THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 122

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Thursday, June 28, 2007

Jeudi, le 28 juin 2007

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Peter Engelmann Lead Commission Counsel

Ms. Maya Hamou Commission Counsel

Ms. Julie Gauthier Registrar

Mr. John E. Callaghan Cornwall Police Service Board

Mr. Mark Crane

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

Ms. Diane Lahaie

M^e Claude Rouleau Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Mr. Stephen Scharbach Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Mr. Allan Manson Citizens for Community Renewal

Ms. Helen Daley

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims Group

Mr. David Sherriff-Scott Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall

and Bishop Eugene LaRocque

Ms. Jill Makepeace Mr. Jacques Leduc

Mr. Mark Wallace Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Ms. Jennifer Birrell Catholic District School Board

Mr. John R.S. Westdal Mr. Jos Van Diepen

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	Page ∨
Preliminary Matters by/Remarques preliminaries par Mr. Peter Engelmann	1
RON LEROUX, Resumed/Sous le même serment	1
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann(cont'd/suite)	3
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Allan Manson	194

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
C-577A	(200147) Transcript of the Examination for Discovery of Ron Leroux, Volume 1, August 20, 2003.	58
C-577B	(200148) Transcript of the Examination for Discovery of Ron Leroux, Volume 2, August 20, 2003.	58
P-578	(200149) Statement of Ron Leroux dated 27 Dec 04	83
P-579	(728943) Will State of Perry Dunlop dated 7 Apr 00	206

1	Upon commencing at 9:37 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h47
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	RON LEROUX, Resumed/Sous le même serment
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning Mr. Leroux.
11	Have a seat.
12	MR. LEROUX: Good morning.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: How are you feeling this
14	morning?
15	MR. LEROUX: Very good.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Great.
17	MR. LEROUX: Very good.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Great.
19	MR. LEROUX: Before I start my testimony
20	this morning
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes?
22	MR. LEROUX: I'd like to make an apology to
23	you and to the people that were here yesterday for my
24	behaviour. I had taken too much medication; I figured if I
25	doubled it up, it would keep me together. That was a big

1	mistake.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. That's okay.
3	MR. LEROUX: Dr. Nadeau and I got that
4	straightened out.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Terrific. No, you have
6	to understand that we're quite prepared to deal with
7	matters as we go. And so, today, for example; and that's
8	why I want to repeat that to you
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: that if you need a
11	break at some time outside the normal break, just say you
12	need a break. That happens on occasions.
13	MR. LEROUX: Thank you.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So let's
15	carry on and see what we can do.
16	MR. LEROUX: Very good, sir.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	Mr. Engelmann.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning
20	Mr. Commissioner.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
22	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR:
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr. Leroux.
24	MR. LEROUX: Good morning.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Leroux, I want to,

1	today, ask you just a few questions about some of the
2	things I asked you yesterday to make sure that
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: get your answers right,
5	and that you're saying all you need or wanted to say about
6	certain things.
7	I also have a couple of new things to ask
8	you about that you may not be aware of. So I hope you'll
9	bear with me there. There are some documents that I know
10	you haven't seen that I want to ask you about.
11	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: So you'll have some time to
13	look at them.
14	MR. LEROUX: All right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: As well, I want to ask you
16	some of the questions that we haven't come to yet about
17	some of the comments you've made in statements and
18	affidavits and documents in your dealings with both Perry
19	Dunlop and the OPP.
20	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So just to go
22	back to a couple of things. I think you've told us, sir,
23	that you became a close friend of Ken Seguin's.
24	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And your friendship with Mr.

1	Seguin only started once he moved into his residence in
2	Summerstown?
3	MR. LEROUX: Correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have any
5	relationship with Ken Seguin, of any sort, while he was
6	living on Alguire Street?
7	MR. LEROUX: No.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And you indicated to us
9	yesterday you were on probation briefly, I don't know if it
10	was late '70s, early '80s did you have any contact with
11	him when you were on probation, as a probation officer?
12	MR. LEROUX: No.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And as your relationship
14	with Ken Seguin developed and you became very close
15	friends, I think by your own admission
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: in fact you told us that
18	you were with him almost daily.
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: You came to know other
21	people who were close friends of his.
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And you've described for us,
24	for example, that both Malcolm MacDonald and Father Charles
25	MacDonald were close friends of Ken Seguin's.

1	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: As your relationship
3	developed with Ken Seguin, would you how would you
4	describe your relationship with Malcolm MacDonald and
5	Father Charles MacDonald.
6	MR. LEROUX: I was uneasy with them. They
7	were not good friends, but because they were a friend of
8	Ken's, I befriended them as well, but not they were not
9	as close as Ken Seguin.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And what did you know about
11	Ken's relationship with them? For example, did Ken Seguin
12	have a relationship with Malcolm MacDonald before he had
13	one with you?
14	MR. LEROUX: I am not sure.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you understand my
16	question?
17	MR. LEROUX: Not exactly. The relationship
18	would be as a friend you mean?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. I am asking you if you
20	know whether Malcolm MacDonald and Ken Seguin were friends
21	before you came to know Ken Seguin.
22	MR. LEROUX: yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And how do you know
24	that?
25	MR. LEROUX: I would see them together and -

24

25

1	- see, I knew Malcolm a long time, not as a friend, but as
2	a lawyer.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You knew him as a
4	member of the community here.
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes, when my father was alive
6	30 some years ago
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MR. LEROUX: And he was with the parish when
9	I was a kid.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. That's I
11	think you told us, he was
12	MR. LEROUX: A PGK, that is Past Grand
13	Knight.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
15	MR. LEROUX: Past Grand Knights of Columbus.
16	PGK he used to call himself; Past Grand Knights of
17	Columbus.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, I don't know the type
19	of it. That's your father you're talking about?
20	MR. LEROUX: No. That's Malcolm MacDonald.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, all right. And you told
22	us your father was a member of the Knights of Columbus.
• •	

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

MR. ENGELMANN: Because you told us the

MR. LEROUX: Yes.

story about how he took his ring off.

1	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So your father knew
3	Malcolm MacDonald from the Knights of Columbus?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes, and as a lawyer.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	MR. LEROUX: I also knew his sister; she was
7	one of my teachers.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	MR. LEROUX: Gertrude Johnson.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I'm not really
11	interested in your relationship with Malcolm. I'm
12	interested in whether or not you knew Malcolm MacDonald and
13	Ken Seguin were friends before you were friends with Ken
14	Seguin.
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And what about
17	Father Charles MacDonald? Do you know if he was a friend
18	of Ken Seguin's before you became a friend of Ken Seguin's?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes, he told me Ken told me
20	they were in this seminary together; he was going to be
21	Ken wanted to be a priest, originally. Then he backed out.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So you were aware of how
23	long Mr. Seguin had been friends with these other two men
24	before he came to know you?
25	MR. LEROUX: He told me since he got out of

1	high school, around their high school time.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. With both Malcolm
3	MacDonald and Charles MacDonald?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes, said something about going
5	to CCVS.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yesterday, sir, you talked
7	to us about a discussion I don't know if it was a
8	discussion or an altercation or an argument that you had
9	with a former colleague of Mr. Seguin's, a Mr. Van Diepen.
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: At a funeral home.
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And I believe you described
14	it was a discussion that you had out on the driveway or the
15	alley way at the funeral home?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And you also mentioned that
18	Mr. Seguin had talked to you about his relationship with
19	Mr. Van Diepen, from time to time.
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So that was that was
22	obviously during the time that you and Mr. Seguin were
23	friends.
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

 $\mathbf{MR.}$ $\mathbf{ENGELMANN:}$ $\ \ \mathbf{And}\ \ \mathbf{you}\ \ \mathbf{mentioned}\ \ \mathbf{something}$

1	that Mr. Seguin said happened around the time he received
2	his pin for 20 years of service.
3	MR. LEROUX: His 20 year service pin; yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: I recall you said something
5	about an assignment in and around Morrisburg.
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you explain to us what
8	Mr. Seguin told you about that?
9	MR. LEROUX: He said, I think it was on
10	Wednesdays, he would go up. He'd have his own car he'd
11	have a company car or a government car and he had expense
12	money for meals. And he just liked to go on that little
13	trip. It made his salary a bit larger, and he had that
14	taken away from him.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he explain how that was
16	taken away?
17	MR. LEROUX: He said Joss Van Diepen took
18	it.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you anything
20	more about
21	MR. LEROUX: No.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: how he came to take it?
23	MR. LEROUX: No.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	Now, I asked you yesterday about some of

LOPLIC H	PAKTING
AUDIENCE	PUBLIQUE

1	your original contacts with Perry Dunlop.
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And how they came to be.
4	And you told us about some phone calls you received from
5	Mr. Dunlop
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: and then Mr. Bourgeois,
8	before agreeing to meet with them.
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And then you told us that
11	you met with these two men for several days in a row, if I
12	remember
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: in Maine.
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes; Ramada.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	And that was the first time that you met
18	Perry Dunlop?
19	MR. LEROUX: Face to face?.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think you told us that
23	that would have carried on, as I said, over several days.
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	

10

MR. ENGELMANN: And you told us, almost from

1	the get-go, that that involved some late nights.
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: So you remember all of this?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	And you also told us that from time to time
7	there would be drinking involved.
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't know if that was on
10	your part, or on other people's part, or
11	MR. LEROUX: No.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: on everybody's part.
13	MR. LEROUX: I am not a drinker.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And from time to time you
15	went to a bar in the hotel where they were staying?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And Mr. Dunlop would sing, I
18	think you told us.
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Things of that nature.
21	MR. LEROUX: Yeah, he could play guitar,
22	sing western music and play classical piano, and
23	MR. ENGELMANN: He's a talented musician?
24	MR. LEROUX: Very.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you've told us that he

1	was persuasive.
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes. Manipulative; yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't know if you used
4	that word.
5	MR. LEROUX: Well,
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So I just want to ask you,
7	you told us that your meetings with Mr. Dunlop, that
8	started in the fall of '96, carried on at least until the
9	spring of '97, on a fairly regular basis.
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Do I have that right?
12	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And you told us that some of
14	those meetings were in Maine.
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And some of those meetings
17	were in Ontario.
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And the meetings in Ontario,
20	I just want to place where they would have been. You told
21	us, I think, that you went to Toronto or Newmarket on
22	occasion?
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes, we'd take a train from
24	we take the solar train, the Bullet, I think they call it,
25	and we go to Toronto; drive up and get right on the train

1	
1	and go to Toronto.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: You drive up from Maine
3	to Cornwall?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	And were some of the meetings with
7	Mr. Dunlop, or Mr. Dunlop and others also in the Cornwall
8	area?
9	MR. LEROUX: Some of the meetings that we
10	had in
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry; maybe my question
12	is not very clear.
13	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: You had meetings in Maine?
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: You had some meetings in
17	Newmarket or in Toronto?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yeah.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you also have meetings
20	here in Cornwall, or in the Cornwall area?
21	MR. LEROUX: No, I go to his house and
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Where was his house?
23	MR. LEROUX: On Amelia Street, directly
24	behind the boys school.

