THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 159

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Wednesday, November 14 2007 Mercredi, le 14 novembre 2007

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Peter Engelmann Lead Commission Counsel

Ms. Julie Gauthier Registrar

Ms. Maya Hamou Commission Counsel

Mr. Peter Manderville Cornwall Police Service Board

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

Ms. Suzanne Costom

M^e Claude Rouleau Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Mr. Darrell Kloeze Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

Mr. Terry Mazerolle the United Counties

Mr. Allan Manson Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims Group

Mr. David Bennett The Men's Project

Mr. David Sherriff-Scott Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall

and Bishop Eugene LaRocque

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Scott Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Me Danielle Robitaille Mr. Jacques Leduc

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Mr. Frank T. Horn Mr. Carson Chisholm

Mr. Ian Paul

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	Page iv
GARRY GUZZO, Resumed/Sous le même serment	1
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann (cont'd/suite)	1

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE NO

1	Upon commencing at 9:33 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h33
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
10	Good morning all. Good, morning Mr. Guzzo.
11	Mr. Engelmann.
12	GARRY GUZZO: Resumed/Sous le même serment
13	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN CHEF PAR MR.
14	<pre>ENGELMANN (Continued/suite):</pre>
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr.
16	Commissioner. Good morning, Mr. Guzzo.
17	MR. GUZZO: Good morning, sir.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, when we left off
19	yesterday, you had asked Mr. Guzzo to do his best to find
20	original notes that we have a photocopy of in our when
21	we left off yesterday, you had asked Mr. Guzzo to make his
22	best efforts to find for us his original notes of Exhibit
23	848 848B I believe is the handwritten version.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, can you let us

1	know whether or not you were able to find your original
2	notes?
3	MR. GUZZO: I do have them.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm just looking over at
5	you; is it the yellow notepaper you have in front of you?
6	MR. GUZZO: It is.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And can you tell
8	me if I'll just be one moment.
9	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you tell me if your
11	original has these blacked out redactions on it, sir?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes, they do.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you tell me if you are
14	able, either as a result of just looking through the
15	redactions you've made or otherwise, are you able to tell
16	us all of the names that you redacted?
17	MR. GUZZO: I have a list I have a list
18	of the names. I think they're all there. I think that
19	each and every one is there.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So let me just
21	understand.
22	Is this a list that you just made by looking
23	at this and trying to decipher the names underneath the
24	redactions or is this a list you made at another time?
25	MR. GUZZO: This is a list I made when I

1	made the redactions.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: So at the time you did the
3	redactions, you made a separate list?
4	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you have
6	that with you?
7	MR. GUZZO: I do.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	Mr. Commissioner, perhaps what we could do
10	is I don't have copies of these notes or the list and
11	obviously, therefore, neither do counsel here. I'm
12	wondering if we could go in camera to have Mr. Guzzo read
13	those remaining names for us and perhaps while we're doing
14	that, I could try and have copies made for everybody.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Is that
16	satisfactory to you, Mr. Guzzo?
17	Very well, so how long do you think well,
18	we'll be at least 15 minutes to go into in camera, to
19	switch into it, then we're going to be another 15 minutes -
20	- so it will be at least an hour by the time we come back
21	to live diffusion, I guess?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not sure that it will
23	take that long but there will be some yes, there will be
24	15 minutes before we're ready to go because there will be
25	some photocopying maybe even 20 minutes.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: But I don't know if it will
3	take that long, actually, the in camera portion. Then
4	there are issues about confidentiality measures
5	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: that I would assume we
7	can address publicly.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. In any event,
9	those who are viewing through the webcast will have to
10	stand by and the members of the public who have not signed
11	undertakings as parties will have to be asked excused
12	for that part of it.
13	All right. So we'll take 15 to get in
14	camera and we'll see where we go.
15	Thank you.
16	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
17	veuillez vous lever.
18	This hearing will resume at 9:55 a.m.
19	Upon recessing at 9:38 a.m. to resume in camera/
20	L'audience est suspendue à 9h38 pour reprendre à huis-
21	clos
22	Upon resuming in public at 2:07 p.m./
23	L'audience est reprise en public à 14h07
24	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is now resumed.
25	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Good
2	afternoon, all.
3	Mr. Engelmann.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, Mr.
5	Commissioner.
6	Good afternoon, Mr. Guzzo.
7	MR. GUZZO: Good afternoon, sir.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: We're back on the webcast.
9	I just wanted to explain very briefly to
10	members of the public. What happened this morning, we went
11	in camera or perhaps in more common nomenclature, we went
12	outside of the cameras, with the cameras off, and went
13	through a list of names from Mr. Guzzo's notes, names that
14	he provided to us. And then counsel had discussions about
15	whether or not confidentiality measures were appropriate
16	for some of these individuals.
17	And in the main, counsel were unanimous with
18	respect to whether or not confidentiality measures were
19	necessary.
20	There was one particular name that came up
21	right at the end where there was some debate and, Mr.
22	Commissioner, I understand you're going to issue a ruling
23	on that.
24	But as a consequence of those discussions
25	and the in camera portion this morning, there are a number

1	of individuals, primarily of alleged victims, who have been
2	given what we call monikers and publication ban.
3	And the reason for the moniker and those
4	have been "C" with a number, is, of course, so that when
5	that person's name is mentioned here, because of the live
6	webcast and the television, that the name doesn't come out
7	publicly.
8	So that's what happened this morning.
9	Mr. Commissioner, I know you have reserved a
10	decision with respect to one individual.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Well actually two, but I
12	will
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Oops.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine. Thank you.
15	Mr. Guzzo, we started off yesterday with you
16	voicing a concern about confidentiality and I've indicated,
17	what we did is went in camera so that we could discuss
18	freely who those names were. And it certainly was not in
19	an effort to breach any of your confidentiality; it was to
20	see whether or not there was a public interest and whether
21	or there was some relevance to whether or not those names
22	should be published.
23	In the end, sir, I can tell you that all of
24	the names will be covered with monikers and there will be
25	publication ban on their names.

There are two that will be on an interim basis, but that's simply to permit the media, if they wish, to come back and provide me with further submissions, and also with respect to whether or not it will come to light that the name becomes irrelevant or in the sense that it's already in the public domain or whatever.

In any event, I'm mindful that we always have to balance interests in this matter and while many of the people that are on the list and that have monikers are alleged victims. There is a name of a person who may have divulged or made some comments as a whistleblower kind of comment. I don't want to attach too much to that title but, in any event, I thought that on the basis of erring on the side of caution that, at this point, this person should be afforded that confidentiality.

There's also an alleged perpetrator. And that has been argued and I find that in the circumstances and with respect to this specific allegation that again I should err on the side of caution, and if it comes around that it should be made public, I certainly will make things public, because in the end, as part of a public inquiry, we try to have matters dealt with in a public forum as much as possible.

Having said that, sir, I want to assure you that we will be finishing this afternoon around 4:30 or

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	5:00.
2	I understand you have a flight later on in
3	Ottawa.
4	MR. GUZZO: I'm leaving, yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
6	And so I certainly don't want to hamper
7	that.
8	I understand that you will be coming back,
9	though, next week on Tuesday.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: On Tuesday.
11	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's correct.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	MR. GUZZO: And one Mr. Commissioner, can
14	I make just one point, for the record anyhow?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. GUZZO: With regard to the to the
17	individual you referred to C-41? I would like it noted
18	that Commission counsel did make and bring to light an
19	issue with regard to legislation provincial legislation
20	protecting provincial employees.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
22	MR. GUZZO: And that was made, you know, and
23	I would just like to have that on the record, on the public
24	record.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine.

1	MR. GUZZO: Thank you and I appreciate it.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: The legislation I referred
3	to, Mr. Guzzo, I don't know of any predecessor legislation
4	in Ontario for that; it was 2006 protection for provincial
5	public servants as whistleblowers.
6	There is similar-type protection federally
7	that we discussed the Accountability Act; that's the
8	legislation I was referring to, sir.
9	MR. GUZZO: Right.
10	Well, I didn't quite get that.
11	I was referring to a 1995 piece of
12	legislation of the Rae government which was a protection
13	act but I have to be straightforward and tell you that
14	while it received three readings and Royal Assent, it was
15	never promulgated. It was never promulgated.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, well
17	MR. GUZZO: Thank you.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we get on to the
19	matter at hand, I guess.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So, Mr.
21	Commissioner, then, C-41 and C-43 are interim?
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And the remainder are there?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Are there.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	So, Mr. Guzzo, I want to ask you a few more
2	questions about your notes and then I'm going to leave that
3	subject matter
4	THE COMMISSIONER: For another day?
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. I've advised you, sir,
6	that given your own uncertainty about some of these names;
7	and given the difficulty in confirming whether or not the
8	names are as you say they are; given the redactions to your
9	original notes; the Commission is going to have someone
10	independent look at that and hopefully they're going to be
11	able to tell us if, in fact, the name is as you recall and,
12	in fact, perhaps to give us first names as well, if that's
13	possible.
14	All right sir. I want to ask you a couple
15	of questions about the notes though.
16	We've gone through this before but 847A and
17	B which I'll call the "shorter form notes" of the
18	chronology, if I might. You believe those notes were
19	prepared later than the notes at 848?
20	MR. GUZZO: I do.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And I just want
22	to understand when you think you might have prepared those
23	notes?
24	MR. GUZZO: I believe in the spring or
25	summer of '06.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And the previous
2	notes and you have the original in front of you, which
3	is C-848C. And there are two sets in there and in our
4	unofficial transcription we've divided up a first set of
5	notes, second set of notes from 848. The first set
6	starting with notes from December of '95; the second set
7	starting with notes from 1997 and going from there.
8	Is it your evidence that these notes were
9	prepared at or around 2003, 2004?
10	MR. GUZZO: Well no. The first ones were
11	prepared in contemplation of the first Private Members
12	Bill.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry. I think you had
14	said that in or around the fall of 2000?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes, in fact, they're dated, I
16	believe.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
18	MR. GUZZO: October '00, I think that says.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, they're dated on our
20	unofficial transcription. They're not dated on your actual
21	note; are they?
22	MR. GUZZO: I think they are. The first
23	entry is "PMB October 11^{th} or 12^{th} , '00".
24	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry. You're right.
25	You're right. Okay.

