?
8	THE COMMISSIONER: One-eight (1-8).
9	MR. ENGELMANN: One-eight (1-8).
10	Mr. Guzzo, have you looked at the letter?
11	MR. GUZZO: I have.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And you told us earlier that
13	you didn't make a point of contacting police officers; you
14	didn't want to interfere with what they were doing
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: as I recall. And you
17	responded if they responded to you.
18	Why are you writing to Shelley Hallett? I
19	mean, isn't she prosecuting a case?
20	And I'm just wondering by the same logic,
21	should you be writing to someone who's prosecuting a case?
22	MR. GUZZO: No, I I should take the same
23	precaution but, quite frankly, if I wanted to know from her
24	the answer to the question, I'd pick up the phone and
25	and call her and see if she'd tell me.

1	But I I want to put it out and I I
2	feel comfortable she is going to do exactly what she did;
3	she sent it to her senior person.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, she was copied on some
5	other correspondence between you and Detective Inspector
6	Hall that we've had looked at?
7	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he explain to you or was
9	it explained to you what her role was?
10	MR. GUZZO: Well, I guess no, I think
11	when he was trying to set up the meeting, that's when he
12	copied her and that's why I copied her on the reply, only
13	because she had been copied on the original one, and I
14	think he was just trying to keep her in the loop and keep
15	the information.
16	But, you know, when I send this, I don't
17	expect a reply; I expect exactly what happened. She
18	she's going to send it on to whomsoever and and I'm
19	going to get the uniform, standard, reply.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: What are you seeking to
21	obtain? What kind of information were you seeking here by
22	way of this letter?
23	MR. GUZZO: There's Justice McKinnon has
24	recused himself on this trial and I just think I'd like to
25	know if the if the issue was in front of the before

1	they got started, you know, as opposed to coming up when,
2	as I recollect, it was Mr. Nadeau who occasioned the
3	incident that caused Justice McKinnon to recuse himself.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So you wanted to know if
5	there was any evidence of Mr. Dunlop's involvement right
6	from the get-go in the trial?
7	MR. GUZZO: Well, you know, I'm not really
8	concerned about Mr. Dunlop's you know. I guess what
9	happens is you've got too much time on your hands and you
10	get thinking and I'm I'm wanting to see how this is
11	going to play out. How how is she going to play it? I
12	know what she's going to do, at least I'm feel
13	reasonably certain. She's going to send the letter to
14	to her to the deputy minister and I'm going to get a
15	note from from Mr. Young who was then the but I
16	don't. It comes a different way. I get a letter back from
17	from a Crown Attorney in Ottawa.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But I'm I'm
19	just trying to understand what you're seeking. You're
20	seeking to know whether or not Mr. Dunlop's name appeared
21	in questions that were put to perspective jurors at the
22	beginning of the trial?
23	MR. GUZZO: Right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And the reason for seeking
25	that information?

1	MR. GUZZO: Well, surely if his name had
2	come up, Mr. MacKinnon would have recused himself
3	Justice MacKinnon would have recused himself right at
4	that point.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, I see. As opposed to
6	_
7	MR. GUZZO: As opposed to six weeks into the
8	trial or four weeks into the trial.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. All right.
10	And did you, in fact, get a response to that
11	letter, sir?
12	MR. GUZZO: I think I got a response from
13	Ms. Hallett saying I've sent it on to my deputy minister or
14	and then I got a response from the senior Crown in
15	Eastern Ontario.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So document
17	125539. It's a letter from Shelley Hallett to Gary Guzzo
18	that same day, October 17, 2001.
19	MR. GUZZO: Make note of it, you know,
20	that's a good point, the same day. Now, check and see how
21	long it takes my Minister the Minister to get back to me
22	when I'm sitting in the same office sitting in the same
23	room with him four days a week.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1019, Mr.
25	Engelmann.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: October 17, 2001, a
3	letter to Mr. Garry Guzzo from Shelley Hallett.
4	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. P-1019:
5	(125539) Letter fr Shelley Hallett to
6	Garry Guzzo dated 17 Oct 01
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And I guess just as you're
8	expecting, she's forwarded it to an appropriate person in
9	the Ministry for response?
10	MR. GUZZO: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
12	shown Document Number 125538. It's a letter from James M.
13	Stewart, Q.C., Regional Director, Crown Operations East, to
14	the Honourable Mr. Garry Guzzo, October 31, 2001.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So that will be Exhibit
16	Number 1020.
17	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. P-1020:
18	(125538) Letter fr James Stewart to
19	Garry Guzzo dated 31 Oct 01
20	MR. GUZZO: Right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: That's the response you
22	would have received, Mr. Guzzo?
23	MR. GUZZO: Yes, this comes two weeks
24	later I receive this response.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you don't