13

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	So that was my question, sir.
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you also have meetings
4	here in Cornwall, or in this area? So if you did, they
5	would be at his house?
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes, just the one-on-one. Just
7	him and myself.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. That's fine.
9	I'm asking you about meetings either with Mr. Dunlop or Mr.
10	Dunlop and others.
11	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you understand?
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: So
15	MR. LEROUX: One time there was a Cornwall
16	police officer that came into the house, that he phoned.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know who that was?
18	MR. LEROUX: A tall slim guy with blue eyes
19	named David I think, or Dave I'm not sure.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MR. LEROUX: I'd recognize him to see him.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	He was a friend of Mr. Dunlop's?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So would he have been aware

1	that Mr. Dunlop was conducting some form of an
2	investigation?
3	MR. LEROUX: No, he came in and he just had
4	a coffee and sat around and they talked, and then he left.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	Do you remember whether Mr. Dunlop had many
7	documents relating to his investigation?
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes, he had boxes all over the
9	floor, and he said he would go next door; he had
10	everything set up there, the computers and stuff, and he'd
11	go and make up documents, and he'd bring them over; then
12	he'd go back, and he'd bring them over.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Would these be, for example
14	documents that you were then asked to sign?
15	MR. LEROUX: Oh, I'm not sure.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well, who would have
17	prepared the documents that you signed? You signed a
18	number of typewritten documents.
19	MR. LEROUX: Oh; either or. Either him or
20	his lawyer.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: When you say "he went
22	next door" do you mean he went to another room?
23	MR. LEROUX: No, no, next door; the next
24	property.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.

1	MR. LEROUX: I don't know whether the house
2	was vacant, but he was working in an office. He said "I
3	have an office next door."
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So you described for us
6	yesterday that there was a considerable cost to you for all
7	the time and effort that you were putting into these
8	meetings with Mr. Dunlop.
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: You talked about losing paid
11	work.
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: You talked about, I think,
14	losing your contracting business.
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: You talked about losing your
17	house.
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: You talked about some
20	difficulties with your wife.
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So, I guess, what I'm asking
23	you, sir, is if it was that much hardship on you at that
24	time to keep meeting with Mr. Dunlop, why are you doing it?
25	MR. LEROUX: I could not say no. I still

1	cannot say no today to different things. I'm working on
2	it.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: You have difficulty saying
4	no?
5	MR. LEROUX: I would give my last five
6	dollars away. I'm just it has to do with my situation
7	with my PTSD.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, did Mr. Dunlop tell
9	you why it was important for you to cooperate with him, and
10	what he was trying to do?
11	MR. LEROUX: He had so many different
12	reasons. Like, say, the children campaign that he had
13	going. He showed me some sweaters and things. And "You
14	should give back to Society." Like "You are a Cornwall
15	boy," and things like that.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Let me just I just want to get some of
18	the reasons, okay.
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So he was involved in a
21	"Save the Children" campaign?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that what he told you?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And he showed you some

1	MR. LEROUX: He had some sweaters with "Save
2	the Children" on it.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And he told you, you should
4	be giving back to the community that you're from?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: What other things would he
8	say to you about why you should help him?
9	MR. LEROUX: Well, he said my wife had
10	divulged to him, before she divulged to me, that she had
11	been molested until the time she was 13 years of age. And
12	I kind of took that to heart about, you know, going after
13	people, and he psyched me out about doing it. And
14	meanwhile, while all of these things are going on, I'm
15	saying yes, yes, yes, yes to everything.
16	She goes through two major amputations on
17	each foot. They take a large toe off her left foot and a
18	toe off her left one off her right foot and one of her
19	left foot.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Are you talking about your
21	wife, sir?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
24	MR. LEROUX: And I'm contending with all
25	this at the same time. Then she had two major brain

1	operations. They've opened up her head twice between '97
2	or '98, until the time she died. And she was in and out of
3	hospital. She had a stroke over here in a vehicle and I
4	took her to the Ottawa Hospital, and I'm contending with
5	that.
6	I've got Dr. Harbage, who did the brain
7	operation; I'm trying to call him. I've got Nadeau bugging
8	me to do things. And I'm saying yes to everybody.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: So you had a lot on the go?
10	MR. LEROUX: Man, yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And what let me ask you this
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: what does he tell you
15	he's going to do with the information you're giving him?
16	Do you remember?
17	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure exactly what he's
18	going to do with it. I just thought that he was doing an
19	investigation.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MR. LEROUX: Questioning this one,
22	questioning that one. He said they were kicking down
23	doors; they were searching places for things; "We're going
24	to do major" clean up the town. It was going to be
25	cleaned up here.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, you talked
2	to him about your own allegations
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes, which are true.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	Would you talk to him about allegations of
6	child sexual abuse, of your own,
7	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: what did you expect him
9	to do about that?
10	MR. LEROUX: That was kind of just there.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you he was going
12	to investigate or have anyone else investigate that?
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember who was
15	going to do it, or who he told you was going to do that?
16	MR. LEROUX: He was, and would contact the
17	police service. Then he figured, by going to Orillia I
18	think it was something about Orillia or somewhere just
19	outside of Toronto.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
21	MR. LEROUX: He would contact the police
22	there because he said you could trust them there.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he mean the OPP?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes, that's correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	So he left you with the impression that he
2	was going to have the OPP investigate the allegations that
3	you've made about child sexual abuse?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And what about the other
6	stuff; what about naming all of these people; what did he
7	say he was going to do with that?
8	MR. LEROUX: Naming all these people?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, you name a lot of
10	people
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: and we'll come to that.
13	MR. LEROUX: And I'm going to take blame for
14	most of that or some of that, because there was names in
15	there that should not have been there.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Well, I'll ask you about some of those.
18	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	But what did he tell you he was going to do
21	with that information? Do you remember?
22	MR. LEROUX: The same thing; investigate
23	through the OPP.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	Did he ever tell you that he was going to

1	put or have put any of your statements or affidavits on
2	websites?
3	MR. LEROUX: Never.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know what I
5	MR. LEROUX: Not ever.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: mean by websites?
7	MR. LEROUX: On a screen. On a
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you use a computer, sir?
9	MR. LEROUX: No.
10	ENGELMANN: All right.
11	MR. LEROUX: I recently bought one, but I
12	don't even know how to use it. I took three days of a
13	course and it was too hard for me, so I
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know what a website
15	is?
16	MR. LEROUX: Not exactly, no.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, did he
18	ever tell you that he was going to have information you
19	gave to him put out on a computer system where people could
20	access it and could read it?
21	MR. LEROUX: Definitely not.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: When, if ever, did you
23	become aware that affidavits of yours were out on the
24	internet? And by the "internet", I mean out on computer
25	systems so that the world can read about you.

1	MR. LEROUX: Over the last two to three
2	years, I've had people come up to me and say, "You should
3	be watching what you put on the internet." And I said, "I
4	don't I don't know I mean, I I don't own a
5	computer." No.
6	Then my sister got one last year, she took a
7	course, and she said then she started with the affidavit
8	thing and I didn't know what she meant, so she took off
9	there and showed it to me.
10	I said, "Wow, this is unreal!" I asked her,
11	"Well, who put it on there? There must be"
12	She said, "I don't know. It's on there."
13	MR. ENGELMANN: You, at one point, mentioned
14	the name Dick Nadeau, yesterday.
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was someone that
17	Mr. Dunlop introduced you to, just before he went to
18	British Columbia?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever give Mr. Nadeau
21	permission to put any of your statements or interviews on
22	the internet?
23	MR. LEROUX: No.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you aware that he had a

23

site on the internet; a website?

1	MR. LEROUX: Oh, yes, I was very aware,
2	because he got a fine for it. He was fined.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, so you found out that
4	he was fined?
5	MR. LEROUX: He told me, "Oh, I got 200,000
6	hits," or something. Well, I don't know what that is, but-
7	
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, so you did know
9	something about a website?
10	MR. LEROUX: Well, I don't know what a "hit"
11	is, but
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right; okay.
13	He told you, at some point, that he got into
14	trouble?
15	MR. LEROUX: Well, it was in a during a
16	court case during a trial.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: That's how you found out?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes, I think just before the
19	Leduc trial, and they brought that up first and he got a
20	\$1,000 fine.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you know that on his
22	site, your affidavits Well, I don't know that.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	Are you aware if your affidavits or
25	statements were on Mr. Nadeau's website?