1	MR. GUZZO: And those are prepared
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know what PMB stands
3	for, sir?
4	MR. GUZZO: Private Members Business.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. All right. So, for
6	example, what do we see then? You say "speakers" and then
7	there are some names after that.
8	MR. GUZZO: I'm getting set to go in. The
9	bill is going to be called soon, and I have to arrange the
10	speakers. I have to have people from the two opposition
11	parties, who are prepared to support it, and a couple of
12	colleagues.
13	The people named there I'm going to speak
14	to it myself and then give the final rebuttal and the
15	people who are there are the individuals that I'm
16	contemplating having speak to having address the bill.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And who are they, sir?
18	MR. GUZZO: Well myself, GJG; Beaubien is a
19	member a Conservative member from down around Petrolia.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
21	MR. GUZZO: The next one with the question
22	mark is Jim Flaherty who is, at this time, the Attorney
23	General.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	MR. GUZZO: John Cleary, the member for

1	Cornwall; Peter Kormos, the NDP House Leader; and David
2	Christopherson who was the NDP member from Hamilton who
3	served as Solicitor General in the Rae government and has a
4	direct interest in it.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And Mr. Cleary,
6	he was the MP for Cornwall or the MP for SD&G at that time?
7	MR. GUZZO: Cornwall at that time I believe.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: He was a member of which
9	party?
10	MR. GUZZO: The Liberal Party.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And what are you
12	saying underneath their names? What's the reference?
13	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm saying, "Call the
14	Members of the Justice Committee and the House Leaders".
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	MR. GUZZO: I mean I'm doing a little
17	lobbying, I guess.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	So the first set of notes here prepared
20	before you were to speak or at about the time you were to
21	speak about the Private Members Bill.
22	MR. GUZZO: Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: The second set of notes that
24	also forms part of 848, either B or C, is prepared somewhat
25	later.

1	MR. GUZZO: It is. It's not prepared at
2	that time.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: No and, in fact, it has
4	dates going until February of 2003, if I'm correct, if you
5	look at the last page?
6	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So when is your best
8	recollection as to when the second set of notes that are
9	contained in either 848C or B were prepared?
10	MR. GUZZO: I remember working on the file.
11	I decided not to shred this file, and I remember working or
12	this file definitely in February January, February of
13	'04 when I'm rehabbing on a from a hip operation, and I
14	would think that's when I do these notes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And again then your best
16	recollection as to when you would have blacked out some of
17	these names?
18	MR. GUZZO: I would you know I'm I
19	would I can't remember going back to the file per se. I
20	have a I think maybe the best guess I would make was I
21	do it at that time, you know, when I'm sitting around doing
22	nothing, working a bit on files. I'm a year, almost a year
23	out of the legislature, and I'm still cleaning up a few of
24	the files, and I would think that that's the best time, but
25	it may not have happened at that time. I can't be sure.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Mr. Guzzo, you
2	would agree with me that at some point in time on your
3	original notes you added some handwritten names.
4	MR. GUZZO: I did, yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And those handwritten names
6	were not on 848B; correct?
7	MR. GUZZO: They were not.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And 848B was disclosed to
9	the Commission last Friday.
10	MR. GUZZO: That's right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So how is that possible that
12	we have 848B without handwritten names on it, and your
13	originals have handwritten names?
14	MR. GUZZO: The well, I'll tell you where
15	the originals are; where I found them yesterday are in a
16	file that I have started and was doing a book on the
17	Cornwall situation. And I have photocopied these with the
18	blackouts and I'm as far as maintaining this file, I
19	guess at first glance, I'm thinking I should still shred
20	it. Nothing's going to happen.
21	The original notes are left with the book
22	file. I'm working from photocopies. When I came into your
23	office in '06, I'm working from photocopies. When I sit
24	down and prepare that other set that I did along about the
25	time of our interviews in '06

1	MR. ENGELMANN: 847A?
2	MR. GUZZO: 847A, I'm working from the
3	photocopies.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Dunlop Mr. Dunlop, ha
5	Mr. Guzzo, when you were this fall served with a
6	summons?
7	MR. GUZZO: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: A summons to produce all
9	your documents that were relevant to this inquiry?
10	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: You were also served as a
12	summons to come as a witness?
13	MR. GUZZO: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And you have now signed a
15	certificate of production that you believe that you have
16	turned over all relevant documents that you've had or have
17	in your possession?
18	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Or control?
20	MR. GUZZO: Or control.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And would you have looked at
22	your book file and other files to ensure that you gave us
23	all relevant documents?
24	MR. GUZZO: Well, I didn't, no. I thought,
25	you know, and quite frankly, if you recall, I made it clear

1	to you very early on that I wasn't going to voluntarily
2	give up the documents that and I, only subsequently on
3	the Friday before, faxed you the ones that are the
4	photocopies and that I felt strongly about making at least
5	a token gesture to be argue against doing it, and I
6	wanted to, you know, be ordered to do it before I would do
7	it.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So let me just
9	understand this, sir. I realize that you were taking
10	exception to revealing some information with names and, in
11	particular, you had concerns about revealing the names of
12	some of the alleged victims that had come to see you;
13	correct?
14	MR. GUZZO: And "whistleblower".
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, and some
16	whistleblowers.
17	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And you were taking the
19	position that you had some form of privilege.
20	MR. GUZZO: I
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And you did
22	MR. GUZZO: I put that forward to you, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, and you did so here as
24	well?
25	MR. GUZZO: Yes I did.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And that - that
2	is presumably why you didn't provide me with notes -
3	provide us with notes earlier?
4	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: What about other documents?
6	We did get
7	MR. GUZZO: You have - you have the entire
8	file.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. That's what I'm
10	asking. We got about 1,800 documents. We got a couple of
11	binders and we got these notes afterwards. Are you
12	satisfied, sir, from your review of your records that you
13	have given us all of the documents that you have in your
14	possession and control
15	MR. GUZZO: I
16	MR. ENGELMANN: that are relevant to
17	what we are doing?
18	MR. GUZZO: I believe that is the case. I
19	firmly believe that is the case.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Have you gone through all
21	of the documents that you have in your possession to make
22	sure that there is nothing in there that should be
23	produced?
24	MR. GUZZO: Well, I've gone through every
25	file that could possibly relate to this file. But I

1	haven't gone through every file that I have retained from
2	my years in the Legislature. But the only - the only file
3	that could possibly relate would be the book file on the
4	file on the Boston situation, the Los Angeles situation,
5	the Phoenix situation and the New Orleans situation. That
6	I have been using as background for them.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Have you looked in those
8	
9	MR. GUZZO: Yes, yes I have.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	MR. GUZZO: I have.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just be a moment sir.
13	All right. Mr. Guzzo, let's go back then to
14	late 1995. You're a recently elected member of the
15	Provincial Parliament and you become aware of allegations
16	of sexual abuse against young people, I believe you told us
17	in or around December of 1995.
18	MR. GUZZO: Certainly late '95, yeah.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
20	MR. GUZZO: Definitely late '95.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Right then. And you told us
22	that you received a phone call from a senior lawyer in
23	Cornwall.
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And this fellow's name was

1	Duncan McDonald.
2	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And he's now deceased?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes, he is.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And how well did you know
6	him?
7	MR. GUZZO: I did not know him well but I
8	knew him for 10 or 12 years, I guess. From my
9	MR. ENGELMANN: How did you know him?
10	MR. GUZZO: Personal people who who
11	mutual friends.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Would you
13	describe him as a friend of a friend?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I think so, but you know
15	the few times I had met Duncan, I enjoyed his company.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: How would you describe him?
17	MR. GUZZO: Well, he was a very great
18	reputation, strong, conscientious individual.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And who was your mutual
20	friend?
21	MR. GUZZO: Peter McDerby and
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And how did you know him?
23	MR. GUZZO: I guess through Laurencrest
24	really. The group home.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Was he also a lawyer

1	nere in Cornwall?
2	MR. GUZZO: He was.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Is he also deceased?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes, he is.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: What kind of fellow was
6	he?
7	MR. GUZZO: He was a classy guy; a very good
8	lawyer, very honourable, classy individual. He was very
9	ill for a quite a period of time before he passed away.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: The phone call you received
11	from Mr. McDonald, Duncan McDonald; was it something that
12	you were expecting?
13	MR. GUZZO: No. Definitely not, you know
14	but
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Tell us about the phone
16	call?
17	MR. GUZZO: Well, he I remember him
18	saying you know, we've been in office four or five months,
19	there was lots of commotion, and lots of things happening
20	and the congratulatory calls have ceased and the complaints
21	have started. And I get this call, you know
22	congratulations; I'm pleased for you; hope things go well;
23	and he brings up a subject of that was troubling him.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. Did he tell you how
25	he knew about this or why it was troubling him?