1	get an answer to your inquiry other than the matter's
2	before the courts?
3	MR. GUZZO: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, if I could then have
5	you look at Document Number 125535.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 1021
7	is a letter dated November 29, 2001, addressed to Mr. Garry
8	Guzzo from David Young.
9	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. P-1021:
10	(125535) Letter fr Hon. David Young to
11	Garry Guzzo dated 29 Nov 01
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is now then the
13	Attorney General writing to you about this?
14	MR. GUZZO: This is it, November 29th
15	November 29th, a month after Mr. Stewart's letter and six
16	weeks after Ms. Hallett got back to me on the same day.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And again, he's
18	telling you that they've taken care of it, but if you have
19	anything else, you can ask him?
20	MR. GUZZO: I think what he's saying is, as
21	an MPP you should be coming through the Minister not
22	writing to a staffer, a member of the staff. I think
23	that's the the message I'm reading in that because I
24	sent him over a note, I recall, I guess it's a handwritten
25	note in the legislature, telling him I have contacted him

1	directly and I'm tired of not getting any answers.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, I
3	understand that in or around October of 2001, Bill 48 is
4	passed the second reading?
5	MR. GUZZO: It does.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And you had written to
7	members of the Provincial Parliament shortly beforehand
8	setting out some of setting out your case in support of
9	the Bill?
10	MR. GUZZO: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was done on October
12	18, 2001?
13	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, if the witness
15	could be shown Document Number 125434. It's a cross
16	document. And, Madam Clerk, there are attachments to that
17	at 125435.
18	And just before it's marked, sir, I just
19	I wouldn't mind if Mr. Guzzo took a look at the whole
20	package to let us know whether they were sent together and,
21	if so, perhaps it could be marked as one exhibit.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MR. GUZZO: Thank you.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: So the question, sir, is,
25	is the letter that you sent on October $18^{\rm th}$, 2001, on the

1	Private Members' Bill on October 25, 2001, and the
2	collection of documents, starting with Legislative Library
3	heading "Justice,". Did you send that out as one package?
4	MR. GUZZO: I believe it did. It's in my
5	file it's they're connected and I believe I did.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So Exhibit
7	1022 will the exactly that memo dated October $18^{\rm th}$,
8	2001, from Garry Guzzo re. The Private Members' Business,
9	October 25, 2001, and the documents attached which are
10	newspaper clippings.
11	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. P-1022:
12	(125434 125435) Letter fr Garry Guzzo
13	to Members of the House dated 18 Oct
14	01; Newspaper clippings
15	MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, in seeking support
16	from members of the House, you included a number of
17	newspaper articles, columns and editorials dealing with
18	allegations of abuse from Cornwall and investigations of
19	same?
20	MR. GUZZO: The newspaper articles of
21	importance are the editorials in the Toronto and Ottawa
22	papers I don't see one from the Cornwall paper but
23	the Toronto and Ottawa papers supporting the position for
24	an inquiry.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And you you were

1	successful at least at that stage?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes, it passed second passed
3	second reading, not without some difficulty. The it was
4	again opposed by the Premier in caucus and the Cabinet was
5	whipped to come in and vote against it, I think. On this
6	occasion, I think the vote was I think 56 to 20 or
7	something like that.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, shortly
9	thereafter, November 1st, I believe you wrote a letter to
10	Mr. Murray Segal? I'd like you to look at Document Number
11	125534.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1023 is a letter
13	dated November $1^{\rm st}$, 2001, to Mr. Murray Segal from Garry
14	Guzzo.
15	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-1023:
16	(125534) Letter from Garry Guzzo to
17	Murray Segal dated 01 Nov 01
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I'm familiar with this
19	letter.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And, sir, you
21	enclosed Exhibit 985, your letter of April $3^{\rm rd}$ to Ron
22	McLaughlin, with this letter?
23	MR. GUZZO: I did.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And it referred to as we
25	know at pages 5 and 6, your recollection of a call that