1	MR. LEROUX: Oh, I had no I don't know -
2	I don't know.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I think I asked
4	you this yesterday, did Mr. Dunlop ever tell you that he
5	had a big lawsuit against the Cornwall Police Service and
6	the local Diocese?
7	MR. LEROUX: No, but I found that out later
8	on; maybe in around 2000 1999, 2000, 2001.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know how you found
10	that out?
11	MR. LEROUX: People were talking about it.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: People were talking about
13	it; people in the community?
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	How did you feel Mr. Dunlop spending all
17	that time with you, talking to you, getting you to do
18	things; how did that make you feel, at the time?
19	MR. LEROUX: Good. Important, like I was
20	doing something.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Because as I understand it,
22	not only did you make some trips up here to Ontario, you
23	even went to Florida for him; is that correct?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Who did you go to Florida

1	with and
2	MR. LEROUX: Well, we spent a gruelling week
3	in Toronto, then we came back on the train. I missed the
4	train; I was by one minute one minute past six;
5	missed the train.
6	Raced there as fast as I could in a taxi, by
7	myself. Then I had to go down to walk about fourteen
8	blocks and buy a bus ticket and sit six hours to wait for a
9	bus that got in at five o'clock in the morning.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Was there
11	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to know
13	MR. LEROUX: I'm getting there.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, all right.
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry.
17	MR. LEROUX: From the there, I'm up all
18	night now, go and get into a little Ford Escort, a
19	burgundy-coloured Ford Escort, and drive to Florida.
20	And I wanted to call my wife to tell her
21	she thought I was going to be home that day, cause it only
22	takes six hours I'll be there phone call her. And
23	then he said, "No, you don't call her."
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, hang on. Hang on.
25	MR. LEROUX: (Laughter/rires)

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Who's driving the Ford
2	Escort?
3	MR. LEROUX: Carson Chisolm.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And is he Mr. Dunlop's brother-in-law?
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And why are you
8	driving in a Ford Escort, with Carson Chisolm?
9	MR. LEROUX: To go to Florida.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And who has asked you to do
11	that?
12	MR. LEROUX: He told me he didn't ask me;
13	he told me.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Who?
15	MR. LEROUX: Perry.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: He told you?
17	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And when he told you to do
19	something, did you do it?
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right; so you got in a
22	car with Carson Chisolm and you went to Florida?
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember when that
25	was, Mr. Leroux?

1	MR. LEROUX: Not exactly.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, well it would have
3	been after October of 1996, when you first met Mr. Dunlop.
4	MR. LEROUX: Oh, yes. Maybe a
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, let me try and situate
6	you.
7	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: You went to see the OPP in
9	Orillia with his lawyer in February of 1997; you told us
10	that.
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember if it was
13	before that or after that?
14	MR. LEROUX: Oh, after that.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: It was after that?
16	MR. LEROUX: I think so.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right,
18	THE COMMISSIONER: What season was it; do
19	you know?
20	MR. LEROUX: It was winter. Well, not a
21	cold winter, but, I mean, it's what season was it? It
22	could have been right after Christmas. I know there was
23	snow. It was cold going down.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir, help me out a little
25	bit.

1		MR.	LEROUX:	Yes.	
2		THE	COMMISSI	ONER:	You say you had a
3	gruelling week	in '	Toronto?		
4		MR.	LEROUX:	Yes.	
5		THE	COMMISSI	ONER:	And then you end up back
6	in Cornwall?				
7		MR.	LEROUX:	Yes.	
8		THE	COMMISSI	ONER:	Where did you miss the
9	train?				
10		MR.	LEROUX:	In To	ronto, at the Toronto
11	train station.				
12		THE	COMMISSI	ONER:	All right, I'm sorry. So
13	you missed the	tra	ins		
14		MR.	LEROUX:	Yes.	
15		THE	COMMISSI	ONER:	so you took a bus
16	from Toronto to	o Co:	rnwall?		
17		MR.	LEROUX:	Yes.	
18		THE	COMMISSI	ONER:	That's where you met
19	Carson Chisolm	the	re?		
20		MR.	LEROUX:	I was	picked up here by someone
21	at the I th	ink l	his wife	picked	me up, about five or six
22	o'clock in the	mor	ning.		
23		THE	COMMISSI	ONER:	Right.
24		MR.	LEROUX:	Helen	
25		Dro	ve me to	the hou	ise.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Helen who?
2	MR. LEROUX: Dunlop.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
4	MR. LEROUX: And from there, I wanted to go
5	home.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Down to Maine?
7	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
8	He said, "No. You're going to Florida with
9	Carson."
10	THE COMMISSIONER: You're going to where?
11	MR. LEROUX: I'm going to Florida, with
12	Carson Chisolm, and he says, "I'll look after your wife.
13	I'll call her, I'll…"
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15	MR. LEROUX: She didn't take it too kindly,
16	but she didn't know til I was there, though.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Okay, so now
18	you're driving down with Carson Chisolm?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	And what are you asked to do there; why were
23	you going?
24	MR. LEROUX: Just to show him around the
25	area, different bars, show him where the Salt Air is.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So you go to Ft. Lauderdale?
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And what is it he wants to
4	know?
5	MR. LEROUX: I don't know. He's he's got
6	a he's got a thing like this, a
7	THE COMMISSIONER: A three-ring binder?
8	Yes.
9	MR. LEROUX: A binder; I think it's a
10	binder. And he's got pictures and stuff in it.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: A binder with pictures?
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
13	He wants to go around to some of the pick-up
14	bars and talk to kids that are in there.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And who's "he"?
16	MR. LEROUX: Carson Chisolm.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And
18	MR. LEROUX: And I'd say, "There's one
19	there." Okay?
20	He'd said, "You go in and you can you
21	bring someone out?"
22	I said, "What do you mean 'bring someone
23	out'?"
24	He said, "Well, just tell them we'll give
25	them money for information. I'll show them some pictures

1	here and see if anybody knows these guys."
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So the places
3	you went to
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: were they places that
6	you had been to before?
7	MR. LEROUX: Some of them.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And were they places that
9	you had seen other people from Cornwall go to before?
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you go to Birch
12	Road, for example?
13	MR. LEROUX: Oh, Birch Road is just within
14	walking distance. It's between the McDonald's and the
15	hotel. It's a it's an off-street.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
17	MR. LEROUX: Instead of walking around the
18	ocean, you take Birch Road.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Okay.
20	Did Mr. Chisolm have you go there?
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And to some restaurants and
23	to some bars?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And you'd go in and ask

1	people to come out and speak to him?
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Did I understand that?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes, that's correct.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And he'd show them pictures
6	and ask them questions?
7	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you participate in
9	those
10	MR. LEROUX: No!
11	MR. ENGELMANN: discussions?
12	MR. LEROUX: He wanted me to get away from
13	there.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	MR. LEROUX: "You it's okay, you"
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So you just went to get
17	people for him to speak to?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Was there anyone in
20	particular that he wanted you to interview when you were
21	down there?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yeah, he said, "The youngest
23	ones you can find, like, if they look like 14 or 15." It
24	was sometimes there was a child about 13 with an 18 year
25	old, sometimes it could be his brother; sometimes it could

1	be a cousin or a friend.
2	And they would cruise the bars to try and
3	pick up men to for money. And this was what he was
4	looking for, and he figured by doing this thing with the
5	pictures he could get somebody to say "Yes, well I was with
6	this man." And then he would take notes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So he was asking
8	some of these teenage boys
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: questions about people
11	in photos.
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And about people they may
14	have seen a few years before. Is that fair?
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes, that's correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he ask you to do
17	anything at the Salt Air sorry, is it Salt Air Motel or
18	Salt Air Hotel? Or do you know?
19	MR. LEROUX: Motel.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did he ask you
21	to speak to anybody there or introduce him to anybody
22	there?
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes, the owner, Bill he's
24	got a weird last name, it's Hungarian or something, or
25	Croatian; I'm not sure.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Long last name?
2	MR. LEROUX: Yeah.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And did you
4	introduce Mr. Chisholm to the owner of the motel?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And to your knowledge did he
7	speak to that person?
8	MR. LEROUX: He asked me who he was. I
9	said, "He's an investigator."
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry
11	MR. LEROUX: He said who
12	MR. ENGELMANN: He asked you who he was?
13	Did
14	MR. LEROUX: He asked me who he was. I gave
15	his name; Carson Chisholm.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So the owner of
17	the motel asked you who Mr. Chisholm was?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And why he wanted he want to
20	talk to him?
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And so did
23	Mr. Chisholm, in fact, talk to the owner of the motel?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

35

MR. ENGELMANN: And were you present for

1	that, or were you asked to leave?
2	MR. LEROUX: No. I was present for that
3	because Carson said, "I'm investigating some of your"
4	oh, he has a weird lingo. I "some of your clients
5	have come down here." I'll leave it like that.
6	And so Bill says, "I just ran that Father
7	Orlando out of here yesterday," he said.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, well Mr
9	MR. LEROUX: A priest from upstate New York.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So did Mr. Chisholm show
11	this fellow Bill pictures, as well, and ask him questions?
12	MR. LEROUX: Oh, they went in the hotel
13	after. They went into the office and they talked.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: The two of them?
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: You did not go with them?
17	MR. LEROUX: No.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So did you know if
19	Mr. Chisholm ever did any kind of a report after this trip
20	you had with him in Florida?
21	MR. LEROUX: I have no idea. Because he
22	came back to Maine. He drove back directly from Florida to
23	Maine.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you know how long you
25	were in Florida with Mr. Chisholm?