1	MR. GUZZO: He mentioned I think the and
2	it was the first time I had heard really that it had
3	registered with me of the financial settlement and that was
4	troubling him.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: The financial settlement
6	MR. GUZZO: on the
7	MR. ENGELMANN: from 1993?
8	MR. GUZZO: From back then and which is
9	still which is resonating with him because of some
10	things he's hearing things that are causing him some
11	concern.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you why he had
13	knowledge of that settlement?
14	MR. GUZZO: I don't think he did. I don't -
15	
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you if he knew
17	some of the individuals involved in that settlement? Or
18	who were involved in that settlement?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well, when he described the
20	settlement to me, I knew that. I knew he would know Shawn
21	Adams, I knew he would know Malcolm
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he actually tell you
23	that he knew some of the individuals involved?
24	MR. GUZZO: I can't recall that. I can't
25	recall that he told me that directly, but you know I would

1	certainly have surmised that.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we get at what
3	exactly - what did he tell you?
4	MR. GUZZO: He said he was he was
5	concerned, he had some having some problems with some
6	things that he's hearing and knows are going on around here
7	and then he relates it back to the settlement and he asked
8	me if I would be willing to meet with a couple of people.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. But what did he
10	tell you? What were the "meat on the bones" to the
11	conversation?
12	MR. GUZZO: Well, "There's a problem here
13	and your government should look at it."
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, but he talked
15	to you about sex abuse; did he talk to you about cover up?
16	What did he talk to you about?
17	MR. GUZZO: I think I don't think he
18	mentioned cover up. I think he mentioned sex abuse and he
19	was concerned about the Church.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: And what about the
21	Church?
22	MR. GUZZO: Well, that the Church was
23	involved; that we as Catholics should be concerned and I as
24	a Conservative should be concerned.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. About what?

1	MR. GUZZO: About the Church's involvement.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: And what did he tell you
3	about the Church's involvement?
4	MR. GUZZO: I don't know that he went into
5	any detail or specifically, it's I mean I remember
6	getting the call, it's a busy time of year, it's a, you
7	know, but
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: But he's telling you you
10	should be concerned. He's telling you he's a Catholic too.
11	What's he telling you about the Church's involvement, if
12	any, in this settlement?
13	MR. GUZZO: Well, at that point in time I'm
14	not certain what exactly he relates to me. I'm not he's
15	telling me that there was, you know, that the Church made a
16	settlement; that there was a lot of confusion over what
17	who knew what, Malcolm is in trouble over it, you know.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So he mentions Malcolm's
19	name?
20	MR. GUZZO: I think so. I think so.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Does he mention Jacques
22	Leduc's name or Sean Adams name?
23	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't think so. I don't
24	recall.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Does he explain to you why -

1	- what why he mentions being a Catholic and why that's a
2	concern, to him and to you? Why it should be?
3	MR. GUZZO: I can't recall but it seems to
4	me that it was self-evident.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: What was self-evident?
6	MR. GUZZO: That as Catholics we if
7	there's something wrong here, we should be concerned.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: What kind of trouble did
9	he say Malcolm was in?
10	MR. GUZZO: He said he said Malcolm had
11	acted in some way and you know he was going to end up
12	taking the hit, that there were a lot of lawyers involved
13	in this thing. It doesn't look good and Malcolm's going to
14	be the one that has to pay the price for it.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Did he mention
16	anything about Malcolm being an abuser?
17	MR. GUZZO: No.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he talk to you about the
20	settlement being illegal, or words to that effect?
21	MR. GUZZO: Well, he you know, I think
22	when I hung up the phone I knew that the payment had been
23	made based on withdrawal of the charge; something to that
24	effect. And but I don't know whether he told me it was
25	illegal or whether I formed an opinion that it may be.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, there had been
2	some media coverage of the settlement from early 1994. Were
3	you already aware of some of the details about the
4	settlement from then?
5	MR. GUZZO: I don't believe so. I think if
6	I had seen it, it hadn't I hadn't remembered it.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, what if anything did he
8	tell you? So he talks about a concern, talks about the
9	settlement, expresses concern and you said about the
10	church's involvement or words to that effect.
11	Now, when he's expressing that concern, is
12	it the involvement in the settlement? Is it in the
13	involvement in sexual abuse? Or can you remember what the
14	concern is about the Church's involvement?
15	MR. GUZZO: Specifically, I cannot.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Was the name Father
17	Charlie MacDonald mentioned?
18	MR. GUZZO: I don't think I heard that name
19	at that time.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you at that time
22	about victims and numbers of victims of sexual abuse in
23	Cornwall?
24	MR. GUZZO: If he did, I can't recall; I
25	can't recall anything major. He only that he's you

1	know, it's out of character for him to be this concerned.
2	I mean, obviously it's you know, but other than the
3	exact, you know, the numbers or what the situation was, he
4	ends up asking me to see a couple of people.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, does he or does
6	he not talk to you about the fact that he's concerned about
7	sexual abuse of young people in Cornwall?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. He's concerned about
9	and he but from the point of view of the Catholic
10	Church, he has some concerns. He has some concerns and he
11	he's driving it home to me that, you know, we as
12	Catholic lawyers, we should be all concerned and now you're
13	in a position, you're in the government, you should be
14	looking at the situation here from a governance and legal
15	point-of-view.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And does he tell you that
17	he's going to send you or refer to you alleged victims of
18	child sexual abuse?
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes, he does.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, do you in fact
21	shortly thereafter see an individual or individuals who
22	tell you that they were alleged victims?
23	MR. GUZZO: Within the week.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And how was that arranged?
25	MR. GUZZO: Somebody called my constituency

1	office and made an appointment and I'm doing appointments
2	that time and these two people come to see me.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: And for purposes of the
4	record could you identify, with the monikers, who those two
5	people were?
6	MR. GUZZO: C-24 and C-25.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And without getting into any
9	of the details of the abuse that they talked to you about,
10	did they tell you whether this happened to them when they
11	were young persons?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes, they it wasn't recent.
13	These people are in their 30's or approaching their 30's
14	and they're talking about a number of years earlier.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they give you a sense of
16	their age when this happened to them?
17	MR. GUZZO: Early teens. I have them
18	classified as early teens.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And did they tell you about
20	who their alleged abuser was or what title or profession
21	this person had?
22	MR. GUZZO: They're both they're both
23	talking about the Church, but I have I have a blind spot
24	on one of them; the other one was rambling a bit and a
25	little incoherent.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. In C-848C, I believe
2	in the quote you say, "Poor image". Is that correct?
3	MR. GUZZO: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: What did you mean by that?
5	MR. GUZZO: I mean this is not a person that
6	I'm going to be able to rely on very much and I'm
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're referring to one
8	of those individuals?
9	MR. GUZZO: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And what do you say
11	underneath that?
12	MR. GUZZO: I said, "Cannot understand".
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And next to that?
14	MR. GUZZO: I say, "Father Charlie".
15	MR. ENGELMANN: So they both came to you
16	about sexual abuse as young persons? They said it was
17	related to the Church? One of them gave you a poor image?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. The C-24 C-24 left
19	me with a you know, a feeling I'm not going to be able
20	to I can't rely on you know. The other one, I'm I
21	don't think he does much talking and I haven't got a clear
22	feel for him.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And is one of
24	them alleging that it was Father Charlie is that why you
25	have that name there or is it for another reason?

1	MR. GUZZO: He's rambling on and he's
2	mentioning a few times a Father Charlie, but quite frankly,
3	I'm a little you know, I'm not paying a great deal of
4	attention if you want me to be honest. I think he's I
5	think he's under the influence of something.
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Did these two individuals
8	tell you whether or not they'd been altar boys?
9	MR. GUZZO: I can't recall that. I can't
10	recall that.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So they asked to come
12	and see you? How long did you meet with them?
13	MR. GUZZO: I don't think it would have been
14	more than half-an-hour.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Was there anyone with you
16	when you saw them?
17	MR. GUZZO: There may have been. There may
18	have been. In many cases, in the constituency office I had
19	somebody with me, but I don't recall.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: If you had someone with you,
21	who would that have been?
22	MR. GUZZO: Probably a fellow by the name of
23	Grant William Grant.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Did you take any
25	statements, affidavits, and/or any documents from these two

1	alleged victims?
2	MR. GUZZO: No, I didn't and I didn't open a
3	file. My recollection, I did not open a file.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: What, if any, advice did you
5	give them?
6	MR. GUZZO: I don't know if it started at
7	that time, but my modus operandi would be to tell them that
8	they should go to the police and possibly consult a civil
9	lawyer. But I don't think I would have given a second
10	piece of advice to these people.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that something you
12	started to give to people on a regular basis?
13	MR. GUZZO: I did that on a regular basis.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: What about the first piece
15	of advice, reporting to the police?
16	MR. GUZZO: I did, and I'm reasonably
17	certain I would have told them that they should go to the
18	police.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you talk to them at all
20	about what could happen if they did that or what was likely
21	to happen if they did that?
22	MR. GUZZO: I don't think so. I may have
23	with other people but not this person.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: There were two people;
25	right?

1	MR. GUZZO: There were two people.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: You saw them together?
3	MR. GUZZO: I saw them together but I
4	MR. ENGELMANN: They knew each other?
5	MR. GUZZO: Yes. Yes. They came together.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And they spoke to you openly
7	and in front of the other?
8	MR. GUZZO: Openly in front of the other.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Did they get into the
10	details or do you recall?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, I think he was getting to
12	the details but, as I say, he was rambling and I don't
13	think he was I don't think he was completely sober.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're referring to C-
15	24?
16	MR. GUZZO: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And C-25 was quieter?
18	MR. GUZZO: He was quieter but as I
19	recollect not communicative, you know.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So what, if any, follow-up
21	were you going to have with these two individuals?
22	MR. GUZZO: None. At that point, none.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: What did you plan to do with
24	the information you'd been given?
25	MR. GUZZO: I don't think I'd given it any

1	thought to tell you the truth.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you think at that time
3	about going to the police or possibly going to the
4	Children's Aid Society or going to any other agency about
5	this?
6	MR. GUZZO: No, it had not crossed my mind.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Had they told you whether or
8	not they had been to the police already?
9	MR. GUZZO: I do not recall. I do not
10	recall with them.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: But if you had indicated
12	that they might want to report to the police, would it not
13	be fair to say that they probably hadn't done that already?
14	MR. GUZZO: I think that's probably fair.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: So that's in December of
16	1995 approximately?
17	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: So tell me, you've
19	received a phone call from a respected lawyer telling you
20	about his concerns; you've see these two young persons
21	well young adults or whatever. What was your impression at
22	that point?
23	MR. GUZZO: My impression at that point was
24	the two fellows had a little too much Christmas cheer
25	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

1	MR. GUZZO: when they came to see me.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	MR. GUZZO: I mean
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. That's good.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So, Mr. Guzzo, the next
6	entry in your notes either in 848C is June of 1996. I
7	want to ask you the winter of '95-'96; did you go down to
8	Florida?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Hold on.
10	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: May I make a comment?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
12	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you.
13	I'm just concerned about the manner in which
14	this examination is being conducted. From my point of
15	view, I suggest that counsel, if they're going to examine
16	in this fashion, ought to lay a foundation for your
17	jurisdiction for these individuals.
18	By that I mean whether or not questions
19	should be asked of the witness as to whether or not a) he
20	reported this to any public institution in connection with
21	the names that follow and/or b) that he has any knowledge
22	it was so reported. And it may well not be as the witness
23	testified just now, it appears likely the first two were
24	not so reported and so no institutional response is
25	engaged.

