THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



## L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

# **Public Hearing**

## Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

**Commissaire** 

VOLUME 164

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Wednesday, November 21 2007 Mercredi, le 21 novembre 2007

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

# ERRATA Volume 161, November 16, 2007

#### Transcript

Pages 38, lines 12 and 14; page, 40 line 18; page 41, lines 4 and 21; page 43, lines 11, 15 and 25; page 44, lines 6 and 15; page 47, line 16; and page 48, line 17

On each occasion St. Clements or St. Columbus has been used to identify St. Columban's Parish.

#### Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Peter Engelman Lead Commission Counsel

Ms. Julie Gauthier Registrar

Ms. Maya Hamou Commission Counsel

Mr. Mark Crane Cornwall Police Service Board

Mr. Peter Manderville

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

Ms. Suzanne Costom

M<sup>e</sup> Claude Rouleau Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Mr. Darrell Kloeze Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Ms. Helen Daley Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims Group

Mr. David Bennett The Men's Project

Mr. David Sherriff-Scott Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall

and Bishop Eugene LaRocque

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Scott Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Me Danielle Robitaille Mr. Jacques Leduc

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Mr. Frank T. Horn Mr. Carson Chisholm

Mr. Ian Paul

#### Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	iv
Housekeeping matters by/Matières administratives par Mr. Peter Engelmann	1
GARRY GUZZO, Resumed/Sous le même serment	4
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann(cont'd/suite)	4

## LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-978	(125095) Toronto Star Article, "MPP:I'll ID pedophiles" dated 17 May 01	82
P-979	(124784) Media Release re: Project Truth dated 17 Mar 99	92
P-980	(718416) Acknowlegement of receipt of Documents	106
P-981	(116241 1091552) Perry Dunlop's handwritten notes dated 29 Nov 98	111
P-982	(124640) Letter from John Morris to Garry Guzzo dated 11 Aug 98	113
P-983	(124692) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Hon. Michael Harris dated 18 Sep 98	116
P-984	(124693) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Hon. Michael Harris dated 23 Feb 99	130
P-985	(125441) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Ron McLaughlin dated 03 Apr 99	141
P-986	(124673) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Wayne Frechette dated 31 Mar 99	144
P-987	(124606) Garry Guzzo's handwritten notes	152
P-988	(200158) Memo from Garry Guzzo to Murray Segal, dated 15 Mar 99	162
P-989	(200159) Memo from Garry Guzzo to Murray Segal dated 16 Mar 99	162
P-990	(200160) Memo Murray Segal to Garry Guzzo dated 16 Mar 99	163
P-991	(124811) Letter fr Garry Guzzo to Ron McLaughlin dated 23 Mar 99	168

#### LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-992	(123181) The Ottawa Sun Article "Letter confirms cops lacked files" dated 24 Mar 99	184
P-993	(200168) Memo from Bill Grant to Garry Guzzo dated 19 Mar 99	186
P-994	(124759) Letter from Ron McLaughlin to Garry Guzzo dated 07 Apr 99	219
P-995	(124704; 124706) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Hon. Isabel Bassett dated 16 Apr 99; Proposal Summary Sheet	222
P-996	(124703) Letter from Pat Hall to Garry Guzzo dated 24 Jun 99	225
P-997	(701064) Letter from William Grant to Pat Hall dated 29 Jun 99	227
P-998	(701277) Letter from William Grant to Pat Hall dated 10 Aug 99	228
P-999	(701278) Letter from Pat Hall to Garry Guzzo dated 18 Aug 99	229
P-1000	(720738) Press Release from Criminal Investigation Branch Kingston Unit "No charges laid in alleged conspiracy" dated 23 Dec 94	236
P-1001	(124742) Letter from Rick Goodwin to Garry Guzzo dated 09 Nov 99	244

1	Upon commencing at 9:38 a.m./
2	L'audience début à 9h38
3	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing of the Cornwall
4	Public Inquiry is now in session.
5	The Honourable Mr. Norman Glaude,
6	Commissioner, presiding.
7	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
9	Good morning, all.
10	Mr. Engelmann, Mr. Guzzo.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr.
12	Commissioner.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr. Guzzo.
15	MR. GUZZO: Good morning.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Ready to go?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
18	Sir, just before we start, just a brief
19	housekeeping matter, if I may.
20	My friend, Mr. Lee, and unfortunately
21	another lawyer and I can't remember who it was but
22	pointed out a couple of errata from the transcript when Mr.
23	Adams was here.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So this would have been

# PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	Volume 161 of the transcript.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And on several occasions Mr.
4	Adams talked about his work or his involvement with St.
5	Columban's Parish and this was written up a few different
6	ways and I just want to, for the record, have that
7	corrected.
8	Page 38, at line 12 and then again at
9	line 14, it's referred to as "St. Clements".
10	THE COMMISSIONER: okay?
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Page 40, line 18, "St.
12	Clements Parish Centre"; it should have been "St.
13	Columban's".
14	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Page 41, line 4, "St.
16	Clements"; it should be "St. Columban's".
17	And again at line 21, it's referred to as
18	"St. Columbus"; again it should always be "St. Columban's".
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: On page 43, on lines 11, 15
21	and 25, again it should be "Columban's".
22	Page 44, lines 6 and 15, again the same
23	change.
24	At page 47, line 16, the same change.
25	And again, page 48, line 17.

## PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	Those were references to St. Columban's.
2	One other change that I might request, it's
3	at page 92, Mr. Lee is cross-examining Mr. Adams. At the
4	top of the page, line 1, he asks, "You would have been
5	around?"; question.
6	Mr. Adams answers, "He would have been
7	around or I would have been around?" and there's a question
8	mark at the end of that sentence; that question mark
9	shouldn't be there in Mr. Adams' answer.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: So I can understand "St.
11	Columban's", but how do we know that question mark
12	shouldn't be there?
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Lee has written to me
14	about it and having reflected upon it, that's how I
15	certainly remember it as well. It was an answer to a
16	question. It wasn't a question back on a question.
17	I think it's an errata.
18	I don't know if anybody has any comments to
19	make on it, but that's certainly my submission to you that
20	it's a mistake, but I could have the court reporter check
21	the tape
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: to see if there's an
24	inclination, before we count that as an errata for sure; if
25	that could be done?

## PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, please.
2	Thank you.
3	Please report back to me whenever and we'll
4	see where we go on that one.
5	The other ones I don't have any problems
6	with, but that one
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: we'll see how it
9	goes.
10	Okay, thank you.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: "Columban's" is, I believe,
12	C-O-L-U-M-B-A-N-'S.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So ready to go with the
16	witness?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir, you understand
19	you're still under oath?
20	MR. GUZZO: I do.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
22	Mr. Engelmann.
23	GARRY GUZZO, Resume/Sous le même serment:
24	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
25	<pre>ENGELMANN (cont'd/suite):</pre>

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, yesterday you
2	would have had an opportunity to review Exhibit 975 which
3	was a forensic report, a booklet that was prepared by the
4	witness who was here yesterday, Brian Lindblom. Is that
5	correct?
6	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: You were also present, sir,
8	were you not, during his evidence?
9	MR. GUZZO: I was.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So I'd like to
11	ask you a few questions about that.
12	Sir, to begin with, Mr. Lindblom told us
13	that he believed that you blacked out or attempted to
14	obliterate names on more than one occasion. In other
15	words, he said there were two different inks and what I
16	want to you ask you, sir, is do you recall black I mean
17	you were the one who blacked out the names?
18	MR. GUZZO: I did it, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And did you do
20	it once with two different pens, or did you do it twice?
21	Do you know what I mean? Did you do it on the same day
22	with two different pens or did you do it at two different
23	instances or occasions?
24	MR. GUZZO: It was if you're looking at
25	the photocopy, it was a working document and I may have

1	done that five or six times, you know, when I was doodling
2	on it and using it as a working document.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm talking about
4	MR. GUZZO: But
5	MR. ENGELMANN: your original notes.
6	MR. GUZZO: The originals were was also
7	used as a working document to a lesser extent and it may
8	have happened that it happened more than once, more than
9	twice.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Do we have the original
11	notes here, Madam Clerk?
12	When I'm referring to the original notes,
13	I'm referring to C-848C.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: The yellow pages.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: So just so we're clear, Mr.
16	Lindblom has said that I believe on every "Obliteration" as
17	he called it, there were two sets of ink, possibly three
18	sets of ink; the original ink and two overlays.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: At least two overlays.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
21	Sir, you've told us that the first part of
22	your original notes you prepared in or around October of
23	2000?
24	MR. GUZZO: Correct and
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And the second part, if I

1	remember correctly, was in or around early 2004?
2	MR. GUZZO: Late 2003, early 2004, yeah.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So do you now
4	recall when it is you blacked out those names and on how
5	many occasions you would have done it?
6	MR. GUZZO: Well, when I blacked them out,
7	I'm not clear. It was some time after. It was some time
8	after. I was using the the document when I was talking
9	about the file and about a proposed book on the issue and I
10	was if I had to put a time on it, I would have said
11	probably late 2004 or early 2005, but, you know, I'm I'm
12	not
13	MR. ENGELMANN: But you don't know when?
14	MR. GUZZO: I'm not I'm not clear.
15	After after I decided to black it out
16	after I decided to black it out, because I am using it and
17	I am showing it to people and questions are being raised, I
18	continued to use both photocopies and the original on
19	occasion. On occasion, I I recall using the original.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: For what purpose?
21	MR. GUZZO: To discuss the chronology and to
22	discuss the documents that I'm using with it, the letters
23	that I've prepared, the letters that I've sent, the
24	responses that I'd received in establishing
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And who would you do this

1	with?
2	MR. GUZZO: Well, a couple of people that I
3	wanted to help with with the book, that I was
4	approaching or had approached me.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And who is this? You
6	haven't told us about this before. Who's writing this book
7	with you?
8	MR. GUZZO: Nobody's writing the book with
9	me.
10	I'm looking for for ideas and and
11	help. There are people who have been through it before and
12	to see if there's enough there, talking to, you know, that
13	talking to them to see if there's a
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Are you using these notes
15	and showing them to colleagues, MPPs and-or Cabinet
16	Ministers?
17	MR. GUZZO: The first ones I probably did.
18	The first ones I probably did when I was using them for the
19	for the bills that were coming forward in the house.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: The first ones being those
21	notes that you created in or around the fall of 2000?
22	MR. GUZZO: Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, do you know why
24	you would have obliterated or attempted to obliterate on a
25	second occasion your original notes?

1	MR. GUZZO: well, I may I can't, you
2	know, but I am a a bit of a doodler and if I'm sitting
3	there working with them, I may have continued to do it on
4	more than one occasion. It's
5	MR. ENGELMANN: But do you remember when you
6	would have done it a second or third time?
7	MR. GUZZO: Well, when I would be in
8	discussion with people or have them out to review aspects
9	or
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And you say now that you
11	made several photocopies of the original notes after you
12	blacked them out the first time?
13	MR. GUZZO: More likely I made photocopies
14	of the photocopies and when I was going to talk to
15	somebody, gave them a copy of it and that this is the
16	chronology; here are the letters that I sent, you know,
17	give me a hand on what your what you think.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, I have several
19	questions for you as a result of what we heard yesterday
20	and to start with, you gave us a number of names, most of
21	which you had pencilled in your original notes. And I
22	believe you told us that the pencilled notes, and some of
23	them were on a circular piece of white paper; correct?
24	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And then those same names we

1	then find on your yellow paper, the original notes, C-848C?
2	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And those pencil marks were
4	clearly made by you?
5	MR. GUZZO: Yes, they were.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And I believe you told us
7	that those pencil marks were made contemporaneously with
8	your obliteration of your original notes. Is that correct?
9	MR. GUZZO: I I can't be definite, but
10	they were made I remember the Inquiry is called. I have
11	said to the Attorney General, you know, and to the Premier,
12	Mr. McGuinty, that I would not do anything on a book if
13	if an Inquiry were called. I wasn't going to try and
14	publish a book, you know, during the Inquiry or something
15	like and I put it you know, and I'm putting the file
16	I'm putting the file away at some point in time. And, you
17	know, I think it was probably at that time, that I
18	pencilled in the pencilled in the the names.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, I think
20	the Inquiry and I may be mistaken, I think that there
21	were announcements about the calling of the Inquiry as
22	early as late '94. Of course, there's an Order in Council
23	oh, I'm sorry
24	MS. DALEY: Two thousand and four (2004).
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, one

1	decade as late sorry, as early as late 2004?
2	MR. GUZZO: I think I think there's a
3	meeting here in Cornwall in late '04 that the Attorney
4	General attends, I I was invited to, yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And then, in
6	fact, the Order in Council is dated in April of 2005. So
7	what I'm trying to get from you, Mr. Guzzo, is when you
8	would have done your pencil marks?
9	MR. GUZZO: I can't be any more precise than
10	that, but if you if I and I'm not going to speculate,
11	but, you know, I'm I'm aware now that nothing's going to
12	happen on this on this anything with regard to a book
13	or anything of that nature that in late '04 when I come
14	down here, there's definitely going to be an Inquiry and I
15	have made a commitment that that was, you know, I would not
16	be I would not be interested in publishing or would
17	not would not do something like that.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, sir, what I don't
19	understand is and I'd I'd like an explanation, you
20	heard the expert's report and you heard him describe that
21	several of the names that you pencilled in were not the
22	names under the obliterations or blackouts?
23	MR. GUZZO: That is correct.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'd like an explanation
25	for that?

MR. GUZZO: Well, sir, if you recall, a year 1 2 and a bit ago when I met with you, and certainly a few months ago, I made it abundantly clear that under no 3 4 circumstances were people who had given me information --5 were going to be outed by me. And I think I told you at 6 that time when you asked about notes that I had, I 7 explained to you that I didn't really have notes, I had 8 comments in my -- my day-timers or what have you, but that 9 I had sat down on -- on two occasions and prepared a 10 chronology and I had those for notes. 11 But I also told you that I had codified those in most cases. And I think -- I had a break-in to my 12 law office; had a break-in in -- and we went through this, 13 14 Mr. Engelmann, in -- I believe it was May of '99. I have a 15 law office on the fourth floor of a commercial building. 16 have a constituency office on the ground floor. I get a 17 call from a lawyer working for me. She said we've had a break-in. I said, "Any damage?" She said no. She said, 18 19 "There's no -- no sign of it, but the files have been 20 tampered with. The files have been gone through." I said, "Look, you know, like, go downstairs and tell them -- if 21 22 there's anybody at the constituency office. Don't do 23 anything. Wait till I get there." I get there, they're 24 already into the constituency office but --25 MR. ENGELMANN: Who's "they"?

1	MR. GUZZO: Mr. Grant and probably
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Lawyers of your firm you
3	mean?
4	MR. GUZZO: No, these this is a
5	constituency office.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
7	MR. GUZZO: The the lawyer is a day by
8	the name of Monica Phillips. And I mean, I'm not expanding
9	this practice. We're coming up to an election. I'm
10	planning on running again and she knows and I know that
11	we're I'm getting set to to get out of the business.
12	I'm not going to try doing, if I'm elected a second term,
13	doing what I've been doing that first term. And she is
14	looking for other work and a career change. But she
15	notices right away when she goes in. I "Is the petty
16	cash taken or". "No, nothing".
17	I get there. Mr. Grant confirms that files
18	have been gone through, you know, on in the constituency
19	office and quite frankly it's a little disturbing. I mean,
20	I'm not doing anything I'm not doing criminal law that
21	anything is going to be of concern there. I mean, who's
22	interested in a few divorces and a couple of municipal
23	applications and the things that we were doing?
24	I conclude that this has to be concern for
25	the for the political end of it and, quite frankly, at

1	that stage of the game, I've no I've lost my
2	parliamentary assistantship and my committee chair.
3	There's only one file that can be of interest. There's
4	only one file that can be of interest.
5	We reported it to the Ottawa police. They
6	tell me to phone the my insurance. They don't even
7	trigger to the fact that I'm a practicing attorney; that
8	I'm a an elected representative, but the the
9	situation from that point forward got much more
10	restrictive, if you follow me. I'm doubly concerned I'm
11	doubly concerned. So
12	MR. ENGELMANN: So let me just stop you for
13	a minute. I want I just want to understand what you've
14	just told us. When was the break-in at your office?
15	MR. GUZZO: I would say May, '99.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was a break-in at
17	your constituency office, at your law office, or both?
18	MR. GUZZO: Both.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you reported
20	it to the Ottawa police?
21	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, I'm just
23	trying to understand what that has to do with notes that
24	you tell us that you don't make until the fall of 2000,
25	okay? Because you the C-848C are the original notes

1	that you have in front of you right now. You start making
2	them in the fall of 2000. So what does the break-in have
3	to do with those notes?
4	MR. GUZZO: Well, I've already started being
5	very careful, even before the break-in, of leaving anything
6	around that will identify a person who has given me
7	information or a person who has claimed to be victim.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	MR. GUZZO: I'm already being very, very
10	careful.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And, Mr. Guzzo, you said
12	that you didn't want to give the Commission names that were
13	given to you in confidence, names of alleged victims, and
14	names of whistleblowers?
15	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And, in fact,
17	you didn't give the Commission any notes with obliterations
18	and I'm talking about 848 whether it was a fax copy
19	or original notes, until November 8 <sup>th</sup> of this year?
20	MR. GUZZO: I believe that's correct, yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And in meetings you had with
22	Commission counsel you refused to name names?
23	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And you did not name them
25	until you were ordered to do so here by the Commission?

1	MR. GUZZO: Exactly.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So that's out of
3	the way. Now, let's get back to my question.
4	And my question is you gave us some names
5	the other day after the Commissioner ordered you to;
6	correct?
7	MR. GUZZO: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And those were names that
9	you had in the main those were names that you had
10	pencilled. You gave us a few other names as well. Is that
11	correct?
12	MR. GUZZO: I believe so, yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: For example, the name of the
14	Cornwall lawyer, and there were some other people that
15	they weren't blackouts on your notes, but that you were
16	asked to give us those names and you gave those names?
17	MR. GUZZO: Well, those names weren't in the
18	notes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
20	MR. GUZZO: Right. Okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
22	Why is it and this is what I'm seeking
23	the explanation for why is it that, at least in some
24	cases, the names you gave us the other day in the in camera
25	session, don't match with the names underneath the

1	blackouts?
2	MR. GUZZO: Because I did not in case
3	in a case of a situation where I inadvertently release them
4	or if I was faced with another situation where I was faced
5	with in May of '99 or thereabouts, I didn't want those
6	names to become public. I didn't want anybody to know
7	those names.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: I guess the question
9	should have been maybe, I want to know what's exactly
10	underneath those blackouts?
11	MR. GUZZO: There are names of football
12	players. There are names of friends of mine. There are
13	names of people I know that I used to relate to the
14	individuals who I'm trying to protect.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So let me just
16	understand.
17	You're saying the names you gave us just the
18	other day when the Commissioner ordered you to give them,
19	those are the actual names of the people that you met with?
20	MR. GUZZO: Very definitely.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: But they don't necessarily
22	match with the names under the redaction?
23	MR. GUZZO: The only ones that match are
24	people who I think in to be fair in the first
25	instance, where I use the the names of three of the

1	individuals, they wanted to be named. You know, they had
2	no qualms whatsoever about about being identified. I
3	think they had spoken openly about wanting to be identified
4	and wanting to be involved in a civil suit and the like,
5	and my recollection is the second threesome that came right
6	after them were the same.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, if if you
8	didn't use their real names in your notes, why is it that
9	you felt it necessary to blackout not once, but at least
10	twice, a name that isn't even the name of the person?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Why was that necessary?
13	MR. GUZZO: I mean
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I
15	MR. GUZZO: first of all, when I'm using
16	the notes in either file, either the originals or the
17	you know, I've taken them back and forth, I guess, some of
18	the names to the people I'm talking about are being
19	recognized. I mean, some of these people who have had
20	experience publishing or writing a book are known to me
21	over the years and the people's names that I'm using,
22	friends of mine are known to them, and questions are, you
23	know, are being asked. And I'm realizing, you know, I
24	might be doing more harm than good here and I'm, you know,
25	I I don't think I made a smart move in in using some

1	of the names and I get rid of.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, why why don't you
3	just keep your original notes in an unaltered stated, in a
4	safe place, and work off a photocopy?
5	MR. GUZZO: In retrospect, maybe that's what
6	I should have done, I'm sorry. Yeah.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: So what you're telling me
8	then is that you used a code name to reflect and so
9	let's assume you used the name of a football player. How
10	would it relate to the person that how would it click
11	your memory to remind you of who it was?
12	MR. GUZZO: Does I make a list or
13	something and I I keep a list of the name the name
14	I'm using and who the individual is I'm protecting.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, where's the list?
16	MR. GUZZO: Well, I would have thought it
17	was in the file, but I $\operatorname{}$ I may have got rid of it when I
18	put the names down on the pencilled them in on on the
19	document or kept this the list that I prepared. I don't
20	know, but I haven't if it wasn't scanned I I haven't
21	I haven't got it. It everything I have is in that
22	is in that file that I turned over the files I turned
23	over.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So it's your evidence today

1	that those pencilled notes that were made sometime and
2	again, I know you don't know the date, but in approximately
3	late '94, early '95 oh, I'm sorry. I'm in Cornwall,
4	it's 2007, I apologize.
5	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, the pencilled
7	notes that you made in late 2004, early 2005, are accurate
8	with respect to who the real person is that you met with or
9	those occasions. That's your best evidence?
10	MR. GUZZO: It is, and I have to say I have
11	one qualification and I don't know whether to make it now
12	or when we get to it and if if we ever get to the
13	MR. ENGELMANN: We will get to the rest of
14	your story
15	MR. GUZZO: All right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I promise you that.
17	MR. GUZZO: I just want to say there is one
18	I'm questioning, I'm questioning.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, when we
20	get to that one you'll let me know?
21	MR. GUZZO: I will.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. I'll just be a
23	minute, sir.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
25	I understand, Mr. Guzzo, that this may be a

1	side issue to the story that you want to give. The
2	difficulty that I'm having, of course, is that you've
3	indicated that you will not give us the names of of
4	folks. I've ordered you to do that, and then we have this
5	maybe a red herring, but the fact is that I would have
6	hoped that but for our expert we would not have
7	understood that fact that you had that you claim that
8	you have code names underneath. And some cynical people
9	might say that question whether or not you've given us
10	the names that were underneath there and a proper
11	explanation. So that's the difficulty we have right now.
12	MR. GUZZO: I made it clear and I told
13	you know, up until up until the time I faxed the
14	material over, I had contacted a number of I mean, I
15	don't want to I'm not trying to make the argument again
16	but I had contacted a number of civil liberty groups and
17	Democracy Watch and a few places like that where I thought
18	that they would be interested in taking the argument on
19	behalf of the individuals in question, but they there
20	was little or no interest.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, again, I don't want to
22	spend a lot of time on this, but there's no question that
23	you didn't want to give us those names.
24	What we want to know is why the
25	obliterations, why the lists, why the differences and

1	that's why we've done what we've done? Fair enough?
2	MR. GUZZO: Well, I understand that, but I
3	also would like to just make the comment that I was out of
4	the room. I was asked to leave the room on I think it
5	was I forget which day last that week, and I was not
6	aware I was not aware of what was going on. I was not
7	here when the issue was raised and debated.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	But you sir, you were here and you were
10	asked questions about blackouts on sheets and we went
11	through this exercise of trying to identify them. We then
12	sent you for your original notes. You knew there was an
13	issue about the names under those redactions; fair enough?
14	MR. GUZZO: Well, I don't know that I did.
15	I mean, I no, I can't honestly say that I was
16	prepared to get once I was ordered to, I was prepared to
17	give you the names, end of discussion.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	And those are the names that you've given
20	us?
21	MR. GUZZO: Those are the names that
22	on in October of 2000 and later in the year in 2003,
23	that there early 2004, that I I recollect were the
24	ones.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: But the people you met with,

1	sir, you met with in late '95, '96, '97, '98, into '99.
2	That's some four or five years or more before you pencilled
3	those names?
4	MR. GUZZO: That's correct, yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So there's a possibility,
6	would you not agree, that you might have made a mistake
7	_
8	MR. GUZZO: I guess I could have.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: on one or more of those
10	names?
11	MR. GUZZO: I could have made a mistake,
12	you're right, but I could also have made a mistake in 2000
13	when I was, you know, going back to '96 and '97, yeah.
14	Yeah, I'm human; I could have made mistake.
15	I'm telling you I think there was one where
16	I I may have misstated somewhat.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	And you agree that sorry?
19	MR. GUZZO: And, you know, let me tell you
20	that, if you want to tell me that and I haven't got the
21	list in front of me, but the November '96 at the Parkway
22	Motel
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
24	MR. GUZZO: You know?
25	MR. ENGELMANN: The first page of your

1	original notes.
2	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, if you were tell you
3	know, if you
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Bottom of the page.
5	MR. GUZZO: If somebody said to me, "Well,
6	that was the dinner of '97," you know, I I could be I
7	could be challenged on that. I mean, but I don't think so.
8	To the best of my knowledge, the best of my you know, I
9	
10	MR. ENGELMANN: But your memory about that
11	date would have been better in October of 2000 than it is
12	today, correct?
13	MR. GUZZO: You know how many times I've
14	asked that question to witnesses when I was on the Bench
15	and, yes, the answer in most circumstances is definitely
16	yes. It's fresher unless you've had open-heart surgery,
17	unless you've had open-heart surgery, and I tell you
18	something that, your memory is is
19	non-existent for a month or two after and it gradually
20	comes back and, you know, I just qualify it, but by and
21	large, I would agree with you. By and large, I would agree
22	with you, but it is a harrowing experience. And I
23	had
24	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, have you had
25	open-heart surgery?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes, in the
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	MR. GUZZO: the summer of '99.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Okay.
5	MR. GUZZO: The summer of '99.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Sir, do you remember
8	if on a photocopy of those original notes that you you
9	faxed us a photocopy of those original notes, correct?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you, on that photocopy
12	or a previous version of that photocopy, also further
13	blackout not different blackouts, but just a little bit
14	more blackout?
15	MR. GUZZO: It's very possible. I'm a
16	doodler and when I'm sitting down, yeah, it's very
17	possible.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you still have or do
19	you have with you the photocopy that you would have faxed
20	to us?
21	MR. GUZZO: I have I don't have it and
22	I'm surprised it wasn't in the file. You called me this
23	morning at home.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
25	MR. GUZZO: I took a quick look for it. I

1	would have thought it was in the file. It wasn't in the
2	file. I
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, if you're able to find
4	it this evening, if you can bring it tomorrow? But what
5	you're saying is you may well have added further blackout
6	on a photocopy? Is that possible?
7	MR. GUZZO: Very possible.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	So you've given evidence already now about
10	meetings you had in December of '95, January of '96 and
11	November of '96 and individuals you met with on those
12	occasions?
13	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And we've now had this
15	expert come and talk to us about names. Has does any of
16	the evidence you've given us change as a result of what
17	we've learned?
18	MR. GUZZO: Not as a result of what you've
19	done.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	So what you told us about who you met with
22	on those days, that is your evidence?
23	MR. GUZZO: To the best of my belief, that's
24	the truth.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	I believe, sir, when we last we're asking
2	you essentially about the chronology of events, you told us
3	that you went to Fort Lauderdale, Florida and this would
4	have been now I just want to make sure I got my years
5	right. This is in the winter of '96-'97. So this would
6	have been early in 1997. And if you need to refer to 848
7	or not I'm not sure, but, sir, I understand that you
8	went back to Fort Lauderdale most winters? Perhaps you
9	still do?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's correct. I might
11	just tell you, I don't have the Book of Documents.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Sir, there have been a number of exhibits
14	since then. Your condensed notes
15	MR. GUZZO: Thank you.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: were 847, your most
17	condensed. And the longer form notes were 848, with the
18	(a), (d), (c).
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	So you told us, I believe I think this is
22	approximately where we left off that you went back to
23	Florida in early 1997?
24	MR. GUZZO: I believe that's correct, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And you looked up, if I