1	MR. LEROUX: Oh, too long. I got in a lot
2	of trouble when I got home, that's why I say you had to be
3	with me.
4	He stayed over a day-and-a-half in my guest
5	room. At the house
6	MR. ENGELMANN: In Maine?
7	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay; no I am asking you
9	were you in Florida, like, a day or two, or longer?
10	MR. LEROUX: Oh, a little over a week.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MR. LEROUX: But we also went to the police
13	station there too.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	MR. LEROUX: I neglected to tell you that.
16	But he didn't get too many results there, because you can't
17	just walk into a police station there. It's all glassed-
18	in; and someone came out to talk to him and he was talking
19	about Birch Road. And he said, "We've got that cleaned
20	up." He said, "Did you walk on Birch Road?"
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Was it different than
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes; cleaned it up.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: years before when you
24	had been there?
25	MR. LEROUX: He said, "They're kind of all

1	pushed more up to the uptown area." See, they know the
2	police officers there what's going on.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MR. LEROUX: It's patrolled, and
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Did Mr. Chisholm try and
6	show them pictures, as well, and ask them questions? Or do
7	you remember?
8	MR. LEROUX: He tried, but they they just
9	they shrugged him off.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	MR. LEROUX: They said they had set up a
12	small building there with security or something near there.
13	Because they had torn down a hotel so they had the space to
14	put in it's like a sub police station
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	MR. LEROUX: near there.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, so they had a new
18	sub police station near Birch Road?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: That was new from
21	MR. LEROUX: Oh, yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: '93, '92. It wasn't
23	there before?
24	MR. LEROUX: And so was Marlin Beach Hotel
25	brand-new. They still were working on it. They levelled

1	it.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: You I believe you
3	mentioned to us before that you overhead a conversation
4	about Mr. Dunlop. And I think there was a profanity before
5	his name, "That f-ing Dunlop," or whatever. I think you
6	told us about this conversation you overheard I think at
7	Ken Seguin's house?
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember that, sir?
10	MR. LEROUX: Just vaguely, but it wasn't
11	like that. It was more it was about, "I want that "
12	or "I'd like to see that b dead" or "dead".
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. Do you remember who
14	made those comments, or who was who was
15	MR. LEROUX: Father Father Charlie.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Father Charlie.
17	MR. LEROUX: Yeah.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you remember who was
19	present then?
20	MR. LEROUX: Malcolm and Ken.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And were you in the
22	room with them, or were you in an adjacent room, or how did
23	that work?
24	MR. LEROUX: No, I was standing in the
25	archway.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And at the time,
2	sir, when this was said, what do you remember
3	approximately what was said?
4	MR. LEROUX: No, I just walked in. I just
5	walked in. I think Ken walked me back out. He says
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, sir. I am asking you
7	
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: if you remember what was
10	said about Dunlop, and what was going to happen?
11	MR. LEROUX: I just no. That was it.
12	That's all I heard of it. Something about, "I want that
13	bastard dead", or
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And I guess what I
15	would like to know is is this just talk, sir? Is it a
16	joke?
17	MR. LEROUX: Oh, I guess the rants and
18	ravings of an old man. You know. He just he had a
19	wicked temper, I mean.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Who's that?
21	MR. LEROUX: Charlie.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But you didn't
23	think he was actually going to do something?
24	MR. LEROUX: Oh, no.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Are you sure?

1	MR. LEROUX: I'm 100 percent sure. Maybe
2	your documentation will read differently, but it's not
3	true.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you have talked
5	about this a couple of times. And I just
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just be a moment.
8	If you could turn, sir, to Exhibit 573.
9	This is the meeting with the OPP on February $7^{\rm th}$, '97. It
10	is the second one of the two. Bates page 7048696. It is
11	page 10 of 14; bottom of the page.
12	You say here when this is being described,
13	"I thought they were just ranting and raving."
14	MR. LEROUX: Yeah, the rantings and
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you see that?
16	MR. LEROUX: ravings of an old man; yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: But, I will show you
18	something else. That is a conversation you are having in
19	the presence of Mr. Bourgeois, with two police officers.
20	MR. LEROUX: I don't even know where that
21	came from.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
23	MR. LEROUX: The rest of that. I don't even
24	understand that right now, and I'm reading it.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I think

1	Mr. Engelmann will try to back you put you back in that
2	frame of mind, and talk to you.
3	This is when you were in Orillia.
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: And you had two police
6	officers, Cathy Bell
7	MR. LEROUX: Cathy Bell.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, and Dan Anthony.
9	MR. LEROUX: Anthony. Yes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: And Charles Bourgeois is
11	there.
12	MR. LEROUX: Oh yes.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So they were
14	talking to you about you were talking about a planned
15	hit against Perry Dunlop.
16	MR. LEROUX: Oh, we went over that and that
17	was we rehearsed that all night. For this, I shouldn't
18	be okay, okay.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute, wait a
20	minute.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: What do you mean?
22	THE COMMISSIONER: You say you rehearsed
23	that all night?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Last night?

1	MR. LEROUX: No, no, no, no. No, no.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	MR. LEROUX: No, before we went over there.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Before you went over to
5	Orillia.
6	MR. LEROUX: Bourgeois had stayed up very
7	late with me; went through documents, and he's reading them
8	to me.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
10	MR. LEROUX: "This is what you are going to
11	say, or this is what you are going to say here; this is
12	what you are going to say here; this is what you're going
13	to say here."
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
15	MR. LEROUX: And over it, and over it and
16	over it.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
18	MR. LEROUX: Then he came with me, and he
19	sat in the corner on a little bench and he watched me. If
20	I was stuck on anything
21	THE COMMISSIONER: So we are going back to
22	what you said there.
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: And one of the things you
25	said is, leading up, was that there was supposed to be a

1	hit on Dunlop. Somebody was going to try to kill him.
2	MR. LEROUX: Oh, no. I would never say
3	that. All I said was that I heard Charlie make that
4	comment, "I want the "b dead". And
5	MR. ENGELMANN: You can say what you heard.
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes. And then he
7	MR. ENGELMANN: He wants the what dead?
8	MR. LEROUX: The bastard dead.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, if I would
10	have thought that they were even going to do anything
11	remotely, I would have got a hold of this Mr. Dunlop and
12	told him. But it's just talk.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay; so, all right. Let me
14	just ask you a couple of questions about what you've just
15	said about rehearsing.
16	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: At the beginning of this
18	interview with the OPP, and that's the previous document,
19	Exhibit 572.
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Let's look at the second
22	page.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: What exhibit?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, 572.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so 572 is a

1	videotaped interview that you gave in Orillia.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So on that page,
3	right at the beginning, Officer Anthony second line it's
4	third line down:
5	"Okay and also present in the interview
6	room is a counsel, and Charles if you
7	could introduce yourself."
8	"Yes, Charles Bourgeois, Counsel. My
9	office is in Newmarket, Ontario."
10	So let me understand, Mr. Leroux, did you
11	ask Mr. Bourgeois to be your lawyer?
12	MR. LEROUX: No.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever pay him to be
14	your lawyer?
15	MR. LEROUX: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Why was it that you were
17	with him when you went to the police in Orillia?
18	MR. LEROUX: Because he was Perry's lawyer.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And who had made the
20	arrangements for the interview in Orillia?
21	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure; either Perry or
22	his lawyer, one or the other.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	MR. LEROUX: Well, he did tell me that he
25	was going to clean it up by getting an out-of-town police

1	force to do it. Maybe this is part of
2	MR. ENGELMANN: That's right. You've told
3	us that.
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So let's look at the next
6	page. Officer Bell, about two-thirds of the way down:
7	"Okay, Ron, I understand that you
8	brought with you a prepared statement
9	of events you wish to discuss with us
10	today and that your intention is to
11	read actually two statements to us."
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know how she knew
14	that, that you had these two statements that you were going
15	to read to her?
16	MR. LEROUX: I have no idea.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And, in fact, did you read
18	statements that you were asked to?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you read the
21	statements, from time to time, you would ask some
22	questions?
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
24	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So you read some statements,

25

47

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

to us that Mr. Dunlop or Mr. Bourgeois suggested that you

MR. ENGELMANN: But you are not suggesting

1	not tell the truth to the police?
2	MR. LEROUX: No; just read what's there.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: But what you had in there
5	was describing the ritual at Cameron's Point, and you knew
6	that that was untrue.
7	MR. LEROUX: Not untrue. I didn't see it.
8	That is That's someone else that is
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. But in the
10	statements, it says, "I saw this happening". And that
11	wasn't true. Was it?
12	MR. LEROUX: No. That's the way it was
13	worded.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I know, but Mr. Leroux, you
15	read it.
16	MR. LEROUX: I read it to the police.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And when you read it, surely
20	sir, at that time, you would have known that it wasn't
21	true?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: But you read it anyway?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes. It's why I say I'm
25	take the blame for some of this mess. I will.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And in fact, it wasn't just
2	the ritual part of that statement that wasn't true. There
3	were other things in the statement that weren't true as
4	well?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You name some people and I'm
7	going to take you to some names, but you named some people.
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm going to suggest to you
10	that
11	MR. LEROUX: When I saw the names there, I
12	said, "I don't know those people".
13	"Leave them there; someone else knows them."
14	MR. ENGELMANN: But, sir, when you spoke to
15	the police and you read those names, I am going to suggest
16	to you, you didn't even know some of those individuals.
17	MR. LEROUX: No.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that correct?
19	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: So who told you to leave
21	the names there?
22	MR. LEROUX: Lawyer. The lawyer and
23	Mr. Dunlop.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: When was that, sir?
25	MR. LEROUX: We were at the hotel. Anytime

25

1	we're doing anything, I I if he he'd show it to me
2	and say, "Initial this. I made a mistake here. Just
3	initial that."
4	MR. ENGELMANN: The hotel back in Maine?
5	MR. LEROUX: No; he did some scribbling.
6	When he did a write-up a handwritten thing.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
8	MR. LEROUX: Well, it was different times.
9	It's all kind of things; he's drawing up papers. He was
10	compiling a mass of it. He said, "I have 16 boxes of it"
11	of evidence or something.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: When was the suggestion made
13	to you that we'll leave it; someone else will speak to it?
14	When was that made?
15	MR. LEROUX: A couple of times. I don't
16	know.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Was that in Maine, or was
18	that in Ontario?
19	MR. LEROUX: Both.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Was that made by Mr. Dunlop
21	or Mr. Bourgeois? Or do you remember?
22	MR. LEROUX: Both. "Leave it there."
23	And when I had a lot of things to sign or

50

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

initial, I was always pressed for time. The plane is

leaving. I took a plane back here one time.