1	My questions pertain to the jurisdiction of
2	the Inquiry, which is to measure institutional response to
3	allegations to institutions. And I'm not hearing anything
4	on the first two and it may be that there are similarities
5	in the other.
6	And I would suggest that, and I put it the
7	frame of an objection, if these inquiries are going to be
8	made of the witness, inquiries should first be made to lay
9	the foundation for the jurisdiction of the Inquiry.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: I thought it was more of
11	the story line of what this gentleman had because we're
12	listening to his testimony as to what occurred and what his
13	actions were and what his thoughts are with respect to
14	institutional response from his perspective.
15	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Well, I
16	THE COMMISSIONER: So how can I know that if
17	I don't hear what he lived through to get to where he was?
18	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Well, I what he
19	lived through matters not to me. My concern is, are
20	individuals here making allegations to public institutions?
21	Is he aware of that? Is he reporting the allegations,
22	passing them on to public institutions, thus engaging an
23	institutional response?
24	To this point, I haven't heard that from the
25	witness and I'm wondering whether or not there'll be

similarities in the last two witnesses to the witnesses
to the people that follow he's identified. He's being
asked about interviewing victims and my concern is if these
victims didn't make allegations to public institutions,
then what are we doing?
THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Manson?
MR. MANSON: Mr. Commissioner, two quick
points. Number one, at this point in history, Mr. Guzzo's
a member of the government which likely qualifies as an
institution.
But, as well, if Mr. Sherriff-Scott is
referring to the institutions who are parties here, whether
or not they were advised of lack of institutional response
or failure to make an institutional response and the
reasons why people don't come forward to other public
institutions, seems to me as part of your mandate.
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MR. MANSON: And I don't see any difficulty
with Mr. Engelmann's questions.
THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Anybody else wish
to respond at this point? No. Thank you.
Mr. Engelmann, what did you wish to add?
MR. ENGELMANN: Do you need a response?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Just
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Obviously, at some point,
3	and in fact I asked Mr. Guzzo about whether or not he knew
4	whether or not they'd reported and I asked him whether or
5	not he took any action to report.
6	As will become obvious in the story line,
7	and as you know, all counsel get a summary of anticipated
8	evidence at some point after hearing from a number of these
9	individuals.
10	Mr. Guzzo, who's a member of a government
11	institution, the government institution, then calls upon
12	his government to do something about it and talks about
13	what other institutions are doing or not doing.
14	So I don't think it could be any more
15	relevant, quite frankly.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: I agree. Let's go.
17	So what's your next step then? What happens
18	next? You met these folks in late December?
19	MR. GUZZO: If I recollect, I pick up the
20	phone, and I call Peter McDerby. You know, and I'm coming
21	down for something between Christmas and New Year's and, if
22	I recollect, I see McDerby, I think it is, at that time.
23	And I talk to him and it was through McDerby that I had a
24	contact from another individual
25	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

1	MR. GUZZO: I have no note on it, but I
2	have a recollection.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: When is this, Mr. Guzzo?
4	Approximately when?
5	MR. GUZZO: Well
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Between Christmas and New
7	Year's you said.
8	MR. GUZZO: I think between Christmas and
9	New Year's, I'm here for some kind of a social function or
10	something, and I see McDerby, and I tell him about the
11	phone call and the visit. And he relates to me and I don't
12	see the person's name on the moniker list, so I can say it?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: No. It was an alleged
14	victim?
15	MR. GUZZO: And he's testified here.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Openly? Wait, maybe you
17	should just write down the name. Mr. Engelmann can look at
18	it and then that way we can get on with it.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: It's an individual who has
20	testified here openly.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay so
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Go ahead Mr. Guzzo.
23	MR. GUZZO: McDerby says to me, "Yeah, I'm,
24	you know, I'm concerned. You know I guess we should all be
25	concerned. There's an interesting chap you should talk to.

1	His name is John MacDonald", and I have a chat with John
2	MacDonald.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, do you seek him
4	out or does he seek you out or how does that happen after
5	you speak to Mr. McDerby?
6	MR. GUZZO: Well, when Mr. McDerby tells me
7	I should talk to him, I said, "Well, if you think so,
8	arrange it", you know.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that while you're in
10	Cornwall, sir, or does he see you in Ottawa or do you
11	remember?
12	MR. GUZZO: No. I see John MacDonald in
13	Cornwall. I don't know whether it's on that visit or
14	subsequently, you know, but I see him in Cornwall. I see
15	him at a restaurant.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So you explained to McDerby
17	about your call from Duncan MacDonald, and did you tell him
18	that you have had a visit from a couple of alleged victims
19	or do you even go there?
20	MR. GUZZO: I probably did. I probably did,
21	yeah.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And he suggests you see a
23	third alleged victim?
24	MR. GUZZO: He suggested MacDonald. He
25	seems to know him.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: You're not sure when you met
2	with him but he would he have indicated to you that he
3	was an alleged victim of sexual abuse as a young person?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes, he did.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And would he have told you
6	who the alleged perpetrator was?
7	MR. GUZZO: I assume
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Or in what setting?
9	MR. GUZZO: I assume he did, but I have no
10	notes from the meeting. I have no notes from the meeting -
11	- I but I remember the encounter.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Now that winter, did you have a habit of
14	going to Florida?
15	MR. GUZZO: I did.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you go to Florida in
17	early 1996?
18	MR. GUZZO: I did.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And did something happen
20	when you were in Florida in early 1996 that had any
21	relation to the City of Cornwall and/or sexual abuse?
22	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I was introduced to an
23	individual. He introduced himself or was introduced to me
24	as a retired Miami or Fort Lauderdale police officer I
25	think Fort Lauderdale police officer and he asked me about

1	Cornwall. He said, "How far is your riding from Cornwall?"
2	I told him and he relayed some information to me with
3	regard to some disturbing information.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: What kind of disturbing
5	information?
6	MR. GUZZO: Well, he tells me he's aware of
7	some situations at a motel or two motels actually in a
8	paedophile neighbourhood and some people from your area are
9	coming down here have come down here and are known
10	abusers and what have you.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
12	MR. GUZZO: Are known abusers child
13	abusers.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And how would he know this?
15	Did he explain to you?
16	MR. GUZZO: Well, he's perturbed. I
17	remember one comment, he said, "You know twice a year we
18	used to do a sweep on the girls on a corner but at no time
19	did we ever have an opportunity to, you know, zero in on
20	the male prostitutes and the male abusers." And he we
21	had a lengthy chat.
22	He told me some interesting situations about
23	some powerful people from Ohio and related some stories to
24	me about people who frequented this place, and he said,
25	"There's a tribe from Cornwall that comes down here".

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So he was a
2	retired police officer by that point?
3	MR. GUZZO: He was.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: When he used the term
5	"tribe" or others, was he referring to native Canadians?
6	MR. GUZZO: No. No, he's that's a, you
7	know, a group groupings or you know
8	MR. ENGELMANN: A group of people?
9	MR. GUZZO: My word is "tribe". He would
10	have said groupings or
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And how did you meet him
12	sir?
13	MR. GUZZO: I was at a golf course and
14	somebody in our foursome knew him, and he was introduced to
15	me and as a by a retired judge and, you know, he
16	introduced me as a retired judge and as a new member of the
17	government.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you do anything at
19	that time about that information or
20	MR. GUZZO: I listened intently. I listened
21	intently and came back to Ottawa, back to Toronto.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: So when you went down
23	there, you didn't have any inkling that you would meet and
24	talk about a motel in Florida?
25	MR. GUZZO: No, none.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: It was
2	MR. GUZZO: Spontaneous.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Spontaneous.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have a home there,
5	Mr. Guzzo, or a place that you went to regularly?
6	MR. GUZZO: I had a home there a condo
7	there.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: In Fort Lauderdale itself?
9	MR. GUZZO: In Plantation, but on the
10	boarder of Sunrise and Fort Lauderdale.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So it's a neighbouring
12	community?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes, they're neighbouring
14	communities.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And how would this fellow
16	have known that you were from anywhere near Cornwall?
17	MR. GUZZO: He didn't. He asked me when he
18	was introduced to me as a new member of the government, one
19	of his first questions was "how close is your riding to
20	Cornwall?"
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did he provide
22	you with any names of individuals from Cornwall?
23	MR. GUZZO: No. No, not at all. He, you
24	know, it was a there are now five people sitting around
25	after a round of golf. You know, he's joined our foursome