1	remember, the retired police officer that you had met the
2	previous year?
3	MR. GUZZO: I did.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And you had more information
5	about goings on in Cornwall when you saw him in early '97?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough?
8	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And why is it you wanted to
10	speak to him?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, he had piqued my interest
12	with some comments he made about about the the strip
13	and about people from from Cornwall. His first question
14	to me when I first met him was how far are you from
15	Cornwall?
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And he told you about and
17	I think you told us this, individuals coming down from
18	Cornwall and staying at or near a pedophile strip?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes, from and he described it
20	as a a meeting place, but they came from all over.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
22	MR. GUZZO: And this was on him.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And so what what did you
24	do with him in early '97?
25	MR. GUZZO: Well, after a golf game, one of

1	these deals where you show up early at the course and just
2	match get a good rate if you tee off before 8 o'clock.
3	I offered to buy him lunch. He had he had told me about
4	the about the former employee or president of the one
5	of the motels who had registration slips. He thought I
6	might be interested in them. I said I went and I
7	think we drove by the the strip. I had never really
8	been on it. It's
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Was this during the day or
10	was this
11	MR. GUZZO: Around lunchtime.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	MR. GUZZO: It's now lunchtime.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: So were there was it
15	obvious to you at that time of day that it was a pedophile
16	strip
17	MR. GUZZO: No, no.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: if I can use that or
19	MR. GUZZO: Very very quiet, but I just
20	wanted to have a look at the hotels. Position them or, you
21	know, I don't know why.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you enter either of
23	or more of these?
24	MR. GUZZO: Didn't get out of the car.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	MR. GUZZO: Didn't get out of the car. I
2	don't
3	MR. ENGELMANN: So did you see the Saltaire
4	Motel?
5	MR. GUZZO: I did.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you see the Marlin
7	Beach?
8	MR. GUZZO: I did.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Were there any other hotels
10	or motels you were shown?
11	MR. GUZZO: There was one other one on the -
12	- on the strip down at the corner. I I didn't pay
13	you know, I didn't
14	MR. ENGELMANN: This is in or around a
15	street by the name of Birch?
16	MR. GUZZO: It's on they are on Birch
17	Avenue, I think.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So did you speak
19	to anyone at any of those hotels or motels?
20	MR. GUZZO: No.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And where did
22	you go after that?
23	MR. GUZZO: We headed towards a place called
24	Wilton Manors. We had some lunch and went over to a home
25	in Wilton Manors and met with this chap.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, did you
2	ever meet with the owner of the Saltaire Motel, a fellow by
3	the name of Blagoja Cvetkovsky?
4	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't think so.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: That's my best effort. All
6	right. So who is it you met with then, sir? You've
7	mentioned an employee or
8	MR. GUZZO: I think he had been a an
9	employee of the hotel and I think he was still the
10	bookkeeper for the owner or former owner.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And do you remember
12	his name?
13	MR. GUZZO: I got a very, very vivid picture
14	of his face in in my mind, but I cannot recall the name.
15	I think I only got his first name and I can't recall.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you go to his home or
17	his apartment?
18	MR. GUZZO: To his garage. Attached to his
19	home.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And what is it
21	that you did there and who were you with?
22	MR. GUZZO: Well, Dixon, the former police
23	officer, is introduces us and he tells him who I am,
24	where I'm from and I'm interested in people from from
25	Cornwall, and he has he has a couple of registration

1	slips. He knows I'm coming. He knows, you know he
2	knows we're we're coming. And he has some registration
3	slips and I observed a number of them. And the
4	conversation gets around to how much they're worth.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And okay. And so what
6	what is your impression of what this individual wants from
7	you?
8	MR. GUZZO: Well, I I think they're
9	looking to they I think he's looking to sell. He
10	thinks I'm interested in having these for whatever reason.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MR. GUZZO: And
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And does he have more than
14	the few he shows you?
15	MR. GUZZO: He says he does. He says
16	he
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. You actually see
18	other files or boxes?
19	MR. GUZZO: There are many boxes. Many
20	boxes around in this garage.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you purchase any of
22	these registration slips or copies from him?
23	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't even get into
24	question of value or how much the asking price is. I get
25	out of there rather quickly.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Before you get out of there
2	you are shown some of these copies or registration slips?
3	MR. GUZZO: I saw four.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And I believe you may have
5	told us this already, but you identified some names from
6	those slips?
7	MR. GUZZO: I did.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And one of those was a name
9	of somebody who has a moniker here. Is that correct?
10	MR. GUZZO: I believe
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And, I don't know, does Mr.
12	Guzzo have the list of monikers?
13	MR. GUZZO: Excuse me. Thank you.
14	Yeah, the first one was well, let's
15	start. C-8 C-8 was there.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I think you gave us a couple
17	of names that were not on this list.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Leroux and MacDonald?
19	MR. GUZZO: I'm sorry?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: You gave us the names
21	last last time you testified. There was C-8, there was
22	Leroux and there was one from MacDonald.
23	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Malcolm MacDonald.
25	MR. GUZZO: And a fourth name that I showed

1	you that hash't been given a moniker.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, yes, that's the one
3	he wrote for you. I thought we had given him a moniker.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, that's right. That was
5	right at the end. I'm
6	MR. GUZZO: I don't see it.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Could you write that name
9	down for me again, sir, I apologize.
10	MR. GUZZO: I'm not sure of the spelling of
11	the last name, but it's I've got it very close.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I think I was attempting to
13	show it to counsel when we broke, so this is where we were.
14	Perhaps for the time being, sir, I it's
15	some name I'm going to have to make inquiries about that
16	I'm not familiar with.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: We could give it a temporary
19	moniker if no one has any objections?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm passing the name
22	well, you know what, I'll pass the name around and
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, is the name the
24	name is on your unofficial translation, isn't it
25	transcription rather? If we look at 848A?

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Actually, you're correct,
2	sir.
3	MR. GUZZO: It is, yeah.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So we've got the
5	name there, so what we'll do is we'll have to go into
6	MR. ENGELMANN: We also have C-8 written
7	there.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I understand that.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: I understand that. And
11	just for the purposes of the record I now know what the
12	name is.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So when we go into to
15	in camera, we'll vocalize the name and that's the name
16	we're going to put on.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll give him a moniker.
19	So for the where are we on monikers?
20	MR. ENGELMANN: This would be C-44.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no.
22	THE REGISTRAR: C-46.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Forty-six (46), yeah.
24	All right.
25	So for the purposes of your testimony, Mr.

35

1	Guzzo, C-46 is going to be that last person you've got
2	named there And the name that you've written down. Is that
3	correct, Mr. Engelmann?
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Good. Let's carry
6	on.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you don't get
8	into a discussion of price. How is it that you believe
9	this individual wants money
10	MR. GUZZO: Well
11	MR. ENGELMANN: for these registration
12	slips? Does he actually say that?
13	MR. GUZZO: I I may have asked if I could
14	get copies.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
16	MR. GUZZO: You know, and he told me they
17	were expensive or something to that effect. And I do
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
19	MR. GUZZO: I got the message. I got
20	the message.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did you have any
22	discussion with your your acquaintance, the retired
23	police officer, about it after you left?
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I did.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And was there any further

1	discussion about whether this was right or what was next?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: What was the discussion
3	about?
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: What conversation did you
6	have with I forget. What's his name?
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Dickson.
8	MR. GUZZO: Dickson, Dickson. I never
9	called him that but a big Irish guy. Anyway, I told him I
10	thought he was on commission and I wasn't very pleased.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: That who was on
12	commission?
13	MR. GUZZO: That he was.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: That Dickson was?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.
17	MR. GUZZO: And that I wasn't overly
18	pleased.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. And what did he
20	say?
21	MR. GUZZO: Well, he denied it and
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23	MR. GUZZO: you know. I mean,
24	MR. ENGELMANN: So you suggested or you
25	asserted that he was going to get some money back if you

1	purchased things?
2	MR. GUZZO: Well, the feeling I had that I
3	was brought there because I might have an interest and I
4	would be willing to might be one who was, you know
5	you know, I was uncomfortable.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	MR. GUZZO: I was uncomfortable and I wasn't
8	pleased with his involvement; if I had misread it
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	And he denied that, but
11	MR. GUZZO: He did.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Did you ever return back to that
14	bookkeeper's home?
15	MR. GUZZO: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, that summer, the summer
17	of 1997, did you have any further meetings or discussions
18	with alleged victims of abuse?
19	MR. GUZZO: I feel certain that I did. I
20	made a note about one in July, one or two in July.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: In July, your notes and
22	I'm looking at the originals; 848C or copy thereof
23	indicate you meet with "threesome".
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says "Day-Timer ref".

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall any of those
3	names?
4	MR. GUZZO: I'm looking for the were they
5	given yes,
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You have redactions next to
7	two that you meet with in August.
8	MR. GUZZO: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm wondering about the July
10	one.
11	MR. GUZZO: Oh, I see.
12	No, I don't. I have
13	MR. ENGELMANN: You have no recollection of
14	who the alleged victims were, who the alleged perpetrators
15	were or any of the circumstances;
16	MR. GUZZO: No,
17	MR. ENGELMANN: is that what you're
18	saying?
19	MR. GUZZO: I do not. I do not.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And then in August, we have
21	two redactions. You gave us the name for one, publicly,
22	and there's no moniker; it's a Mr. Sheets.
23	And I believe you have the name
24	"Barque-P.O." next to it; do you recall what that's
25	referring to, sir? Or were you told anything about someone

1	by the name of "Barque"?
2	MR. GUZZO: I was I want to say at this
3	time that I have a picture in my mind of the second person
4	C-31, very clear image of this person and some recollection
5	of what this person told me.
6	I can't picture this other person. And
7	there is, for a long period of time, around my office, a
8	file in the name of this man and it's a very, very
9	descriptive file.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: This is one of the alleged
11	victims?
12	MR. GUZZO: The one that you name that
13	you named, it doesn't have a moniker.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Sheets.
15	MR. GUZZO: Sheets, yeah.
16	And but I can't picture this person and
17	there's a file.
18	If there's a file left at the office, my
19	first reaction is it's got to be 99 percent that I didn't
20	see that person because I don't let them leave files.
21	But I have, as I say, a very strong I've
22	read that file and I mean and I'm telling the staff,
23	"Get rid of it. I don't want people leaving that material
24	here. They're going to be back wanting to know why what
25	we're doing for them. I'm not advocating for them. I'm

1	trying to keep this at a different level."
2	And it seems to me that we tried to to
3	send it back. We had an address in western Ontario, if I'm
4	not mistaken. I got thinking of Windsor or something, and
5	the thing keeps coming back. It keeps ending up on my desk
6	and then being put in a deposit file, you know.
7	But it is I've read that file a number of
8	times. I know the allegations. They refer to a probation
9	officer by the name of Barque.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Nelson Barque?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
12	And they are detailed. They are detailed
13	there's a you know, there's a document in there. I
14	don't
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	You don't have to go there, Mr. Guzzo.
17	MR. GUZZO: All right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: What I want to know from
19	MR. GUZZO: But the point I'm trying to make
20	is, it's all I could have met with this person and then
21	he came back with the file and left the file, but I don't
22	remember him, so but I do remember the story.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	Do you remember two individuals coming to
25	meet with you, alleging they were victims of Nelson Barque,

1	in August of '97?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	And you've made the comment in quotes,
5	"spaced out"; do you see that?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Why did you write that?
8	MR. GUZZO: Well, the second person, C-31,
9	was not in the best of condition when he appeared before
10	me.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So he was under the
12	influence of alcohol or drugs or
13	MR. GUZZO: Something.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: something like that?
15	MR. GUZZO: Something, yes. I think, I
16	believe.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, with those two or the
18	other three that you can't remember, did you solicit those
19	visits or do you know how they came to see you?
20	MR. GUZZO: I do not. I don't recall.
21	I certainly did not solicit. I think I can state
22	categorically that I never sought out or asked anyone to
23	come and see me or bring me anything in terms of
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you've told us in the
25	past that you didn't take statements, affidavits and/or

1	documents from these alleged victims, and with the
2	exception of the one who may have left a file at some point
3	and you tried to return it, is that true, that you wouldn't
4	have taken statements, affidavits or documents from them?
5	MR. GUZZO: That is true, with the exception
6	of the documents that Dunlop left for me.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
8	MR. GUZZO: With the exception of the
9	documents that Dunlop left at my office.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, okay. I'm just talking
11	about the alleged victims.
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: These here.
14	MR. GUZZO: No, no, I'm
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you provide these
16	individuals with some advice? Because you talked to us
17	earlier about providing some advice to individuals when
18	they would come to see you.
19	MR. GUZZO: Very early on I'm telling people
20	and I'm convinced from watching what I've seen in the
21	Phoenix file and New Orleans, that a civil suit is the way
22	to go and I'm telling them to if they haven't reported
23	it, no matter how long it is, they should report it to the
24	police. Even if it's 20 years, go on record with it so
25	there's a record of them.

1	And I think in cases where their
2	recollection is clear and they seem confident enough to
3	seek out counsel; seek out counsel with regard to a civil
4	suit.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So you're mentioning report, you're
7	mentioning to seek counsel.
8	Are you referring them to a specific lawyer
9	or are you just telling them they should get a lawyer?
10	MR. GUZZO: At some point in time, a lawyer
11	in Ottawa becomes known to me that he's trying to get a
12	class action started against certain agencies, one of which
13	I think is the Ontario government, over probation officers,
14	and I have given that name out.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Would that be Mr. Yegendorf?
16	MR. GUZZO: That's who it is, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	MR. GUZZO: But I don't know that I ever
19	gave out any other names, although I may have picked up my
20	Carleton County list and ticked off some names for them and
21	suggested that they might want to talk to this person or
22	that person, but I don't you know, I don't recall.
23	But I do recall telling people who were
24	naming probation officers that Yegendorf was, to my
25	knowledge, preparing a class action or some form of action,

1	I
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	In the spring and/or fall of that year,
4	1997, did you speak with any local area MPPs? When I say
5	local area, I mean an MPP for Cornwall or the surrounding
6	area.
7	MR. GUZZO: No, I I think I had by
8	that time I had started to raise it with our member, the
9	Minister of Agriculture, Mr. Villeneuve, and I had also
10	spoken with the Brockville member who was the Solicitor
11	General for the government, Mr. Runciman, and I had spoken
12	with John Cleary whose riding encompassed Cornwall. It
13	didn't include Cornwall, but the agricultural community
14	around Cornwall.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	So let's start with him, Mr. Cleary; he as
17	the MPP for Cornwall?
18	MR. GUZZO: No, the county around it.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: The belt the belt
20	surrounding Cornwall.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
22	And the Minister of Agriculture; was that
23	Mr. Villeneuve?
24	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And he was the MPP for what

45

1	riding?
2	MR. GUZZO: Cornwall. Well, it may have
3	been one of them may have been Stormont and the other
4	one may have been Glengarry, but that was that was the
5	breakdown.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So Mr. Cleary and Mr.
7	Villeneuve were local area MPPs?
8	MR. GUZZO: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Villeneuve being the
10	Minister of Agriculture?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And Mr. Cleary being an MPP,
13	not a Conservative MPP?
14	MR. GUZZO: No, no.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So what do you
16	recall about discussions with these two gentlemen in or
17	around the spring or fall of 1997
18	MR. GUZZO: Well,
19	MR. ENGELMANN: concerning this issue?
20	MR. GUZZO: I know that my first contacts
21	with Noble were this he was dismissive. He said, you
22	know, these are troublemakers trying to hurt the church and
23	they're it's you know, and this, that and the other
24	thing. It's just a couple of troublemakers; it's you
25	know, nothing much.

1	Mr. Cleary was a practicing Roman Catholic,
2	as I think was Noble, who had been a member of the Cornwall
3	police for a number of months anyway, a couple of years
4	when he was a younger man, and he had clergy in his family.
5	And when I first spoke to him, I got an earful. I got a
6	very strong reception. He was appreciative that I had some
7	concern. He had left the police force to pursue a career
8	in farming. He wasn't an educated man, but he had a very,
9	very keen mind and he was troubled about the some issues
10	and what should be done about them.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	So the approach of these two men or the
13	response of these two men was quite different?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, do you recall, sir, if
16	in the spring of '97 you you told us you were down in
17	Fort Lauderdale, do you recall if you were in Fort
18	Lauderdale during the month of March of '97?
19	MR. GUZZO: I was my modus operandi would
20	have been to go down for Christmas; come back early
21	January; try and sneak a long weekend or two in in February
22	and go back for March break when the children would be off
23	school and could come down and enjoy the place too, you
24	know. So that would unless there was a major illness or
25	something, I would have been there probably very

1	definitely.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	And do you recall upon returning to Ottawa
4	from Florida, if you had received some messages and in
5	particular any messages from individuals from Cornwall?
6	MR. GUZZO: Well, I would think there were
7	probably many, but the one I recorded because of the
8	significance was from a Cornwall priest. It was on my home
9	phone and my phone is not listed. It's listed, but listed
10	under my wife's initial, not name, and
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Is this someone you had met
12	before?
13	MR. GUZZO: I had never met this person.
14	The person had left the name of Father Lapierre. And I was
15	surprised, but I mean not shocked that he had my home phone
16	number. I returned the call.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall what the
18	message was or was it simply to call him?
19	MR. GUZZO: Just to call him.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MR. GUZZO: I returned the call. I got a
22	strange response when I asked for him; wanted to know who
23	was calling; I told him I was returning a call. He said,
24	"Well, we'll have to have him call you back" and I didn't
25	think too much of it.

1	I then did get a call back a few days later
2	at my home. I recorded it and what he had said to me
3	MR. ENGELMANN: How did you record it, sir?
4	MR. GUZZO: No, I'm I made a note of it.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	MR. GUZZO: You know, I mean, I didn't
7	record it. I made a note of it.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry; who are we
9	talking about now?
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Father Lapierre.
11	MR. COMMISSIONER: Father Lapierre phoned
12	you back.
13	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
14	MR. COMMISSIONER: Okay.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember his first
16	name?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I knew there were I
18	guess I knew there were two Father Lapierres there who had
19	been major players within the Catholic Church on CBC Radio
20	French Radio, I believe, about historical changes in the
21	Catholic Church over the years. And they had they were
22	highly regarded; well-respected and I did, I got the I
23	asked for the first name. I was given the first name and
24	
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Which is?

1	MR. GUZZO: Paul.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: So did Father Paul Lapierre
4	explain to you why it was that he sought you out; why it
5	was that he wanted to speak to you?
6	MR. GUZZO: He told me that he had heard
7	that I was working on some problems with regard to the
8	church in Cornwall and he wanted to be of assistance. And
9	I explained to him that I wasn't working on the church, I
10	was working on a government file; that my concern was that
11	the government he spoke about the church. He wanted me
12	to know about a couple of his colleagues that he had some
13	concerns about and wondered if I could see a couple of
14	people who had stories that I would be interested in.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	So what did he tell you about his
17	colleagues?
18	MR. GUZZO: He told me that he believed that
19	his colleagues were involved in sexual abuse of children.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you how many?
21	MR. GUZZO: No, but he named a couple.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	And are those the names that you pencilled
24	in on your original notes or are they other names?
25	MR. GUZZO: No, the they are the names

1	and he hamed a third a third priest, the from 1
2	believe it was Brockville Diocese or Prescott Diocese or
3	something, but I didn't record the name. I haven't got the
4	name.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So the names that you pencilled in are
7	"Father MacDonald", "Father Cameron" and something that
8	says I can't read it "ex-Diocese" or
9	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, outside the I think
10	that means outside the Diocese.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	Did he did he tell you why he was
13	like, did he ask you to do something with this information
14	or
15	MR. GUZZO: He asked no, it was part of
16	the conversation. He asked me to see the two people.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And were you, in fact, seen
18	by did two people come and see you shortly thereafter?
19	MR. GUZZO: No, no. It took a while. It
20	took a while. They came in together, as I recollect. And
21	
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you're
23	MR. GUZZO: And go ahead.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Your note says the
25	original note says, "Referred by friend", and then

1	"Parishioner" or "Parishioners, think I am one of them."
2	MR. GUZZO: And in the course of the
3	discussions, Father Lapierre made the comment about his
4	concern about his colleagues and then said, "Parishioners
5	or Catholics probably think or do think that I am one of
6	them."
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you who had
8	referred him?
9	MR. GUZZO: If he did, I didn't make a note
10	of it and I don't recall.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And the a bit later you note, it says,
13	"Referred to victims, number one, names Father Bernard
14	Cameron. Number two, female, school teacher" I can't
15	read is that named or moved?
16	MR. GUZZO: No, it looks like it's "named".
17	I don't know whether I didn't get the name or but I
18	didn't record it.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Might it say might it be
20	a name actually? Does it say
21	MR. GUZZO: I don't think so. It doesn't
22	look to me like it is, it's not capitalized, so
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	Do you actually have a memory of seeing
25	these two individuals?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And one of them is a female.
3	Is the other a female or a male?
4	MR. GUZZO: Male.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So it's the male who identifies the priest
7	and the female who identifies the schoolteacher?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, do you recall
10	either of their names?
11	MR. GUZZO: I do not. I do not.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall what, if
13	any, advice you would have given them? You've told us
14	about advice you've given others. Do you know if it would
15	have been similar or different?
16	MR. GUZZO: I would question what I told
17	them. Quite frankly I didn't believe them.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
19	MR. GUZZO: I did not accept what they were
20	saying totally.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	MR. GUZZO: And I put a question mark around
23	the whole thing. And
24	MR. ENGELMANN: You put a question mark
25	around it in your mind or

1	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: on paper?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I did not I wasn't sold
4	on these two people.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	MR. GUZZO: There were no details, as I
7	recollect, or something there was something about it
8	that didn't register, you know.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And what you have
10	another note there and I it says, "Later, one more from
11	priest."
12	MR. GUZZO: I think that's what I'm saying.
13	I think a person came in and remember that there was a
14	gap in time between the phone calls and these two and then
15	another gap and I think this person mentioned Father
16	Lapierre also and made an allegation against a probation
17	officer.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that am I reading the
19	name correctly, "Ken Seguin"?
20	MR. GUZZO: I believe so, yes, that's who
21	I'm referring to.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, this priest, Father
23	Lapierre, left a voicemail for you in March of '97. And I
24	know the date you have next to this whole portion is March
25	of '97. You wouldn't have spoken to him until April, and

1	you wouldn't have met these people until later than that;
2	is that fair?
3	MR. GUZZO: In the case of the lad who comes
4	in with regard to Seguin, I would say much later.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	And do you recall what, if any, advice you
7	would have given to him, that was a male alleged victim?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes. I don't have a concise
9	memory of this person, but I probably would have told him
10	that you know, should record it with the police,
11	regardless of how long ago it was, and consider contacting
12	a lawyer with regard to civil action.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	So these three alleged victims that you
15	refer to here; were they all adults by the time they say
16	you or do you recall?
17	MR. GUZZO: I recall the girl being the
18	lady was but a late 20s. The male, somewhat older, I
19	think.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: The first male
21	MR. GUZZO: The first male, yeah.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: who named the priest?
23	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, the person naming Seguin
24	was middle 30s I think or thereabouts according you
25	know.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	Again, you do you recall that person's
3	name, sir?
4	MR. GUZZO: No, I have no note on the name.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: But it's your understanding
6	that all three of them were referred to you by Father
7	Lapierre?
8	MR. GUZZO: That's what they said.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	Now, sir, did you have any discussions with
11	either the Attorney General and/or the Solicitor General,
12	this would have been a Mr. Harnick and/or a Mr. Runciman in
13	or around May of 1997?
14	MR. GUZZO: Well, if the House is sitting,
15	and I would suspect it was at the time, I'm on their case
16	by this time. You know, I'm when I see them, when I get
17	a chance to sit beside them, if there's a seat beside them
18	during the House Debates is vacant, I might slide over and
19	have a word with them about what I'm hearing, what's
20	happening, what John Cleary is saying.
21	And, you know, I just mention with Cleary
22	Cleary is living here. He's living in an around Cornwall.
23	He's active as a politician, a former mayor of the you
24	know, and when I come back on a weekend or after a break,
25	and I'm telling him, "You know, John, I met three or four

1	other people I'm concerned about, you know", he's got a
2	list of 10.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
4	MR. GUZZO: He's got a list of 10 that he's
5	talked to in that same period. He's the guy that I
6	mean, I've made an estimate of how many people, you know,
7	approach me, notwithstanding, I didn't record anything or
8	didn't make any notes. I mean, this man was almost full
9	time in dealing with this.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So he indicated to you that
11	people were seeing him as well?
12	MR. GUZZO: He told me he hated to go Church
13	on Sunday morning because somebody was always coming up to
14	him and with a story, you know, of
15	this person, that person, this happened to me or, you know.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Well, I'm looking at your and you can
18	either look at 848B or C the note that has just a
19	regular number 1 at the top.
20	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: As I understand it, these
22	are the from your original notes in yes, it's on the
23	screen.
24	MR. GUZZO: You're right. It's on the
25	screen, yeah.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: These are notes that you
2	believe you make in or around January of 2004. And this is
3	sort of, if I can call it, discussions with politicians,
4	this set of notes.
5	MR. GUZZO: Right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: It seems to be a bit
7	different than the other set.
8	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, this is the political side
9	of it, I guess you would say, yeah.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So the note appears to
11	indicate that you and I'm not sure if the first word is
12	"Address"?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, it looks like I say,
14	"Address the AG and the Sol Gen after caucus."
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You've got a quote, "No one
16	knows anything."
17	MR. GUZZO: "No one knows anything."
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And then a "Harnick?" And
19	is that "Runciman"?
20	MR. GUZZO: I think that's Runciman, yeah.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: What does it say after his
22	name?
23	MR. GUZZO: "I must believe".
24	MR. ENGELMANN: So what is it you recall of
25	discussions you would have had with either of these

1	gentlemen in or around May of 1997 concerning this issue?
2	MR. GUZZO: Well, they're still telling me
3	they don't know what I'm talking about. They have made
4	some inquiries and that there's nothing going on,
5	everything's finished, and no active files in their in
6	their departments, and this matter has been completed.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So they're
8	telling you there's there's no active investigations at
9	this time, so no active files?
10	MR. GUZZO: Well, I I think if there had
11	been they would have would have told me about it, but I
12	I believe them, I believe that when they asked if
13	they asked, and I think they would have, that there was
14	nothing transpired.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And did you tell
16	them that people were coming to you with allegations of
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I did and I told them I was
18	discussing discussing it with John Cleary and that John
19	was besieged and very concerned. And, you know, we would
20	get around to saying well, have you talked to Noble? Yeah,
21	I've talked to Noble, and Noble thinks it's some rabble-
22	rousers making trouble for the church.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: When when you speak to
24	Runciman and he says, "I must believe", what does that
25	mean? Do you because I read it just looking at it,

1	I'd say it looks to me, it says, I must behave.
2	MR. GUZZO: I think it says "Believe".
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. That's fair.
4	MR. GUZZO: I believe. And the reason it's
5	beside Runciman and not Harnick is that I met Mr. Harnick
6	after the election in '95, but I've known Mr. Runciman for
7	20 years or more when I get in '95, you know, so.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: So what's the relevance
9	of, "I must believe" on your own?
10	MR. GUZZO: Well, I don't mean to cast
11	aspersions on anybody else, but I don't think Bob Runciman
12	is going to lie to me or mislead me.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Okay.
14	MR. GUZZO: I don't you know, I don't
15	know, but I don't you know, I'm still feeling my way
16	around.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So if he tells you
18	he's not aware of allegations or if he's not aware of an
19	investigation?
20	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: You're saying I must
22	believe?
23	MR. GUZZO: I that's what I'm saying.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: How about a break? Let's
25	take a morning break.