MR. ENGELMANN: Well, you told us that, sir,
and I understand that I understand that you say that you
often didn't read things, and you signed them.
MR. LEROUX: To me, an affidavit was a piece
of paper with "Affidavit" written on it. I still don't
know what they would do with an affidavit. I don't know.
I'm not a lawyer. I don't know. I don't know what an
affidavit is.
MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. All right. So it
didn't make much difference whether it's an affidavit or a
statement?
MR. LEROUX: No.
MR. ENGELMANN: But sir, when it was an
affidavit I'm going to suggest to you that the person
you swore it in front of that would have been
Mr. Bourgeois on two occasions
MR. LEROUX: Yes.
MR. ENGELMANN: would have asked you to
swear to tell the truth.
MR. LEROUX: Yes.
MR. ENGELMANN: Is that correct?
MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
MR. ENGELMANN: And you signed?
MR. LEROUX: Yes.
MR. ENGELMANN: Swearing to tell the truth?

1	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And whether you read it then
3	
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: just a second
6	Whether you read it then or you only read it later, when
7	you read it to the OPP in February 1997
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: or when you read it on a
10	videotape for Mr. Dunlop on December $1^{\rm st}$, 1996, you read
11	affidavits on those two occasions. Is that fair?
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes, I guess so.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And when you read them into
14	either a video I think it was a videotaped interview in
15	both cases, you would have read some names. You would have
16	talked about a ritual. You would have said some things
17	that you knew at the time were untrue.
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And I understand that you
20	say now that that was wrong. You feel badly about that but
21	at that time, you lied.
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just be a moment.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
25	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right sir, I was asking
2	we got off on this tangent I was talking to you about
3	death threats, if I can use that term and you thought it
4	you said in the one interview with the police, he was
5	ranting and raving about "Kill that bastard" or words to
6	that effect.
7	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And I want you
9	to take a look at Exhibit 571.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: So Exhibit 571 is an
11	audiotaped telephone report. So this is what would have
12	been taped during a conversation you would have had between
13	Perry Dunlop and yourself on May 30 th , 1997.
14	All right. So where would you have been in
15	1997? Would you have been in Cornwall or in Maine?
16	MR. LEROUX: Maine.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So let me start with this.
19	Did Mr. Dunlop tell you that he taped telephone
20	conversations or a telephone conversation with you?
21	MR. LEROUX: Never.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So you weren't aware
23	that
24	MR. LEROUX: No.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: this happened.

1	MR. LEROUX: No.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, this is
3	May $30^{\rm th}$, 1997. You gave a statement to the police, the OPP
4	on February $7^{\rm th}$, 1997. You were asked about this issue, and
5	you talked about it being ranting and raving.
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: You talked about death or
8	killing. In this document where you're speaking to
9	Mr. Dunlop on the phone, and this is just a transcription
10	sir so I'm this is what we're left with here about what
11	might have been said.
12	But page 706069, it's page 27 of 39. The
13	discussion starts about midway through the page. It says:
14	"Perry: Okay, and it's getting back to
15	the death threats and stuff. Was there
16	any doubt in your mind that they were
17	going to kill?"
18	And it says:
19	"No; none whatsoever.
20	"Okay, so there was no doubt in, in, in
21	this is the time they way you
22	know they're in, you're there."
23	"Yeah."
24	"And you know you hear it."
25	You're speaking:

1	"Yeah."
2	Then you say:
3	"Ron, the first thing that comes into
4	mind"
5	The word you use:
6	"Fuck, he's ranting and raving; he's
7	mad. You say a lot of things in anger
8	sometimes."
9	So we hear the ranting and raving again, but
10	then you to down, and he says:
11	"They were going to kill Perry Dunlop
12	and his family right after that; the
13	whole damn two-week period after that."
14	So you know, you told the police it's
15	ranting and raving. You say a little about that to
16	Mr. Dunlop, but you also suggest that it's serious to
17	Mr. Dunlop. Do you see that?
18	MR. LEROUX: This is a taped conversation
19	between
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Apparently between you and
21	Mr. Dunlop.
22	MR. LEROUX: My wife is on there he
23	called my wife first?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
25	MR. LEROUX: I saw my wife's name up there;

1	he was talking to my wife first?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. He
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Apparently, she answers the
4	phone.
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And so there is the
7	transcription of a discussion between you and her at the
8	beginning.
9	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And then there's
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Not you and her; Perry
12	and her.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
14	Do you recall, sir, having a discussion with
15	Mr. Dunlop about this alleged death threat?
16	MR. LEROUX: I don't recall that, but
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. That's fine.
18	Mr. Leroux, I have a copy of a transcript of
19	an Examination for Discovery. It was just printed. You
20	haven't seen this, sir. Could the witness be handed a
21	copy?
22	There's two volumes; I believe the first
23	volume has Document Number 200147, and the next volume has
24	Document Number 200148. Sir, I don't know if you want to
25	mark them as one exhibit, or as two?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: One exhibit.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Sir, I can
3	advise that there is a publication ban. I can also advise
4	that there is an anonymity order that has not been lifted.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So, I would ask that all
7	counsel, I include myself in that list, not refer to the
8	style of cause in the lawsuit, nor any of the parties
9	involved.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: And will these be
11	exhibits or for identification purpose only?
12	MR. ENGELMANN: They can be exhibits sir.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: There should be a
15	publication ban, C-8 is referenced.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Leroux, I apologize
18	first of all for showing you something that I know you
19	haven't seen. And I don't mean to surprise you or in any
20	way try and confuse you by this. So if you need some time
21	to look at this, please feel free.
22	There is a couple of things I wanted to
23	bring to your attention. Do you have those two volumes
24	there?
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And the pages may change.
2	This is an unofficial version we are, I guess, getting
3	the official version today, sir, by courier.
4	Do we have an exhibit number?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Five-seven-seven-A (577A)
6	and 577B.
7	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. C-577A:
8	(200147) Transcript of the Examination for
9	Discovery of Ron Leroux, Volume 1,
10	August 20, 2003.
11	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. C-577B:
12	(200148) Transcript of the Examination for
13	Discovery of Ron Leroux, Volume 2,
14	August 20, 2003.
15	So could you situate the witness please what
16	these documents are?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. I am going to try and
18	do that without identifying the style of cause.
19	Do you recall Mr. Leroux
20	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Excuse me
21	Mr. Commissioner, if I can have two seconds with
22	Mr. Engelmann?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
24	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, I'm

1	advised that some counsel have not had an opportunity to
2	open the document or fully read the document, and there may
3	be some concerns. And I'm sure there are some concerns
4	about some of what is said in here.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm wondering and I know
7	you're reticent to do this, but I'm wondering if perhaps we
8	could have a temporary "C" on this document, until such
9	time as people can speak to whether or not we require
10	confidentiality measures.
11	I can say for myself, sir, I've only read
12	portions of this.
13	So if that would be possible Unless there
14	is any objection from any of the counsel present perhaps
15	that would be appropriate.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: No one rising. I will
17	Oh
18	Mr. Lee.
19	MR. LEE: I don't have an objection at all.
20	I think you know my position on discovery transcripts from
21	sex abuse actions in relation to some of my clients in the
22	past.
23	I would just ask I think Mr. Leroux at
24	some point should probably be explained what this is and
25	have an opportunity to speak with his own counsel about

1	whether he might request some confidentiality measures in
2	relation to this.
3	I haven't read this, but typically in a sex
4	abuse action a lot of details are entered into that aren't
5	germane to this inquiry necessarily, in terms of his past,
6	his health history, sexual history, things like that. And
7	he may well want an opportunity to ask for some
8	confidentiality measures in relation to things that don't
9	have anything to do here.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
11	In any event, I think the point's well
12	taken. We'll put it as a
13	Sorry ?
14	MR. CALLAGHAN: I've read the transcript.
15	It came late last night. I've read it twice. There are
16	very, very germane issues to this man's cross-examination,
17	and I'm trusting a "C" number is not going to prevent us
18	from reading in the cross-examination to put it to him.
19	Because if it does, it's going to affect the
20	public's understanding of what's going on. I hope the
21	words could be read back to this man and that the "C"
22	designation doesn't prohibit that.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Welcome back,
24	Mr. Callaghan.
25	MR. CALLAGHAN: Sorry. Thank you,

1	Mr. Commissioner.
2	It's been some time and I've missed the old
3	weave shed.
4	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
5	MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. In any event, it -
7	
8	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm reminded of a movie, but
9	I'm not going there. I'll go there privately.
10	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
11	THE COMMISSIONER: It will be marked as a
12	temporary "C" exhibit until all of the certainly until
13	all of the lawyers have had a chance to read it and make
14	their comments; and of course Mr. Leroux may have a chance
15	to speak with his advisor, and see where we go with it.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
17	EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
18	PETER ENGELMANN (cont'd/suite)
19	MR. ENGELMANN: The reason I want to take
20	you to this document, Mr. Leroux, is just for a couple of
21	things that have been said.
22	And in particular, I made a suggestion to
23	you and I'm not sure what day this was now if it was
24	yesterday or the day before that I hadn't read any
25	documents about when you first what you spoke about with

1	respect to your father, and talking to one of your parents
2	about your allegations of child sexual abuse involving the
3	two priests in the school, and the follow-up that your
4	father went to the church or the parish house, and then
5	also went to the police station.
6	And there actually is some reference in here
7	to that. So I just I want to take you to that, because
8	I certainly had never seen this document until last night.
9	So if we could just turn to
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute. Wait a
11	minute. That's fine. But you've got to tell him what this
12	document is and see if he understands that.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, were you involved in a
14	lawsuit at some point in time about
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: allegations of sexual
17	assault?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	And those allegations don't involve your
21	allegations of child sexual abuse; correct? You weren't
22	suing about that?
23	MR. LEROUX: No.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And at some point in time a
25	lawyer

1	MR. LEROUX: Santini.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. All right.
3	That was a lawyer who was asking you some
4	questions about your background, and about things that had
5	happened in your life, even before the incidents that you
6	were suing about?
7	MR. LEROUX: He told me I was putting the
8	cart before the horse.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So this lawyer,
10	Mr. Santini, asked you questions?
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And when he asked you those
13	questions, would there have been a court reporter present?
14	MR. LEROUX: I don't know.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Was there someone
17	recording what was being said?
18	MR. LEROUX: I have no idea. I just
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	Before you were asked the questions
21	MR. LEROUX: I don't remember.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	Do you remember, sir, before you were asked
24	the questions if you were sworn to tell the truth,
25	similarly to how you were sworn here at this inquiry?