1	you'd had with Mr. Segal?
2	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Why are you writing to him
4	now? This is, like, two-and-half years later in the fall
5	of 2001. Why is it that you're writing Mr. Segal at this
6	point-in-time?
7	MR. GUZZO: Well, something has come up at -
8	- at caucus; we're in discussion. I'm saying to
9	something something is said about, I guess, Mr my
10	conversation with Mr. Segal and some of the matters
11	pertaining to the letter that I have distributed a number
12	of times. Each time I've had a Bill, I've distributed that
13	letter of April 3 rd , '99.
14	And I make the comment, I believe, that Mr.
15	Segal concurs, and Mr. Young is the Attorney General at the
16	time and for the first time for the first time in you
17	know, I get some resistance and he makes the comment that,
18	well, Mr. Segal doesn't agree with the contents of your
19	letter.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Is this what you're talking
21	about at the bottom paragraph of Exhibit 1023?
22	MR. GUZZO: I believe so.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: It says:
24	"The Attorney General, Mr. Young,
25	stated you have concerns with regard to

1	the accuracy of what is reported in
2	this letter as it relates to the
3	aforementioned telephone conversation."
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: You say:
6	"I find it most disturbing to learn of
7	these concerns two-and-half years after
8	the letter was written and copies were
9	forwarded to the Minister."
10	et cetera?
11	MR. GUZZO: Numerous ministers had
12	represented him and I had raised it with with each of
13	them.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So the material
15	would have been sent out in support of previous votes on
16	the Bill, et cetera?
17	MR. GUZZO: And to all members of the House,
18	but other the letter also would have been sent from
19	time-to-time to the initially, to the AG and the
20	Solicitor General. And I make it a point of talking to Mr.
21	Harnick and to Mr. Runciman and later to Mr. Flaherty and
22	Mr. Tsubouchi, with regard to the police officer.
23	And I'm driving it home that this is the
24	situation. Nobody questions it. Nobody questions it and
25	everybody seems to be accepting of it, and I remember a

1	discussion with Mr. Flaherty saying, "Have you also
2	discussed this with Mr. Segal?" and Jim says, "Yes, I have
3	concur, you know".
4	Now, two-and-a-half years later I get a shot
5	in caucus saying well, they don't agree with it. This guy
6	doesn't agree with it. So I sit down and write this
7	letter.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you've asked
9	for a response by November 8 th ?
10	MR. GUZZO: I did, yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you in fact get one,
12	sir?
13	MR. GUZZO: I believe I did, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Document Number
15	125533.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 1024
17	is a facsimile transmission sheet to Garry Guzzo from
18	Murray Segal and the letter at the back dated November 7^{th} ,
19	2001.
20	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1024:
21	(125533) Letter from Murray Segal to
22	Garry Guzzo dated 07 Nov 01
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So it's fair to say that Mr.
24	Segal essentially says that his interpretation of the
25	conversation is different than yours and he wants to agree

1	to disagree?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes, but in my the last
3	paragraph of my letter to him, I say get back to me by
4	November 8^{th} . And I'm telling him that if:
5	"respectfully undertake to correct
6	any misinformation which I have
7	distributed after reviewing same and
8	comparing your written statement with
9	the documentation I have on file."
10	So if I'm wrong, tell me where I'm wrong and
11	I'm going to correct it. And he sends me this love letter.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Have you ever been told
13	where you went wrong?
14	MR. GUZZO: Never.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I understand that in or
16	around December of 2001 that Bill 48 was carried over to
17	the next session?
18	MR. GUZZO: I believe so, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And I understand in May of
20	2002 you again write to all members of the Legislative
21	Assembly regarding a new Bill, providing explanations, a
22	list of questions, et cetera. If you could look at
23	Document Number 125908.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
25	Number 1025 is a letter well, a document prepared by Mr.