61

1	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. A l'ordre;
2	veuillez vous lever.
3	This hearing will resume at 11:15.
4	Upon recessing at 11:01 a.m. /
5	L'audience est suspendue à 11h01
6	Upon resuming at 11:27 a.m. /
7	L'audience est reprise à 11h27
8	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is now resumed.
9	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	Mr. Engelmann.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
13	GARRY GUZZO: Resumed/Sous le même serment:
14	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
15	<pre>ENGELMANN(cont'd/suite) :</pre>
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, I believe when we
17	left off we talked about some discussions at least brief
18	discussions you may have had with two Cabinet Ministers in
19	and around May of 1997 about Cornwall issues.
20	I'm just again looking at 848. There
21	appears to be a reference "October '97" it's Roman
22	numeral II at the top of the page "To commence lobby",
23	"PC member question". And there's some other markings on
24	the page. Do you have the same page as I have, sir?
25	MR. GUZZO: Give me the reference again,

1	please?
2	MR. ENGELMANN: This would be in 848B or C.
3	It's the page that has a Roman numeral II on the top.
4	First reference on the top is "Jan '97, day planner". And
5	yes, that's the page.
6	MR. GUZZO: Okay.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: The penultimate reference,
8	just close to the bottom, second from the bottom. It's
9	"October '97". Do you see that, sir?
10	MR. GUZZO: I do.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Does that say "Commence
12	lobby"?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I think that's what it
14	says. You know, I'm I'm tackling my own members, I'm
15	tackling NDP and Liberal members about what might be done,
16	how we might do it.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And what's the what's the
18	word before the question mark?
19	MR. GUZZO: "Cabinet".
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I thought I read
21	Colonel and I I
22	MR. GUZZO: No.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So "Cabinet",
24	and then it's "Brush-off"?
25	MR. GUZZO: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Is that what you
2	just referred to or is that something else?
3	MR. GUZZO: Well, this is it's now
4	it's now uniform. The guy in the next riding to me who is
5	in Cabinet and has been somewhat supportive is now
6	dismissive and, you know.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Who's that?
8	MR. GUZZO: A man by the name of Sterling,
9	Norm Sterling.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So he doesn't
11	want to talk about it?
12	MR. GUZZO: Not anymore.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And I believe
14	you you told us that another Cabinet Minister from this
15	area, Mr. Villeneuve, was dismissive?
16	MR. GUZZO: I
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Or he was earlier?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, and I would have I
19	would have made a habit of talking to him, you know,
20	keeping apprised of what I'm hearing, what I'm doing. I
21	don't want him to think I'm going behind his back with this
22	his opponent next riding over, Mr. Cleary, but I'm very
23	I find him very helpful, so I'm telling him what Mr.
24	Cleary is saying.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you're

1	keeping Mr. Villeneuve in the loop?
2	MR. GUZZO: I'm trying to.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. All right.
4	In your notes whether whether I'm looking
5	at the condensed version or the fuller version, there's a
6	reference to activity in November of '97, the fall of '97.
7	Do you recall, sir, if if you saw further
8	alleged victims in or around November of '97 that may have
9	been referred to you by a medical professional?
10	MR. GUZZO: I do. I remember the I
11	remember the referral. I have an inquiry from I think
12	she was I have I had it in my mind that she was a
13	psychiatrist, but she may have been a psychiatric nurse. I
14	had had some dealings with her with the Royal Ottawa
15	Hospital when I was on the Bench and I got a call, she's at
16	the Montfort Hospital in the east end of Ottawa.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So could we just
18	turn up Roman numeral IV from 848C? It starts "November
19	'97". Could be after yeah. Keep going, next page, and
20	the page after that. Yes. All right.
21	So the the person or the the medical
22	professional he referred to is is that the name on the
23	left?
24	MR. GUZZO: It's I think I have it as
25	in my mind as Beaulieu.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
2	MR. GUZZO: Beaulieu, yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And does she
4	call you or do you call her? How does this come about?
5	MR. GUZZO: She calls me.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you have an
7	independent recollection of this, sir?
8	MR. GUZZO: Oh, yes. Yeah.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And how did
10	what is said?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, she's heard about
12	something in the press about my involvement. Something has
13	been said. Somebody has told her that I was going to do
14	something. And one of her patients has either been
15	referred to me or maybe had been to see me already, I don't
16	I don't rightly recall.
17	We have a rather lengthy discussion. She's
18	had some experience had a lot of experience with
19	children abused, youngsters, and she has some concern about
20	the number of referrals that she's seen from Cornwall.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, we have
22	this reference to the call, "Psych in east end Ottawa".
23	"Formerly at ROH"? Is that what that say?
24	MR. GUZZO: I think that's in formerly at
25	the ROH. I remember

1	MR. ENGELMANN: ROH being the Royal Ottawa
2	Hospital?
3	MR. GUZZO: The Royal Ottawa Hospital, yeah.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says "refers five
5	patients, three view, two only phone"?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, that's my note says.
7	I mean I I I'm relying here I
8	recall the conversation with her and the broader
9	discussion.
10	I don't recall the phone calls and, quite
11	frankly, I only remember one particular I can only
12	visualize one particular individual.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	Now, just I'm looking at your note again.
15	Is that possibly "three visit" as opposed to "view"? You
16	have the original, sir.
17	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I think three of them came
18	to see me.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	MR. GUZZO: Three of them came to see me.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: In your more condensed notes
22	at 847, it says, "six calls in one week; five new victims."
23	And here we have a bit more detail. It says, "Refers five
24	patients." I think it says "three view" or "three
25	visit; two only phone."

1	MR. GUZZO: M'hm.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: So you only have an
3	independent recollection of one of these five or six
4	people. Is that what you're saying?
5	MR. GUZZO: Right. I'm relying on the note
6	where I say with two and three, but the number number
7	one, I remember I had never at that point it was the
8	first reference I had had to the establishment I put in
9	there, Manpower.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	So let me just ask you a couple of questions
12	about this.
13	The name Lalonde appears to be there.
14	MR. GUZZO: I believe two and three were
15	referring to a school teacher.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	And would that have been Marcel Lalonde or
18	can you help us there, sir?
19	MR. GUZZO: I believe so.
20	I think I've only heard one school teacher
21	by the name of Lalonde.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	And underneath that is that number four?
24	MR. GUZZO: No, it's number one, I think.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says, "Seguin named"?

1	MR. GUZZO: Right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And then quotes, "took me to
3	Manpower"?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And then "Seguin just
6	delivered me to (blank)?"
7	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So one of these alleged
9	victims do you remember this particular instance?
10	MR. GUZZO: I do.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: One of these individuals
12	told you that Mr. Seguin took him to Manpower and delivered
13	him to someone there?
14	MR. GUZZO: Delivered him delivered him
15	to Manpower.
16	And from the blank, I think he must have
17	given me a name.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	You don't have any recollection of that name
20	at this time?
21	MR. GUZZO: I don't.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: We've heard the name of a
23	person working in Manpower as a result of these hearings, a
24	fellow by the name of Hickerson. Does that name mean
25	anything to you?

1	MR. GUZZO: Well, I've heard the name. I've
2	read it in either transcripts or possibly later on, but
3	maybe from people later on, but I've read it in the
4	transcripts recently, I think, or heard it from somebody,
5	but I don't recall that name
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
7	MR. GUZZO: at that time, I'm sorry.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
9	Now, do you again, you've given some
10	advice to past alleged victims with respect to reporting to
11	authorities or to police and also to possibly contemplating
12	a civil action. Did you give that type of advice here or
13	do you remember
14	MR. GUZZO: I
15	MR. ENGELMANN: what advice you gave?
15 16	MR. ENGELMANN: what advice you gave?  MR. GUZZO: I remember I remember my
16	MR. GUZZO: I remember I remember my
16 17	MR. GUZZO: I remember I remember my
16 17 18	MR. GUZZO: I remember I remember my discussion with the lady who called me and we certainly
16 17 18 19	MR. GUZZO: I remember I remember my discussion with the lady who called me and we certainly  - MR. ENGELMANN: The medical professional.
16 17 18 19 20	MR. GUZZO: I remember I remember my  discussion with the lady who called me and we certainly  MR. ENGELMANN: The medical professional.  MR. GUZZO: The medical professional.
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GUZZO: I remember I remember my  discussion with the lady who called me and we certainly  MR. ENGELMANN: The medical professional.  MR. GUZZO: The medical professional.  And we certainly discussed what should be
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GUZZO: I remember I remember my  discussion with the lady who called me and we certainly  MR. ENGELMANN: The medical professional.  MR. GUZZO: The medical professional.  And we certainly discussed what should be done.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	So these were all alleged victims of abuse
3	who were being treated by this person?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And at this time you don't
6	remember any of their names, these alleged victims?
7	MR. GUZZO: I didn't write their names down.
8	I did not write their names down.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, would you have
10	taken any statements, documents, affidavits or anything
11	from any of them?
12	MR. GUZZO: No, I would not.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, in or around
15	December of 1997 and I'd ask that you turn to the second
16	part of your original notes with the letter "1" sorry,
17	the number "1", not the Roman numeral yes, that page.
18	There's a reference to December '97 and the first reference
19	says "speak to NV", and I think it says "our member"?
20	MR. GUZZO: Right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have, sir before
22	we get into the note do you have any independent who
23	is "NV"?
24	MR. GUZZO: Noble Villeneuve.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you have any

1	recollections or any independent recollection of meeting
2	with him in or around the end of 1997 about this issue?
3	MR. GUZZO: I remember the I remember the
4	discussion. I remember where it took place.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You don't know the
6	date, but you remember the discussion.
7	Okay. What do you remember about the
8	discussion, sir?
9	MR. GUZZO: It takes place in the Whip's
10	office, immediately across from the main chamber of the
11	legislative building.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, this is a place that
13	members of the caucus and/or cabinet would frequent from
14	time to time?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And is this after hours?
17	MR. GUZZO: Not necessarily. It's during
18	hours
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	MR. GUZZO: It's busier, yeah.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So what do you
22	remember about the meeting you have with him in the or
23	the encounter you have with him in the Whip's office?
24	MR. GUZZO: Well, I I'm getting the
25	message now that the cabinet's not going to nobody in

1	the capinet wants to talk to me about it, so I corner him
2	and I raise the issue and he stares me down a bit. You
3	know, he said, "Look it, we had a discussion." He he's
4	no longer saying it's a few troublemakers causing trouble.
5	He said, "(He knows) the problem exists but there are
6	problems like this in every city, in every riding, yours
7	included, you know; this is not our problem."
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know what did he
9	mean by "this is not our problem"?
10	MR. GUZZO: I think "our" means
11	"government", our government.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	MR. GUZZO: I asked why. He said, "Because
14	it started before '95?" And then he gives me some fatherly
15	advice, you know, "Find another issue to work on and have a
16	nice holiday," you know.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I note you have another
18	reference to December. And is that "Corner Harnick"?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, "Corner Harnick."
20	They're not
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Harnick being the Attorney
22	General?
23	MR. GUZZO: Mr. Harnick was the Attorney
24	General at the time, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Does that say, "Says he

1	knows zero and I believe him"?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, that's what it says.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	And again, that would be with respect to
5	allegations of abuse of young persons in Cornwall?
6	MR. GUZZO: That would be with respect to
7	anything that's going on, from a governmental point of
8	view, in Cornwall with regard to the sexual abuse.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	Now, at or about that time
11	MR. GUZZO: M'hm.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: late '97, early '98, do
13	you have occasion to visit Cornwall?
14	Again, I'm
15	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I'm looking at the note.
16	I mean, I have a note in January, '98
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?
18	MR. GUZZO: "Having been in Cornwall;
19	meeting three individuals referred by victim I spoke with
20	earlier". The I just have the note. I I'm down here
21	on something on something else and I meet them here,
22	probably
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Just just so I'm on the
24	same page of your notes, is this Roman numeral IV?
25	MR. GUZZO: That's what I'm referring to,
25	MR.~GUZZO:~ That's what I'm referring to,

1	yean. Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: There's a reference to
3	January, '98 at the bottom of the page?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. It says
6	"Referred by victim I" "In Cornwall meet three
7	individuals referred by victim I spoke with earlier; want
8	to tell the "story", but don't accept advice"? Or "Can't
9	accept". What
10	MR. GUZZO: I I think it says "Don't".
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So what, if
12	anything, do you remember about a meeting with three
13	individuals in Cornwall at or around early 1998?
14	MR. GUZZO: I
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Or do you have any
16	independent recollection?
17	MR. GUZZO: Well, I I you know, I seem
18	to think I seem to think I have coffee with him; the
19	three of them are together and they're from the they're
20	obviously been referred to me and I've I'm down there so
21	I agree to I guess I agree to see them. It's not a
22	spontaneous thing or anything like that.
23	I they the people that are are
24	coming to me are starting to be referred, I think, by two
25	segments. One, when you mention the civil action, they

1	want nothing to do with it. They immediately respond, I
2	don't I'm not in this for money. I want to I want to
3	see this person or that person in jail. The other group
4	who are sending people in are sending people who are the
5	first question is, "How much can I get?" They're
6	interested in this the civil action, you know what I
7	mean?
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So they're two different
9	groups of people sending individuals to you. Is that your
10	belief?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. Yeah, and the second
12	group is much more difficult to believe quite frankly, you
13	know, they're they I've have them as "me to's".
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And so there's references
15	from time-to-time as a "me to" in your notes?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall anything
18	about these three individuals and what group they would
19	have fallen into?
20	MR. GUZZO: Well, I when I say they won't
21	accept advice, I they're not interested in as I
22	recollect, they they're not interested in in hearing
23	about a civil action. They're interested in a pound of
24	flesh. I try and explain to them how difficult it is to
25	secure criminal convictions, particularly when incidences

1	are beyond a long number of years and the problems involved
2	and but they're not they're not interested in hearing
3	about civil you know, and
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if these are
5	people that had already been to the police or whether they
6	were people you simply asked to the see the police?
7	MR. GUZZO: I
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Or do you not remember?
9	MR. GUZZO: I don't remember and but if I
10	told them and tried to explain to them the value of a civil
11	matter, I would have told them to register their complaint
12	with the police no matter how late long ago it had
13	occurred.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, sir, in
15	both 847 and 848, on 848 either B or C, it's it appears
16	to be Roman numeral VII, it starts with a caption, "March
17	′98″?
18	MR. GUZZO: Right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: There's a reference to
20	meeting two victims in Ottawa. Do you see that?
21	MR. GUZZO: I do. I see it.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And tell us what else is
23	said there?
24	MR. GUZZO: "March '98, meet two victims in
25	Ottawa; one hurting; one hurting, but

21 THE COMMISSIONER: Do you know what that

22 means?

23 MR. GUZZO: I'm hearing -- I'm hearing that

24 quite often I guess.

MR. ENGELMANN: You're hearing that "Oh, oh,

1	it's a common report"?
2	MR. GUZZO: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: People saying what? They
4	don't want money?
5	MR. GUZZO: They don't want money.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you have some
7	independent recollection, sir, of either of these two
8	alleged victims that meet with you in Ottawa, apparently?
9	MR. GUZZO: Well, I I recall
10	MR. ENGELMANN: You've given us a name for
11	one of them?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes. I don't recall the name of
13	the other one.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: C-39 is the name you gave us
15	for one of the two?
16	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that the one that simply
18	says "Hurting" or is that the one that says "Hurting, but
19	has details re: Barque"?
20	MR. GUZZO: That's the one that has the
21	second one "Details re: Barque", the one that
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And that is also
23	the person who would have said to you something along the
24	lines of, "The police laughed at me."?
25	MR. GUZZO: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember the context?
2	Do you remember which police force he was talking about?
3	MR. GUZZO: I made
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Or any of the circumstances?
5	MR. GUZZO: I made an assumption, but I I
6	don't I can't recall him telling me.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And, again, sir,
8	with these two individuals, you would have mentioned a
9	civil suit and the discussion was they don't want money or
10	
11	MR. GUZZO: I think that reaction was from
12	the comment about a civil suit and
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if you would
14	have talked to them about reporting to authorities or to
15	the police?
16	MR. GUZZO: Well, I I if I did, I
17	think that's when I got their response, "The police laughed
18	at me".
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
20	MR. GUZZO: But whether he volunteered that
21	and then I would recommend him going to the police, I don't
22	know.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Is there
24	anything else about those two individuals you remember?
25	Did they did they visit you together?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So they they
3	knew each other? Did they
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I believe so, yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they tell you who had
6	referred them to you?
7	MR. GUZZO: No, I can't recall.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And, again, did
9	you take any statements, documents, affidavits or anything
10	from these individuals?
11	MR. GUZZO: I did not.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: The reference just below
13	that to "Victim attends at QP". That's a separate
14	encounter is it, sir?
15	MR. GUZZO: It is.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And "QP" is Queen's Park?
17	MR. GUZZO: It is, yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And you say, "Businessman
19	wants to assist in financing, refer
20	MR. GUZZO: Refer him other victims in
21	Cornwall. I told him that his involvement had been
22	this was not a young man. This was a oh, I don't know,
23	he was a an older gentleman. He was talking about I
24	think early '70s maybe, late '70s, and he wanted to he
25	felt he should do something. He had I think I could

1	relate it to the well, I'm not going to I'm not going
2	to but I had a I don't know how bad the offences
3	were, how he took them, but he had moved well beyond it, I
4	can tell you that. He seemed he seemed prosperous and
5	he wanted to make a donation to help the group. I said,
6	"Here's some people in the Citizens' Group down there. I'm
7	sure you could be of some assistance even from a distance
8	if you wanted to contact them." And I gave him the input.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: And that's C-40 that
10	you're referring to?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	And did this individual tell you about an
15	allegation or an attempted an allegation of attempted or
16	actual abuse?
17	MR. GUZZO: He did. I think we got into his
18	situation. As I recollect, it was I think it was in a -
19	- related to education, although I don't want to state
20	that.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if the
22	perpetrator or alleged perpetrator was someone who had been
23	dealt with by the criminal justice system?
24	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't know that.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	MR. GUZZO: And I had the impression because
2	of his demeanor that it hadn't been a what I would call
3	a serious assault. It may have been a you know, I don't
4	know, a gesture or a minor assault.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	MR. GUZZO: But that I mean, we didn't
7	get into it, and that's my impression. That's my
8	impression.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And to your knowledge, sir,
10	did this individual contribute some money to a local
11	citizen's group here in Cornwall?
12	MR. GUZZO: I have no idea. I do not know.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, Mr. Guzzo, I'd like to
14	show you a document. It is Document Number 125095. It was
15	in the cross documents. It's a document that I believe is
16	an article that would have been found in the $\underline{\text{Toronto Sun}}$ on
17	May 17 <sup>th</sup> , 2001. Sir, I know sometimes context can be an
18	issue with articles, so I just want you to have a look at
19	it.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 978 is an
21	article in the $\underline{\text{Toronto Sun}}$ dated Thursday, May $17^{\text{th}}$ , 2001.
22	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-978:
23	(125095) Toronto Star Article, "MPP:
24	I'll ID pedophiles" dated 17 may 01
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, what I'm particularly

1	interested in is the paragraph right at the bottom of the
2	page, and I recognize there's no quotes. I guess my
3	question to you is, is that something that you would have
4	said to the reporter or is that just something the reporter
5	would have picked up on? And either way, is that perhaps
6	the individual we're referring to here?
7	MR. GUZZO: I don't I remember hearing or
8	seeing this figure, but I never I can't I never
9	repeated it, to my knowledge. I don't think I ever
10	repeated that figure. I quite frankly, I didn't accept
11	it when I
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
13	MR. GUZZO: I didn't accept it when I first
14	saw it.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: You didn't accept it in
16	the sense you didn't believe that somebody had put up
17	\$200,000?
18	MR. GUZZO: No. No.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: But this individual, C-40,
20	was at least interested in assisting in some way?
21	MR. GUZZO: He wanted to do something. I
22	don't think he was well, I don't we didn't talk
23	amounts. I didn't talk to him. I think he wanted to to
24	do something, make a gesture.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So by this point in time, by

1	the spring of 1998, were there members of a citizen's group
2	or Citizen's Group in Cornwall who were speaking with you
3	from time to time?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes, from more than one at
5	that point from more than one citizen's group, I think.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall, sir, is
7	this a situation where you approached them or did they
8	approach you or do you remember how this came about?
9	MR. GUZZO: Well, I don't recall making any
10	calls. I remember I remember a couple of victims
11	alleged victims calling back saying that they had formed
12	a group. I knew some citizens had banded together. I knew
13	that Mr. and Mrs. Dunlop were I think I had heard their
14	names by this time and that group, but I never you know,
15	I knew there were different factions of the groups, if not
16	different groups.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	And can you remember some of the names of
19	the people aside from the Dunlops who were members of a
20	group or groups or factions
21	MR. GUZZO: Well, one
22	MR. ENGELMANN: that would have
23	contacted you from time to time?
24	MR. GUZZO: One side was a man by the name
25	of Seguin.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember the first
2	name, sir?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yes, it'll come to me in a
4	minute. He called me a number of times about different
5	things.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Might the name have been
7	Alain Sequin?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes, it was Alain Sequin. And
9	he worked he was always trying to orchestrate this, that
10	or the other thing. I remember John Cleary and I attended
11	at the convention facility out in the east end here when
12	the Catholic Conference of Bishops were holding a meeting
13	there. He wanted me to go. I called Mr. Cleary and I
14	said, you know, "I'm not going to if you're not going to
15	go." This was later on. This was after the election of
16	'99, I think, because Noble was no longer in the picture.
17	Mr. Villeneuve was no longer a member, and we both went
18	down to that on a Saturday at noon. And a couple of other
19	things
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember some of the
21	other names of people that were contacting you from time to
22	time from a group or groups?
23	MR. GUZZO: Well, sometime around now, I
24	heard from I don't know exactly when Mr. Scott first
25	contacted me or came in contact with me.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Would that have been a Mr.
2	Paul Scott?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	MR. GUZZO: And there were a couple of
6	ladies who called a few times, asked me to come down to
7	meetings, but I could not make it. I couldn't attend when,
8	you know, the House would be sitting or something like
9	that.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you ever contacted by
11	someone by the name of Carson Chisholm?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes. I recall receiving one or
13	two telephone calls from Mr. Chisholm later on, after I had
14	after I had met Mr. Dunlop.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	And we'll come to the Dunlops.
17	Do you ever ever meet with Mr. Chisholm
18	either individually or as part of a group?
19	MR. GUZZO: I remember when the Attorney
20	General came in in October '04 and he had three different
21	meetings, Mr. Chisholm was at the same meeting I was at
22	with maybe 20 other people. And I remember sitting near
23	him. He sat down beside me and
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. That's much later
25	then, 2004?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, before that, I he may
2	have been at the at the attendance I had at the Catholic
3	Conference of Bishops. Someplace along the line, Mr.
4	Cleary and I decided we had to get the municipalities, the
5	Cornwall City Council and the outlying municipalities
6	onside to aside with the pressure after Mr. Cleary filed
7	his first petition with the 14,000 names or whatever
8	combined 14,000 names and came down and went to a City
9	Council meeting with Mr. Cleary to make a presentation here
10	in Cornwall. And I remember Mr. Chisholm was there at the
11	time.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And did you ever meet with a fellow by the
14	name of Richard or Dick Nadeau either individually or as
15	part of a group?
16	MR. GUZZO: As part of a group he was at a
17	couple of things I was at and I did I did talk to him at
18	those, like five or ten minutes, share a few views at one
19	or two of them. And Dick did call me. Dick did call me or
20	at least one occasion.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if you would
22	have provided documents to any of these individuals?
23	MR. GUZZO: I can clearly say well,
24	documents? Copies of letters I had written?
25	MR. ENGELMANN: For example.

1	MR. GUZZO: For example, I suppose I
2	didn't, but my office if they phoned up and said, "You
3	know, there was a reference in the paper or there was a
4	reference in the legislature to a letter Guzzo sent to Mr.
5	Harnick or to Mr. Harris", something like that, and it was
6	a public document, then my staff would probably have faxed
7	it to them.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: What if it wasn't a public
9	document, sir? What if it hadn't been mentioned in the
10	legislature and the press?
11	MR. GUZZO: I certainly did not and I would
12	be very shocked if anybody on my staff did.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: We'll come to it a bit
14	later, sir, but you wrote letters to the Premier and
15	letters to the Attorney General and Solicitor
16	General or sorry, letters to the Premier with copies to
17	the Attorney General and Solicitor General and then I
18	believe a letter to at least one or two letters to the
19	Chief of Staff of the Premier. Did you did you or
20	anyone from your office disclose those documents to the
21	public?
22	MR. GUZZO: Definitely not. Definitely not.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know how those
24	documents would have become or would have come into the
25	public domain, sir?

1	MR. GUZZO: I know that the letters to the
2	Premier both letters to the Premier were released
3	through Mr. Runciman's office as opposed to the Premier's
4	or Mr. Harnick's.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And how do you know that,
6	sir?
7	MR. GUZZO: Because when you're doing a
8	something like this when I'm doing something like this,
9	I will make a change in each of the letters, either a date
10	or a or an innocuous statement. And when I was
11	contacted by the press some months after I had written the
12	letter, I still had a record of what date went in the
13	Premier's letter, what date went in the AG's letter, what
14	date went in the Solicitor General's letter. And I asked
15	the reporter to read me the you know, "What are you
16	reading from? Where do you what is the information you
17	have and read me that." And when they did, I could tell
18	where it had been secured.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: So let me get this
21	straight. This is a copy a letter that you send off
22	with copies to different people and what you do is you code
23	them in a certain way so that the folks won't know, for all
24	intents and purposes, they're getting copied with the same
25	letter, but you've put in your code?