1	more or less, and he and I and the judge were having a few
2	drinks and chatting and this subject has come up.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this a Canadian judge
4	or an American judge?
5	MR. GUZZO: A retired fellow from New
6	Hampshire.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, Mr. Guzzo, what's the
9	next thing you can remember after this that might be of any
10	significance to us dealing with Cornwall or sexual abuse of
11	young persons? We're in the winter of '95, '96.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: You come home from
13	Florida. Do you do anything with this information?
14	MR. GUZZO: No. I must admit I thought
15	about it a bit, but I'm not really
16	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
17	MR. GUZZO: zeroing in. I mean there
18	are a lot of things happening in the riding, in Queen's
19	Park. People are blockading our entrance to the
20	Legislature. People were changing the doors on the
21	constituency office to break there are a lot of things
22	happening, in the riding, in Queen's Park; people are
23	blockading our entrance to the Legislature, the people
24	are we're changing the doors on the Constituency office
25	to break shatter glass because people are coming in and

1	occupying our Constituency office for three nights at a
2	time.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: This is in early '96?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, during '96 and the storm
5	had broken out already.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, this is in your
7	first year of your first term of office?
8	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: So do see or hear from any
10	more alleged victims of sexual abuse either that spring or
11	that summer?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I recollect only because of
13	the note, the situation I described as "June '96". I have
14	a record of three people coming to see me and
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And these three people
16	you've identified for us as C-26, C-27 and C-28?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And what, if anything, do
19	you remember about meeting these three individuals?
20	MR. GUZZO: Well, I was quite impressed I
21	was quite impressed with two of them. They were
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember now which
23	two?
24	MR. GUZZO: Well, the two whose names I seem
25	to recollect

1	MR. ENGELMANN: The ones you recollect the
2	family name on?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Those would be C-26 and C-
5	27?
6	MR. GUZZO: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: What does your note say,
8	sir?
9	MR. GUZZO: Well, I make them I list two
10	names and then I have:
11	"Good evidence - believable. Details
12	very complete. No doubt in all three."
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And the two names you list
14	are Father Charles MacDonald with a couple of question
15	marks after it; do I see that correctly?
16	MR. GUZZO: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And M. Lalonde?
18	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: So your first meeting you're
20	recording that someone had a poor image; and this meeting
21	you're saying:
22	"Good evidence - believable. Details
23	very complete. No doubting all three."
24	Do you know why you're writing a note like
25	that?

1	MR. GUZZO: Well, it's in direct contrast to
2	the first situation I've had.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
4	MR. GUZZO: I think.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Now this note, itself, is
6	being written about four years after
7	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: you saw these
9	individuals. Did you have a note that you were writing
10	from there or was that from your memory or do you remember?
11	MR. GUZZO: I'm
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Or a Day-Timer?
13	MR. GUZZO: My Day-Timer is my bible. My
14	Day-Timer is my bible and much of what I have and was able
15	to put together for the submissions on the Bill came from
16	running through my Day-Timer.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you remember how that
18	appointment came about? Was it here in Cornwall or was it
19	in your Constituency office?
20	MR. GUZZO: It was definitely in Ottawa and
21	I don't recall the how it was set up.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: And during this period of
23	time, did you get any more phone calls from Mr. MacDonald -
24	- Duncan?
25	MR. GUZZO: I don't think I did. But I

1	think I I think I don't know that I ever spoke on the
2	phone to Duncan again. But I did see him
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MR. GUZZO: in Cornwall and maybe once
5	in Ottawa.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So you don't think these
7	three were referred to you by Duncan MacDonald?
8	MR. GUZZO: I don't have any reason to think
9	they were.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if they were
11	referred to you by Peter McDerby?
12	MR. GUZZO: I don't think I have no
13	reason to think they were.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Then how is it that they had
15	your name and came to see you or wanted to see you?
16	MR. GUZZO: I'm sure I probably asked them,
17	you know, how they got to me or why they came to me and I'm
18	sure somebody had suggested that, you know. But I don't
19	recall; I may know. But I don't recall.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So it might have been one of
21	the first individuals who saw you?
22	MR. GUZZO: It could have been; it could
23	have been one of the lawyers, you know.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, you're just not sure?
25	MR. GUZZO: I'm not I have no

1	recollection.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: So do you recall what type
3	of advice you would have given these three individuals?
4	MR. GUZZO: I definitely told them they
5	should go to the authorities. Again, we're talking a
6	number of years, and I would believe I told them.
7	I have come to the conclusion from doing a
8	little bit of research on New Orleans and Phoenix, I think
9	around that time, that the best way to handle the Church's
10	situation from the point of view of the individuals are the
11	civil suits; force the civil suits and force the the
12	production of documentation.
13	And I very early on I've got that in my
14	mind and I'm sure I'm telling everybody, probably at that
15	time, that this is the way the only way it's going to
16	something's going to happen.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: You're saying that because
18	of your knowledge of situations in the States where the
19	Catholic Church was sued in a couple of cities?
20	MR. GUZZO: Yes, yes.
21	I think we're always looking at a time span,
22	a gap in time; very difficult to prove, very difficult to
23	prove beyond a reasonable doubt but get it into the civil
24	courts and get the documentation before you and
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, what I am trying to

1	do is get a picture of how you get involved and what are
2	the things that are going on.
3	So was there a reason why you were finding
4	out about what was going on in the United States?
5	MR. GUZZO: Well, I think I had my
6	appetite had been whetted
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MR. GUZZO: as a result of a Chicago
9	case in the late '80s or early '90s
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MR. GUZZO: that involved in the
12	periphery some people I knew there.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Okay.
14	Mr. Engelmann, can we take a break, shortly?
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Sure. We can do that now,
16	if you would like sir.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it is getting a
18	little late.
19	Right, let's take a short break.
20	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre,
21	veuillez vous lever.
22	Upon recessing at 3:14 p.m./
23	L'audience est suspendue à 15h14
24	Upon resuming at 3:32 p.m./
25	L'audience est reprise à 15h32

1	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre,
2	veuillez vous lever.
3	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
4	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I will just be a moment,
6	sir.
7	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
8	I forgot one of my duties earlier today.
9	Mr. Manderville is assisted today by an articling student
10	from his office, Sonya Popovich who's here with him.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Welcome.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Always supposed to introduce
13	new faces and sometimes I fall down on that one.
14	Mr. Guzzo, I think when we left off, we had
15	talked about your encounter with alleged victims in or
16	around June of 1996.
17	MR. GUZZO: Right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'd asked you about the
19	advice that you might have imparted to them and I believe
20	you had mentioned civil actions as an option?
21	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if you spoke
23	to them about do you recall if they would have indicated
24	to you whether they had been to the police?
25	MR. GUZZO: My do I recall whether C-27

1	who I think
2	MR. ENGELMANN: No, we're going to just
3	refer to those numbers, Mr. Guzzo, okay?
4	MR. GUZZO: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
5	C-27.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
7	MR. GUZZO: C-27
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
9	MR. GUZZO: says, you know, I have a
10	strong feeling that he has been to the police and he is
11	with regard to the school teacher.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
13	MR. GUZZO: I don't have a recollection with
14	regard to C-26.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: If people told you they
16	hadn't been to the police, are you able to tell us whether
17	you had standard advice for people?
18	MR. GUZZO: Very early on, I had standard
19	advice, and I left the direction with staff when the people
20	would sometimes drop in unannounced if you know, they'd
21	wander in off the street or they'd be in town and they'd
22	drop around and I or, people would drop in for the first
23	time, unannounced and the staff were instructed that, you
24	know, this was a police matter. They should be referred to
25	the police.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
2	MR. GUZZO: Now, when I did that, did I
3	had I done it in June of '96, I can't recall but when the
4	floodgates opened, they were certainly told that.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Well in by June 1996,
6	Father MacDonald would have been charged with I believe
7	by that point there were three individuals who had had
8	laid charges against him. I can't tell you about the other
9	fellow, Marcel Lalonde. Whether they had started already;
10	I believe it was a bit later.
11	So you can't recall whether or not the other
12	two had indicated to you they'd been to police authorities.
13	MR. GUZZO: I can't recall.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall, sir, if
15	aside from giving them advice to do that and your advice
16	that they start a civil action, did you, at that time, make
17	any effort to report this to either police or Children's
18	Aid?
19	MR. GUZZO: No, I'm not I'm you know,
20	I'm not even I'm not thinking in terms of my
21	responsibility to report it to the police. And with regard
22	to the Children's Aid aspect, it is a question of time, you
23	know. It isn't what they're telling me is not something
24	that happened recently.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Were these all adults, when

1	they saw you, these individuals?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes. Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall their
4	approximate ages?
5	MR. GUZZO: I think C-26 was
6	THE COMMISSIONER: No, let's stay
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Stay with the list, sir.
8	MR. GUZZO: Stay with the sheet; stay with
9	the sheet.
10	Twenty-six (26) is probably I would think,
11	over 30. The other two under 30, but how much, I don't
12	know.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	You didn't ask people for identification?
15	MR. GUZZO: I did not.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: You didn't ask them for
17	their birthdates.
18	MR. GUZZO: I did not.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you with the other
20	previous alleged victims, you didn't take a statement, an
21	affidavit or any form of document. Did you do that with
22	these?
23	MR. GUZZO: No, I didn't
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever do that sir, to
25	your knowledge.