1	Guzzo dated May 14 th , 2002, addressed to the Members of the
2	Legislative Assembly.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1025:
4	(125908) Letter from Garry Guzzo to
5	Members of the Legislative Assembly
6	dated 14 May 02 - Newspaper Clippings
7	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I'm familiar with this
8	letter.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you set out
10	some background and then you ask a number of questions.
11	And you have 19 questions you list. Is that correct?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And you talk a bit towards
14	the end about the delay in prosecution of at least one of
15	the cases? The bottom of the third page and onto the
16	fourth.
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's correct.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And, again, you're asking
19	members of the House to support your Bill?
20	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And you send some newspaper
22	clippings with that?
23	MR. GUZZO: Well, first I attach the
24	questions I put in the letter immediately preceding.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

1	MR. GUZZO: Again, rather direct questions
2	that are not that difficult to answer, you know, if you
3	have the facts, and I do, I I enclosed some newspaper
4	clippings, yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you you
6	enclose previous questions that you had put in October and
7	some new questions that you're posing in 2002?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you I understand,
10	sir, as well, you wrote to the Attorney General at or about
11	this time on May 23 rd , 2002?
12	MR. GUZZO: I believe I did, yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: If you could look at
14	Document Number 705627.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 1026
16	is a letter addressed to the Honourable David Young dated
17	May $23^{\rm rd}$, 2002, and signed by Mr. Guzzo or sent by Mr.
18	Guzzo.
19	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1026:
20	(705627) Letter from Garry Guzzo to
21	Hon. David Young dated 23 May 02
22	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I recall this.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Why are you writing to the
24	Attorney General at this point-in-time? What there
25	appears to be a release or press release you're referring

1	to?
2	MR. GUZZO: And it comes immediately after a
3	discussion in Cabinet in caucus and about I think
4	what is going on right now is the situation with Bernardo
5	and Homolka.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
7	MR. GUZZO: And the the films.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
9	MR. GUZZO: First of all, the making of the
10	films of the of the violations.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
12	MR. GUZZO: And then the selling of those
13	films to pornographic manufacturers in, I believe, the
14	United States. And the it's a major it's a major
15	story of the day. I think maybe it's at the time that
16	Justice Campbell has done his judicial review of the of
17	the situation. I don't I don't know or whether we're
18	right in the middle of it in '02, but in any event, the
19	matter did come up at caucus in some way.
20	And in no way related this, the Cornwall
21	situation, although the issue with the films was there, and
22	then out of the clear blue, Mr. Young issued a news release
23	related to the issues surrounding Homolka and Bernardo.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're doing what by
25	this letter, suggesting he's being inconsistent in his

1	position to
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I'm suggesting is concern
3	with regard to suppressing physical evidence and
4	destruction of evidence is here is inconsistent. I give
5	him the transcripts, et cetera, and the newspaper articles
6	and I'm saying to him, "What's so different here between
7	Cornwall and Windsor? Where is your concern here and why
8	does it become acceptable in one area? Is there a
9	different <u>Criminal Code</u> for the western part of the
10	province than there is for the eastern part of the
11	province?"
12	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't get a response to
13	this do you?
14	MR. GUZZO: No. No, nothing in writing.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, do you get a
16	response other than in writing?
17	MR. GUZZO: I get a cold shoulder and the
18	Heisman Trophy pose.
19	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, let's just go
21	back to C-848C for a minute; original notes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: What page?
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Page 7.
24	I think we dealt with most of this page,
25	sir. You have a reference:

1	"November '01, December '01, March '02,
2	Caucus re. Leduc and MacDonald. No
3	answer. All this fault of the Defence
4	not the Crown. No fear of Askov."
5	I think you've talked to us about that?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I have.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Why the comment, "Lies and
8	more lies"?
9	MR. GUZZO: Well
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Why are you saying that?
11	MR. GUZZO: I mean, I can't get a straight
12	answer from people that you've known for a long time. You
13	were on the same team.
14	In particular, I'm getting very, very bad
15	vibes from the present Attorney General who, when he came
16	in, this is his first term and his only term. And when he
17	came in, he was very interested in what I was doing. Very
18	supportive. Very concerned. And when he gets to be the
19	Attorney General, he's as bad as anybody in refusing to
20	talk to you and refusing to answer questions.
21	And on the issues of the delays on Leduc and
22	MacDonald, I mean, I don't I think one was 75 months and
23	the other 72 months. And, you know, I'm saying that you've
24	won. You know, you can get let the trials go you've won.
25	They're not going to proceed with the you know, and