1	MR. GUZZO: It's common practice in
2	politics.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Very good.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	If the witness could be shown Document
6	124784. It's a media release, March 17 <sup>th</sup> , 1999.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: So just while we're
8	waiting, these are you're saying this is a common
9	practice even to members of your own party?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yes. Unfortunately, yes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, is this a media release
13	that you would have issued about this particular question?
14	MR. GUZZO: It certainly appears to be.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: There you go.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So you're referring to a
17	letter of the Premier in the fall of '98 with regard to the
18	Project Truth investigations by the OPP in Cornwall. The
19	letter in question was copied two senior government
20	officials. That was the these would have been the
21	Attorney General and the Solicitor General?
22	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: With unique identifiers on
24	each copy. And you say both the media outlets and the
25	police official who spoke to Guzzo on the matter had the

1	same source of the letter? That's what you're saying?
2	MR. GUZZO: That's what I'm saying.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And the source of the letter
4	then, sir, was whose office?
5	MR. GUZZO: Was the office of the Solicitor
6	General.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is Mr. Runciman's
8	office?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: A fellow that you'd known
11	for a long period of time?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes, but let me well, yes,
13	that's a fact, but
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not suggesting to you
15	that he personally sent it to the press.
16	MR. GUZZO: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And I don't think you are
18	either?
19	MR. GUZZO: No, I'm not. I simply want to -
20	- well, it should be noted that in the Solicitor General's
21	Department, the Ontario Provincial Police have a big stake,
22	a big you know, they're represented in there. I mean,
23	that's the bulk of the work of that department and, you
24	know, so the fact it may have been turned over to the
25	police officer in question doesn't disturb me.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't know who it was
4	from that office who would have released it?
5	MR. GUZZO: No.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You're just saying you knew
7	that it was from that office because of the identifier on
8	that copy?
9	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	Could that be the next exhibit, sir?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, 979 is a media
13	release dated March 17 <sup>th</sup> , 1999 from Mr. Guzzo's office.
14	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-979:
15	(124784) Media release re: Project
16	Truth, dated 17 Mar 99
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Why did you think it was
18	necessary to issue this press release, sir, at that time?
19	MR. GUZZO: There was a war of words going
20	on between my office and the Premier's office around this
21	time. And if I recollect, one of my staff had been berated
22	by somebody in the Premier's office about, first of all,
23	writing the letters and now they had become public and the
24	damage it could do. We could appear to be seen to be
25	interfering in a police investigation and, you know, we

1	can't have a inquiry on anything until every civil case and
2	every criminal case is completed, until Walkerton hits, at
3	which time we do it the first week. The rules change. But
4	and I'm taking the heat and my staff is taking the heat.
5	You know, you run a sloppy operation, you've leaked it to
6	the press deliberately or, you know, and I'm going
7	MR. ENGELMANN: So this is responding to an
8	allegation that you or your staff leaked this letter to the
9	press?
10	MR. GUZZO: It's responding to, you know,
11	what I would say abuse at the hands of the staff in the
12	Premier's office to my staff either at Queen's Park or in
13	Ottawa.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Sir, I would like to go back in time then to
16	the summer of 1998. You have a reference in your original
17	notes to this. It's "vii", again.
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Just on that page before.
20	You also have a reference to this in the
21	more condensed yes, at the bottom of this page.
22	You also have a reference to the summer of
23	'98. It's Item 14 in 847, the transcribed condensed notes.
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you see that?

1	MR. GUZZO: I do.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	So let's go to the longer form notes, if we
4	can. First of all, can you tell us what they say?
5	MR. GUZZO: The longer form being the
6	condensed?
7	MR. ENGELMANN: The longer form being
8	MR. GUZZO: The combined, yeah.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: the original note.
10	MR. GUZZO: I say:
11	"Someone in Cornwall is promoting"
12	I have:
13	"discussed"
14	And I have a scratch-out there. I don't know what it is.
15	"action possible with friends in
16	Cornwall civil action would produce.
17	Evidence needed. No one wants money,
18	only a pound of flesh."
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So these are people
20	that are talking to you in the summer of '98?
21	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, it's it's heated up. I
22	have the impression, rightly or wrongly, that people are
23	drumming up drumming up people to come and see me and
24	-
25	MR. ENGELMANN: What do you say underneath

1	that, sir?
2	MR. GUZZO: I say:
3	"The cast of characters, some are good,
4	some are very good and some are of no
5	help."
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Are these the people who are
7	referring alleged victims to you? Are these the people
8	themselves, or who are you referring to?
9	MR. GUZZO: No, I'm referring there to
10	alleged victims who are, you know, showing up at the door
11	without a without an appointment, just if you're in
12	Ottawa, go around and, you know they may not be claiming
13	to be victims; they may just banging the table and we've
14	got to do something.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	And can you tell us what we see then at the
17	bottom three lines?
18	MR. GUZZO: I have recorded there that I had
19	a call from a staffer in the Solicitor General to my staff
20	member, Bill Grant, and we're told that the Solicitor
21	General will fund the Men's Project and I make a comment
22	"Why? I thought there was no problem, but call Bob", Mr.
23	Runciman.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: So can you flesh that out
25	a little bit for us?

1	MR. GUZZO: Well, sometime earlier in the
2	year, a lady had called me from YMCA-YWCA in Ottawa and
3	explained to me that there was a project that the Y was
4	interested in assisting, that these people had come forward
5	with a program to assist victims of male victims of
6	sexual abuse
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MR. GUZZO: and they were put in this
9	program together.
10	The Y was going to help them. Would the
11	government be willing to do anything?
12	I don't know the lady's I remember the
13	lady who phoned me and said, "Will you take a call on
14	this?" She's a friend of the wife of a friend of mine,
15	but this lady was Georgina or Gina, and the last name was
16	Irish. And so
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Is this the woman who worked
18	at the Y in Ottawa?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, the woman who worked at
20	the Y in Ottawa.
21	So I took it upon myself and made a few
22	notes and I think I got them to send me a letter and I went
23	to talk to Mr. Harnick and Mr. Runciman and
24	MR. ENGELMANN: This is about the Men's
25	Project?

1	MR. GUZZO: About the Men's Project.
2	And this was before the summer break.
3	We Bob Mr. Runciman says, "Bring it up
4	at caucus and, you know, get some support for it." And I
5	said, "Well, do you have money?" And he said, "Well, no, I
6	don't, but, you know, like see what happens."
7	So we bring it up at caucus and it gets the
8	usual, you know, "Does Toronto have one? No. Well, why do
9	you need one?" You know.
10	And the next question was always, "Does
11	North Bay have one?" Mr. Harris' riding. "Well, it can't
12	be important."
13	But in any event, there was some it was a
14	good discussion, a good discussion in caucus, as I
15	recollect, about, you know, "We have a number of these
16	around the province for women and, you know, it may be
17	something that we should be doing, but there's no money
18	and, you know, try and well, everybody's looking for
19	money, every new project", and it's it's dismissed.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So the caucus discussion was
21	good, but at the end of it you didn't think any money was
22	coming?
23	MR. GUZZO: No, I didn't.
24	And but I stay on top of Mr. Runciman and
25	I guess something cancelled. You know, you never let if

1	you have a project that's not going to go ahead, going to
2	be delayed a year, you know the rule of government. If you
3	don't spend the money, you have to give it back at the end
4	of the year, and no department ever does that. They run
5	around the last month and see how much they can spend.
6	So he calls and said, "I think there's some
7	funding. I think we're going to do it."
8	And there's a fellow on I don't saynot
9	on his personal staff, but in the department, who has taken
10	it up as a personal, you know, and I think he's been
11	orchestrating it, so so that's how but now that's a
12	one-year situation when that or half a year, maybe, or
13	whatever, but that's going to be
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Before you took this issue
15	to caucus, did you have any discussions with anybody else
16	except the woman from the Y? Did you have any discussions
17	with someone from the Men's Project?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think I did. I know I
19	got a letter from them. I think I had a call from other
20	than that lady, the only person I've ever spoken to about
21	this funding or the next phase of the funding was a man by
22	the name Goodwin.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Rick Goodwin?
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: But just to help me out
2	here, the Men's Project originated in Ottawa, did it not?
3	MR. GUZZO: It originates in Ottawa at the
4	YM/YWCA in Ottawa
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
6	MR. GUZZO: but it's for Eastern
7	Ontario.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
9	MR. GUZZO: Pembroke, Kingston, Cornwall.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
11	And is that what you were setting up, or was
12	it the satellite office here in Cornwall?
13	MR. GUZZO: No, no, it was the project
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15	MR. GUZZO: the overall project from
16	Ottawa.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	So you had a discussion with Mr. Goodwin as
20	well before you went to the caucus with the
21	MR. GUZZO: I think he
22	MR. ENGELMANN: proposal?
23	MR. GUZZO: I think he signed the letter. I
24	think he signed the letter, so I I would think I
25	probably did have a discussion with him.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
2	MR. GUZZO: The lady had told me a bit about
3	the project and the parameters, the area it was going to
4	cover and what have you.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	We have a letter in the database, but it's
7	from the following year, so maybe I'll leave that for a bit
8	later, from Mr. Goodwin, but you would have had some
9	discussions with him.
10	And did you follow up with Mr. Runciman,
11	your comments and your notes, "Why? I thought there was no
12	problem. Call Bob Runciman"?
13	MR. GUZZO: I definitely called him to thank
14	him. I definitely called him to thank him and maybe set up
15	a golf game with him or something, but
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So you were pleased that he
17	had agreed to do this?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I thought it was an
19	excellent program, to tell you the truth, yeah.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you indicate to him that
21	several of the did you indicate to him anything about
22	at that time, about alleged victims that had seen you and
23	whether or not they had requested this service; do you
24	recall?
25	MR. GUZZO: Quite frankly, no.

1	I mean, I certainly when I talked to him
2	about it and before we brought it to caucus, I I'm sure
3	we talked about the about the operation, the program,
4	the need, you know, but at that time I don't think I you
5	know, not at that time, but I mean, I'm back on him
6	shortly thereafter about next year, putting it in the
7	budget for next year.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So we'll come to
9	that.
10	At or about the well, July of 1998, do
11	you recall if you have a meeting with either Helen and-or
12	Perry Dunlop?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, it's the summer of '98. I
14	don't know whether I've I have a I don't know, but I
15	have a meeting at my law office with Mr. and Mrs. Dunlop.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you know how that
17	meeting's arranged, sir? Is that something you solicit or
18	do they contact you or are they referred to you?
19	MR. GUZZO: I you know, I've heard
20	heard about them. They've been in the news a bit, but as I
21	recollect they just appeared on the appointment sheet for
22	that week.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And was that sometime in
24	July of 1998, sir?
25	MR. GUZZO: I believe it was.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So you've never met them
2	before then or spoken to them before then?
3	MR. GUZZO: Quite frankly I don't recall
4	ever having seen them at anything I had been involved in in
5	Cornwall.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So what do you recall you
7	recall meeting with the two of them in your office in
8	Ottawa?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you have any
11	recollection of of the meeting and the discussion?
12	MR. GUZZO: It's a rambling discussion.
13	It's a rambling discussion. Just some historical
14	background, some matters. He he relates to me the
15	documentation that he has compiled. What he tells me
16	what he thinks it says. Tells me that he has I think at
17	that time he had served it on Mr Chief Fantino in
18	London.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	MR. GUZZO: And that he had also served the
21	two departments of our government with the documentation.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he explain to you what
23	the documentation was about?
24	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. He told me it was
25	affidavits, documents, statements from people, alleged

1	victims, police officers and stuff like that. And that he
2	had put it together.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you a little bit
4	about his personal circumstances and background with
5	respect to the Cornwall Police Service?
6	MR. GUZZO: I'm sure he did. I'm sure I
7	also knew some of that at the time.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you have any
9	recollection about how long the meeting was?
10	MR. GUZZO: I would suggest about an hour.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. Now, it's not in your
12	your notes, but you you do have an independent
13	recollection of this meeting?
14	MR. GUZZO: Oh, yes. Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you have any other
16	meetings with either Perry or Helen Dunlop?
17	MR. GUZZO: Well, I said to him when he was
18	leaving, I said, "You know, I wouldn't mind having a look
19	at the material we've been talking about, that you served
20	on the AG and the Solicitor General". And he said, "Well,
21	I'll make you a copy. I'll get a copy for you". And I
22	said, "Well, that would be very nice, I I'd appreciate
23	that".
24	And about a week later I'm downstairs in the

103

constituency office and I'm interrupted, and a lady comes

I	in and says, "There's a Mr. Dunlop to see you". I said,
2	"Well, I I'm tied up, he doesn't have an appointment,
3	but I'll come out". And I did come out. He's standing at
4	the door and said, "I brought the material that you asked
5	for that you and I've left it upstairs in your law
6	office". I thanked him for it and wished you know,
7	wished him well. I was talked to him for about a minute
8	or two at the door and went back to my meeting.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was about a week
10	after you'd met the two of them?
11	MR. GUZZO: I I'm seems to me it took
12	about a week, yeah.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you have any
14	impressions your first impressions of Mr. and Mrs.
15	Dunlop when you met with them?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I had a favourable
17	impression. He seemed like a he seemed like a
18	reasonable kind of a likeable easy-going enough guy,
19	despite what I had, I guess, heard. Mrs. Dunlop was more
20	pointed and she did a fair amount of the talking. And
21	but I found them, you know, they struck me as decent
22	people.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. What what had you
24	heard about Mr. Dunlop?
25	MR. GUZZO: Well, I had I didn't I

1	hadn't seen the television program, whether it was W5 or
2	you know, but I had heard
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Fifth Estate?
4	MR. GUZZO: Fifth Estate, yeah. I heard
5	they had done a program. I heard they had done a a
6	program on him and there had been some talk about it, you
7	know, people had seen it and were telling me what it
8	what it said, you know, or what how they painted it,
9	this, that or the other thing, and
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	MR. GUZZO: I if I'm not mistaken, in
12	the box when I opened it I didn't get to open that box
13	until you're going to find this hard to believe, but
14	summers are busy. You're off, there's no House, and
15	there's you know, there's not much going I mean,
16	spare time. It's a good time to see people. People are,
17	you know, there's a lot of things happening where you got
18	to get around a riding.
19	When you get back to Toronto when the House
20	opens then you don't have any job per se, other than
21	looking after your constituents. You get lots of time to
22	read and and I didn't I didn't read that
23	documentation. I took it to Toronto, I had it there, and I
24	brought when I when I went through there's a tape of
25	the W Fifth Estate program

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MR. GUZZO: and I watched it.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MR. GUZZO: I watched it so but that was
5	into the fall.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. If the witness
7	can be shown Document Number 718416.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: And you can pick a time
9	for a lunch break, Mr. Engelmann.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: This will be a moment, sir.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: No, that's fine. That's
12	all right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: This was in the cross
14	documents that were
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 980 is an
16	acknowledgement, I guess, dated July 31st, 1998 from Monica
17	Phillips saying no, no, you can just call it that.
18	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-980:
19	(718416) Acknowlegement of receipt of
20	documents
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, if you'd just
22	read that here for a moment. Do you know who Monica
23	Phillips was, sir?
24	MR. GUZZO: She was a young lawyer working
25	in my office on Meadowlands Drive.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So does this
2	refresh your memory at or about when you would have met
3	with the Dunlops?
4	MR. GUZZO: Well, it was in July, summer of
5	'98.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
7	MR. GUZZO: And this was obviously signed
8	the day he brought the material, so it's dated July 31,
9	'98.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So it appears when he popped
11	in and interrupted a meeting that you had or I think
12	that's how you described it his wife dropped the
13	documents off with a lawyer in your office? Is that how
14	you understand this?
15	MR. GUZZO: I'm not sure that I didn't
16	see Mrs Mrs. Dunlop that
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	MR. GUZZO: that day. I he said to
19	me, "I took them upstairs and I just wanted you to"
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MR. GUZZO: to, you know, so I but I
22	mean
23	MR. ENGELMANN: This this does say that
24	she received the following written information from Helen
25	Dunlop?

1	MR. GUZZO: Right. Right. It does say
2	Helen, I'm sorry.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that the material you
4	would have received
5	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: from the Dunlops?
7	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So media coverage and
9	volumes 3 and 4 pertaining to evidence given at the Board
10	of Inquiry?
11	MR. GUZZO: Right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And those materials you
13	would have turned over to the Commission as part of your
14	production to us?
15	MR. GUZZO: I did, yes. But I yeah.
16	Now, I'm I'm thinking about that that tape, the W5,
17	it may have stayed in Toronto and gone out with the you
18	know, I
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall it anyway.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: But that would have presumably
22	been part of the media coverage?
23	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
25	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. Yeah.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So I just have a couple more
2	questions in this area, if I can.
3	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, aside from the
5	meeting with the Dunlops together and then the brief encounter
6	when documents are delivered to your office, do you ever meet
7	with either of them again in person?
8	MR. GUZZO: No. Unless they were at City Hall
9	the night we attended at City Hall for the to address the
10	council or unless they were in the there was quite a large
11	crowd outside the Catholic Conference of Bishops' Conference
12	at the east end or something like that. Unless one of them or
13	both of them were there, I never met with them again.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall ever speaking to
15	either of them on the phone afterwards?
16	MR. GUZZO: I recall I think it was
17	Christmas '05 between Christmas and New Years '05, the
18	inquiry had been called or it's going to be called, we're
19	satisfied at that point, and I hear something I don't know
20	where or from whom, that they're out west; things aren't going
21	all that well, you know; he has not been his health is not
22	great and I phone out; I get a number and I phone out to wish
23	him a Merry Christmas and have a nice chat with him. I don't
24	think I talked to Mrs. Dunlop.
25	But other than that

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So this would be Christmas of
2	2004 perhaps?
3	MR. GUZZO: It could have been '04, yeah, just
4	right after yeah, '04 because I but it might have been
5	New Years '05.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	MR. GUZZO: But yeah, that Christmas season I
8	phone up to wish them a Merry Christmas; to tell them that the
9	inquiry's been called; that, you know, he's been successful in
10	doing that.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MR. GUZZO: To give him a boost really.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any other
14	recollection of a conversation with either of them?
15	MR. GUZZO: I do not. I do not.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to show you a note,
17	sir, document 116241; it's an excerpt from a cross document.
18	The Bates page number is 1091552.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	Exhibit number 981 are
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Just to situate, Mr.
22	Commissioner, these are excerpts from Mr. Dunlop's notes.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
24	And so the number is the page number is 186
25	and it starts with the date of the $29^{\text{th}}$ of November, 1998.

1	EXHIBIT NO./ PIÈCE NO P-981:
2	(116241 1091552) Perry Dunlop's
3	handwritten notes dated 29 Nov 98
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, there's reference on
5	November $29^{\text{th}}$ and I think at the top of the page, 1300, "Phone
6	call from Bill, Garry Guzzo's assistant." You had an
7	assistant by the name of Bill Grant?
8	MR. GUZZO: Right, right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: "He tells me to call him back
10	on his cell phone." There's a number there.
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: "Call" does that say,
13	"Geronimo"?
14	MR. GUZZO: I don't know what it says really.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Is that your home number
16	there, sir?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes, it is.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: "Has good news. Things are
19	happening fast." Then it says, "20:05, Call Guzzo at home.
20	Tells me that files I gave AG Sol Gen were tampered with. Say
21	that expects the case will move now"?
22	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	Do you have any recollection of having a
25	discussion with Mr. Dunlop? This would have been at the end

1	of November, 1998. Does this refresh your memory at all about
2	having a discussion with him?
3	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall the discussion. I
4	don't recall the discussion and I would take issue with only
5	one thing, if it it could have happened, it could have
6	happened and I wouldn't recall it, but the terminology
7	"tampered with" was not my terminology and I don't know that
8	that's foreign to me.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: "Expects the case will move
10	now." Were you pushing for an inquiry at about this time or
11	do you have any idea what that would be about?
12	MR. GUZZO: Well, something may have happened
13	that I indicated to Mr. Grant that there was going to be a
14	some movement. There were but I don't recall having that
15	telephone conversation
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	MR. GUZZO: but I might have had it.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	MR. GUZZO: I might have had it.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	So lastly then, aside from personal contacts
22	with Mr. Dunlop or do you recall any communication from or
23	to his lawyer, and in particular do you recall ever having a
24	conversation with a lawyer by the name of John Morris?
25	MR. GUZZO: I don't know that I ever talked to

1	him, but we exchanged voice mails at least.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	If you could Madam Clerk, one last document,
4	124640, commission document. It's a letter dated August 11,
5	1998, from Mr. Morris to Mr. Guzzo
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
7	Exhibit number 982.
8	EXHIBIT NO./ PIÈCE NO P-982:
9	(124640) Letter from John Morris to
10	Garry Guzzo dated 11 Aug 98
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Let's start with the letter and
12	then I'll come back to the fax cover sheet if I can.
13	MR. GUZZO: Right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: The letter appears to refer to
15	a telephone conversation of this morning. Would it be fair to
16	say that you'd either had a telephone conversation or at least
17	an exchange or voice mail messages, Mr. Guzzo?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, we may you know, if he
19	says yeah, we may have talked; we may have talked, yeah.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	And do you recall receiving this letter, sir?
22	MR. GUZZO: I do vaguely.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So apparently he's prepared to
24	advise Mr. Dunlop to release more materials to you, but on
25	certain conditions?

1	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And can you tell us, sir, if
3	you accept these materials?
4	MR. GUZZO: No, I tell him I don't I don't
5	want them; I don't need them, I don't think, but I don't want
6	them.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if you made that
8	clear to Mr. Morris that you did not want them?
9	MR. GUZZO: I recall calling him back and
10	leaving a voice mail to that affect. I don't think I talked
11	to him.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: There seems to be a note on the
13	fax cover sheet, "Pretty limited use," just above the words
14	"Bill", and then, "We do not accept," and there's an initial.
15	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, that would have been
16	direction to Mr. Grant to fax him back if he faxed me if
17	this was a faxed letter and I guess it was.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Whose initial is that under,
19	"We do not accept"?
20	MR. GUZZO: That's my initial.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	So did you ever get further documents from Mr.
23	Dunlop and/or his lawyer?
24	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	Mr. Commissioner, that was the conclusion of
2	that area. I apologize for going on a bit too long.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
4	Let's take a lunch break and come back at 2:00.
5	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
6	veuillez vous lever.
7	The hearing will resume at 2:00 p.m.
8	Upon recessing at 12:42 p.m./
9	L'audience est suspendue à 12h42
10	Upon commencing at 2:05 p.m./
11	L'audience est reprise à 14h05
12	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is now resumed.
13	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Someone's gone
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Have you seen
16	THE COMMISSIONER: They're gone to get him.
17	Mr. Engelmann?
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I was just going to say I
19	haven't seen the witness.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: When I saw him he was
21	strolling in there.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: He was leaving for lunch when I
23	last saw him.
24	MR. GUZZO: I apologize, sir.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine. Thank you.

1	GARRY GUZZO, Resumed:
2	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
3	<pre>ENGELMANN (Cont'd/Suite):</pre>
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, Mr. Guzzo.
5	MR. GUZZO: Good afternoon.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I'd like to now take you to
7	the fall of 1998 and, in particular, I understand that in
8	or around mid-September of 1998, you wrote a letter to
9	Premier Harris.
10	MR. GUZZO: I did.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was the first time
12	you would have corresponded with him on this issue?
13	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
15	shown document number 124692.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
17	Exhibit 983 is a letter from Mr. Guzzo to
18	the Honourable Michael Harris, dated September 18th, 1998.
19	EXHIBIT NO./ PIÈCE NO P-983:
20	(124692) Letter from Mr. Guzzo to the
21	Honourable Michael Harris dated 18 Sept
22	1998
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry; Mr. Commissioner
24	I missed the number.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: It would be 983.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Nine-eight-three (983)?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, if you could just
4	have a quick look at this; I have a few questions for you.
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes, sir.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	So let's just start with why do you write
9	the letter to the Premier in September of 1998?
10	You actually say, in the first paragraph:
11	"I've chosen to put this matter in
12	writing. I regretfully have no
13	alternative but to communicate in this
14	manner."
15	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm not getting anywhere
16	with the ministers responsible and I think I've requested a
17	meeting with him and I'm not going to get a meeting very
18	rapidly, so I want to put the issue in on paper and
19	before him.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	And is it your expectation that you'll get a
22	meeting with the Premier after writing this letter?
23	MR. GUZZO: I thought so, yes. I thought I
24	would.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And I just want to

1	talk to you a little bit about some of the facts you've
2	highlighted. You highlighted a number of facts; is that
3	fair alleged facts?
4	MR. GUZZO: I think so, yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: At the bottom of the first
6	page you referenced the fact that three police forces have
7	looked at this?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And you reference that fact
10	again on page 3, second paragraph from the bottom?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Is it fair to say at this
13	point in time, you're not sure what the Ottawa police did?
14	Because you do say, on the third page, second last
15	paragraph:
16	"And maybe even the Ottawa police, if
17	they did, in fact",
18	or,
19	"if they, in fact, did rubberstamp
20	the behaviour."
21	MR. GUZZO: I have to my memory would
22	have said I was of the opinion that they had rubberstamped
23	it at that point in time. But when I read that, I must
24	have reason to question it.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

1	You talk about the service of the Dunlop
2	documents and on government ministries; that's in the
3	middle of paragraph sorry, page 3. That seems to be an
4	issue for you; is that fair?
5	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You talk about information
7	you've acquired in Florida, in the middle of page 2 and the
8	first paragraph onto page 3; is that correct?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And you thought that was
11	important to highlight?
12	MR. GUZZO: I did.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And you talk about the need
14	for a judicial inquiry?
15	MR. GUZZO: I did.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Near the bottom of page 3?
17	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: You talk about the fact that
19	you've had a number of meetings with a number of
20	individuals, including alleged victims? You set that out
21	on page 2 and also at the top of the last page.
22	MR. GUZZO: Correct. Correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So can you give us a sense
24	as to why you highlighted some of the facts that I've just
25	referenced you to and/or other facts; why was it important

1	for you to reference some of these facts in your letter to
2	the Premier?
3	MR. GUZZO: Well, first and foremost, I
4	think if there is a problem with the police situation and I
5	am beginning to sense that there is when I don't get
6	answers from people who you would usually give me an
7	answer in their department if I come forward with a
8	reasonable question, I have to think that there's a problem
9	there and I think he's the head of government and it's a
10	government problem and he should know about it and address
11	it.
12	Now, that's my first and foremost reason for
13	getting into it.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Because there's a ministry of your
16	government, there's a minister of your government that's
17	responsible for police oversight or Solicitor General, in
18	any event, and you're concerned about that?
19	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	What else?
22	MR. GUZZO: Well, I think that we're posing
23	ourselves or posturing as a law and order government, and
24	this is supposed to be one of the main planks of the common
25	sense revolution, and I'm thinking that this is where we

1	can do some of snow some leadership and snow some
2	show some strength.
3	I also think that while I don't I haven't
4	been sold by everybody who came into my office making a
5	complaint or making an allegation of being abused, I have
6	heard enough to know that there's something of some nature
7	going on, it has to be of some concern, and some of the
8	allegations are made by people who suggest they were very -
9	- quite young, quite young when this type of thing happened
10	to them.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	On the last page of the letter you say that:
13	"I've hesitated to advise the two
14	ministers responsible herein and
15	would request a private meeting with
16	you prior to your making the contents
17	of this letter known to anyone.
18	There's an abundance of information
19	available which I choose not to refer
20	to herein because I cannot prove the
21	truth of same. However I have little
22	doubt that it is accurate."
23	So just a couple of questions there. You
24	have already spoken to both Messers Harnick and
25	Runciman; correct?