1	MR. LEROUX: I don't need I'm not even
2	sure where they where we did this. I mean, I know it
3	was in Ottawa, but I don't exactly know where.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	Well, my understanding is this would have
6	happened on August 23 rd , 2003. So, approximately four years
7	ago.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry; when did you say?
9	August 20 th ?
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: August 20 th ?
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I may have the date wrong.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: August 20 th , if you look
14	at the title page at the back. Not that it matters much.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Mr. Leroux,
16	August 20^{th} , 2003 . This would have taken place in the City
17	of Ottawa.
18	MR. LEROUX: And the purpose of all this is
19	for?
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, sir, in a civil action
21	
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes
23	MR. ENGELMANN: when people sue other
24	people
25	MR. LEROUX: So I would have sat down and

1	told him all this?
2	MR. ENGELMANN: No. Well, you would have
3	sat down and you would have been asked questions by another
4	lawyer; not your own lawyer.
5	MR. LEROUX: No. I was asked questions by a
6	psychiatrist, and we spent about three hours in what they
7	called a discovery room.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And didn't
10	MR. LEROUX: And I had Mr. Santini
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
12	MR. LEROUX: and a lawyer from another
13	law from the law firm a junior
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
15	MR. LEROUX: with me.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: That's Mr. Zubec?
17	MR. LEROUX: Oh, I'm
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Or you don't know his name?
19	MR. LEROUX: It could be.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MR. LEROUX: I don't know.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Jean-Paul Zubec. Does
23	that ring a bell?
24	MR. LEROUX: Could be.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	So you remember attending in a discovery
3	room and being asked questions
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: about your past and about
6	some things that happened to you?
7	MR. LEROUX: Not all this.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: What do you mean by "all
10	this"?
11	MR. LEROUX: Well, there's a lot of
12	documentation here.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Just so you know what this
15	is; this is a document
16	
17	MR. LEROUX: Just a minimal amount of
18	questions. I mean, it wasn't long.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, this transcript would
20	suggest that you were asked about 1,500 questions.
21	MR. LEROUX: Fifteen I couldn't sit
22	through 1,500 questions.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm afraid I may have asked
24	that already too, sir, and I apologize.
25	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)

1	All right.
2	Do you recall being asked questions by a Mr.
3	Santini?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And it was during the course
6	of one day?
7	MR. LEROUX: Yeah; an afternoon.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: But you said something
10	about a psychiatrist.
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Was there some
13	MR. LEROUX: To do an evaluation. An
14	evaluation, I think it
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
16	Was the psychiatrist in the room when those
17	questions were being asked?
18	MR. LEROUX: No.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
20	MR. LEROUX: It was just off the Highway 417
21	in Ottawa.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
23	So you did that on the same day?
24	MR. LEROUX: I don't remember the name.
25	Close to it. Very close to it I think.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well, so the
2	psychiatrist really didn't have anything to do with as
3	far as you're concerned, with these questions that were
4	asked of you on August 20 th by Mr. Santini?
5	MR. LEROUX: No; I don't think so.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: So I just I'm not asking
8	you about what you may or may not have said to a
9	psychiatrist; I'm asking you about questions that
10	Mr. Santini may have asked you. Okay?
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you understand?
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	So if you could turn to page 47. Now I
16	asked you some questions about Mr. Seguin and things that
17	you observed. I believe I also asked you we had an
18	exchange. You were telling us about how other people knew
19	what he was doing and that they should have come forward
20	and spoken to his boss and all this. And I asked you about
21	your own obligation or your about you coming forward and
22	speaking to someone. And you are being asked about that on
23	this page.
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you are being asked

1	after there's a discussion about Mr. Seguin and sexual
2	interaction with a couple of individuals you are asked
3	if you ever reported this, and you said, "No." I am
4	looking at Question 378.
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So who are you going to
7	trust? You've got those wonderful police officers "I
8	don't trust cops." Right?
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: That was similar to an
11	answer you have given here about why you did not talk to
12	the police.
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: You claim, on this page, if
15	we look at the bottom, that you haven't trusted them since
16	1956. And you go back to first incident allegation of
17	child sexual abuse.
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And on the next page, sir,
20	at the top, Question 383, you say,
21	"My father found out. Didn't tell him,
22	but he found out found out because I
23	asked him where"
24	and then that sort of stops.
25	MR. LEROUX: That was the masturbation

1	thing.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you have
3	talked to us about that.
4	MR. LEROUX: Yeah.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, on page 54 you are
6	being asked
7	MR. LEROUX: Oh, wait a minute.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
9	MR. LEROUX: Wait a minute. I had a problem
10	there. He had a woman in that room. There was a woman in
11	there; from there.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: At the discovery?
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes. There was a woman in
14	there. I didn't want her there.
15	She was there was a woman there, sitting
16	there doing something. I didn't want her there. I was
17	very uncomfortable with that woman there. Okay, I'm not
18	going to get carried away with that; okay, it's all right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Was she there with
20	Mr. Santini
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: or do you remember?
23	MR. LEROUX: I am looking at the questions.
24	Yeah. She was I'm not sure what she was doing, but she
25	was there. Yeah.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Are you okay to go on?
2	MR. LEROUX: Oh, yeah. I'm fine, I'm fine,
3	I'm fine. I'm just trying to
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So there is some discussion
5	from page 54 on, maybe page 53 about your allegations of
6	child sexual abuse. And you talk about the discussion
7	about masturbation; and you talk about approximately how
8	many times this might have happened. Do you see that at
9	the bottom of page 54?
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And on page 57 there is a discussion about
13	talking to the principal. At the top of the page. And how
14	you got the strap.
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And I know you told us about
17	that, Mr. Leroux, but I think you also told us that your
18	father had spoken to Brother John.
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: That does not appear to be
21	referenced here. I was not able to find it. I am not
22	saying it is not anywhere in the transcript. It is
23	certainly not mentioned here, where you are talking about
24	your reporting and getting the strap.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it's mentioned on

1	page 60.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Not talking to Brother John.
3	To father
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I'm sorry.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: No, no; I am coming there,
6	sir.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I'm sorry.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. Sir, on page 60 you do
9	talk about what you told us here. And this is the
10	principal reason I wanted to go to the transcript. I
11	suggested to you that you had never said this before
12	about talking to your father and your father going to speak
13	at the priest's house with Reverend R.J. MacDonald.
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears question 469,
16	470, 471 you do relate that story. You do relate what
17	happened in getting in the car with your father.
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes. There's something else
19	that is not in here at all, but it's not maybe not
20	all that relevant, but
21	MR. ENGELMANN: What is that, sir?
22	MR. LEROUX: The gold box I found.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	And sir, on the next page you also reference
25	question 479 about going to the police station.

1	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: So, again, that is something
3	I suggested to you that you never said before, but in fact,
4	you did say this to in answer to questions in 2003.
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Now sir, at page 68 and page
7	69 two things I want to bring up.
8	One is at the top of page 69 you again
9	repeat this story about the ritual and the candles. And
10	you claim that you saw these acts.
11	Do you see that: question 540, question 541,
12	question 542, et cetera?
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes. At which place? At
14	MR. ENGELMANN: This is Cameron's Point.
15	MR. LEROUX: Okay. Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And, as well, the page
17	before, you say,
18	"I'm positive that"
19	and you reference two priests, that they
20	were there.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: No; well in fairness,
22	what he says there he says,
23	"I'm positive Father Cameron and
24	MacDougald were there."
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

1	THE COMMISSIONER:
2	"Positive, positive, positive. Either
3	one or the other. Maybe both, I don't
4	know."
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
6	Well sir, there is certainly a conflict in
7	your evidence here about who was there. Because in some of
8	your statements you say you don't remember any of the
9	priests except Father LaRocque or Father Eugene, as you
10	called him then.
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: That's how you knew him
13	then. And then others, you say one or both of these men,
14	Cameron and MacDougald are there.
15	Can you tell us today, sir
16	MR. LEROUX: Not for sure.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: other than
18	MR. LEROUX: I don't know when he was it
19	was so long I mean, I just I'm beating it I don't
20	know.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So other than Father Eugene
22	
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: you alleged he sexually
25	abused you that night, can you tell us for certain the

1	names of any other adults that were there at Cameron's
2	Point?
3	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure anymore.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, you've told us here
5	that you didn't observe the incident with the ritual
6	with the candles. Correct?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: You didn't see that?
8	MR. LEROUX: No, I don't think so.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, did you or did you not
10	listen very carefully to my question did you or did
11	you not see any other adult there, any other adult there
12	engaging in any sexual activity with a minor?
13	MR. LEROUX: I can't say for sure. I just
14	was traumatized, and I wanted to get out of there. I just
15	wanted to run.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So aside from your
17	own allegation
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: you can't tell us today
20	
21	MR. LEROUX: No.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: if you observed any
23	other adult doing anything to any child?
24	MR. LEROUX: Not sure. No, I'm not sure.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And who did you

1	go there with?
2	MR. LEROUX: Stanley LeGallais.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he leave with you
4	when you left?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did you observe
7	him with any adult?
8	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's take a break,
11	Mr. Engelmann.
12	We will take a short break. Thank you.
13	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
14	veuillez vous lever.
15	Upon recessing at 10:58 a.m. /
16	L'audience est suspendue à 10h58
17	Upon resuming at 11:28 a.m. /
18	L'audience est reprise à 11h28
19	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed.
20	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
21	RON LEROUX, Resumed/Sous le même serment
22	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
22 23	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR. PETER ENGELMANN (Cont'd/Suite):

1	go?
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes, sir.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I was just showing you
4	a few references to Exhibit 577-A. That's a thick document.
5	It starts with page 2. Do you have that?
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes, I do.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I just wanted to take you to
8	page 59 for a minute. At the middle of the page this is
9	Question 467. Part of the question says:
10	"You also didn't trust Brother John,
11	because when you reported the matter to
12	him, he simply gave you the strap."
13	Do you see that part of the question?
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes; yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And the answer that is
16	transcribed here is:
17	"Yes."
18	Then there is a reference to a Brother
19	Patrick there too.
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: That he was a vice-
22	principal. He took over as principal,
23	"Same thing. I'd get the strap. So I
24	stopped talking about it and just go
25	with it. What else could you do?"