1	MR. GUZZO: The only thing that I accepted
2	was when the Dunlops came to see me
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, well I'll get to that.
4	MR. GUZZO: Okay.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: You did take something from
6	them?
7	MR. GUZZO: I did take from them, but other
8	than that, I think a couple of people dropped files off. I
9	think people either dropped files off or faxed files or
10	documents and because, at one point, I seem to recall
11	having, you know, telling staff to get rid of those. Get
12	them send them back, you know.
13	I may I think I would have read them,
14	took the trouble to read them but send them back. I don't
15	want, you know
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Why did you not want to have
17	their documents?
18	MR. GUZZO: Well, when that happened, I
19	mean, I was well into this. I mean, anytime the two
20	times I'm thinking of, I've I'm well into it and I've
21	probably made up my mind that I'm going to take it on; I'm
22	going to do something about it vis-à-vis the government,
23	and I want to be careful that I do not have material that I
24	release, and I get blamed for the advancement of
25	information that shouldn't be advanced.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, you don't take
2	information or statements or affidavits or anything from
3	this these first five alleged victims. And is there a
4	reason for that?
5	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I'm you know, once
6	again I'm not I'm not going to become an advocate for
7	anybody or any group, here. I'm trying to look at it from
8	a point of view of the government. Have we got a problem
9	here and do I have an obligation to do anything vis-à-vis
10	the Government of Ontario, of which I'm part?
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Are you at all concerned
12	about possibly interfering with a police investigation?
13	MR. GUZZO: It certainly hasn't occurred to
14	me. It hasn't occurred to me that I'm going to be asked to
15	do or voluntarily do anything that's going to involved or
16	interfere in a police investigation. I have seven years
17	experience on municipal politics where that is driven home
18	to you from day one. Six years on Border Control, six
19	years in the Council of the Regional Municipality. I'm
20	very, very I think, I'm very careful with that.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Sir, in later in 1996, do you have any
23	further encounters with alleged victims of sexual abuse?
24	MR. GUZZO: I had a call from C-26 I think -
25	- it was a phone call at the Constituency office, wanting

1	to come and meet with me and bring some people. And I tell
2	him that I'm going to be in Cornwall on a day and probably
3	going to stay over because it's for a social function, a
4	dinner. And
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Is this the dinner that
6	you've referred to earlier? A dinner for this for
7	Laurencrest?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes, this group home Laurencrest
9	where Mr. McDerby and I think, Duncan, and other people I
10	know here are on the Board or involved in it. I come down
11	every year for the dinner.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And did you come down that fall, 1996, for
14	that supper or dinner?
15	MR. GUZZO: I'm sure I did, and I'm
16	reasonably certain that it's that date that I have a
17	meeting with C-27 and four other people.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, in your note, can you
19	tell us what it says, sir?
20	MR. GUZZO: I say:
21	"Attend at Cornwall Laurencrest Dinner
22	[question mark] Parkway Motel. Five
23	victims number one from June, and four
24	new [I have] business leaders to being
25	assisted by one and two."

1	MR. ENGELMANN: What do you mean by being
2	assisted? Do you remember?
3	MR. GUZZO: Well, number three and four are
4	somewhat younger; maybe early 20s. The first two are, I
5	would think, 35 to 40 years of age.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And these are
7	all people that C-27 introduces you to?
8	MR. GUZZO: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you see do you
10	remember if you'd seen them before the Laurencrest dinner
11	or after?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes, very definitely before.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Before or after?
14	MR. GUZZO: Before the dinner.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Before the dinner?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes, early latter part of the
17	afternoon I think.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Why do you remember that?
19	MR. GUZZO: Because when I get to the
20	dinner, C-27 and one of the first two are at the dinner and
21	they've it appears to me that, I don't know these
22	other two are there and it looks to me my impression is
23	they're being taken to the dinner by the businessman.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And do you have
25	one businessman or two?

1	MR. GUZZO: Well, my recollection
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Or three?
3	MR. GUZZO: my recollection is that C-29
4	is there with these two younger people and C I can't
5	tell you that they were at the same C-26 was at the same
6	table but
7	MR. ENGELMANN: C-26 or C-27, sir?
8	MR. GUZZO: C-26. I can't say that he's
9	I think I remember C-26 being at the dinner also but I
10	don't can't say that he's at the same table as C-29 and
11	the two younger people. But the three younger people and
12	C-29 are seated at the same table.
13	I am of the opinion that, you know, it's not
14	an inexpensive dinner, it's a hundred-and-a-half or
15	something like that back in that time, and I'm thinking
16	that this guy is picking up the tab for them.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	MR. GUZZO: Whether I mean, that's my
19	reaction. And I don't know about
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So this was a meal at the
21	Parkway here in
22	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Cornwall?
24	MR. GUZZO: That one was at the Parkway.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And you said you met with

1	the did you meet with all five of them beforehand or
2	just the four new ones?
3	MR. GUZZO: It's crowded in the room.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Is it a room at the
5	hotel?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes. My room. I mean, I
7	haven't got a I don't know what to expect. I haven't
8	got a you know, we can't talk in the lobby, you know. I
9	don't want to so we're up in my room down the hall in
10	my room.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And so they're
12	all there for the supper?
13	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm not going to say that
14	C-30 I don't recall C-30 being there for the supper
15	quite frankly but
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	MR. GUZZO: I kind of assumed he was but
18	I don't know.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So who's in the
20	room? C-29; C-30; C-27?
21	MR. GUZZO: And two other individuals.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And they identify themselves
23	to you at that time?
24	MR. GUZZO: They do.

MR. ENGELMANN: And you don't write their

1	names in your notes?
2	MR. GUZZO: No, I haven't got
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember who they
4	were? I'm not asking you to name them publicly. I'm just
5	asking if you remember who they were.
6	MR. GUZZO: I have no know I can't
7	their names are not you know.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And when you did
9	these notes in October of 2000, you didn't write their
10	names down; presumably you didn't remember them then?
11	MR. GUZZO: I wouldn't have remembered them.
12	I would think I must have had a note some place to remember
13	the two names of the two older.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And did they all
15	tell you that they were alleged victims of sexual abuse?
16	MR. GUZZO: Certainly C-29 did and the two
17	younger ones related sexual abuse, at least in the form of
18	unwanted advances.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: What about C-30? You've got
20	him listed as one of these business leaders.
21	MR. GUZZO: Well, and you know, I mean, I'm
22	dealing here with two people who are, you know, extremely
23	well dressed. They look like they're going to spend a few
24	bucks on this charitable organization.
25	And, you know, this is something new to me

1	when I see, you know, a person like this say, "This is what
2	happened to me". This is why I'm concerned and you know
3	I'm concerned for people like these two people and but
4	my recollection is C-29 does most of the talking. C-30
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, just describe for
6	us how this takes place. Are you just listening or are you
7	asking questions? What's happening?
8	MR. GUZZO: I listen and I prod them a bit
9	with questions. I want to, you know
10	THE COMMISSIONER: So did C-30 speak to you
11	as him being abused?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just be a moment.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, in 847A, which is
17	the short-form notes that you made later, you have the
18	quote, "Very believable". I don't see that in your earlier
19	notes. Is that fair they're not there those two words?
20	MR. GUZZO: It's definitely true that it's
21	not there.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: What do you remember about
23	these four new individuals; characteristics, what have you?
24	MR. GUZZO: Two of them are well dressed,
25	you know, expensive enough clothing. I don't say lavish,

1	but expensive enough clothing. They're relating to me some
2	concerns they have. I think they tell me they're
3	supporters of Laurencrest and they're concerned about their
4	community. They've been hearing rumours of problems. They
5	had had problems, I think, if I recollect. C-29 had been
6	at the Classical College or is that the term correct?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, yeah.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: There was a Classical
9	College here.
10	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I think
11	MR. ENGELMANN: It closed in the late '60s.
12	MR. GUZZO: And I think he had been there;
13	had some, you know
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he say that his abuse
15	was there?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think that he left me
17	with that impression and I think well, I think he told
18	me. I think that's what's he is telling me, yeah.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And the others?
20	MR. GUZZO: I'm zeroing on C-29. I don't
21	remember what C-30 contributed to the conversation, but the
22	two young people had had some unsavoury experiences. And
23	they are you know, he's telling me that this has been
24	going on for some time and people are starting to become
25	very upset here in the city.

1	And the Ontario Government has a major role
2	to play here and in doing something about it because
3	nothing else is going to happen.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: What's the context? Where
5	are they saying they're being abused or by whom?
6	MR. GUZZO: Well, these two youngsters are
7	talking about church, and I think one of them was an altar
8	boy, but I didn't make a note of any individual
9	perpetrator, but he's telling me that they are abused.
10	They have been abused. They have been
11	MR. ENGELMANN: This is when they were
12	younger?
13	MR. GUZZO: Yeah. Well, yeah, and that they
14	have had a bit of a soiled upbringing because of it and
15	what have you, and he remembers his situation and that
16	there's a lot of concern in town. I think even though it's
17	C-26 who refers him or, you know, comes with him, I have a
18	funny feeling that because it's connected to the Classical
19	College, that other people who are have maybe referred
20	them or told them that I'm looking at it.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, is it C-26 or C-
22	27? These are both individuals you saw in June. Which one
23	of them is it that comes with the new alleged victims?
24	MR. GUZZO: The older one, C-26.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	And do any of these new alleged victims,
2	four, talk to you about anything that happened to them
3	while they were on probation?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know how many of
6	them?
7	MR. GUZZO: At least one of the young ones -
8	- at least one of the young ones talks about problems while
9	on probation.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Do they mention one or more
11	probation officers?
12	MR. GUZZO: Yes, the discussion gets around
13	to two probation officers in particular.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Two probation officers?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: As being alleged victims, as
17	being alleged perpetrators?
18	MR. GUZZO: As being alleged perpetrators.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Were those names given to
20	you then?
21	MR. GUZZO: Yes, they were.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember who they
23	were?
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes, very well.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Who were they?