1	MR. GUZZO: I have. I've been asking them
2	questions with regard to their department's involvement,
3	yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	So in that sense, you haven't really
6	hesitated to speak to them, perhaps not in this detail, but
7	<del></del>
8	MR. GUZZO: No, I haven't sat down and
9	spilled out my story and beliefs the way I have here.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	And you do copy them, and as you've told us
12	before, with some unique identifiers?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: You're requesting a private
15	meeting with the Premier before he makes the contents of
16	the letter known to anyone. Did that private meeting ever
17	happen?
18	MR. GUZZO: No, it did not.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Why is it that you say in
20	the last paragraph you have some concern for safety? What
21	was going through your mind at that time?
22	MR. GUZZO: John Cleary had had a couple of
23	incidents I don't want to oversell him, but I would call
24	them violent, on the verge of violence he related to me.
25	And when he would become involved and try and take action

1	nere in the city with regard to some of the complainants,
2	and he was he was concerned and I was having listened
3	to him, I was a little concerned by sticking my neck out
4	and being in the front, that's all.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So you didn't get your private meeting.
7	What about an acknowledgement or some form of response to
8	your letter from the Premier or his staff?
9	MR. GUZZO: I got no answer, no response. I
10	was told sometime around the holiday season that he wasn't
11	very happy putting in writing and bringing it out
12	bringing it up. And I could feel the tension between us
13	was growing.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: The tension between you and?
15	MR. GUZZO: Mr. Harris.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Did you exchange greetings from time to time
18	or speak from time to time?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well, his office the second
20	legislative office was just down the hall from where I was,
21	so I would see him from time to time. Certainly in Caucus
22	and when we were in the House together, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you have a couple of
24	references to this in your notes. I just want to take you
25	there if I can, 848 C848C. I'm not sure what page it

1	is, it's a bit illegible, but it's September '98. There's
2	also a reference at the top of the third page of 847A. So
3	let's start with perhaps your original notes, 840 C848C.
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes. And the page number there
5	was?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not sure, sir. It looks
7	like a Roman numeral V perhaps?
8	THE COMMISSIONER: It's on the screen.
9	MR. GUZZO: Oh, okay. Thank you.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm told it might be a two
11	at the top.
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's
13	MR. ENGELMANN: It might be easier for you
14	to look at the yellow paper.
15	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, thank you. Yes, I have it
16	now.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	So can you just tell us what that says, sir?
19	MR. GUZZO: It says:
20	"Write to Premier. Never a reply.
21	Solicitor General avoids his buddy Guz.
22	AG Heisman Trophy position when he sees
23	me and avoids me in Caucus."
24	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: So what
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Obviously some members of
3	the gallery or counsel know what you mean by the Heisman
4	Trophy position. I know what the Heisman Trophy is. Can
5	you explain to me what you mean by "AG Heisman Trophy
6	position whenever he sees me?"
7	MR. GUZZO: Well, the Heisman Trophy is a
8	trophy awarded to the outstanding college football player
9	in the United States each year. And the trophy is a
10	picture of a running back or a ball carrier with a straight
11	arm.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: With a what?
13	MR. ENGELMANN: With a straight arm.
14	MR. GUZZO: With a straight arm.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
16	MR. GUZZO: And he's tucking the ball under
17	one arm and fending off with the other.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	I think I got the picture.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Then it continues on.
21	Oh, "avoids me in Caucus". All right.
22	MR. GUZZO: Right.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And "Sol Gen avoids his
25	buddy Guz". Mr. Runciman referred to you as Guz?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Or words to that effect?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	So neither of them get back to you about the
6	letter?
7	MR. GUZZO: Well, they're staying away from
8	me now.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	And just to follow up, because I think
11	you've already gone there. The note underneath that,
12	"December '98 Caucus Christmas party"; that's a joyous
13	occasion?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, it's the Caucus puts it
15	on and staffers and their spouses and partners are invited.
16	And so staffers from the Premier's office are there. You
17	know, your staff would sometimes come up from Ottawa to be
18	to go to it.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	And you can you carry on with the note,
21	sir?
22	MR. GUZZO: Well, I have a note that says:
23	"Caucus Christmas party, joyous
24	occasion. Premier's staff first avoid
25	me. After a few cream sodas I get a

1	comment, 'You should mind your own
2	business. Mike is royally pissed off
3	with you.'"
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is something that
5	is being said to you by a member of his staff?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes, it is.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall who that was?
8	MR. GUZZO: I believe it was Ron McLaughlin.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was his chief of
10	staff?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And you used the term "cream
13	sodas"; you mean a couple of drinks?
14	MR. GUZZO: I right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. Sir, during the fall
16	of '98, do you recall if you see any further alleged
17	victims?
18	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall, but I could
19	check my notes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: If you could, sir.
21	You appear to have something in your short-
22	form notes, 847, the third page in on the transcribed
23	version, I'm sorry, 847A.
24	There's a reference I believe, sir, to three
25	more victims, November, '98, and then another reference to

1	two more victims, December, '98. And then the quote,
2	"Someone is stirring the pot".
3	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. I don't have any
4	recollection of I don't have any recollection of these
5	people, although sometime around this time I am I am
6	convinced that, you know, people are organizing. They're
7	people are are
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Who do you mean when you say
9	"People"?
10	MR. GUZZO: I I think I think there's
11	a movement afoot in Cornwall amongst the citizens,
12	citizens' groups, one, two, three, four citizens' groups
13	and and they're they're working on their position.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So let's go then
15	into the year 1999 if we can. Let's look at the month of
16	February of 1999. My understanding is at that time you
17	write another letter to the Premier?
18	MR. GUZZO: I did.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Can I just interrupt?
20	Was the letter that you sent to the Premier
21	n September 18, 1998, ever made public by someone? Am I
22	overstepping in
23	MR. ENGELMANN: No, no, not at all.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Or not overstepping, am I
25	getting ahead of myself?

1	MR. ENGELMANN: No, I think we referred to
2	that briefly before, but it's fine to go there. We we
3	referred to it briefly. I asked Mr. Guzzo about the media
4	release.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, is this the letter
6	oh, right, right. This is the
7	MR. ENGELMANN: That was March 17, '99.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Okay. Fine,
9	thank you, I've got that. Thank you.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And that media release that
11	you issued about the unique identifiers, was that in
12	response to someone leaking this letter to the press?
13	MR. GUZZO: One or both.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: One or both?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So that letter and the next
17	one we're about to look at?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And is that a
20	letter dated February 23, 1999?
21	MR. GUZZO: It is.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And if the witness could be
23	shown Document Number 124693.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Exhibit 984 is a
25	letter from Mr. Guzzo to Wayne Frechette, Deputy

1	Commissioner of the Provincial Command OPP, dated March 31,
2	1999.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, I think I we've got
4	the wrong document there, sir. I apologize.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. That's not
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I wanted 124693.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll take that one back,
8	sir.
9	So the new 984 is a letter from Mr. Guzzo to
10	Guzzo to Mr the Honourable Michael Harris, dated
11	February 23, 1999, marked private and confidential.
12	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-984:
13	(124693) Letter from Garry Guzzo to
14	Hon. Michael Harris dated 23 Feb 99
15	SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Mr. Guzzo, why
17	is it then that you felt compelled to write a second letter
18	to the Premier?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well, I I'm in Toronto the
20	we have some kind of a function caucus function or party
21	function in Toronto, and the matter comes up and in the
22	discussion the issue is dismissed as not being very of
23	any serious consequence; that things are under under
24	control; there's absolutely no problem and, you know,
25	people have been charged and, you know

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall who it is
2	that's taking that position?
3	MR. GUZZO: Definitely Mr. Villeneuve, the
4	the minister for the area, and a lady in the Premier's
5	office. Her name is
6	MR. ENGELMANN: A staffer?
7	MR. GUZZO: A staffer who relates something
8	from a meeting a staff meeting in the in the
9	Premier's office about, you know, putting the putting
10	the thing to bed.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. It's under
12	control; people have been charged; let it go?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: That type of thing?
15	MR. GUZZO: That type of thing.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're referring to yet
17	another visit to Fort Lauderdale that you've had?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: So is it fair to suggest
20	you've had another visit or visits with this retired police
21	officer?
22	MR. GUZZO: No, I didn't have any visits
23	with him, but I see him a couple of mornings a week. We
24	have a a golfing situation where you show up at
25	before eight o'clock for a special rate and there might be

1	20 guys or 30 guys, mostly senior citizens, a lot of older
2	people, and he's there and I'm there, you know.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MR. GUZZO: And and he's he's asking
5	me, you know, what's happening and what's going on and
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So do you have
7	any further information from Florida at this point or is
8	the information you had information you had gleaned from
9	before?
10	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't I can't pinpoint
11	anything additional, other than I have for when when
12	charges are laid, I'm asking if certain people have been
13	interrogated. I'm interested in two people who are
14	supposed to have information and I'm asking if they have
15	been interrogated by the by the police and I'm told they
16	have not been.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: And who are these two
18	people?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Are they people with a
20	moniker or are they alleged perpetrators? Who are we
21	talking about?
22	MR. GUZZO: We're talk we're talking
23	about a a person by the name of oh, golly, golly.
24	He's not his name will come to me. His name will come
25	to me.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you give us some
2	context, sir?
3	MR. GUZZO: He well, he's I think he's
4	involved with the Citizen's Group and or some one of
5	the groups, and he's telling me that there are certain
6	people that have been named in the documentation that
7	should be should be contacted and nobody's contacted
8	them.
9	And I think I think he's even going to
10	the newspaper here and making the same allegation. I think
11	I read it in one of the newspapers here. I want to say
12	oh, gee in any event, it's I think I've between
13	the discussion with this chap and the reading in the local
14	paper, what I think he has said I think he's the one
15	that is being quoted and the comments in Toronto, you know
16	
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Is this one of the people
18	you mentioned earlier?
19	MR. GUZZO: No, no.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: One of the citizen's groups
21	or is it someone else?
22	MR. GUZZO: No, this is a chap, Delorme or
23	Deslauriers.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: There was a Deslauriers, but
25	that was a priest or and guidance counsellor who was

1	MR. GUZZO: No, no, this is if I'm not
2	mistaken, he's an ex I shouldn't speculate. I have an
3	idea, though, he is an ex-newsman. He's worked in the
4	newspaper field, but he's kind of feeding information and
5	circulating information.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So this isn't an
7	alleged victim or an alleged perpetrator?
8	MR. GUZZO: No.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: This is someone who
10	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And you refer, at the bottom of the first
13	page to:
14	"These people include complainants as
15	well as witnesses, and at least in one
16	case a perpetrator who has signed an
17	affidavit, which affidavit was filed
18	with the AG of this province and the
19	Solicitor General of this province in
20	April '97."
21	Who are referring to there, sir?
22	MR. GUZZO: I think that's Leroux.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	MR. GUZZO: I think that's Leroux. I
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	Did you ever meet with him, sir?
2	MR. GUZZO: I have never I don't think
3	I've ever seen him, to tell you the truth. I wouldn't
4	recognize him.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	MR. GUZZO: I've never talked to him, no.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And on the second page of
8	the letter, in the first paragraph, you ask a number of
9	questions of the Premier.
10	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: For example, you ask why
12	almost two years later key complainants and witnesses have
13	not been interrogated. It's almost two years after the
14	delivery of some documents in April '97; correct?
15	MR. GUZZO: Right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And how do you know that,
17	sir? How do you know that the OPP haven't met with some of
18	these people?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well, I've been told by this
20	newspaper person and I'm being told by other members of the
21	Citizen's Group and I think I think
22	MR. ENGELMANN: But you haven't spoken
23	directly to the police about that?
24	MR. GUZZO: No, I have not.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: At this point in time?

1	MR. GUZZO: No, I have not.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're asking questions
3	about whether the investigating officers have received
4	copies of the documentation?
5	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: The documentation that Mr.
7	Dunlop delivered in April of '97?
8	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And you don't know the
10	answer to that question; you're just posing it?
11	MR. GUZZO: I have been told the answer to
12	the question, but I don't know that it's accurate.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: And so this is you've
15	been told that by?
16	MR. GUZZO: I think I've been told by one of
17	the ministers in question. I think either Mr. Harnick or
18	Mr. Runciman have suggested to me that that's a I've
19	asked them and they haven't denied it; maybe put it that
20	way.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	And in this letter, you do not ask for a
23	formal reply?
24	MR. GUZZO: Nor do I ask for a meeting.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: You simply end it with:

1	"I simply am requesting that our
2	government is satisfied that our
3	responsibilities hereunder are being
4	met and I will interpret your silence
5	as my assurance that this is so."
6	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, you have a brief
8	reference to his letter in your original notes, C-847(c)?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Same page we were looking at
11	before?
12	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Feb '99, second letter to
14	Premier; it says:
15	"No reply - ever."
16	MR. GUZZO: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: But again, it didn't appear
18	you were asking for one.
19	MR. GUZZO: No, that's correct. That's
20	correct.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Sir, on that same page of your notes, you
23	reference March '99, and what is it you say there?
24	MR. GUZZO: "Back in House. I feel
25	alone"

1	And I quote the Minister of Agriculture.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Saying?
3	MR. GUZZO: I don't ask him anything in
4	this. This is a he approaches me in the legislative
5	building and said to me, "You're making it very tough for
6	me" or "You're interfering. Some of these people are our
7	supporters and our friends."
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So this is Mr. Villeneuve
9	telling you this?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Some of these people in
12	fact, the note says:
13	"some of these are our supporters and
14	friends".
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Who's the "some" referring
17	to?
18	MR. GUZZO: I interpret it to be some of the
19	perpetrators. We're talking
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Some of the alleged
21	perpetrators.
22	MR. GUZZO: Some of the alleged
23	perpetrators.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Is the conversation much
25	longer than what you've just described, or do you remember?

1	MR. GUZZO: I made a few comments, you know.
2	I made a few comments to him, but
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Such as?
4	MR. GUZZO: Well, I told him that these were
5	serious matters. If, in fact, the information is accurate
6	and correct, you can't be worried about our political
7	allies and our friends. We're talking about criminal
8	behaviour and we're talking about children and and, you
9	know, I was stunned by that comment. I was
10	MR. ENGELMANN: How did he respond after you
11	brought that up?
12	MR. GUZZO: I don't think he replied to me.
13	I think he walked away and
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, in or around March of
15	1999 and this letter's February 23 <sup>rd</sup> you talk about
16	the House being back in session. Do you recall if you
17	received or you were contacted by one or more senior public
18	servants?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I remember it extremely
20	well.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you remember who they
22	were?
23	MR. GUZZO: I
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And just tell us the order
25	of the contacts.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GUZZO: He introduced himself as the senior Commissioner with the Ontario Provincial Police in charge of criminal investigations. And I think he told me that he had either heard what I had had to say in the House and asking a question or in caucus asking a question or he had a copy of a letter that I had sent to the Premier and he wanted to know what I was talking about.

1	number in Florida?
2	MR. GUZZO: I would think he probably called
3	my constituency office and the constituency office may or
4	may not have called me. If he identified himself as such,
5	they may not even have called me, but they probably gave it
6	to him.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	And did you document this sort of the
9	nature of this call and some correspondence shortly
10	thereafter?
11	MR. GUZZO: I did. I scribbled a few notes,
12	but I documented it in detail in a letter that I sent to
13	the Premier's Chief of Staff, I think, on April the $3^{\rm rd}$ of
14	'98.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Of '99 perhaps?
16	MR. GUZZO: Of '99 rather.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	And perhaps the witness could be shown
19	Document Number 125441.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
21	Number 985 is a letter addressed to Ron McLaughlin, Chief
22	of Staff, from Mr. Garry Guzzo, dated April 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 1999.
23	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-985:
24	(125441) Letter fr Garry Guzzo to Ron
25	McLaughlin dated 03 Apr 99

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, I would like you
2	take a look at the third page of the letter. It starts at
3	the top of the page. Well, after you talk about your
4	letters being released and you talk about some recent press
5	stories, on top of the page, you say:
6	"As a result, I've received two very
7	interesting telephone calls, which I
8	would like to relay to you at this
9	point in time. I do so for the purpose
10	of assisting you and answering the
11	questions that I pose later on in this
12	letter."
13	So the next paragraph, which is the second
14	full paragraph on page 3, is that your reference to
15	documenting this call with Wayne Frechette?
16	MR. GUZZO: It is, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you just take a look
18	at that page then and tell us if it accurately reflects how
19	you remember the call?
20	MR. GUZZO: Yes, it accurately reflects the
21	conversation.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	And I note in the third paragraph, you say:
24	"We concluded the conversation by
25	Deputy Commissioner Frechette advising

1	me that I was dead wrong, but that if I
2	was right he had very serious problems
3	on his hands. The Deputy Commissioner
4	asked me if would be willing to meet
5	with him when I returned to Canada and
6	I told him that I would be willing to
7	fly back to Canada just to meet with
8	him."
9	So he's calling you in Florida?
10	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: You say:
12	"I did, in fact, return to Toronto for
13	a couple of days and called and left a
14	message that I was returning, but did
15	not hear from Deputy Commissioner
16	Frechette. When I returned to Canada,
17	I faxed the Deputy Commissioner to
18	advise him that I was back, that I
19	would be in Toronto on certain days.
20	And some five or six days later,
21	received a return call to my office,
22	advising that all the documentation in
23	question had been located and that
24	there was no need for us to get
25	together."

1	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that accurate, sir?
3	MR. GUZZO: Very.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
5	shown Document Number 124673? It should be a letter
6	this is, I think, one that you might have seen by mistake
7	earlier from Mr. Guzzo to Mr. Frechette dated March $31^{\rm st}$ ,
8	'99.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 986
10	is a letter to Wayne Frechette from Mr. Guzzo dated March
11	31 <sup>st</sup> , 1999.
12	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-986:
13	(124673) Letter from Garry Guzzo to
14	Wayne Frechette dated 31 Mar 99
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	Mr. Guzzo, have you seen this letter before?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I have.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that your signature?
19	MR. GUZZO: No, it's Mr. Grant's signature
20	on my behalf.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	And so it refers to a fax note of March 26,
23	'99 and the tel con of March $31^{\rm st}$ , '99 in the re: clause?
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And you would have written

1	this after or you would have asked that this be written
2	after you were given some information by Mr. Grant?
3	MR. GUZZO: I believe so. I believe that I
4	may have even dictated it, but I believe that's the case.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So what you're saying is essentially that
7	Mr. Frechette would have called and spoken with Mr. Grant
8	of your staff?
9	MR. GUZZO: I think he left a voicemail.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	Did you ever get a response to this letter?
12	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall. I don't
13	remember if I got it.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Was there any request for a
15	meeting after this letter?
16	MR. GUZZO: No, not on my part, nor did he
17	ask to meet with me.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever get a further
19	explanation about how these materials were tracked down?
20	MR. GUZZO: I did not.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Let's talk about then, you
22	received another call from a senior public servant?
23	MR. GUZZO: I did.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And, again, would you have
25	tried to document that call as well, sir?

1	MR. GUZZO: I did.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And would that have also
3	been set out in your letter of April $3^{\rm rd}$ , '99 to Mr.
4	McLaughlin, Exhibit 985?
5	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I did.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I would like you
7	to look at page 4 of that letter.
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: It refers to a call from a
10	man by the name of Segal?
11	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Would that be Mr. Murray
13	Segal?
14	MR. GUZZO: It was.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he indicate to you
16	what his title was at that time?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes, he told me he was the
18	Assistant Deputy Minister responsible for Criminal Law at
19	the Department of the Attorney General.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	I would like you to read that page, sir, if
22	you could, to yourself?
23	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	The aspects that deal with the phone call -
2	oh, did you read the top of page 5?
3	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not, I'm sorry.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Just the very top, because
5	then it goes on to other calls. Those
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: aspects of the fourth
8	page that deal with your telephone conversation, to the
9	best of your knowledge are they an accurate depiction of
10	the call?
11	MR. GUZZO: They are.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall who it is,
13	sir, Mr. Segal was referring to when you say he came back
14	on the phone? You say:
15	"Mr. Segal reviewed the file for
16	three or four minutes and then said
17	to me, and I think I'm quoting him
18	accurately, 'Wait a minute, we did
19	not send this material to the Ontario
20	Provincial Police.' He then advised
21	me that his department had sent the
22	material to a third party. Mr. Segal
23	then gave me the name of the third
24	party."
25	Who was the third party, sir?

1	MR. GUZZO: He named the Police Chief in
2	London, Ontario, Chief Fantino.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Where are we now?
5	We're on page 5?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, we're on page 4 of 8.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Four (4) of 8.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And we're in the
9	first full paragraph.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Right in the middle of the
12	page, sir. Starting:
13	"Mr. Segal reviewed the file for three
14	or four minutes and then said to me"
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you see that?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. So okay, so this
18	is right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Then you say:
20	"The name of the third party was
21	exactly the name used by the
22	investigating officer when he said, "We
23	have received nothing from OPP
24	Headquarters. All we ever got was some
25	material from a named third party, and

1	that was primarily newspaper clippings,
2	no affidavits, no documents, no
3	statements signed by witnesses."
4	Who are you referring to there, sir, when
5	you say the investigating officer?
6	MR. GUZZO: The individual who's telling me
7	this is quoting Pat Hall.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And who is it that's telling
9	you that?
10	MR. GUZZO: I believe it's Perry Dunlop and
11	
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I thought you didn't speak
13	to him?
14	MR. GUZZO: I think he's telling me told
15	me that when he came to see me in my office.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, in July of 1998?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes. And he said that when he
18	delivered them he's now delivered these, as I recollect,
19	to Pat Hall.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Well, there were some newspaper articles
22	about this issue in March of '99, April of '99; is that
23	fair?
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: About a letter from or a

1	note that Mr. Hall signed and gave to the Dunlops or gave
2	to Mr. Dunlop?
3	MR. GUZZO: Apparently the
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that what you're thinking
5	of?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, that I have it's
7	shortly after seeing that. It's April $3^{rd}$ is only a week
8	or two after that letter appeared in the Ottawa newspaper.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
10	MR. GUZZO: There was
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I think you referred to it
12	in this letter, sir.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Just a minute
14	now. I'm stuck on one okay. You're telling me that
15	well, in this letter you're relating to Mr. McLaughlin that
16	you had a discussion with Murray Segal?
17	MR. GUZZO: Right.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Murray Segal is telling
19	you that he referred material to Chief of Police Fantino?
20	MR. GUZZO: I'm not accusing him or
21	suggesting
22	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no.
23	MR. GUZZO: he did it, but they did it.
24	The ministry did it, whoever whoever took
25	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, right, right.

1	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. Right.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Let me step back
3	again. He's advising you that his department had sent the
4	material to a third party?
5	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: For what purpose?
7	MR. GUZZO: I can't answer
8	THE COMMISSIONER: He didn't tell you that?
9	MR. GUZZO: He didn't tell me that.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Okay.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	Mr. Guzzo, in the boxes that we received
13	from you, there's some notes, and I believe they refer to
14	this call. And I just if the witness could be shown
15	Document Number 124606?
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	THE COMMISSIONER: And pick your time for a
18	break, Mr. Engelmann.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm in your hands, sir. We
20	can either this document is in handwriting. I'd like if
21	Mr. Guzzo could read it for us.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Maybe we can do that before
24	we break?
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. So Exhibit number

1	987 are notes dated 99/8/3 from Mr. Guzzo. Okay.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO 987:
3	(124606) Gary Guzzo's handwritten notes
4	dated 99/8/3
5	THE COMMISSIONER: So can you tell me, what
6	are these notes, sir?
7	MR. GUZZO: These are notes, and I think I
8	make them the day after the call.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
10	MR. GUZZO: If I'm not mistaken, the date
11	doesn't
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Can you read them for
14	us, sir?
15	MR. GUZZO: It says:
16	"6:30 p.m. received a call from Murray
17	Segal in Florida. MS"
18	I think Murray Segal.
19	"attempts to explain. We've never
20	made contact prior to fall of '98."
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Does that say however never
22	made contact, or is it never?
23	MR. GUZZO: "However, never made contact."
24	MR. ENGELMANN:
25	"Never made contact prior ie: fall

1		'98?"
2	Son	rry.
3	MR.	GUZZO: Yeah, I think so. I think
4	you're right.	
5		"However, never made contact prior
6		ie: fall of '98."
7	THE	COMMISSIONER: Okay. Let's keep going.
8	MR.	GUZZO:
9		"Purpose to explain the situation. I
10		said to him, there's no need to
11		explain, no answer is requested, just
12		to know the government has done all
13		that needed."
14	THE	COMMISSIONER: All necessary.
15	MR.	GUZZO: "All necessary."
16	THE	COMMISSIONER: If I can help.
17	MR.	GUZZO: Yeah.
18		"He said we turned all materials over
19		to the Ontario Provincial Police.
20		Later clarified sent material to Chief
21		Fantino of London, Ontario because of
22		his experience concerning pedophile
23		cases. He turned in the material to
24		Ken Smith, chief investigator. Mr.
25		Segal questioned my interest. In my

1	questions I said very little, except
2	that the Ontario government was not
3	exposed. Mr. Segal questions what I
4	know and I advise only that I have been
5	told and given some documents. He
6	suggests that a lawyer's brief given to
7	Chief Fantino a month prior to April
8	8th, '97. Mr. Segal asks me to confirm
9	the documents are the same I'm talking
10	about in my letters are the same as
11	those in the brief and I answer, 'I
12	don't know'."
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you think that might
14	be "As lawyer's brief"?
15	MR. GUZZO: "As lawyer's brief."
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know what's written
17	on the left, there, sir?
18	MR. GUZZO: It says:
19	"Note well: Confirms document what I
20	was told in Cornwall, i.e., cop said he
21	got zero from OPP headquarters. All
22	material document, affidavits, all came
23	from Chief Fantino. No documents and
24	affidavits received from Ken Smith."
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Page 2?

1	MR. GUZZO: Page 2, I note:
2	"Murray Segal's information is contra
3	Chief Superintendent Frechette's of
4	5/3/99."
5	That's March the 5th, '99.
6	"I'll have no information of what you
7	speak of. We received no such
8	documents. Murray Segal on March 8th,
9	'99, all documents given to the OPP.
10	Later all documents given to Chief
11	Fantino. Not clear. Did he know what
12	Fantino gave Ken Smith? Confirm Ken
13	Smith. We got nothing from the OPP
14	headquarters. Only got part of info
15	from Chief Fantino, not all. This is
16	given to"
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Inspector Hall?
18	MR. GUZZO: "Inspector Hall in the fall
19	of '98. Murray Segal to get back to me
20	re exactly what given to the OPP and
21	what was given to chief investigators.
22	Mr. Segal more interested in finding
23	what I know versus answering questions
24	or giving me info. I started out by
25	saying, 'I don't want info, I don't

1	want answers'. Very late in the
2	conversation, Murray Segal asked me if
3	I had any other questions. I then
4	asked him if the hotel registration on
5	the pedophile strip in the '70s were
6	originals and if not, as a prosecutor,
7	he would want same because I felt that
8	the originals were still in Florida
9	twelve months ago anyway, and that
10	former proprietor motel was very ill.
11	All this to be read in conjunction with
12	the info received from people at
13	OCCOPS",
14	which is the civil police organization OCCPS is the
15	Ontario Civil it does civil reviews of the Ontario
16	Provincial Police activity and that information was that
17	the material was sent to the OPP, all material received
18	from Mr. Dunlop, I guess, and his associate, four-to-five
19	days after April, '97.
20	"Description of the information very
21	accurate, very graphic, therefore, OPP
22	had the material. Frechette didn't
23	know about it. Investigating Officer
24	Smith didn't receive until the fall of
25	'98 from whom in Cornwall."

157

1	And I make the dates of 31/8/98.
2	And "ccc" I think is I'm thinking of
3	OCCPS.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, the last half of that
5	page, are those simply your notes or does that have
6	something to do with the call?
7	MR. GUZZO: No, I'm I'm starting to put -
8	- that's my I'm drawing some conclusions there, I think.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So when did the
10	notes with respect to the call end?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, I think that that's it.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: No, but the note that
13	referred to what was said in the call, do they end halfway
14	through that third page or do they end earlier? Where do
15	they end?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I think they halfway
17	through page 3 is where the notes with regard to the
18	conversation end.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: So there's about three
20	lines that are empty and there's an inverted "S" or
21	something
22	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, something like that, yeah.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
24	Let's take a break and come back at 3:30
25	p.m.