1	people are offering to bet me that, "Ah, you're wrong, they
2	will. They will." You know, I shouldn't have taken the
3	money, I guess.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And what are you
5	saying at the bottom of the page then, sir?
6	"The second Bill passed second
7	reading."
8	You have the vote numbers?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: "Publicity produces", is it,
11	"Nine interviews"?
12	MR. GUZZO: With new victims, alleged
13	victims.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. "Referred some
15	from"
16	MR. GUZZO: "Some from other victims, some
17	from counsellors and one from a
18	Cornwall priest."
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you scroll down,
21	Madam Clerk, to Madam Clerk? There you go.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: No, right to the
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Right to the bottom,
24	Madam Clerk.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Bottom of the previous page.

1	Previous page.
2	Again, sir, you don't have any names written
3	here?
4	MR. GUZZO: I don't and
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall something
6	about these nine alleged victims?
7	MR. GUZZO: One or two of them come to mind.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Come to mind because you
9	remember their names or you remember the names of the
10	alleged perpetrators or what do you remember?
11	MR. GUZZO: I know the one from the Cornwall
12	the priest, it's a telephone call. Makes an appointment
13	and doesn't show up, so I have no detail.
14	A couple of the ones here, the counsellor in
15	question that has referred them. I call the counsellor and
16	say, "Are you aware of the Men's Project? Do you know
17	about it?" And I don't think they do, as I recollect. And
18	I think I said, "I think it would be better if you referred
19	to The Men's Project than coming from me". And I think
20	but it's vague.
21	It's I don't have but, you know,
22	November, December, it's a busy it's a busy season. The
23	House is we're sitting long hours at the House to get
24	the matters done in order adjourn for the Christmas break.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm assuming these people

1	are seeing you either at your constituency office or at
2	your law office in Ottawa?
3	MR. GUZZO: I think by now I've closed my
4	law office.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	MR. GUZZO: I think I closed my law office
7	immediately following my operation or within a few months
8	of the operation.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: So these are but these
10	are people seeing you in Ottawa?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And at this
13	time, you don't remember who they were?
14	MR. GUZZO: Well, I don't have any names and
15	I recollect the I was rather anxious to meet the
16	individual who called about being referred to from the -
17	- by the priest, but I know I recollect that never kept
18	the appointment, but I'm just keeping track of numbers more
19	or less.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. You don't
21	take
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Excuse me, how much
23	longer do you think you're going to be?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Maybe 15 minutes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Is that

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Better than my previous
2	guesses?
3	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, I'm close.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Sir, on to the
7	next page if I can?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Actually, there is no
10	really big magic in finishing today is there because Mr.
11	Guzzo's coming back?
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: And you can regroup and
14	ask a few more questions when we come back and then let the
15	cross begin?
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, I could.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: I think we'd better do
18	that. I don't know, I can see the weather is not any
19	better.
20	And so, Mr. Guzzo when do we want Mr.
21	Guzzo to come back?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, we have a couple of
23	options, sir.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: What we're planning to do

1	now is have Mr. Doug Seguin come for Monday afternoon.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I wasn't sure whether that
4	was going to be at two o'clock or one o'clock?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Make it one.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: One o'clock? We anticipate
7	that evidence will carry over to the Tuesday. Then on the
8	Tuesday afternoon, there are a couple of motions to deal
9	with.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: The diocese has filed a
12	request for supplementary funding.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: This is for further
15	paralegal support, so I anticipate that will be a brief
16	submission.
17	I've been advised by Mr. Neville that he
18	will be seeking standing for Mr. Doug for the Estate of
19	Ken Seguin. And you recall when you originally dealt with
20	this issue, you granted the Estate of Ken Seguin standing
21	for Phase 2 only
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: but you did tell them
24	they could come back before you if there was a conflict
25	with the

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, Corrections was
2	representing the Estate of Ken Seguin in Phase 1.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, Corrections was
4	essentially representing all employees, past and present.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Even retired or deceased.
7	And I believe the way that it was left, and
8	this is a decision from two years ago now, right at the
9	beginning of this Inquiry, that if a conflict developed and
10	there was a need to have a Phase 1 representation, they
11	could reapply.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Neville's given me oral
14	notice of that.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I've asked him to try and
17	get a submission in writing to us by the end of the day
18	tomorrow
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm
20	MR. ENGELMANN: because some of the
21	other parties may want to respond and I would anticipate we
22	could do that on Tuesday afternoon, as well.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Then the plan will be that
25	we will start with the Corrections' evidence on Wednesday -