1	So I just want to ask you, sir, because I
2	don't believe you had mentioned Brother Patrick before.
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes, he was an older man.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So I want you to think back.
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You've told us about your
7	father approaching Brother John.
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: You've told us about your
10	going to Brother John after, when it started again.
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And not being believed and
13	getting the strap.
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I haven't heard about a
16	Brother Patrick, and I just what I am asking you today,
17	sir, is whether you did or did not also talk to a Brother
18	Patrick about alleged sexual abuse when you were a student
19	at St. Columban's.
20	MR. LEROUX: I tried to, but I backed off.
21	He was a very frightening looking man. I just
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So did you talk to
23	him about what was happening in confession, in whole or in
24	part your allegations?
25	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure. I might have.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: But you say he also gave you
2	the strap.
3	MR. LEROUX: Oh, plenty of times; different
4	things. I threw a baseball bat and hit a nun in the face
5	and the forehead with it, and stuff like that. I was out
6	of going out I was out of control.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, but do you recall if
8	he ever gave you the strap because you alleged that a
9	priest had done something to you in confession?
10	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure. He might have.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: You're not sure?
12	MR. LEROUX: No.
13	I think I refused to go to confession. I
14	got the strap for that from him. I think that's what the
15	pretence was there.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Did you tell him why you didn't want to go
18	to confession?
19	MR. LEROUX: No, I just told him I didn't
20	want to go there.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, when you became a close
22	friend of Ken Seguin's, did you also come to know his
23	brother?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: What was his name?

1	MR. LEROUX: Doug Seguin.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And how is it you came to
3	know him?
4	MR. LEROUX: He came down with a for a
5	few visits him and Nancy, his wife.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
7	Did you continue to have any kind of contact
8	with Doug Seguin after his brother committed suicide?
9	MR. LEROUX: I might have run into him a
10	couple of times in a coffee shop or something. I think he
11	would
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he ever talk to you
13	about what you might say about his brother?
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall when that
16	might have been or what the circumstances were?
17	MR. LEROUX: He didn't want me to mention
18	anything about tapes or anything like that, or he didn't
19	want me to he had a document one time he wanted me to
20	sign, that he had drawn up. I didn't know whether I signed
21	it or not. I don't remember. He was kind of stalking me,
22	like following me around from place to place.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember when he was
24	doing that?
25	MR. LEROUX: Between 2001 and 2004, maybe.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: What do you mean stalking
2	you? What would he do?
3	MR. LEROUX: He'd show up places where I
4	was. I have witnesses because I
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Would he talk to you when
6	he'd show up?
7	MR. LEROUX: Yes. He would call me away;
8	pull me away from people, my sister, brother, anybody I was
9	sitting with.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And what would he tell you?
11	What would he want to talk about?
12	MR. LEROUX: More or less not to talk about
13	anything about my brother and stuff like that.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
15	MR. LEROUX: Not to talk about anything
16	about his brother that I knew, and things like that.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know why he was doing
18	that?
19	MR. LEROUX: Well, he was kind of well,
20	he's just lost his brother. I mean he's probably hurting
21	over it. I mean
22	MR. ENGELMANN: But you are talking about a
23	time period that is several years later now.
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

81

MR. ENGELMANN: You said that he had a

1	document that he prepared that he wanted you to sign?
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And do you know if
4	you, in fact, did sign a document that he prepared for you?
5	MR. LEROUX: I don't remember. I don't
6	think so.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Leroux, I was handed a
8	document this morning that I've never seen before.
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And I would like you to have
11	a look at it. I've given a copy to the Clerk and I think
12	we've been able to give it a document number. I have
13	provided a copy to Counsel.
14	Mr. Seguin Doug Seguin was present in the
15	hearing room and advised a couple of the lawyers here that
16	there was a document that he had with him.
17	MR. LEROUX: That's it. That's the
18	signature. I did sign it. Okay.
19	I figured this would stop him from chasing
20	me around constantly.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
22	Sir, just take a minute to read it if you
23	could.
24	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you want me to read it to

1	you?
2	MR. LEROUX: No, that's fine.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	Make it a little bigger.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: So Exhibit 578 is a
6	document dated December 27 th , '04.
7	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. P-578:
8	(200149) Statement of Ron Leroux dated 27
9	Dec 04
10	MR. LEROUX: This is another problem about
11	saying yes to everything. I just wanted this guy to stop
12	chasing me and following me around, and I even got
13	threatening phone calls back when I was in Maine from him.
14	He was also threatening another person as well.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You've got to slow down here
16	for me.
17	MR. LEROUX: Yes. I just signed it and gave
18	it to him just signed it and gave it back to him.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: But if you got threatening
20	phone calls in Maine from Mr. Seguin
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: You moved back to Cornwall
23	in 2001, right?
24	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	And this document by the way, is this
2	your signature on this?
3	MR. LEROUX: Oh, yes. Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And it's dated December 27 th , '04. Do you
6	see that?
7	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	And who would have written this out?
10	MR. LEROUX: He had me write it out.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: He had you write it out?
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes. I had this man stalking
13	me constantly. Coffee shop, to coffee shop, to coffee
14	shop. My sister was going to do something about him. She
15	says "That man is in here. Let's get out of here. Let's
16	go."
17	He was chasing me everywhere. Everywhere
18	he'd show up. And he'd come onto me I mean, he's nice
19	but, he started to get angry at me and I just couldn't
20	handle this guy so I just signed it. "Here take it. Go
21	away."
22	But it's true; I'd never met Garry Guzzo
23	face-to-face.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	Well, let me just take you through it.

1	Okay?
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you
4	Whose idea was it to talk about Garry Guzzo?
5	Was that you or Mr. Seguin?
6	MR. LEROUX: Oh, him. He said no, he
7	told me what to write here.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
9	MR. LEROUX: So I wrote it down, and I
10	signed it.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	Well, let me just take you through it then.
13	Have you ever met Garry Guzzo?
14	MR. LEROUX: Face-to-face?
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
16	MR. LEROUX: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	Have you had any contact with him, it says
19	"indirectly"? I don't know what that means, but
20	MR. LEROUX: I don't know either.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: By telephone. Have you
22	ever had a telephone conversation with him?
23	MR. LEROUX: No.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Have you ever had any
25	written correspondence? Have you ever written to him or

1	him written to you?
2	MR. LEROUX: Never.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	What about this next statement; I'm going to
5	read it, and I want you to listen to it, and I want you to
6	answer:
7	"I, Ron Leroux, never stated that K.S.
8	[And presumably that's Ken Seguin] said
9	[and then it says "said" again] to me
10	he was under investigation for sexual
11	assault."
12	MR. LEROUX: He dictated that to me and I
13	wrote it.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Is that true?
16	MR. LEROUX: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	In fact, you've told us I think that he
19	repeatedly told you that he was concerned about being
20	investigated.
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think the rest of this
23	we've seen from an affidavit:
24	"and that these tapes would clinch an
25	investigation against him."

1	Do you recall, sir I read portions of an
2	affidavit to you. I believe you told us those weren't your
3	words, "clinch an investigation against him."
4	MR. LEROUX: They're not my words. This is
5	what he dictated to me, wanted me to sign, and I figured I
6	would get rid of him; get him off my case.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: But I think you told us
8	yourself that Ken Seguin didn't use those words, "clinch an
9	investigation."
10	MR. LEROUX: No.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And the last part of this
12	says:
13	"Being a friend and neighbour of Ken's
14	I never at any time witnessed any"
15	THE COMMISSIONER: "Tapings".
16	MR. ENGELMANN: " tapings or any young
17	boys under the" [I think there ought
18	to be a word missing there.]
19	It says:
20	"under the of 16"
21	I'm assuming it should say under the age of 16, around
22	there.
23	And then in brackets it says "his home".
24	Okay?
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So let me just ask you about
2	that. Did you ever witness any tapings at Ken Seguin's
3	house?
4	MR. LEROUX: Taping? I don't
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't' know if that's
6	videotaping or audiotaping. Did you ever witness
7	MR. LEROUX: No.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Seguin or others,
9	doing any taping at his house?
10	MR. LEROUX: No.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And what about any young boys under the age
13	of 16 around there?
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. What about that?
16	You've talked about
17	MR. LEROUX: Visiting. There's Gerry's
18	children. I mean, I don't know what you
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	Well, you've talked to us about a number of
21	probationers and ex-probationers that you would see at Ken
22	Seguin's house.
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And you've talked to us
25	about why you thought they were there, and you've talked to