1	THE REGISTRAR: Order, all rise. A l'order
2	veuillez vous lever.
3	This hearing will resume at 3:30 p.m.
4	Upon recessing at 3:12 p.m./
5	L'audience est suspendue à 15h12
6	Upon resuming at 3:34 p.m./
7	L'audience est reprise à 15h34
8	THE REGISTRAR: Order, all rise. À l'order
9	veuillez vous lever.
10	This hearing is now resumed; please be
11	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just be one moment, Mr.
13	Commissioner.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
15	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, I think we were
17	dealing last with a call you had with Mr. Segal
18	MR. GUZZO: Right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: in March of 1999?
20	If I could just have a moment.
21	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
22	MR. ENGELMANN: In your letter of April 3rd
23	to Mr. McLaughlin, which is Exhibit 985, you refer to some
24	further contact with Mr. Segal, after the first call? And
25	I'm referring specifically to page 5.

158

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I I ala.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you just give us a sense
3	of how you recall your continuing contact with Mr. Segal?
4	MR. GUZZO: Well, as far as I was concerned,
5	I was packing up my file and heading to Toronto to see both
6	Mr. Frechette and Mr. Segal when I when I was back the
7	next week.
8	And I had been invited to come and meet with
9	him, as I recollect, and I believe there was a Mr. Segal
10	knew precisely when I was going to return and it was
11	sometime after the 10th, I think, or 12th, and on no,
12	sometime after the 17th or 19th, I think. Anyway
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Of March?
14	MR. GUZZO: Of March, yes.
15	On Sunday the $14^{\rm th}$ , Mr. Segal, I think,
16	called my constituency office at 7:30 p.m. on a Sunday,
17	March 14th, and left a voicemail, as I say in the letter.
18	The voicemail stated that:
19	"The Ontario Provincial Police had
20	received all the documentation to which
21	I had referred in my letter."
22	Please note that Detective Hall's letter had
23	not appeared in the Ottawa Sun at that time, so I do not
24	know when Mr. Segal felt the Ontario Provincial Police had,
25	in fact, received the documentation.

1	But anyway, he left in that I think he
2	told me I think that was the call where he said there
3	was no need for us to meet.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And just to be clear, was it
5	a phone call or was it voicemail message?
6	MR. GUZZO: Well, he leaves a voicemail
7	message on Sunday. There's nobody in the constituency
8	office on a Sunday night.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. Okay.
10	MR. GUZZO: So he leaves a voice mail.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And a little later on in
12	that paragraph, you say, "In any event, Mr. Segal concluded
13	his voice mail"; do you see that?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Is this something you
16	remember as well "by very definitely stating to me that I
17	should not call him"?
18	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And Mr. Segal made it very
20	clear that if I had any other questions I should deal with
21	the Ontario Provincial Police and not call him?
22	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Had you ever called him at
24	that point, sir?
25	MR. GUZZO: I had not, and I had not called,

1	nor would I have called, the Ontario Provincial police.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, why not?
3	MR. GUZZO: Well, it's the first thing you
4	learn in politics, either at the municipal level where I
5	served for seven years or if you interject yourself,
6	you're going to get yourself in trouble. The first
7	reaction and you'll be criticized for interfering with a
8	police investigation, influencing trying to influence a
9	police investigation and I mean, other than return a
10	call, which my office did to Inspector Hall, and that's why
11	I found it disturbing that he would tell me to call the
12	police.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	And, sir, were there, to your knowledge,
15	some exchanges of memos between your office and Mr.
16	Segal's?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. When I got back or
18	maybe I dictated it before I got back even, I authorized a
19	memo or I sent a memo to him, and I think I, first of all
20	pointed out to him that he didn't have to tell me not to
21	call him; I hadn't called him. He had called me at 6:30
22	at dinnertime at my home. I and
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	Let me show you just a couple of documents
25	then if can, sir. If the witness could be shown 200158,

1	200159 and 200160.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
5	Exhibit number 988 is a memo dated March 15,
6	1999, to Murray Segal from Garry Guzzo.
7	EXHIBIT NO./ PIÈCE NO P-988:
8	(200158) Memo from Garry Guzzo to
9	Murray Segal dated 15 Mar 99
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Nine-eight-eight (988), sir?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, 988.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And just before we got into
13	it, perhaps the witness can be shown the other two as well.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit number 989 is a
15	memo dated March 16, 1999, to Murray Segal from Garry
16	Guzzo.
17	EXHIBIT NO./ PIÈCE NO P-989:
18	(200159) Memo from Garry Guzzo to
19	Murray Segal dated 16 Mar 99
20	THE COMMISSIONER: And the next one is
21	Exhibit 990. It's a facsimile cover sheet sent from this
22	from the Assistant Deputy Attorney General oh, Murray
23	Segal, there's his name, sorry, dated March 16, 1999, to a
24	phone number, 41 well, to a phone number. Oh, "Dear Mr.
25	Guzzo", all right. We'll put it that way.

1	EXHIBIT NO./ PIÈCE NO P-990:
2	(200160) Memo Murray Segal to Garry
3	Guzzo dated 16 Mar 99
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	So Mr. Guzzo, are you still in Florida at
6	this time?
7	MR. GUZZO: I believe I am.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So
9	MR. GUZZO: I believe I am.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So can you tell us what it
11	is we're looking at here, sir?
12	MR. GUZZO: Well, the March 15th, 988, is a
13	memo that I have authorized somebody on staff it looks
14	like William Grant, to send to Mr. Segal to confirm that
15	the authorities have the brief quoting him. It said:
16	"Your tape indicates that I, Mr. Segal,
17	called to confirm that the authorities
18	have the brief that you and Mr. Guzzo
19	were referring to."
20	And I said:
21	"Does this mean that the authorities
22	have it now or have had it all along
23	and if so, from what time? I thank you
24	for your attention."
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, there's some

1	handwriting on this, is that anything that you're familiar
2	with or is that something that someone would have written
3	at Mr. Segal's office? None of that's your handwriting?
4	MR. GUZZO: None of that's my handwriting
5	and none of it looks to be from anybody in my office that I
6	recall.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: There's a note on it that
8	says, "Left a message March 12, '99, with Bill Grant." Do
9	you see that?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And there's also a date
12	stamp, March 12, '99. Do you have any idea why there'd be
13	references to March 12th on something that's apparently
14	written on March 15th?
15	MR. GUZZO: No, but
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	MR. GUZZO: I can't I
18	MR. ENGELMANN: No, that's fine.
19	MR. GUZZO: You know.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	And the next exhibit, sir, 989. Why is it
22	that you asked that a second note be sent to Mr. Segal?
23	MR. GUZZO: Well, he's obviously replied
24	that the authorities the OPP had the brief for several
25	months and that any further communications I wished to make

1	should be given to the police.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is what he had told
3	you as well on the phone; is that right?
4	MR. GUZZO: This is I believe so, yes, or
5	left on the voice mail.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
7	MR. GUZZO: I went on to say that:
8	"I wasn't talking about a brief. I
9	spoke of documents, affidavits,
10	photocopies, hotel registrations at the
11	two hotels on the pedophile strip on
12	Birch Avenue, Fort Lauderdale. You
13	spoke of a lawyer's brief received by
14	your department some months prior to
15	April 8, 1997. I accept that the
16	lawyer's brief and the three boxes of
17	documents, affidavits and clippings may
18	be one in the same. Unless I hear to
19	the contrary, I will assume that that
20	is the case and that the evidence has
21	been given to the OPP many months ago."
22	And he writes back in his in handwriting
23	with a fax saying:
24	"I've done my best. It's clear that
25	you are more familiar than me with the

1	material. I have never seen the
2	material as I've indicated to you. You
3	seem to have a familiarity with the
4	contents or some of same. While I
5	believe we are talking about the same
6	stuff, if you are in any doubt, please
7	feel free to contact the OPP, Inspector
8	Tim Smith. Thank you."
9	And he signed it.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	So have you come to an understanding at this
12	point then that and I just go back to the 989, that the
13	lawyer's brief and the three boxes of documents, affidavits
14	and news clippings may be one in the same package?
15	MR. GUZZO: I you know, I'm prepared to
16	accept that if somebody's going to tell me that's the
17	situation, yeah.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just be a moment.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, Mr. Guzzo, going back
22	to the April $3^{\rm rd}$ letter then, which is Exhibit 985, you
23	indicated to us well, first of all, why do you write
24	this letter to Mr. McLaughlin at this time, April 3, 1999?
25	MR. GUZZO: First of all, I'm not writing to

1	Mr. McLaughlin. I addressed it to Mr. McLaughlin. I make
2	a decision at that time that I'm going to start documenting
3	the case.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, you've written to the
5	Premier twice
6	MR. GUZZO: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: With copies to two
8	cabinet ministers?
9	MR. GUZZO: Right.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Now, Mr. McLaughlin has
11	acknowledged has written to you on March 24 <sup>th</sup> .
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
14	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, there was a there were
15	a couple of other letters exchanged between Mr. McLaughlin
16	and my office and there were some telephone exchanges that
17	were not overly friendly and I had some discussion with Mr.
18	McLaughlin towards the end of March or the first of April
19	at which time I was no longer being ignored.
20	I thought I was being lied to.
21	I was quite upset. I'd had enough. And
22	it's at this point that I decide to start documenting the
23	case, and the purpose of this eight-page letter was to put
24	some things down that, quite frankly, sir, I was writing to
25	you when I wrote this letter. I start at this point in

1	time saying "some day there's going to be an inquiry and
2	I'm going to document the case" and that's what I set out
3	to do. And I I'm use I use Mr. McLaughlin for a
4	reason.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So let me let's just go back just before
7	this then, because there is a reference to a letter. "This
8	will acknowledge and thank you for yours of March $24^{\rm th}$ ,
9	'99." So he's just written to you; correct?
10	MR. GUZZO: He has.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And let's just go back a
12	step if we can. I'd like to show you document number
13	124811.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
15	Here's a letter dated March 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 1999, from
16	Mr. Guzzo to Ron McLaughlin.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: That can be the next
18	exhibit, sir.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry; 991, yes.
20	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-991:
21	(124811) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Ron
22	McLaughlin dated 23 Mar 99
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Seen this letter before, Mr.
24	Guzzo?
25	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I have.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And you had this letter
2	written on your behalf?
3	MR. GUZZO: I did.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: What is the information
5	you're referring to in the first paragraph?
6	MR. GUZZO: I believe it's material
7	contained in the one or both of the letters to the
8	Premier.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And who are you saying has
10	it?
11	MR. GUZZO: I've been contacted by one
12	member of the media and they're asking me some questions
13	about it.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And I think you've answered this earlier,
16	but did you provide those documents to any members of the
17	media?
18	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: But you do say "I do not
20	have a copy." What do you mean by that? First paragraph.
21	MR. GUZZO: I don't have a copy of either
22	the either what the media the media clip or the
23	newspaper clip.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	And, Mr. Guzzo, you get a letter the next
2	day from Mr. McLaughlin. We were unable to find it in the
3	documents you gave us, a letter dated March $24^{ m th}$ . Was that
4	a letter was that a response to the letter of March $23^{\rm rd}$
5	or was that a response to your letter to the Premier of
6	February 23 <sup>rd</sup> , or are you able to help us?
7	MR. GUZZO: I'm my feeling is that it was
8	in response to the second letter to the Premier. There has
9	been in early March mid-March there has been some
10	exchange of voicemails and telephone conversations between
11	Mr. McLaughlin and my staff and other members of the
12	Premier's staff and my staff.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So when you write on
15	February 16 <sup>th</sup> , 1999, in your notes, "Second letter, copy to
16	the AG and Solicitor General. No reaction, no reply."
17	Well you did get a reaction or some reply through Mr.
18	McLaughlin; is that fair?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well, it is fair, yeah. There
20	are a lot of there are a number of issues that are
21	bubbling to the surface right at this time
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Such as?
23	MR. GUZZO: and environmental problem
24	in south Ottawa that, you know, I don't think has been
25	looked after and I'm not getting any action on it and I'm

1	not happy about it. As well as I believe an economic
2	development project in south Ottawa.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MR. GUZZO: And I'm being told it's not your
5	you know, nickel and dime being nickel and dimed to
6	death, you know. It's not your riding, stay out of it. I
7	know it's not my riding. The riding's held by a Liberal.
8	You know, we're the government. I mean, what do you mean,
9	you're not going to get involved because it's in a Liberal
10	riding? Anyway.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: But you have some references
12	in your notes to what's going on around this time, and I
13	just want you to look at 840 sorry, C848C if you could.
14	And in particular, Mr. Guzzo
15	MR. GUZZO: I'm sorry, which one is 840
16	MR. ENGELMANN: The yellow pages.
17	MR. GUZZO: Okay, yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: The original version.
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: I have a couple of
21	questions. It's pages 3 and 4, sir, not the Roman numeral
22	pages, but the just the normal numbers.
23	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And so we've got three on
25	the screen, which says April '99.

1	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And then four it appears
3	to talk about draft bill, and then is that March $31^{\rm st}$ or May
4	31 <sup>st</sup> ? Just look at it and once you've read it maybe you car
5	help us with that.
6	MR. GUZZO: I think it was March and it's
7	been changed to May.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And if there was a
9	change
10	MR. GUZZO: It is
11	MR. ENGELMANN: that would have been a
12	change by yourself?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes. It is May 31st is the date
14	that I speak to the lady.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	So let's look at page 3 of your notes onto
17	the top of page 4.
18	MR. GUZZO: Let me just correct that. I'm
19	sorry; I'm wrong. It's March $31^{\rm st}$ . It's the day before the
20	a certain item appears in the Ottawa Sun. So it's
21	which was April $1^{\rm st}$ . So it's March $31^{\rm st}$ .
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	And your letter to Mr. McLaughlin is April
24	3 <sup>rd</sup> , '99.
25	MR. GUZZO: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, I want you to look at
2	the notes that you've identified as being notes from April
3	on page 3, and let us know whether you're talking about
4	things that occur before or after you write the April $3^{\rm rd}$
5	letter, which is Exhibit 985.
6	MR. GUZZO: The note saying "April '99 PC
7	meeting" I confront Ron McLaughlin. This happens before.
8	This is one of the pivotal things that causes the letter of
9	April 3 <sup>rd</sup> .
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	So either this is the $1^{\rm st}$ or $2^{\rm nd}$ of April or
12	perhaps it should have said March?
13	MR. GUZZO: It could have been the last
14	weekend in March, you know.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: So tell us what you have in
16	your notes here?
17	MR. GUZZO: I say, "PC meeting". I confront
18	Ron McLaughlin. "Cool it" he says to me, "Cool it
19	before you cause trouble. I am taking care of it."
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Who says, I am taking care
21	of it?
22	MR. GUZZO: Mr. McLaughlin.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	MR. GUZZO: He says, "I am writing to the
25	Secretary of Cabinet "

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Who is that?
2	MR. GUZZO: At that time it is a lady by the
3	name of Rita Burak.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So is that is it -
5	- does the word "how" appear just before that?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm taking care of it,
8	"how"?
9	MR. GUZZO: I got "how?"
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And that's you asking
11	how?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. And then "A", answer, "I
13	am writing to the Secretary of Cabinet."
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And is that you asking, "Why
15	her?"
16	MR. GUZZO: I say, "Why her? Why not the
17	doorman at the Royal York Hotel?"
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	MR. GUZZO: And I don't get any response
20	from Mr. McLaughlin.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So why did you make that
22	comment to him, sir? Why not the doorman at the Royal York
23	Hotel?
24	MR. GUZZO: Well, if we have a problem, the
25	last person in the world that's going to be in a position

1	to do anything about it is the secretary of Cabinet. I
2	mean, this if it's a problem for us, it's a police
3	problem, and somebody has to the minister responsible
4	has to take control of the situation and have the police
5	address the matter, but it's not going I mean, to
6	suggest that you're going to give it to the secretary of
7	Cabinet is to "deep six it". I mean like she or her staff
8	are not going to do anything, get involved in it. They're
9	an administrative function. That's the deputy minister
10	responsible for the Premier's office.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And what do you say further on in your
13	notes, then?
14	MR. GUZZO: I say "No response" when I ask
15	the question about the Royal York Hotel doorman. So I say
16	"I have to speak to the Premier. I want to speak with the
17	Premier." And he says, "No time. There's an election
18	coming." And then he says, "I have never shown Mike your
19	f'ing letters."
20	MR. ENGELMANN: This is McLaughlin speaking
21	to you?
22	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
23	And I told him, I said, "Well, that's not
24	true" because I don't tell him but other staffers have told
25	me otherwise and Mike let his has let his feelings be

1	known in the Whip's office.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So let me just
3	stop you there.
4	Had Mr. Harris said something to you when
5	you were in the Whip's office, at some point?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, we had an exchange. We
7	had an exchange.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: When would that have
9	happened? Was that some time after you wrote your first or
10	second letter? Or was it before?
11	MR. GUZZO: No, it was I think it was
12	between the first and second letters. I think it was
13	probably before Christmas.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And the Whip's office is the place where
16	members of your caucus meet and socialize and chat?
17	MR. GUZZO: Right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And had he indicated to you
19	that he had seen your letters or was it just that your
20	deduction from what he said to you?
21	MR. GUZZO: Well, he
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Tell us about the
23	exchange.
24	MR. GUZZO: I beg your pardon?
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Tell us what he said;

1	what you said.
2	MR. GUZZO: He said, "You're", you know,
3	like we both got a couple of drinks in us, I mean -he said,
4	you know, "You're causing a lot of trouble and you're more
5	trouble than you're worth and I'm fed up with it." I said,
6	"Well, just deal with the situation." You know, "Just deal
7	with the situation; we've got a problem."
8	But one of his staffers had told me that
9	they discussed the first letter at a meeting and they had
10	kicked around a few ideas, but I have no never had any
11	indication that the issue was ever discussed at Cabinet.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, is that the extent
13	of your discussion with him in the Whip's office?
14	MR. GUZZO: Oh, yeah. Yeah.
15	I mean, you know but the next thing, a
16	football game when it come up and we carry on a
17	conversation. I mean he's as long as you aren't talking
18	about the issue, you can get along with him.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: So it's a short conversation
20	about the issue?
21	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, but and he's been
22	he's been, you know, I mean he's been freezing me out a bit
23	during the last month or so. He's and, as I say, the
24	staffer tells me at one of the meetings they have a
25	discussion about the a thorough discussion about the

1	letter and the situation.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And so now Mr. McLaughlin is
3	saying to you, "I've never shown Mike your f'ing letters."
4	MR. GUZZO: Right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And so what's your response
6	or reaction to that, sir?
7	MR. GUZZO: Well, I make a note there that
8	now they lie to me, no more just ignored; "No longer just
9	ignored".
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And what else are you
11	saying, in your note?
12	MR. GUZZO: Well, I have a further note
13	there that I confront the member from Cornwall, that's Mr.
14	Villeneuve; he doesn't want to discuss the matter.
15	I meet with Mr. Cleary. I probably just
16	went across the aisle one day and debates were on, and sat
17	beside him. He's very supportive and he's very positive
18	about doing something but, of course, he's not in
19	government.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: What do we see, then, on the
21	top of the next page, sir?
22	MR. GUZZO: I have a note about a draft
23	bill, which was given first reading. I make a note about
24	news reports of flood of calls and I have two dates: March
25	24th, '99 and April 1, '99.

24th, '99 and April 1, '99.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And those are dates when
2	there are articles appearing in newsletters or newspapers?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yes. We have a right at the
4	end of March we have a big press conference in eastern
5	Ontario, down near Hawkesbury. The issue is cross-border
6	labour.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Cross-border, as in Quebec
8	and Ontario?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
10	And there is an unfair practice where Quebec
11	workers are being allowed to come into Ontario but Ontario
12	workers can't work in Quebec, and also contract work is
13	there's a big casino being built on the Quebec side and a
14	couple of Ontario people have been denied the right to bid
15	on certain contracts; food supply being one of them.
16	And there's an election coming, so we're
17	down there. The Premier's in eastern Ontario, and there
18	are a couple of photo ops and a couple of announcements to
19	be made; one at a farm or at a farm that's been turned into
20	a manufacturing concern near L'Orignal and another one
21	closer to Ottawa, but they're trying to sell some kind of
22	building materials into the Quebec market and are being
23	refused.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And, sir, who's the
25	Premier staffer that you speak to that you're referring to?

1	MR. GUZZO: Her name is Deborah Hutton.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	And what are you saying to her?
4	MR. GUZZO: Mike is making a speech in this
5	and all the press is out, there's an election coming and
6	things are humming and this is a hot issue. And I'm
7	standing down at the side and she comes by and is standing
8	beside me and I she's a very, very influential member of
9	his staff and she's probably I've been following
10	politics a long, long time and she is as good as anybody
11	I've ever watched, in terms of controlling the circus
12	around a lead politician, and I said to her, "We have to do
13	something about Cornwall."
14	I've already I think at this point in
15	time I've filed the bill for first reading and
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, let me stop you there.
17	I thought the bill Bill 103, in any event
18	doesn't go first reading until June of 2000.
19	MR. GUZZO: Well,
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Am I mistaken?
21	MR. GUZZO: No. See, when the election
22	comes, the House is
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Dissolved?
24	MR. GUZZO: dissolved and all the bills
25	die.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
2	MR. GUZZO: So I have to reintroduce that
3	bill
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	MR. GUZZO: I have to reintroduce that bill.
6	But I think I think I
7	MR. ENGELMANN: So it never gets first
8	reading?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Well,
10	MR. GUZZO: No, it got first reading. It
11	never gets never the second reading. But I may not
12	you know, I don't know that I have a record of the day on
13	which I introduce that bill or first reading; I may have
14	just floated it. I may have just floated it; sent it
15	around for comment or something, just to get the but I
16	think I think I gave it first reading.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you get a
18	sense that this person, Ms. Hutton, knows what you're
19	talking about when you mention Cornwall?
20	MR. GUZZO: She knows everything.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	MR. GUZZO: She's the eyes and ears of the
23	Premier's office.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And what's the
25	response?

I	MR. GUZZO: Well, I she said, "It's
2	not it can't be touched. Don't even think about it."
3	And I said, "Well, Noble's seat is going to go down. We're
4	going to lose a Cabinet Minister seat, I think." And she
5	said, "Well, that's a price we'll have to pay".
6	And a note I had a note, "The price we
7	have to pay for what, to protect pedophiles?" And she just
8	looks at me with a blank stare.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: No response?
10	MR. GUZZO: No response.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So and on the
12	previous page, when you say, "PC meeting", is that a
13	meeting of caucus? Just go back to or is that something
14	else?
15	MR. GUZZO: On the previous page?
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
17	MR. GUZZO: No. No, this is a weekend
18	this is a weekend meeting of the party.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	MR. GUZZO: The troops are being organized
21	for the election and I think we're in Hamilton if I'm not
22	mistaken.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So everything
24	that you've described, page 3 of your notes onto the top of
25	page 4 and the March 31st encounter, those events all taken

1	place before you right this letter of April 3-4, '99 to Mr.
2	McLaughlin?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And you said there was media
5	coverage March $24^{\rm th}$ , '99 and April $1^{\rm st}$ , '99, correct, and
6	you've got that in your notes?
7	MR. GUZZO: Right. I think I think there
8	was media coverage March $7^{\rm th}$ March $17^{\rm th}$ , '99 is the day
9	I think March $17^{\rm th}$ , '99 is the day that the letter appears
10	in The Sun, <u>The Ottawa Sun</u> , wherein Pat Hall signed the
11	letter signed by Pat Hall
12	MR. ENGELMANN: It's the letter about when
13	he receives information?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, this is I'm sorry, I'm
15	wrong, it's you're right. It's Wednesday this is
16	Wednesday, March $24^{\text{th}}$ and that's when the letter signed by
17	Pat Hall acknowledging dated July 31st, '98 where he
18	says he's received from Dunlop four volumes of documents
19	and that he has not received all of these prior thereto.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Just so we're
21	clear for the record, are you if the witness could be
22	shown document 123181. It's a cross document.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 992
24	is well, there are a number of newspaper clippings. One
25	by Jacki Leroux do we have dates The Ottawa Sun,

1	March 24 <sup>th</sup> , 1999. Thank you.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-992:
3	(123181) The Ottawa Sun Article "Letter
4	Confirms Cops Lacked Files dated 24
5	Mar 99
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. There's two newspaper
7	articles here, Mr. Guzzo, one is "Letter Confirms Cops
8	Lacked Files" by Jacki Leroux?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: There is another one that
11	says, "An exercise", quote, "Incompetence", close quote.
12	MR. GUZZO: Right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Is one of those the article
14	you're referring to or both?
15	MR. GUZZO: I was referring to the first one
16	by Ms. Leroux.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So that's
18	happening just before you're writing to Mr. McLaughlin as
19	well?
20	MR. GUZZO: That's right. This is this
21	is just before the the week before the meeting and the
22	weekend before the weekend meeting. I think it was in
23	Hamilton. And my discussions with Mr. McLaughlin and
24	MR. ENGELMANN: So there's a note with a
25	picture of Perry Dunlop. It says:

1	"The Ontario Provincial Police Project
2	Truth investigators never received the
3	full package that was delivered to the
4	Office of the Attorney General or the
5	Office of the Solicitor General,
6	Ontario, Civilian Commission on
7	Policing Services. That was hand-
8	delivered on the $8^{\rm th}$ of April, '97 to
9	the said offices by Constable Perry
10	Dunlop."
11	That's what you're referring to?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And the note itself is dated
14	July 31 <sup>st</sup> , '98?
15	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's in the newspaper in
17	March of '99?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Again, sir, would you have
20	anything to do with putting that in the newspaper?
21	MR. GUZZO: No, I was shocked to see it to
22	tell you the truth. I did not know at that until I saw
23	it in the paper. I don't think I knew that when the
24	documents were served on Pat Hall in Cornwall in July of
25	'98, that they had had him sign a I don't think I knew

1	that. I think I was stunned to see that he signed that.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, you also referred
3	to a little earlier this afternoon, your evidence a
4	reference, it's page 2 of C-848C, the very bottom of the
5	page. That's your original note, sir?
6	MR. GUZZO: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Reference to March '99?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: You referred to the
10	reference to the Minister of Agriculture, the conversation
11	you had with him where he told you some of these are
12	supporters and friends.
13	I wanted to show you another document that
14	we received from you, but it didn't scan, so I haven't
15	actually this hasn't been given out, but it's talking
16	about something very similar. It's headed up it's
17	Exhibit or, sorry, it's Document Number 200168. I don't
18	know, Madam Clerk, if you have this?
19	THE REGISTRAR: (off mic).
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
21	Number 993 is a memo to Garry Guzzo from Bill Grant.
22	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-993:
23	(200168) Memo fr Bill Grant to Garry
24	Guzzo dated 19 Mar 99
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, have you seen

1	this document before?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I have.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And who would have prepared
4	this document?
5	MR. GUZZO: Bill Grant prepared it. He at
6	some point told me that he received this telephone call
7	from the lady named and what she said and I instructed him
8	to give me a memo-to-file on it.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MR. GUZZO: So we would have it.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So this is somewhat similar
12	to what Mr. Villeneuve had told you himself?
13	MR. GUZZO: Very similar.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So finally let's
15	go back to the letter of April $3^{\rm rd}$ . I see there is a lot of
16	activity just before that. And you said to the
17	Commissioner earlier that although you wrote this letter to
18	Mr. McLaughlin at the time, you were thinking ahead to a
19	possible inquiry, even when you were writing that letter?
20	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I'm thinking of I want
21	to document the case for the inquiry and I want to keep a
22	record of the items that I think are important. And so I
23	I start making a nuisance of myself by writing long
24	epistles when situations develop that allow me to attach to
25	the letters documentation that I think is important.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	So you've now written letters on September
3	18, '98, on February 23, 1999. Let's go through this
4	document if we can, sir. You spent some time putting this
5	together, obviously, at that time?
6	MR. GUZZO: I did.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And in the second paragraph
8	you're talking about, am I correct, why it is you forwarded
9	copies of previous letters and this letter to the AG and
10	the Solicitor General?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think so.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And at the top of the third
13	paragraph you're saying:
14	"I concur with your opinion that it
15	would be inappropriate for the office
16	of the Premier to intervene in a
17	police investigation."
18	Do you see that?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: When were you told that?
21	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall, but it may it
24	may have been in our discussion the week before in Hamilton
25	or a week and a half before in Hamilton.