1	MR. GUZZO: Barque and Seguin.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Had you heard those names
3	before?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I had.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: In one of the first
6	encounters that you had had either in June or in the
7	December before or how would you have heard them?
8	MR. GUZZO: I had their names had come up
9	a couple of times, not necessarily as saying that they were
10	perpetrators of the person I'm talking to, but they're
11	talking about the problems with the Probation Department
12	and why the Ontario government has a problem.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: So these individuals, at
14	this time, they're all adults? Some are older than the
15	others obviously?
16	MR. GUZZO: Adults being over the age of 18?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
18	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think so.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you confirm with
20	them whether or not they had been to the police?
21	MR. GUZZO: I asked the question. The older
22	chap said "You know, I wish I had gone, you know. I wish I
23	had gone." I don't know about 30, but his reaction was
24	but one of the two and possibly both of them had gone to
25	the police.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: The two younger ones?
2	MR. GUZZO: The two younger ones.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
4	MR. GUZZO: I can't I have it that 29 is
5	saying "I wish I had I should have gone when it happened
6	to me," you know. The
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you aware if the police
8	were investigating the allegations that had been given to
9	them by the younger two?
10	MR. GUZZO: I didn't believe so because I
11	thought there was a gap in time here. I don't think it
12	I'm not relating that it had happened recently. They're
13	probably in their early twenties now.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, maybe my question
15	wasn't clear. When they talked to you about having gone to
16	the authorities, did they give you the impression that
17	their complaints were being dealt with at that time by a
18	police service?
19	MR. GUZZO: No, I think the impression I got
20	was when it happened, or shortly thereafter, they had gone.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Now, again, do you recall, for those that
23	had not gone, whether you would have asked them to go in
24	this instance?
25	MR. GUZZO: There's a in the discussion

1	when 29 says "I wish I had gone", you know, we're agreeing
2	that they should go.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
4	MR. GUZZO: That everybody should go. There
5	should at least be a record of the complaint being made.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	Did you have any discussion with them about
8	a possible civil suit or action?
9	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall it specifically,
10	but I would venture to guess I did because, you know but
11	I don't recall.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And the reason you say you
13	venture you did is because that's what you usually did or
14	is that what you always did?
15	MR. GUZZO: That was what I was in the mode
16	of doing at the time.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you take any effort
18	to report this to anyone else, whether that's a police
19	force or the Children's Aid Society or any other
20	institution?
21	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, by this time
23	you've seen a couple of alleged victims in December of '95.
24	You saw three more in June of '96. You've seen Mr. John

MacDonald at some point and you now have four new alleged

1	victims.
2	So you've seen about 10 individuals that
3	have suggested to you that they were sexually abused as
4	young persons. Is that fair?
5	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: What are you thinking about
7	this at this point in time? And some of these people are
8	complaining to you about institutions, whether that's the
9	Diocese or Catholic Church, Probations or Corrections or
10	government. What's going through your mind in late 1996?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm thinking that we have
12	a problem. The government has a problem. I don't know how
13	bad it is or how deep it is, but I know we have a problem.
14	I would tell you that I believe after the
15	dinner that night I spent some time with, I think, McDerby,
16	Peter McDerby, I think, and a couple of other people and we
17	got into an in-depth discussion about what this was, and
18	when I digested that after breakfast the next morning with
19	a couple of people and I don't remember whether Peter
20	was there, but there were some other people from
21	Laurencrest at the breakfast the next morning I got a
22	fixation that something is amiss here. Something has to be
23	done.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, did you talk to Duncan

MacDonald that evening when you were in?

25

1	MR. GUZZO: You know, I'm sure he was there
2	at that dinner and but I don't I cannot tell you that
3	I remember a conversation with him, but I do I think I
4	must have because I do remember a conversation with Duncan
5	and McDerby again.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And you had one or two follow-up discussions
8	with him after that first phone call. Is that correct?
9	MR. GUZZO: Well, yeah, including this one
10	on
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MR. GUZZO: Sometime around the I think
13	sometime around the Laurencrest dinner.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So what was said?
15	MR. GUZZO: Well, we agreed that we were
16	in agreement that there was a problem and that there was a
17	problem from my perspective with regard to how these things
18	have are evolving and how they've been handled by the
19	police and they we centre around our concern for the
20	Church, though first and foremost is the religious
21	discussion.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Would Malcolm MacDonald
23	have been part of that after-supper meeting or the
24	breakfast meeting?
25	MR. GUZZO: No. No, I I mean, I don't

1	think I ever met with Malcolm MacDonald.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: On these matters?
3	MR. GUZZO: Well, you know, on these
4	matters, yeah. I mean, law association function or
5	something or a political something, I might be there and he
6	was there and I may have, you know but as far as sitting
7	down and having breakfast with him or I don't recall
8	ever meeting or ever, you know, meeting with Malcolm.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: But he was actively he
10	was politically active, was he not, in the Conservative
11	Party?
12	MR. GUZZO: He was.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, you talked about
14	a couple of things just a minute ago. You said when you
15	got together, you said you talked about some concerns about
16	the police, but the major focus was the Church.
17	I want to ask you just a couple of questions
18	about that. Why were there concerns about the police?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm I think maybe by
20	that time I have started to raise it with Cabinet Ministers
21	in Toronto.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: This is the fall of '96?
23	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I probably have mentioned
24	it to the AG and the Solicitor General ask them about
25	the situation. But I there are here, you know, when

1	you mentioned going to the police, well, they have a built-
2	in reaction that others have gone there and haven't had any
3	success so some of them had, I think, said that I went and
4	I you know, nothing happened and
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember which ones
6	might have said that if you look at your moniker list?
7	Because you've talked to us about people who have had
8	difficulties or talked about concerns about the Church
9	and mentioned some with Probations and Corrections and you
10	didn't identify that before concerns about the police so
11	I'm and do you know if they were talking about a
12	specific police force?
13	MR. GUZZO: Well, at this time, there is
14	talk that a number of complaints had come forward and three
15	police forces had investigated and nothing was seen to be
16	amiss and nothing was accomplished, and that is repeated
17	over and over again, that when you talk to somebody about
18	it they say, well, yeah, they hear a lot of things but, you
19	know, they've had three police investigations. Cornwall
20	investigated itself and then the Ottawa Police Force
21	investigated it and then and, you know, nothing happened
22	so there can't be anything on it, there can't be anything
23	wrong.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you're
25	hearing these discussions when you're meeting with the

1	alleged victims or when you're meeting with McDerby and
2	Duncan MacDonald or both?
3	MR. GUZZO: And when I'm raising it with
4	Cabinet Ministers in Toronto and, you know, I'm saying that
5	do we have a problem down there, I mean are you satisfied
6	as a government? Is this going to come back and bite us?
7	Are we sure that what we're doing and everything has
8	been done properly because all of a sudden there are people
9	
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So some of your
11	colleagues Cabinet colleagues and caucus colleagues are
12	telling you it's been investigated; it's been dealt with?
13	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Why why did you say that
15	you and Duncan MacDonald and Peter McDerby were focussing
16	on the Church when you got together?
17	MR. GUZZO: Well, I don't think that was
18	because of Garry Guzzo but I think, you know, I think
19	they're zeroing in on the Church. They have a very strong
20	concern about the Church the Church's involvement.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Are they both practicing
22	lawyers practicing Catholics at the time?
23	MR. GUZZO: I believe they both are, yes.
24	MR. GUZZO: Well, you said that Duncan
25	MacDonald was.

1	MR. GUZZO: I believe he was, yes. I know -
2	- I mean, certainly
3	MR. ENGELMANN: But that came up in your
4	phone call with him in
5	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, he you know, I think he
6	raised it as, you know, as Catholics. I mean, you used the
7	word "practicing". I mean, some days it's tough to know
8	who's practicing and who
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
10	MR. GUZZO: isn't, you know
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
12	MR. GUZZO: but I, you know, I have him
13	pegged as a devout Catholic, you know, at least when I
14	first met him
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
16	MR. GUZZO: and also McDerby.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So you've indicated
18	to us that in or around the fall of 1996, you start to ask
19	questions of political colleagues?
20	MR. GUZZO: I think it was around that time,
21	yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall if
23	discussions or questions would have been asked of Cabinet
24	Ministers at about that time, and if so, who?
25	MR. GUZZO: Well, I would go to Charles

1	Harnick, the AG, and Bob Runciman, the Solicitor General.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And were either of them well
3	known to you?
4	MR. GUZZO: I didn't know Harnick when I got
5	to Toronto, but Runciman and I have known each other for 25
6	years in 1995 or 20 years anyway by 1995.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: How would you consider your
8	relationship then?
9	MR. GUZZO: I considered Bob a friend.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And what kind of a response
11	are you getting? What are you telling them and what kind
12	of a response are you getting?
13	MR. GUZZO: Well, I mean, I'm not making an
14	appointment to go and see them, but I'm sitting maybe
15	beside them in caucus or having a coffee or a the Whip's
16	office is right across from the main legislative hall and
17	there's a
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Who's the Whip?
19	MR. GUZZO: The Whip at that time, I think,
20	was Turnbull David Turnbull. And there's a bar in
21	there. We're in there drinking cream soda. Everybody else
22	may be drinking something else but we're in there drinking
23	cream soda and, you know, that's where you do the business
24	and that's where you do the talking and

MR. ENGELMANN: That's where you get things

1	done.
2	MR. GUZZO: That's where you get things done
3	and
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
5	MR. GUZZO: I'm, you know, I'm onto them
6	and they're saying to me, well look, I mean neither one of
7	them think that there's any problem. They don't know
8	anything. They don't know anything. They I think
9	MR. ENGELMANN: How much are you telling
10	them?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, I I you know, I'm
12	saying that they got a couple of lawyers who are concerned
13	and, you know, I've had some people come to my office
14	saying that, you know, that they've been molested or
15	they've been abused or propositioned at worst and nothing's
16	something's out of sort here. I mean, like, they say
17	they went to the police. Some of their friends went to the
18	police five years ago, six years ago. Nothing was done.
19	Nobody took them seriously, you know well, you know, and
20	I get the response, "Well I don't think anything is going
21	on down there now. We had an investigation; it's over.
22	There's nothing wrong."
23	MR. ENGELMANN: This is referring to
24	investigations that have taken place by, as you said, I
25	think you said the Cornwall Police Service, Ottawa Police

1	Service and the OPP investigation in 1994?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, primarily the OPP; the one
3	for which we're responsible.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. All right.
5	So that winter, you spent some time in Fort
6	Lauderdale again?
7	MR. GUZZO: I do. I go down in January for
8	two weeks, come back, and go down in March for a couple of
9	weeks. That's the norm.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: By the way, Mr. Guzzo, any
11	individuals from Cornwall that you meet or see in Fort
12	Lauderdale, when you were down there in '96, '97, that
13	timeframe?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yes; there was a family that had
15	a excuse me a condo in our not in our condo
16	association, but in a cluster of condo associations; can't
17	think of their name. But you know I spot the Ontario plate
18	with the Cornwall sticker, one of the car dealers from
19	Cornwall; see them; strike up a conversation with them.
20	There are a couple of people from Cornwall
21	who play at the golf course I play at, and on one occasion,
22	I saw I think only one, maybe two chief former Chief
23	Shaver.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know him, sir?
25	Claude Shaver?