1	
2	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: on November 28th.
4	And we're going to juggle with the witnesses
5	around a bit, but we'll be starting with Peter Sirrs and
6	his name was referred to today by the witness, having
7	written reports some time early on.
8	And we anticipate his evidence will be
9	followed by the evidence of Mr. Landry.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: AEs and witness or
12	document lists have been disclosed.
13	I anticipate that we will probably finish
14	that evidence some time on Thursday
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: of next week, which is
17	the 29th.
18	So one option would be for Mr. Guzzo to come
19	back on Thursday, the 29th
20	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: and we have advised the
22	parties that we would be sitting on Friday the 30th.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Not this Friday, but next
25	Friday.

1	So that would be one option. Or we could
2	try and fit in another Corrections' witness then and have
3	Mr. Guzzo come back on Monday, the $3^{\rm rd}$ of December; that is
4	a second option.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: The Corrections' witness,
7	who would either be on the Thursday or the Monday is Mr.
8	Downing, would be travelling to us from Toronto.
9	I know. So
10	THE COMMISSIONER: So what's the decision?
11	Which are you going to suggest?
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, my colleague who's
13	calling that evidence would prefer to have Mr. Guzzo come
14	on the 29th.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And have Mr. Downing start
17	the week of the 3rd.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: And have you canvassed
19	counsel? With two days, will that be enough. or a day-and-
20	a-half?
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I haven't had a chance to
22	canvass counsel; perhaps we could do that right now, for a
23	minute?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Go ahead.
25	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	MR. ENGELMANN: I've been given
2	approximately 16 hours.
3	I should tell you and remind people about
4	one duplication to cross-examination with respect to
5	interest; I have three hours from three groups.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: That's the OPP, the OPPA and
8	counsel for Father MacDonald.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So others are less. In any
11	event, we'll
12	THE COMMISSIONER: So it's a long time?
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, it's going to be a
14	couple of days and we'll have to well, we'll see how it
15	goes.
16	I know the chief has been long. I've been
17	trying to cover some of the issues from
18	THE COMMISSIONER: So are you available the
19	29th and 30th, sir?
20	MR. GUZZO: I can make myself available.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
22	So what time on the 29th? It's a Thursday.
23	Oh, Mr. Manderville is getting up and it's
24	not because
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Before he gets up, let me

1	speak for him.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: You're going to speak for
3	Mr. Manderville?
4	MR. ENGELMANN: I am.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Holy
6	MR. MANDERVILLE: (off mic).
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't know about that.
8	Mr. Manderville has indicated he's not
9	available on the Friday.
10	So perhaps what we could do is see that he
11	does his cross-examination on the Thursday, or if we're
12	going over, if we don't start late if we don't start
13	until late on the Thursday, we may actually go over to the
14	3rd, in any event.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Whatever.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So we'll get him at the
17	beginning or at the end.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Make the arrangements.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I will.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Guzzo's going to be
21	here; we're moving. All right? Thank you.
22	Thank you, sir.
23	I know that I want to release you now. I
24	understand that there's a short meeting to be held here in
25	this room?

1	MR. ENGELMANN: There is; off the record.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, off the record with
3	media representatives.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: We would like the media
5	representatives to join us.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Exactly. Thank you.
7	Sorry?
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo if you could
9	remind Mr. Guzzo.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, yes, you are not to
11	discuss any of your evidence with anyone. If anyone tries
12	to discuss anything with you, you have to report that to me
13	on your return.
14	All right? Thank you.
15	Have a good weekend.
16	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
17	veuillez vous lever.
18	This hearing is adjourned until
19	November 26th at 1:00 p.m.
20	Upon adjourning at 3:28 p.m./
21	L'audience est ajournée à 15h28
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province
6	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
7	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
8	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
9	
10	Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province
11	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
12	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
13	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
14	
15	ed a wd
16	
17	Dale Waterman, CVR-CM
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	