1	mr. Engelmann: Did you want the Premier's
2	office to intervene in a police investigation?
3	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: You appear to discuss in
5	that paragraph investigations that took place again by
6	earlier police forces, the Cornwall Police, the Ontario
7	Provincial Police, back in 1994. We've seen this before.
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes. Yes, I think
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you acknowledged, sir,
10	that there are a number of people that have been charged
11	_
12	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: as a result of the
14	Project Truth investigations. Why are you critical of that
15	or what are you being critical of here?
16	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm I think that, first
17	of all, it's got to be somewhat embarrassing for us. If
18	you look at the fact that the OPP did a seven-month
19	investigation, concluded it on Christmas Eve '94 saying
20	there was no one to be charged and nothing amiss. The
21	Ottawa Police, having agreed to send two officers in the
22	year before, late in '93, given four to six months to
23	review the Cornwall Police situation, and they, I'm told
24	I am told that they were back in the first week and they
25	issued a statement saying they couldn't afford the time

1	even though it was only eight days before they had assigned
2	Detective Skinner and his associate.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, sir, you're not
4	talking about that here, are you?
5	MR. GUZZO: Well, no, I'm I'm talking
6	about the
7	MR. ENGELMANN: You're not talking about the
8	Ottawa Police here? You've talked about that elsewhere
9	perhaps, but you're not talking about that here?
10	MR. GUZZO: I'm sorry, you're right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: You're expressing a concern
12	about the fact that in the earlier investigation, they
13	decided there were no charges to be laid or nothing wrong,
14	I think is the word you're using, and now they've charged a
15	lot of people?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, is it possible that
18	some of this information simply wasn't available or just
19	came to light later on? Isn't that a possible explanation?
20	MR. GUZZO: Yes. Yes, it's possible.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And you hadn't spoken to
22	anybody from the OPP at this point?
23	MR. GUZZO: No. No, I had
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, I'm sorry.
25	MR. GUZZO: No.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Except for
2	MR. GUZZO: Except for Mr. Frechette.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Frechette?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he get into at all
6	about the work that the Project Truth team was doing in
7	Cornwall or was it really a discussion about documents in
8	the main?
9	MR. GUZZO: I have to say that my
10	recollection I don't think Mr. Frechette was aware, at
11	least he didn't indicate to me that he was aware of what
12	was going on in that investigation.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, let's flip to the
14	third page of that same letter, and you've confirmed that
15	this is accurate as far as your recollection. About three
16	lines into the second paragraph:
17	"Mr. Frechette informed me that he was the Deputy
18	Commissioner in charge of all criminal
19	investigations for the Ontario Provincial Police
20	and that he was aware of all criminal
21	investigations taking place. Particularly, he
22	had reviewed his file and all matters concerning
23	Project Truth in the City of Cornwall as a result
24	of having received a copy of a letter I sent to
25	you."

1	And you go on and you
2	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm clearly in error when
3	I say he wasn't aware, but I I was left with the
4	impression that he was of the opinion that the thing had
5	ended or certainly he was satisfied that everything was
6	copasetic.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	Now, you talk about the fact that some
9	people had just come in to meet with you and they alleged
10	that their child had been continually sexually abused over
11	the past year up until December of 1998. Do you see that?
12	MR. GUZZO: I do.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And you gave us the name of
14	the father of the alleged victim?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I've misplaced my moniker
17	list. I apologize.
18	MR. GUZZO: It's C-42, I think.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: C-42, yes. And the name of
20	the alleged perpetrator was C-43; is that correct?
21	MR. GUZZO: That's what I was told.
22	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just come back to that,
24	Mr. Guzzo, in a moment, but you've told us about, or you
25	mentioned obviously this new allegation. You've talked to

1	us about comments that are being made, both to you and by a
2	staffer of the Minister of Agriculture?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: You've told us about press
5	releases that are coming out. So there's a number of
6	things that are happening just before you write this
7	letter?
8	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	With respect to the allegation reference,
11	you'll agree, sir, that there were no names mentioned of
12	the alleged victims or the alleged perpetrator in the
13	letter; correct?
14	MR. GUZZO: I think you're correct. You're
15	talking now of victims of any
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm talking about the
17	reference you make to the to the people who were sitting
18	in your office
19	MR. GUZZO: Right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: just the week before.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: You don't name them in
22	
23	MR. GUZZO: I don't. No, I don't.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And had they indicated to
25	you that this alleged abuse had ended or that it was still

1	going on?
2	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm looking at the name
3	and let me ask you when I read it:
4	"Commenced early in 1997 and continued
5	after July 31 <sup>st</sup> ."
6	Does that does that say '98 there?
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry, sir, where are
8	you in the document?
9	MR. GUZZO: I'm in the in the paragraph
10	3, halfway through.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: "These parents allege
12	that the abuse commenced early in 1997
13	and continued after July 31st, 1998."
14	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. I question the accuracy
15	of that. I think it however, I
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry, sir, where are
17	you in the letter?
18	MR. GUZZO: Page 6.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Actually, page 5.
20	MR. GUZZO: Five (5).
21	THE COMMISSIONER: The third paragraph.
22	These parents, you know, this is when the child is
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	And in the first page of your letter you say
25	when you ask the question:

1	"Would your answer be any different
2	if you had people sitting in your
3	office last week as I did who alleged
4	that their child was continually
5	sexually abused over the past year up
6	until December 1998 by people named
7	in documentation forwarded to our
8	government on April 7 <sup>th</sup> , 1997."
9	So you give a date there. Then on the fifth
10	page of your letter you again referring to the parents,
11	and these are the same parents; right?
12	MR. GUZZO: I think so, yes. I'm only
13	talking about one case.
13 14	talking about one case.  MR. ENGELMANN: Because you're saying:
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you're saying:
14 15	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you're saying:  "Sat across from me less than a week
14 15 16	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you're saying:  "Sat across from me less than a week  ago re: the alleged continued abuse of
14 15 16 17	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you're saying:  "Sat across from me less than a week  ago re: the alleged continued abuse of  their child by people named, et cetera.
14 15 16 17 18	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you're saying:  "Sat across from me less than a week  ago re: the alleged continued abuse of  their child by people named, et cetera.  Commenced early in '97, continued after
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you're saying:  "Sat across from me less than a week ago re: the alleged continued abuse of their child by people named, et cetera.  Commenced early in '97, continued after July 31st, '98."
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you're saying:  "Sat across from me less than a week ago re: the alleged continued abuse of their child by people named, et cetera.  Commenced early in '97, continued after July 31 <sup>st</sup> , '98."  And at the as I said, on the first page
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you're saying:  "Sat across from me less than a week ago re: the alleged continued abuse of their child by people named, et cetera.  Commenced early in '97, continued after July 31 <sup>st</sup> , '98."  And at the as I said, on the first page you say, "Sexual abuse over the past year up until December
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you're saying:  "Sat across from me less than a week ago re: the alleged continued abuse of their child by people named, et cetera.  Commenced early in '97, continued after July 31 <sup>st</sup> , '98."  And at the as I said, on the first page you say, "Sexual abuse over the past year up until December '98."

1	MR. GUZZO: Yean.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not saying that's
3	inconsistent, sir, but what I'm trying to ask you is was
4	this alleged abuse still ongoing when they came to see you?
5	Was that the allegation, or had they told you that it had
6	finished sometime in 1998?
7	MR. GUZZO: I my recollection is that
8	they are no longer living in the Cornwall area.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MR. GUZZO: They have, at the end of '97,
11	had gone back to Montreal. They were in the Ottawa area
12	visiting relatives. They are referred by their Mr
13	this the father's brother-in-law, who has heard or knows
14	something from the press about my involvement in the
15	Cornwall situation, and they call and ask for a meeting.
16	They've only got a day or two. I whatever happens, I
17	think I think I see them at night, you know, after
18	regular hours, and I think my recollection is that they
19	have moved back to Montreal sometime around the start of
20	the new year. That's why I'm questioning the dates, but
21	anyhow.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	But in any event, one of them says continued
24	after July of '98, and the other one says finished, I think
25	

1	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: in December of '98?
3	MR. GUZZO: Continued after July 31st, '98,
4	but this letter is
5	MR. ENGELMANN: This is a letter from April
6	3 <sup>rd</sup> , '99.
7	MR. GUZZO: Right. Okay. I'm I'm
8	confused.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
10	MR. GUZZO: All right. I'm confused. So
11	yeah, then they they moved back I think what they
12	told me was that they had returned moved back to
13	Montreal around about Christmas or the new Christmas
14	season of '98.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So this this
16	child of theirs, boy or girl?
17	MR. GUZZO: It's a boy.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Still a boy, not an adult at
19	this point when they see you?
20	MR. GUZZO: I
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is six months after
22	the alleged abuse and
23	MR. GUZZO: Recollection is that he's an
24	altar boy.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	MR. GUZZO: You know, that he's serving
2	mass.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Or that he had been?
4	MR. GUZZO: I beg your pardon?
5	MR. ENGELMANN: That he had been?
6	MR. GUZZO: Had been, yeah.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. Is this something
8	that allegedly occurs in the Cornwall area, sir?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes. That's why they've come to
10	me on the referred by the his brother-in-law, and the
11	allegation is that it had occurred in the Cornwall area.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Did you encourage this couple to go to the
14	police?
15	MR. GUZZO: I had asked them if they had
16	gone to the police, and there seemed to be a hesitation,
17	and they said yes. And I didn't broach the subject of
18	civil action. I did ask about, you know, help for the
19	child, if there had been any harm done, how how, you
20	know, serious the abuse had been. And the conversation got
21	off track.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Let me ask you a question.
23	Did they tell you which police force they might have gone
24	to?

MR. GUZZO: I believe they would have said

1	the Cornwall Police, but I can't recall. I can't recall.
2	I don't know that. I can't recall.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	Had they been residents of the City of
5	Cornwall?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes, somewhere in the community.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, did you report any of
8	this sorry, did you suggest to them, the parents, that
9	they should report this to the Children's Aid Society?
10	MR. GUZZO: It did not occur to me to do
11	that.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if they ever
13	did?
14	MR. GUZZO: I do not know whether they did
15	or not, to tell you the truth.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you consider whether
17	or not you should do that yourself?
18	MR. GUZZO: I must admit I didn't even think
19	of it until about four weeks later, five weeks later, when
20	somebody on my staff got a call from the Department of
21	Social and Family Services. Somebody in that department
22	had picked up on this and they asked the question if we had
23	referred the matter to the Children's Aid Society.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So someone who had
25	read this letter?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, somebody in on staff in
2	the Social and Family Services Department, the Ministry of
3	Social and Family Services in Toronto.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And did you do anything after that or follow
6	up with it any way?
7	MR. GUZZO: No, I was led to believe that
8	the Ministry was going to make the necessary referral.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you can you recall
10	who it was that you would have dealt with, sir?
11	MR. GUZZO: I didn't handle the phone call
12	myself. Somebody on my staff did. And I got a note on it,
13	I guess, and you know, but I was I've got to admit I
14	was embarrassed that I had spent 11 years doing that kind
15	of stuff on the Bench and it went over my head.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you agree, sir, that
17	if the alleged perpetrator was still in contact with
18	children, that that would at the very least have been a
19	prudent thing to do?
20	MR. GUZZO: Very very much so.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I think there's some debate
22	about whether it's legally required, but you would agree
23	with me that it would have been a prudent thing to do?
24	MR. GUZZO: I should have done it. I mean,
25	no question. It was it was a bit of a volatile ending,

1	the meeting, and and, you know, I I don't know that I
2	sat down and maybe made a note or something on my diary of
3	the thing because of the way it it was it ended.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did you take any
5	statements or affidavits or document as a result of this
6	conversation you had with this couple?
7	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And was it just the parent
9	that came to see you or did they come with their child?
10	MR. GUZZO: No, it was the mother and the
11	father.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, just to go back to the
13	April $3^{rd}$ letter. I think we've talked about large parts of
14	it. You detailed, as we went through earlier,
15	conversations you had with Wayne Frechette and Murray
16	Segal; correct?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: You reference some of the
19	civil actions on page 2 that you're apparently aware of, or
20	the possibility of civil actions?
21	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: You reference again, in the
23	second full paragraph on page 2, quality of police services
24	and issues surrounding Florida?
25	MR. GUZZO: Issues surrounding, yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: What is it you're referring
2	to in the bottom paragraph, sir, on page 2?
3	MR. GUZZO: Well, I Mr. McLaughlin has
4	written suggesting that the Attorney General is the
5	individual who should handle these matters. I mention that
6	in The Ottawa Sun of April 1, '99. Premier Harris is
7	quoted as saying:
8	"It is not appropriate for a politician
9	to look into this situation."
10	And I have a copy of that article from $\underline{\text{The Ottawa Sun}}$ .
11	I said:
12	"The problem, of course, is that Mr.
13	Harnick, the Attorney General, to whom
14	you refer in your letter of March 24 <sup>th</sup> ,
15	is a politician. He is also one of the
16	people, along with the Solicitor
17	General, to whom I sent copies of my
18	letters addressed to the Premier. The
19	Solicitor General's office released
20	those letters to the Ontario Provincial
21	Police and, as a result having done so,
22	the Solicitor General's copies of those
23	letters were the ones that ended up in
24	the press."
25	And I guess what I'm saying to him is, you

1	tell me to go to the Attorney General. The Premier says no
2	politician should be involved, you know.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Okay, I
4	understand the point.
5	But you're surmising, are you not, in that
6	last sentence when you say:
7	"The Solicitor General's office
8	released those letters to the Ontario
9	Provincial Police and as a result of
10	having done so, the Solicitor General's
11	copies of these letters were the ones
12	that ended up in the press."
13	I mean, do you know, sir, whether it was the
14	OPP that released them to the press or might it simply have
15	been someone else in the Solicitor General's office? Do
16	you really know?
17	MR. GUZZO: I don't really know, but I have
18	it on pretty good authority they that they weren't
19	released to the or turned over to the OPP for some time,
20	at least the first one, and it was shortly after that they
21	were turned over to the OPP that it they appeared in the
22	
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, this is something
24	someone's told you? You don't
25	MR. GUZZO: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: have personal knowledge?
2	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You described the two
4	calls and you set them out in some detail in your letter.
5	Then, sir, you go back to and you make
6	some reference to Mr. McLaughlin's letter to you of
7	March $24^{\mathrm{th}}$ , '99, and we don't seem to have that letter, but
8	on page 6 sorry, page 5 of your letter, middle
9	paragraph?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: "This is back to who you
12	should be dealing with?"
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And what are you referring
15	to, sir, in the couple of paragraphs below that? You're
16	asking him for an answer. It's an answer to what?
17	MR. GUZZO: Well, how I should handle this.
18	He's telling me, "I'll look after it. I'm going to send it
19	to Rita Burak".
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Who is she?
21	MR. GUZZO: She's the Secretary to the
22	Cabinet.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, yeah.
24	MR. GUZZO: And then, you know, I'm being
25	told to go to the by Mr. McLaughlin to go to the

1	Attorney General, let him handle it, but the Premier says
2	no politician should be involved in it.
3	And the civil servant at the in charge at
4	the Attorney General's office says, "Don't call me".
5	And I'm writing to the Premier and now to
6	the chief of staff of the Premier looking for some action,
7	looking for
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you're
9	asking him to respond on or before noon on Wednesday,
10	April 7th, '99?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Is there a particular reason
13	why you wanted a response by that day, sir? Is there some
14	significance to the date, April 7th?
15	MR. GUZZO: There must have been, but I
16	don't know that I recall right now what it was.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, I think somewhere in
18	here you say that it was two years to the day, earlier, Mr.
19	Dunlop delivered some documents to the Ministry of the
20	Attorney General and to OCCPS?
21	MR. GUZZO: Well, I believe it was, but I
22	don't know whether I was you know, maybe I had an
23	opportunity to speak in the House on that day or maybe I
24	was but I I don't recall.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: I think you make reference

1	to the two-year period in your letter?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, sir, it's on the last
4	page, middle paragraph.
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	MR. ENGELMANN: "Which I repeat, I'm not
7	asking anyone to interfere in a police
8	investigation. A judicial inquiry
9	inquiring into whether or not an
10	investigation has, in fact, taken place
11	and if so why it would take 24 months
12	to interview people."
13	Et cetera.
14	MR. GUZZO: Right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you see that?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So on page 6 of
18	8, you appear to be setting out some information from the
19	Cornwall Police Service and I'm wondering, sir, that a lot
20	of this information would appear to be from the Police
21	Services Act charges, affidavits and investigation dealing
22	with Perry Dunlop?
23	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's correct.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And those were materials you
25	had; correct?

1	MR. GUZZO: That's right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: You had two binders, volumes
3	3 and 4, dealing with those issues?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I did.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So you've drawn out some
6	facts from statements and-or affidavits from the Police
7	Services Act file. Is that fair?
8	MR. GUZZO: That's fair.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And you express some
10	concerns in the bottom paragraph about whether or not the
11	Cornwall Police Service fully informed the Crown Attorney's
12	office? I'm looking at the bottom paragraph on page 6.
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, hang on.
15	You say and again, you're referring
16	this is all, sir, what you're inferring, or you're
17	surmising, from material you're reading dealing with the
18	Police Services Act case. Is that fair?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well, there are some there
20	are some quotes taken from
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Staff Sergeant Derochie's
22	notes and other notes?
23	MR. GUZZO: Deputy Chief St-Denis' notes and
24	that, but I am accepting as fact, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did you make a

## PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	note
2	"It's noted that it appears, reading
3	between the lines, that the Cornwall
4	Police also failed to notify the Crown
5	Attorney that two other youngsters had
6	complained about Father Charles
7	MacDonald and some of the police
8	officers indicate that they sincerely
9	doubt the Crown Attorney's office would
10	have handled Father MacDonald's case in
11	the manner in which it was handled in
12	1993. A full disclosure had been made
13	to the Crown Attorney by their police
14	department."
15	You mentioned "Some of the police officers
16	indicate". Where are you getting that information from,
17	sir? Is someone talking to you or is this from your review
18	of a file?
19	MR. GUZZO: I think I'm I took that from
20	the comments of the Cornwall Police officers who are quoted
21	in the documentation I'm referring to.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And, again,
23	after noting further information from those files and
24	you're referring to Children's Aid records, CPS records,
25	and also some notes from a Crown Attorney the bottom

1	full paragraph you're then again asking where to send
2	people?
3	MR. GUZZO: You're on page?
4	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm on page 7.
5	MR. GUZZO: Seven now?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Large paragraph near the
7	bottom.
8	MR. GUZZO: M'hm.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Appears to be more of a
10	rhetorical question at that stage, sir.
11	MR. GUZZO: As I yeah, I I'm
12	attempting taking advantage of the situation to to get
13	the message in that I want to get in. I mean, it's
14	MR. ENGELMANN: At this point in time you're
15	not seeing many alleged victims anymore; April of '99?
16	MR. GUZZO: I
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I mean I know you've just
18	seen this family, but
19	MR. GUZZO: The flow has you know, the
20	flow seems to have ebbed just a bit. And I you know, I
21	don't rightly recall if I'm seeing any or a few.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. This this
23	letter, incidentally, doesn't say private and confidential
24	on it like the other two did?
25	MR. GUZZO: Correct.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you send this to
2	others or did you simply send it to Mr. McLaughlin and the
3	two Cabinet Ministers?
4	MR. GUZZO: I simply sent it to Mr.
5	McLaughlin and the two Cabinet Ministers at that time.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: You sent it to others
8	afterwards?
9	MR. GUZZO: I did. I
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you share did you
11	share this with some members of your caucus later, for
12	example?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes, and some members of the
14	House.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And would that
16	have been in one of your efforts to get a Bill dealing with
17	a public inquiry?
18	MR. GUZZO: At least one and maybe more.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Sir, is there
20	anything else that you wanted to leave us with from this
21	letter?
22	MR. GUZZO: No, other than the point that I
23	made that I sit down and do this for the purposes of laying
24	out putting the information together that I want to have
25	together, for the purposes of being able to make a case if,

1	in fact, an inquiry is ever called.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And, in fact, on
3	the last page, in the middle paragraph, you're saying:
4	"I repeat, I'm not asking anyone to
5	interfere in a police investigation. A
6	judicial inquiry would inquire into
7	whether or not an investigation has
8	in fact taken place, et cetera."
9	MR. GUZZO: Could.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And that was, at
11	least in your first Bill if not your second, the primary
12	focus was it not, to look at what the police did?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes. The as a matter of
14	fact, all three Bills, and the fourth I had a fourth
15	one, a motion to to the Speaker. But in each case I'm
16	zeroing in on the police. That's the only as far as I
17	was concerned, that was the only mandate I had.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir, we're going to have
20	to take a short break for a few minutes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Sure.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: So how much longer do you
23	think we should go on today?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm in your hands, sir. I -
25	- I'm going to be a while longer.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I understand that,
2	but I think we have to burn some midnight oil, I just I
3	mean, there's two things. One thing that hadn't occurred
4	to me is the weather. I don't know if the weather is
5	frightful.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All I know is that it's
7	calling for some snow tonight, I'm just not sure when.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, let's I need to
9	take a break. Let's take ten minutes and then you can
10	MR. ENGELMANN: A number of my colleagues
11	have have been approaching me about Friday
12	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: and some of the
14	difficulties that some of them have.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: We had obviously intended to
17	finish Mr. Guzzo
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: today and tomorrow, and
20	then we had Mr. Seguin Doug Sequin up next.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: A number of people have told
23	me about difficulties on Friday.
24	We are starting Corrections evidence on
25	Monday, that is certainly a long-standing commitment.

1	There are a number of witnesses that are backed up and I'm
2	this is taking longer than I thought, sir.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And I hope it hasn't been
5	too slow, but I think some of this is important. Mr.
6	Guzzo's here and we're now getting to what he wanted to
7	talk about.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I'd certainly like to finish
10	Mr. Guzzo. I'm prepared to to carry on late as as I
11	am tomorrow night, if that's necessary. I just I don't
12	know how Mr. Guzzo's doing.
13	Are you are you tired, sir, are you able
14	to carry on for a while longer?
15	MR. GUZZO: Oh, I think so, yeah.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Could we at
17	least carry on for another hour or so and see how
18	everybody's doing?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I need a break now
20	and then I'll look out the window and see what the weather
21	looks like. I'm I don't want I know those of you who
22	are staying over, well, it's not a problem, but those of
23	you who are going back to Ottawa, I don't want to be the
24	source of some mishappenings.
25	All right. So lets take a break. We'll see

25

214

has been made by counsel that I -- I finish my chief of Mr.

Guzzo and that tomorrow we end Mr. Guzzo's evidence after

1	nis chief, and I was going to say they're going to forego
2	their right to cross-examine, but that's not actually true.
3	No, that we would postpone cross-examination by counsel for
4	parties with standing until a later day that can be fit
5	into the schedule so that we can call Mr. Sequin, Doug
6	Seguin, tomorrow.
7	It's important to have his evidence before
8	we start Corrections. It's important for us to start
9	Corrections Monday afternoon given the schedule that we've
10	got. And it's it's a tight schedule.
11	So that's the proposal. There was a
12	consensus amongst counsel, Commission counsel's in
13	agreement. I have asked Mr. Guzzo if he could live with
14	that. I know it's difficult for a for a witness to come
15	back at some point later, but I can honestly say he I
16	know that he hasn't had an opportunity to review the
17	section 38 documents that we've been given notice on. So
18	this will give him an opportunity to do that.
19	I've explained to him that his transcript is
20	on the web if he wants to look at that as well, so that can
21	keep his memory fresh. And we'll find a convenient time
22	for Mr. Guzzo and for counsel to bring him back. If that -
23	- if that suits with you?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: In the not to distant
25	future.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
2	I was looking at dates as this proposal came
3	to me. We're trying to fit in another witness as well that
4	we talked about in the evidence of Mr. Adams, that we'll
5	try and fit. I'm looking at the dates we have between now
6	and December, sir.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And dates where we don't
9	have Corrections witnesses scheduled, and not surprisingly
10	are dates that are not firm hearing dates like, for
11	example, December $14^{\rm th}$ is not a firm hearing date. I think,
12	sir, that we're quite possibly looking at sometime in
13	January. Is that too far off?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, we can have that
15	discussion
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: in private, Mr.
18	Engelmann.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. I'm sure you'll
20	have a suggestion as to when it would be appropriate to
21	bring Mr. Guzzo back.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I think
23	MR. ENGELMANN: We'll make sure counsel have
24	notice and certainly that he does.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I think Mr. Guzzo has

1	important information. Given, I think, the cross-
2	examination should be dealt with in a time and I think
3	there may be we may be able to intervene in the
4	Corrections thing to put Mr. Guzzo in and complete him
5	while his memory is fresh and things like that.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: So I think the Friday is
8	a good idea. We have added extra days and we are pretty
9	well sitting continuously now until December $19^{ m th}$ . So we'll
10	not sit
11	MR. ENGELMANN: The only thing we haven't
12	added really, sir, are weekends and
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I was thinking of
14	that.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we get on?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: So let's use our time and
19	see how much the weather isn't supposed to get much
20	worse until midnight, so we're okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. I assure you, I
22	won't have much breath after an hour or so.
23	GARY GUZZO, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
24	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
25	<pre>ENGELMANN (cont'd/suite):</pre>

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, we've gone now
2	through or we've gone through your letter of April $3^{\rm rd}$ in
3	some detail, and that's Exhibit 985. Sir, did you receive
4	a reply from Mr. McLaughlin?
5	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't believe I did.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm going to try and refresh
7	your memory then if I can because I understand that he did
8	write you letter on April $7^{\mathrm{th}}$ , '99, which was the last date
9	you gave him. Perhaps you could have a if the witness
10	could have a look at it's document number 124759.
11	Mr. Guzzo, it may not have been a
12	satisfactory reply, but to your liking, but I believe
13	you had one?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Guzzo, are you do
15	you have arrangements to stay over tonight or are you going
16	back to Ottawa?
17	MR. GUZZO: I'm going back to Ottawa.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And are you
19	driving alone or with
20	MR. GUZZO: No, I have a driver.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: You have a driver?
22	MR. GUZZO: Yes well, a driver I have
23	a good friend who's a driver.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 994 is a letter
25	from the Office of the Premier to Mr. Garry Guzzo and Ron

1	McLaughlin is the author, dated April $7^{\rm th}$ , 1999.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-994:
3	(124759) Letter from Ron McLaughlin to
4	Garry Guzzo dated 07 Apr 99
5	MR. ENGELMANN: If you could have a look at
6	this for a minute, Mr. Guzzo?
7	MR. GUZZO: Right.
8	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	Mr. Guzzo, now that you've had an
12	opportunity to look at that, would you agree with me that
13	Mr. McLaughlin did write back to you?
14	MR. GUZZO: It is an answer, yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	And were you satisfied with the response
17	that you received, sir?
18	MR. GUZZO: Well, no, I was not. I was not.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
20	MR. GUZZO: You know, in fairness, he's
21	doing what I was doing. He's positioning the government
22	and I guess it's appropriate if I did it, he has a right to
23	do it, but
24	MR. ENGELMANN: So he's writing a letter for
25	us as well, sir. Is that what you're saying?