1	MR. GUZZO: I had met Claude Shaver when he
2	was Chief.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you on the bench then,
4	sir?
5	MR. GUZZO: I think I was.
6	I remember one time coming down to a funeral
7	here, and being introduced to him, and I think I had seen
8	him a couple of times, in there.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	So he's someone you would have seen in Fort
11	Lauderdale?
12	MR. GUZZO: I you know, I have I see
13	him at a function in Fort Lauderdale. He's across the
14	room; I nod to him; he nods back; gives me a wave, you
15	know.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if he had a
17	place down there, as well?
18	MR. GUZZO: Certain I have no, I can't
19	say I knew it. No.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Well, what happens on this visit to Fort
22	Lauderdale in either January or March? Is there any
23	follow-up with the retired judge or retired police officer
24	that you'd met earlier?
25	MR. GUZZO: Well, I in January I have a

1	note that I spoke with the police officer and I got his
2	number. I'm you know, I'd maybe like to have another
3	talk with you and some time.
4	So I have his number and when I get down in
5	March, it's on the it's on my bedside table. And I give
6	him a call and we get together.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And what happens
8	why are you interested in him again?
9	MR. GUZZO: Well, something has come to my
10	attention; I guess the I'm not happy with the reaction
11	I'm getting from the two cabinet ministers in question and
12	I'm asking them, you know, "Well, look it, make some
13	investigations. Find out if there's something going on
14	here." And they there's no response. You know, and
15	they're not getting back to me and they're not
16	particularly Bob has not given me any answers.
17	So, when I see I bump into the fellow in
18	January and then I call him in March, I think we play a
19	round of golf together, and
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember what his
21	name is, sir?
22	MR. GUZZO: His first name is Dixon.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: His first name is Dixon?
24	MR. GUZZO: His first name is Dixon and his
25	last name is Fitzgerald or Fitzpatrick, I think. Is

1	this is how he's introduced to me. He has a nickname but I
2	think his first name was Dixon.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if he's still
4	alive, sir?
5	MR. GUZZO: I heard he had passed away.
6	He moved out some time, was ill, went to
7	Arizona I think to live with his daughter and I heard
8	had heard he passed away.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And he had been a police
10	officer?
11	MR. GUZZO: That's what I'm told, yeah.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And his did he have
13	family that were police officers as well?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yes; I think his son or son-in-
15	law was on the Miami force.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So when you got together
17	with him in March, you had more information about issues
18	arising in Cornwall than you did the year before.
19	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: What did you do with him?
21	MR. GUZZO: I asked him about the you
22	know I'm the issue you raised with me. Like, "What do
23	you know? What are you doing?" And then he tells me what
24	he knows or what he thinks he knows, and
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Can you relate

1	that to us?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, he says, "Well you know,
3	we there's a the strip, you know, the strip?" I
4	said, "I know it well because when I first went down to
5	that area with my family, we would take a motel unit not
6	far from Birch Avenue but on the beach. And I had
7	knowledge, second-hand knowledge of the reputation the
8	street had.
9	I went to drive a babysitter home one night,
10	and I was going to go up there to cut over to her
11	condominium and she just about got out of the car. She
12	says, "I don't want to go up there. I don't want"
13	"Okay well, we'll go around and like a shortcut." And "You
14	don't want to go there. You have no idea how bad that
15	street is." So I got this
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Bad for what?
17	MR. GUZZO: Well, she tells me that, you
18	know, they're it's a haven for prostitutes. She doesn't
19	tell me male prostitutes but she tells me prostitutes and
20	she's an older lady, she's a you know, and I so I'm
21	aware of the street.
22	And we go down and we look at the Marlin
23	Hotel and the Salt Air Motel and he relates the stories to
24	me that the people come from all over. "This is a hotbed.
25	There's another motel up at the other end of the street and

1	the worst of society comes here" and, you know. He says
2	-
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Does he tell you something
4	about the age of these male prostitutes?
5	MR. GUZZO: Well, he's telling me that
6	people are bringing youngsters as young as 11 and 12 years
7	of age down here and passing them around and he's got some
8	great stories about the place. Some great stories about
9	high-ranking politicians, Democrats and Republicans, from
10	the Midwest, who come here and are heroes in Washington and
11	nobody knows and he says you got this problem in Cornwall.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Does he seem bitter about
13	this? Nothing's being done about it. Is that the
14	impression you get?
15	MR. GUZZO: In retrospect I think he was a
16	little over the top. I remember the comment that "We could
17	never do anything about it." This was off limits. "Every
18	six months, we'd make a sweep on the corners with the
19	girls, but you never went in here." That was sacrosanct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you actually see boys on
21	the strip there, sir? Or is this just what you were told?
22	MR. GUZZO: I may have but this was daytime.
23	I mean, it wasn't there was no activity, I mean, there
24	was yeah.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So when you went with him it

1	was during the day.
2	MR. GUZZO: Yeah; we had gone there after a
3	morning round of golf and then we he had arranged for a
4	meeting for me. We went and had lunch, a place in Wilton
5	Manors and then we went to a home in Wilton Manors.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And whose home did you go to
7	with him?
8	MR. GUZZO: A man had been a night
9	clerk/desk clerk at the Salt Air. He was "an accountant"
10	and he was still
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, he was what?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: An accountant.
13	MR. GUZZO: An accountant.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: An accountant? Okay.
15	MR. GUZZO: And he was still doing books for
16	I think it was the retired owner. He was no longer working
17	at the motel but he's still doing books and accounting for
18	the motel; or at least for the owner, the retired owner.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: And so what did he tell
20	you?
21	MR. GUZZO: I beg your pardon?
22	THE COMMISSIONER: What did he have to say?
23	MR. GUZZO: We go in and Dixon has arranged
24	the meeting. And he Dixon lays it out, you know, the
25	guys from up around Cornwall, he's a member of the

1	government. He would be interested in some of your
2	material.
3	And he knows I'm coming and he has some
4	registration slips from the Salt Air that he thinks that
5	I'm going to be interested in.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: M'hm. Does he actually show
7	them to you sir?
8	MR. GUZZO: Yes, he did.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And were some of the
10	names on the slips familiar to you?
11	MR. GUZZO: They were.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And could you just check and see if any of
14	the names are on that moniker list, sir, before you tell us
15	whose name you might have seen.
16	MR. GUZZO: C-8 was on there. I don't see
17	any others on the monitor on the list here.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So who else's names did you
19	see on registration slips from the motel?
20	MR. GUZZO: I saw Malcolm McDonald and Ron
21	Leroux.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So he would have shown you
23	some slips with those three names?
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes.

MR. ENGELMANN: Did he show you any other

1	names?
2	MR. GUZZO: He did.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember some of
4	those other names, that you would have seen?
5	MR. GUZZO: I had a note in my file from a -
6	- and the name was
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Which note are you looking
8	at, sir? The original note?
9	MR. GUZZO: I'm looking at the original
10	note. And do you want me to say the name?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Maybe write it down again
12	and show it to Mr. Engelmann.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	MR. ENGELMANN: It's not a name I'm familiar
15	with, sir. I get the sense that there might be could be
16	an alleged victim, an alleged perpetrator. I don't know.
17	Be someone who
18	Was this a name that was familiar to you,
19	sir?
20	MR. GUZZO: No, it was not.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's the name that you
22	have written in the document?
23	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: The fourth name?
25	MR. GUZZO: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, sir, 848C.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I left it in my office.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, 848B
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: which should have a
6	publication ban on one of the names there, C-8.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: The fourth name.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Eight-forty-eight (848)?
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Eight-forty-eight (848)B.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I have "A' but it
12	doesn't matter.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Sure. What the
14	handwritten version.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: No. I brought it back as
16	well.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Can you check
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Scratch up the name for -
19	- oh, I got it here, this person. So I've got 848B.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Perhaps
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Just pass me up the
22	document.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Sure. Madam Clerk, could
24	you just give the Commissioner the original.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: What name did you write

1	on the piece of paper there? I just want just show
2	over here?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: The name that you just wrote
4	down on a piece of paper, Mr. Guzzo.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I'll give that back to
6	you.
7	No. Madam Clerk, could you give that back?
8	I don't know. I can't help you.
9	So you will, it's time to go in any event,
10	very shortly, so you'll pass that name to counsel?
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Sure.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: And that way
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll do that before they
14	leave today.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: And then we can talk
16	about confidentiality issues when we come back on Tuesday.
17	Sir, we are going to close up for the day.
18	Have a good trip, we expect you back here on next Tuesday
19	at 9:30.
20	MR. GUZZO: Thank you. Goodbye.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Oh, I'm
22	warning you not to speak to the media; you should not be
23	discussing your testimony with anyone until you back. If
24	anyone tries to do that, you should report that to me as
25	well.

1	MR. GUZZO: I appreciate it. Thank you.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
3	THE REGISTRAR: Court all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing is adjourned until tomorrow
6	morning at 9:30 a.m.
7	Upon adjourning at 4:29 p.m.
8	L'audience est ajournée à 16h29
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, Sean Prouse a certified court reporter in the Province
5	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
6	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
7	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
8	
9	Je, Sean Prouse, un sténographe officiel dans la province
10	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
11	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
12	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
13	
14	
15	Sean Ironol
16	
17	Sean Prouse, CVR-CM
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	