1	MR. GUZZO: Well, I think he's trying to
2	justify the position, but I again remind you what happened
3	with Walkerton about the judicial inquiry that was called
4	Walkerton before people had a chance to even decide whether
5	criminal charges would be laid, and there are other
6	examples of that.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	So you're referring to his comment in the
9	fourth paragraph that nothing should happen until charges
10	go through criminal courts, et cetera?
11	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Now, he also seems to be suggesting and
14	urging you to contact the investigating officer, and at
15	this point in time, presumably that is the team of officers
16	that are working for the OPP in Project Truth?
17	MR. GUZZO: I assume that's what he's
18	saying, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	And did you, in fact, do that, sir?
21	MR. GUZZO: No, I would not do that under
22	any circumstances. If I had information, I would send it.
23	If I had documentation, I would send it. And I encourage
24	people to go, primarily to the Cornwall Police at that time
25	when they contacted me, but I would not have contacted the

1	officers directly.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	But you would have responded if they
4	contacted you, I believe is what you said?
5	MR. GUZZO: Yes, very definitely. And I did
6	when Constable Hall Officer Detective Hall contacted
7	me.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	Now, sir, in April of 1999, you also wrote a
10	letter to the Minister of Citizenship, Culture and
11	Recreation, Isabelle Bassett. Do you recall writing to her
12	on or about April 16 <sup>th</sup> , 1999?
13	MR. GUZZO: I do, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry, did I give you
15	the document number? Document Number 124704. There's an
16	attachment as well, which is 124706. They should probably
17	be filed together, actually. I think the letter was sent
18	with the attachment. Perhaps I'll just ask the witness if
19	he could confirm that before we mark them as one or two,
20	124704, 124706.
21	MR. GUZZO: Thank you.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: So one is a letter from
23	Mr. Guzzo to the Honourable Isabelle Bassett dated April
24	$16^{\rm th}$ , 1999. Then there is a proposal summary sheet. So did
25	you are they part and parcel of the same document?

1	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall if I sent them.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Could you take just a look
3	at the first paragraph, sir.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears to say in the
5	second sentence:
6	"I enclose here with a copy of the
7	proposal summary sheet."
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes. And I see that now, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	So could they just be marked as one exhibit,
11	sir?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 995 it is.
13	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-995:
14	(124704; 124706) Letter from Garry
15	Guzzo to Hon. Isabel Bassett dated 16
16	Apr 99; Proposal Summary Sheet
17	MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you're writing
18	why are you writing to Minister Bassett?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well, I have someone has
20	taken the trouble to send me the agenda and the proposal
21	sheet, and I read it and I have some concerns. I have some
22	concerns. I and as I recollect, I don't have much
23	when I get it I don't have much time to deliberate about
24	what do to. So I write her this letter with the
25	enclosures.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	And you indicate a concern because there
3	have been some allegations that have been brought to your
4	attention about an individual?
5	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: This individual being a
7	priest who is, to some extent, involved in the proposal
8	summary sheet that you've attached?
9	MR. GUZZO: I believe that's the case, yes,
10	has a role to play in the in the operation to be funded.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And the operation in
12	question is Big Brothers and Big Sisters of Cornwall?
13	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And so what what is it
15	you're asking the Minister to do as a result of information
16	that's come to your attention and your review of this
17	proposal summary sheet?
18	MR. GUZZO: Well, I haven't read read
19	this in some time, but I as I recollect, I'm asking her
20	to satisfy herself that this is a an appropriate thing
21	for our government to do.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	And the concern wasn't I think you note
24	the fact that the the matters that have been brought to
25	your attention are simply allegations; there were no

1	charges that were laid against this priest?
2	MR. GUZZO: I think I noted that and I think
3	I noted well, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And you indicate some concerns about the
6	individual or one of the individuals at least who's made an
7	allegation?
8	MR. GUZZO: I did, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. And you make the
10	comment that:
11	"Later on the problem then remains that
12	we're funding this organization which
13	uses this man as a reference and in all
14	likelihood will allow him to play a
15	significant role in the program that
16	we are financing."
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	So you're asking her to look at this
20	seriously?
21	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think it's her
22	responsibility to ensure that it's a proper program.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	And, sir, this was a proposal summary sheet
25	for an organization that was primarily responsible for

1	dealing with children?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	Now, Mr. Guzzo, I understand that in late
5	June of 1999, you were contacted, at least by letter, by
6	Detective Inspector Pat Hall of the Ontario Provincial
7	Police. Do you have some recollection of that, sir?
8	MR. GUZZO: I do, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
10	shown Document Number 124703?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: This is a letter dated
12	the $24^{\mathrm{th}}$ of June 1999, addressed to Mr. Guzzo from Mr. Pat
13	Hall I'm sorry, Detective Inspector.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, the exhibit number,
15	sir, is
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 996.
17	EXHIBIT NO/PIÈCE NO. P-996:
18	(124703) Letter from Pat Hall to Garry
19	Guzzo dated 24 Jun 99
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, is this letter
21	familiar to you?
22	MR. GUZZO: I knew he had written. I don't
23	know that I ever saw the letter, but just let me
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Sure.
25	MR. GUZZO: make certain that there's

1	nothing new in here that I
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	MR. GUZZO: I knew of it, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	So Detective Inspector Hall's giving you
6	some brief background about Project Truth?
7	MR. GUZZO: Yes, correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: He's referring to some
9	newspaper articles attributing comments to you regarding
10	the investigation?
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And he's asking if you would
13	like to meet with him?
14	MR. GUZZO: That's correct, yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And just to situate us in
16	time, is this just before or just after a provincial
17	election? It says June 24, '99.
18	MR. GUZZO: I think it's just after.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	And at this time you have your
21	assistant's name or your EA's name is William Grant?
22	MR. GUZZO: That's right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
24	shown Document 701064.
25	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Which is a letter from Mr.
2	Grant to Detective Inspector Hall, dated June 29, '99.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
4	Number 997 is a letter from W. Grant to Detective Inspector
5	Hall, dated June 29, 1999.
6	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-997:
7	(701064) Letter from William Grant to
8	Pat Hall dated 29 Jun 99
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, did you instruct Mr.
10	Grant to write this letter to Detective Inspector Hall?
11	MR. GUZZO: I don't know whether I
12	instructed him or not, but he did it and he was probably
13	correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: You took a holiday shortly
17	after the election?
18	MR. GUZZO: I did, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I understand
20	something then happened during the month of July, right at
21	the end of July?
22	MR. GUZZO: Well, yes, you mean of a medical
23	nature?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
25	MR. GUZZO: It happened I think the

1	election was around the first week in June and it happened
2	about the first week in in May. I had some heart
3	problems and went in and had an angioplasty done and
4	campaigned. The election was over. I took a holiday and
5	along about the middle of July, when I returned, I was back
6	having similar type problems and, as a result, near the end
7	of July, I had a triple bypass.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. If the witness
9	could be shown Exhibit I'm sorry, Document Number
10	701277?
11	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 998 is the
13	letter, again dated August 10 <sup>th</sup> , 1999, to Detective
14	Inspector Hall from Mr. Grant.
15	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-998:
16	(701277) Letter fro William Grant to
17	Pat Hall dated 10 Aug 99
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, it would appear
19	that Mr. Grant is writing to Detective Inspector Hall and
20	further to his last letter confirming that you've had your
21	surgery and that you're going to be recuperating for the
22	next 60 days?
23	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And that it's indicating
25	that he'll be in touch with the detective inspector later

1	on with respect to your availability?
2	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And if the witness could be
4	shown document 701278?
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
7	Letter from Detective Inspector Hall to
8	Garry Guzzo, dated and attention, W. Grant, August $18^{\mathrm{th}}$ ,
9	1999.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO 999:
11	(701278) Letter from Pat Hall to Garry
12	Guzzo dated 18 Aug 99
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, Detective Inspector
14	Hall in this letter is carbon copying a Shelley Hallett,
15	it's a Crown counsel. Had you met her or were you familiar
16	with her at this point-in-time?
17	MR. GUZZO: I've never met her, to tell you
18	the truth.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	MR. GUZZO: But I have spoken with her, I
21	think, once on the phone and I knew I knew her to be a
22	prosecutor in the office of the A.G.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But you hadn't
24	spoken to her or met her by the summer of '99?
25	MR. GUZZO: I don't believe so. I certainly

1	hadn't met her; I haven't
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	MR. GUZZO: met her to this day.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, Mr. Guzzo, in his first
5	letter to you, Detective Inspector Hall referred to and
6	that's Exhibit 996 he referred to some newspaper
7	articles in March of '99, in the third paragraph. Is that
8	fair?
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think you as well in
11	your letter to Mr. McLaughlin referred to articles of March
12	24th and April 1st?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I just wanted to confirm
15	with you a couple of them, if I could.
16	One is Exhibit 992, is it not, the article
17	or articles in <u>The Ottawa Sun</u> dated March 24 <sup>th</sup> , '99?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes, March 24 <sup>th</sup> , '99, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. One of them's written
20	by Jackie Leroux?
21	MR. GUZZO: Yes, m'hm.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And the other one's written
23	by a Michael Woloschuk?
24	MR. GUZZO: I I didn't take note of it.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: But he's a columnist?

1	MR. GUZZO: Yes, right. I'm familiar with
2	the first one; I'm not familiar with this one. I may have
3	read it at the time but I
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And would you agree
5	with me, sir, that these articles are critical of the OPP
6	investigation Project Truth?
7	MR. GUZZO: Well, I think, as I'm starting
8	to read Woloschuk here, I think it is, but
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Have a look at Woloschuk.
10	MR. GUZZO: I don't know that the other one
11	as I recollect, the other one is
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	MR. ENGELMANN: The other one appears to be
14	dealing with the release of the note that Detective
15	Inspector Hall signs when apparently when Mr. Dunlop
16	gives him some documents?
17	MR. GUZZO: Right.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, no, I mean at the
19	end of the article it says that the OPP goes and sees Mrs.
20	Dunlop because they don't know what she's talking about,
21	about people that were charged or not, the people that were
22	part of the investigation.
23	I'm sorry, what she says is:
24	"The names we turned over to the OPP
25	were not the ones charged."

1	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, Mr. Guzzo, have
3	you had a chance to read there's one quote from you in
4	the first article, where you said:
5	"I felt that this was a fact for some
6	time."
7	And this is that Detective Inspector Hall
8	may not have had all of the Dunlop material and you had
9	been musing about that in earlier letters?
10	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: But in the second article,
14	the column, "An Exercise in Incompetence", you would agree
15	that that article's quite critical? I mean, you can start
16	right with the headline.
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I I have to agree.
18	And I haven't put forward the we haven't
19	debated the Bill for second reading at this point in time -
20	- the first bill, that is, for second reading at this point
21	in time, but I did say in the in the debate and at the
22	lead-up to the Bill that I had to assume that when you miss
23	75 or 115 charges, it's either incompetence or it's a
24	cover-up. I did use that terminology.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	MR. GUZZO: And I
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, actually what
3	you're saying in the article, right off the bat it says:
4	"'I'm not talking about a cover-up
5	here, I'm talking about pure
6	incompetence' said Ottawa-Rideau MPP
7	Garry Guzzo."
8	MR. GUZZO: I I have to tell you that I
9	don't know that I have ever met or spoken to this
10	Woloschuk, but I must have seen this this article, I
11	think, if it was in a
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, it's in our database.
13	MR. GUZZO: I know, but what paper is he
14	does he write for?
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I believe it's The Sun as
16	well, sir.
17	MR. GUZZO: The Sun also? I don't recall
18	him anyway, but
19	MR. ENGELMANN: You recall Jackie Leroux?
20	MR. GUZZO: Very well.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So just so we're
22	clear, the quote is:
23	"If their answer is we have talked to
24	everybody, if that's the best answer
~ ~	

233

and it isn't, I can assure you then I'm

1	not talking about a cover-up here, I'm
2	talking about pure incompetence."
3	Do you recall that you said something
4	MR. GUZZO: I could have because I was
5	certainly thinking that way.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And there's a
7	reference at the top to "No stone unturned" and we'll see
8	that come up later, but at this point in time it's not
9	really attributed to anybody. It's in the first preamble
10	there. Do you see that?
11	"Claims by the Ontario Provincial
12	Police"
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: "that they have left no
15	stone unturned in their hunt for
16	alleged sex criminals in the Cornwall
17	area. It's not holding water with the
18	man who has spent much of his own time
19	investigating the case."
20	So do you know if you would have made that
21	comment?
22	It's not in quotes and we do see it later
23	on, but I'm wondering at this point in time if that's
24	something that you would have said, sir? If that's
25	something that the paper paraphrases, do you know?

1	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm if I said it, I
2	would be quoting Klancy Grasman.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Klancy who?
4	MR. GUZZO: Grasman?
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And who was he, sir?
6	MR. GUZZO: Well, he's the PR fellow for the
7	OPP
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	MR. GUZZO: who issued the press release
10	on December $24^{\rm th}$ , 1994. He used that term.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So are you saying he used
12	that term in the press release, sir?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: In the release itself?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes, and let me tell you that
16	they made announcements the OPP made announcements that
17	they were winding up Project Truth on at least three and
18	possibly four occasions, and each time they announced it,
19	they used that term, "We have left no stone unturned."
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. In public statements?
21	MR. GUZZO: In public statements.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	If the witness could be shown exhibit or
24	sorry, document number 720738.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

1	This is a news release from the Ontario
2	Provincial Police for release on December 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 1994.
3	That's Exhibit 1000, by the way.
4	EXHIBIT NO./ PIÈCE NO. P-1000:
5	(720738)Press Release from Criminal
6	Investigation Branch Kingston Unit "No
7	charges laid in alleged conspiracy"
8	dated 23 Dec 94
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Knew we'd get there.
10	Did you get a chance to read that?
11	MR. GUZZO: I have, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	You'd agree with me, sir, that it doesn't
14	refer to that quote, if I can call it that, about leaving
15	no stone unturned?
16	MR. GUZZO: I agree, it does not.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	So you're saying you may have seen somewhere
19	where this fellow and again, I'm sorry I've missed it,
20	Grasman?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Klancy Grasman.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And you believe he
23	has been quoted or
24	MR. GUZZO: He was definitely quoted in more
25	than one newspaper and on more than one time of leaving no

1	stone unturned.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Because this particular
3	press release simply talks about the a nine-month
4	investigation in consultation with the regional director of
5	the Crown attorneys.
6	MR. GUZZO: I agree, but it doesn't refer to
7	Klancy Grasman either.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: No, it doesn't.
9	MR. GUZZO: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: It doesn't quote anybody.
11	MR. GUZZO: No. Or he
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's issued on December
13	$23^{\rm rd}$ , 1994, not the $24^{\rm th}$ , but presumably only picked up by
14	the media on the $24^{\rm th}$ . Or are you suggesting there was
15	another press release, sir?
16	MR. GUZZO: Well, it seems to me that the
17	information that I was given was that the document was
18	received on and issued on the date of the $24^{\rm th}$ , and the
19	person referred to in it was Mr. Grasman.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well, might that have
21	been a newspaper article, sir, or something else as opposed
22	to an official press release, or do you know?
23	MR. GUZZO: I assume it could have been. I
24	haven't I don't know. I don't know.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, another in any

1	event, the article by Mr. Woloschuk, and that's not someone
2	you remember speaking with; is that what you're telling us?
3	MR. GUZZO: Well, you know, as I read
4	through it here, it looks like he's contacted me on the
5	phone, but I don't recall ever meeting this man and I don't
6	you know, I don't even I don't recall him as a
7	reporter, but he's obviously writing in the Ottawa Sun and
8	he says he talked to me, so he talked to me.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	Well, one of the criticisms that he sets out
11	and attributes to you is that you say the police have yet
12	to interview key witnesses who have either spoken directly
13	to Guzzo or sworn affidavits that were turned over to both
14	Ontario Solicitors General and Attorney General in April of
15	'97. You see that?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, the not
18	speaking to people who have sworn affidavits that were
19	turned over, presumably someone would have told you that?
20	MR. GUZZO: Presumably.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: You didn't have firsthand
22	knowledge of that?
23	MR. GUZZO: I did not.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And as for not interviewing
25	and the other form of key witness you refer to or is

1	attributed to you as having said, it's people that have
2	spoken directly to you. And would you agree with me, sir,
3	that that might be difficult for the police to know if you
4	don't tell them who you've spoken to?
5	MR. GUZZO: Well, I it is unless they've
6	spoken to the police
7	MR. ENGELMANN: As a result of speaking to
8	you?
9	MR. GUZZO: Of speaking to me, yes. But I
10	agree, if they haven't it would have been difficult.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you also agree with
12	me, sir, that you had indicated to us that some of the
13	alleged victims that came to see you didn't have the best
14	of experiences with the police? I think you had said that
15	I can't remember some of the examples you used, but they
16	were reluctant to go to the police?
17	MR. GUZZO: They some certainly appeared
18	you know, when they described the situation to me it
19	appeared that way, and others simply stated it and couldn't
20	provide details or background. So but some I accepted
21	at face value because of the details they gave me.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you agree with me,
23	sir, that being openly critical of the police, as it's
24	suggested here, yourself, might also seek to discourage
25	alleged victims or future alleged victims from coming

1	forward?
2	MR. GUZZO: Well, I definitely think it
3	could, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So why would you do it then?
5	You've got individuals that have come to you, told you
6	about some negative experiences or why they don't want to
7	go to the police, yet you're telling them they should
8	report in any event despite the fact that in some cases it
9	was quite long ago. Yet, you know, when you're being
10	critical of the police in the press doesn't that perhaps
11	compound that problem?
12	MR. GUZZO: You're correct, but we had set a
13	we had some frustrating experiences.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
15	MR. GUZZO: Along about that time. We had a
16	person who had attended upon us and we had suggested that
17	he attend and go to the contact the Ontario Provincial
18	Police. On more than one occasion for whatever reason
19	we're not telling them just to go back and report to the
20	Cornwall Police, but to go and talk to the
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, you've set out some
22	reasons, sir, why at least in your letter to Mr.
23	McLaughin, why people might not want to go back to the
24	Cornwall Police.
25	MR. GUZZO: Well, I did. But if it's a

1	don't ask me to explain my thinking on this, I don't know.
2	But I mean, if it's a 20-year-old situation, I say go back
3	and register it in case there's something on the file back
4	then about the situation when it happened or somebody else
5	was making a report to the police at the same time about a
6	same person. So go to the Cornwall Police. If it's an old
7	historical.
8	If it's something that was current or, you
9	know, I'm saying go to the Project Truth people.
10	A guy comes back to us one day and said "I
11	can't find them. Where do I find this Project Truth?"
12	Well, we sit down and had somebody in the office phone the
13	Cornwall Police, ask what Project Truth is. They said
14	that's an OPP matter, phone Barrie or Orillia. I phoned
15	Barrie or Orillia. They say, "Well, that's Eastern
16	Ontario, phone Long Sault", but there's no number on
17	information for Project Truth at at that time. Now,
18	maybe
19	MR. ENGELMANN: When when was that, sir?
20	MR. GUZZO: I don't know, but someplace in
21	the file, we do this twice a week for two or three weeks,
22	just to have a record on the file that this is happening
23	and we're doing it from the constituency office in Ottawa I
24	assume because I think I'm there a good period of the time,

it was in the summer, I'm not sure, but we -- we're getting

1	a little we're a little frustrated to say the least.
2	The other thing I would tell you is that in my in my
3	meeting with Mr. Hall with Detective Inspector Hall
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, we're going to come to
5	that.
6	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. All right. All right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. But but, Mr.
8	Guzzo, you don't know when this was that you had this
9	difficulty because I we we've heard, at least, or
10	there's so many documents that suggest there was a press
11	release when Project Truth started in the I believe it
12	was in the summer of '97. There was a hotline that was
13	advertised at least from time-to-time and I I don't know
14	if it was a commercial ad or whether it was a public
15	service announcement. Certainly there was there were
16	some referrals from the Cornwall Police Service to the OPP,
17	Project Truth, because they were set up here in the
18	Cornwall area.
19	MR. GUZZO: I'm aware of that. I'm aware of
20	that, but
21	MR. ENGELMANN: But you're saying at some
22	point-in-time there were some difficulties?
23	MR. GUZZO: When I we were having
24	difficulty and we were phoning the Cornwall Police and
25	being referred to Orillia and back to and we did never

1	we in that period of time, we were not did not
2	secure a number.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, presumably this is
4	is before Mr. Hall would have first written to you in June
5	of '99 because at that point on you you could contact
6	him or you could send someone to him?
7	MR. GUZZO: We knew where the we knew
8	where the office was, where they were working from, and we
9	directed the individual to go to the office. I mean, it
10	wasn't that individual I'm I put myself in a position
11	of somebody who wants to contact them.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?
13	MR. GUZZO: And goes to the phone book and
14	goes to information and goes through this situation.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	MR. GUZZO: I mean, we have an address, I
17	think, where the operation is being conducted.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. We'll come there.
19	Sir, the other I'll just be one moment.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Could you wrap it up, Mr.
21	Engelmann, for the night in the next little bit?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. I'll just be one
23	moment.
24	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
25	MR. ENGELMANN: There's just perhaps one

1	other area I could cover with Mr. Guzzo before we wrap up.
2	Sir, you referred earlier to calls from a
3	woman from the YWCA or YMCA and then a follow-up with Mr.
4	Goodwin from The Men's Project?
5	MR. GUZZO: Yes. Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I think you told us that at
7	some point there was some correspondence and that they were
8	granted funding for a year and then there were issues about
9	ongoing funding from time-to-time?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I think what would have
11	happened, judging from the way it happened, that they
12	probably got funding for the rest of the year and well,
13	within the following year, got it for 12 months.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So let me just
15	show you a document if I can for a minute. Document Number
16	124742.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	Exhibit Number 1001 is a document at
20	least it's a yeah, just a letter dated November 9 <sup>th</sup> ,
21	1999 to Mr. Guzzo from Mr. Rick Goodman.
22	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-1001:
23	(124742) Letter from Rick Goodwin to
24	Garry Guzzo dated 09 Nov 99
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, have you seen

1	this letter before?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I believe I have.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So there's a
4	page-and-a-half letter and there's a like a brochure or
5	something attached dealing with some of the services of The
6	Men's Project?
7	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: There's a couple of articles
9	attached to that as well:
10	"Project offering male victims
11	counseling"
12	and something else about support. I I can't read it
13	the last article, but it's:
14	"Male victims of sexual abuse in
15	the Cornwall area are about to get some
16	help thanks to the Ottawa-based Men's
17	Project."
18	The articleoh, thank you.
19	"The service has been contracted by the
20	Ministry of the Solicitor General to
21	consult the Cornwall community on what
22	types of services are needed. Among
23	the measures considered are a 1-800
24	support line, group therapy, public
25	education and professional training."

1	Thank you.
2	"This pilot project begins in
3	September."
4	So that's September, '99. And there's a
5	reference in the article sorry, in the letter from Mr.
6	Goodwin to you saying they'd received one-time funding in
7	July of that year, July, '99. And it appears there's some
8	concerns about future funding?
9	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, you you were
11	involved in if I can use the term lobbying or going
12	to one of your colleagues, Mr. Runciman, to seek support
13	for this funding?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think Mr. Runciman was
15	earlier in the year when they got the the funding for
16	the rest of the year, he was on the lookout, or had his
17	finance person on his staff on the lookout, for someplace
18	where savings were was about to take place and he re-
19	allocated the funding to this program.
20	And now this is coming up in November, so
21	we're probably looking at April of the next year when the
22	budget is going to be dealt with. And as I read it, they
23	aren't asking in a in a government language, but they
24	want to get a line in that budget that once you're there,
25	you're there for life, if you know what I mean.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, you had assisted
4	in helping get this first funding. Did you also
5	participate and at the request I mean, Mr. Goodwin is
6	asking he's bringing this to your attention again.
7	Did you assist The Men's Project in
8	obtaining further funding from the government?
9	MR. GUZZO: Well, I tried to, but you have
10	to be very careful, you know, when you're on the outside.
11	The writing at the top there, "Beaubien, 519627", that's
12	not my writing, but Mr. Beaubien is the member for
13	Conservative member for Petrolia anyway. He's the former
14	mayor or Petrolia and Mr. Beaubien is, I think, the
15	Parliamentary Assistant to Mr. Runciman at this time.
16	And it seems to me that I'm I'm phoning
17	him or I'm having somebody phone him; send them on to him
18	and in getting his help to ensure that it goes on.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you indicated earlier
20	some of the caucus discussion, the fact that this service
21	wasn't in Toronto nor was it in North Bay. Was this
22	something that was difficult to to have refunded, sir,
23	given that it was really for Eastern Ontario?
24	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, well, my comments with
25	regard to North Bay, Michael Harris' Premier Harris'

1	riding in Toronto are offhand the
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
3	MR. GUZZO: But that's the standard line.
4	You know, if it's not in Toronto, you don't need it and the
5	Premier wants it for his riding before anybody else.
6	But, yeah, it was you know, it's not easy
7	to get it in, but a Minister with a moveable budget can do
8	things like this. It wasn't a big number. I mean, I think
9	there were only at that point, this operation started
10	with a two-person operation and maybe one support staff and
11	it may have been up I don't know, when I met with Mr.
12	Goodwin around about budget time in 2000, I think he may
13	have been up to four or five people, but no more.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	MR. GUZZO: And some of them were part time,
16	I think. But anyway, yeah, I called Mr. Beaubien and I
17	spoke to Mr. Runciman and I think I knew the person on his
18	staff who was looking at it favourably and probably took
19	him out one night, you know?
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So although you were
21	unsuccessful on the judicial inquiry front with your
22	government, you were having some success at least getting
23	some funding for the Men's Project on a I don't know
24	what how to use the term, but at least on an annual
25	basis?

1	MR. GUZZO: I was having a lot of success
2	with certain departments, as long as I stayed under the
3	radar. I mean, you know, if I had a good working
4	relationship with a lot of ministers and I got a lot of
5	assistance, and as long as you weren't front and centre,
6	you're you know
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	Sir, maybe we'll wrap it up there.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: There's just one
10	question.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, sorry.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: The last paragraph on the
13	first page, Mr. Goodwin says:
14	"As you know, the situation in Cornwall
15	is horrendous. The OPP has told us
16	that they may have had contact with up
17	to 1,000 alleged victims."
18	Do you remember reading that? It sounds
19	like a big number.
20	MR. GUZZO: It does until you and I would
21	suggest if the Mr. Goodwin is going to give evidence,
22	get the figures from him for his first couple of years
23	because there are forty some thousand people in Cornwall
24	and he has to service from Pembroke to Kingston into
25	Cornwall, between the two rivers.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
2	MR. GUZZO: And I sat down with him a couple
3	of times and went over, you know, what percentage of
4	Cornwall represents 2.4 percent of your population that
5	you're servicing. What percentage of the referrals of
6	people you're helping are coming from this 2.4 percent?
7	And it was staggering.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. We'll leave it at
9	that. See you tomorrow morning at 9:30.
10	MR. GUZZO: Thank you.
11	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
12	veuillez vous lever.
13	This hearing is adjourned until tomorrow
14	morning at 9:30 a.m.
15	Upon adjourning at 6:11 p.m. /
16	L'audience est ajournée à 18h11
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province
6	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
7	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
8	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
9	
10	Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province
11	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
12	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
13	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
14	
15	and a wal
16	
17	Dale Waterman, CVR-CM
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	