1	us about Ken telling you why they were there.
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if any of those
4	probationers or ex-probationers were under 16?
5	MR. LEROUX: I didn't ask them their ages,
6	but there was some young ones. I mean, 17, 16. I don't
7	know.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So you don't know the exact
9	ages?
10	MR. LEROUX: No, no.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Were there
12	probationers or ex-probationers or teenage boys that you
13	believed to be under the age of 18 at Ken Seguin's home?
14	MR. LEROUX: Under the age of 18
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So between 16 and 18.
16	MR. LEROUX: I don't recollect.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: No?
18	MR. LEROUX: I don't think so. Most of them
19	could either drive a car or drink beer. So I don't know if
20	they were that young at the house. I don't know.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So the probationers or ex-
22	probationers that you would see at the house
23	MR. LEROUX: Would be 18, 19. Yeah, they
24	drove cars and they smoked cigarettes and drank beer, and I
25	mean,

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I believe you told us
2	that you thought some of them were drinking under age.
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes. There was a boy down the
4	highway. He had been coming there off and on and
5	eventually he killed someone. Shot him in the mouth with a
6	303 British Lee-Enfield rifle.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	So, sir, if the statement says "didn't
9	observe any young boys under the age of 16 around there
10	Ken's home", you're saying that that would be true; that
11	you didn't see boys under 16 hanging around at his home?
12	MR. LEROUX: No, no. No, just if they bring
13	their children. I mean, Gerry always brought the children
14	with him, or Bobby would bring his children.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Now I'm not talking about
16	when adults are there with children.
17	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: But I'm just talking about
19	kids on their own.
20	MR. LEROUX: No, either driving a car, or
21	motorcycle, a
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
23	So they would be at least 16?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: While I'm at it then, what

1	was your impression of the age you talked about some
2	teenagers at Malcolm MacDonald's cottage.
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think you said some of
5	them may have been prostitutes as well.
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have a sense, sir, as
8	to the age of teenagers that you would have seen at Malcolm
9	MacDonald's cottage?
10	MR. LEROUX: The one he flew in was probably
11	early 20s. The two young ones that were there on the
12	weekend were probably 16 and 18, or 16 and 20.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, any of the younger
14	males you observed at Malcolm's cottage, to your knowledge,
15	under the age of 16?
16	MR. LEROUX: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just be a moment.
18	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Leroux, do you remember
20	ever being a dinner guest at Ken Seguin's house when there
21	were other guests there as well? Not just you and Mr.
22	Seguin but or he would have invited over other
23	individuals?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

MR. ENGELMANN: Would this happen frequently

1	or infrequently?
2	MR. LEROUX: Infrequently.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any specific
4	recollection of a dinner or dinner where you were in
5	attendance with several other people for supper at
6	Mr. Seguin's?
7	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall who was there?
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall what the
11	occasion was, sir?
12	MR. LEROUX: Probably a birthday. There was
13	two birthday parties there.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall who would have
15	been invited, and at whose birthday? Do you remember?
16	MR. LEROUX: One might have been for Malcolm
17	and Agnes MacDonald; and the other one was for one of his
18	relatives.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: One of whose relatives?
20	MR. LEROUX: Ken's relatives.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Might it have been his
22	brother that you've mentioned?
23	MR. LEROUX: Not sure; it might have been
24	one of the Laplantes. I'm not sure. I remember a birthday
25	party.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
2	MR. LEROUX: There was mixed people there.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But the one that
4	you believe was for Malcolm
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: who do you remember
7	being there, sir, if anyone?
8	MR. LEROUX: Father Charlie, C-8.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry? C-8?
10	MR. LEROUX: C-8.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?
12	MR. LEROUX: Claude Shaver and another
13	priest; I'm not sure.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Another priest other than
15	Father MacDonald?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	I'm not sure whether it was the priest that
18	built the log cabin in the United States, or not. A young
19	fellow. A young priest. I'm not sure of his name. They
20	were talking about Vermont, a cabin, at the supper table.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, if the party was for
22	Malcolm, would he have been there?
23	MR. LEROUX: Yeah, it's his birthday party,
24	yeah.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, okay.

1	And you have a recollection of one other
2	supper party or dinner party at Ken's?
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Where there were multiple
5	people?
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall who was there
8	sir? What the occasion was?
9	MR. LEROUX: The other birthday party that I
10	just mentioned?
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?
12	MR. LEROUX: Al Laplante, one of his
13	nephews; let's see. He was named man of the year not long
14	ago in the papers, on page not Brian I'm not sure
15	of the first name. It will come to me, though.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: So one of Ken Seguin's
17	nephews?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Who was the
20	man of the year for where?
21	MR. LEROUX: In Cornwall here.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: In Cornwall, okay.
23	MR. LEROUX: Businessman of the year. He
24	owns a steelworks back on 12th Street.

94

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Who else?

1	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure whether maybe
2	Nancy. I'm not sure. Maybe Doug and Nancy, I'm not sure.
3	I can't but she Gerry might have been there. Gerry
4	and one of his kids; Gerry Renshaw.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. All right. So you
6	were over there a couple of times for supper when there
7	were multiple people there?
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And there was no sexual
10	impropriety at either of those suppers?
11	MR. LEROUX: No.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir, ever
13	being invited for supper or dinner at Father MacDonald's
14	residence in St. Andrews?
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Would that have been once,
17	or more than once?
18	MR. LEROUX: Well, I had a lot of lunches
19	there, because I was working there.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, you've told us that.
21	MR. LEROUX: but a large dinner, served
22	by Daniel Flipsen.
23	There was Malcolm and Ken and C-8, myself,
24	David Latreille, Gino. Gino I don't know his last name;
25	that's One of the Ostlers.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Is this a
2	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure whether it's Gary
3	or David, but one of the two Ostlers.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: They were priests?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	There was a woman that prepared the meal.
7	Then she left. She everything was there, but the boy
8	served the meal, like put the plates in and took the food;
9	and Charlie was there. Malcolm was there.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	This fellow who served the meal
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Daniel Flipsen?
14	MR. LEROUX: Daniel Flipsen.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Was he staying there at the
16	time, or do you know?
17	MR. LEROUX: Oh, I have no idea.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
19	And again, you didn't observe any sexual
20	impropriety or acts of that nature there?
21	MR. LEROUX: No.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, would you, from time to
23	time, go to Malcolm MacDonald's cottage?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And we've heard that Malcolm

1	MacDonald's cottage is
2	MR. LEROUX: It's on the west corner of the
3	island, on the south end of it, opposite the St. Lawrence
4	Seaway Authority ship channel.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, and you could see it
6	from your home. Correct?
7	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And you could also see it
9	from Mr. Seguin's home?
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And we've heard, sir, that
12	Mr. MacDonald Malcolm MacDonald would store his boat at
13	Ken Seguin's.
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes. A yellow boat with a 60
15	horse or 40 horse or 70 horse; I'm not sure. Fifty; I
16	don't know.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And he used to transport
18	people back and forth to his cottage by that boat?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if
21	Mr. Seguin's boat was ever used to transport people back
22	and forth to the cottage?
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you have a boat,
25	sir, or did anyone living with you have a boat?

1	MR. LEROUX: Yes; I had a 21-foot Tempest
2	bow rider with 185 horsepower engine in it; silver bright.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: This is during the timeframe
4	when
5	MR. LEROUX: Oh, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Seguin was your
7	neighbour?
8	MR. LEROUX: Yup. Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever transport
10	people back and forth to
11	MR. LEROUX: Nope.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: the island for Malcolm?
13	MR. LEROUX: No.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, what about
15	MR. LEROUX: Well, I shouldn't say no.
16	I went over to do a repair on a building
17	when the tree fell on it, and took the pump over. C-9 took
18	
19	MR. ENGELMANN: C-8?
20	MR. LEROUX: Sorry, C-8 took David Latreille
21	back from there was a little get-together there in the
22	afternoon, so there was
23	MR. ENGELMANN: C-8?
24	MR. LEROUX: Sorry, C-8 took David Latreille
25	back from there was a little get-together there in the

afternoon, so there was and he might have taken a few
people over, but I didn't take really an interest in
hauling people back and forth.
MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So if you did
that it was infrequent.
MR. LEROUX: Yes.
MR. ENGELMANN: What about C-8; would he do
it from time to time; did he have a boat?
MR. LEROUX: C-8?
MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
MR. LEROUX: That was a C-8; that's the
same boat.
MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you know if
he
MR. LEROUX: We kept it hung up it was
always out of the water because it was a heavy boat; it was
always out of the water because it was a heavy boat; it was
always out of the water because it was a heavy boat; it was in a 32 foot boathouse.
always out of the water because it was a heavy boat; it was in a 32 foot boathouse. MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
always out of the water because it was a heavy boat; it was in a 32 foot boathouse. MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you know if he transported people back
always out of the water because it was a heavy boat; it was in a 32 foot boathouse. MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you know if he transported people back and forth on occasion with that boat?
always out of the water because it was a heavy boat; it was in a 32 foot boathouse. MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you know if he transported people back and forth on occasion with that boat? MR. LEROUX: Yes, I think so. I am not

words used are "I observed," among others.

1	There is a list of people that you say you
2	saw at several parties at Ken Seguin's house, Malcolm
3	MacDonald's summer residence, and St. Andrews Parish House;
4	do you see that?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	Now I want to ask you a few questions about
8	that. First of all, the names on that list that doesn't
9	mean presumably that they were at all three places.
10	MR. LEROUX: No.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that correct?
12	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: So would it be fair to say
14	that only some of the people on that list you would have
15	seen at all three places?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And some of the people on
18	the list you might have only seen at two places, or one
19	place?
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Now, looking at the list today, is there
23	anyone on that list and I'm going to come to another
24	list in a minute But on that list, people you would
25	have observed just at one of those three places is there

1	anybody that you didn't observe at any of those three
2	places?
3	MR. LEROUX: From one to 25, there?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no; it's more than
5	that.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: It should be 33.
7	MR. LEROUX: Okay, you're going
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Some of those names have
9	monikers, and what that means, sir, is we refer to them
10	with a "C", so for example, number 28 is C-8; number 16 is
11	C-5. So if you were about to name one of those people, I'd
12	ask that you not.
13	MR. LEROUX: And the question is they're at
14	none of those places?
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Is there anyone
16	on that list there is 33 names there.
17	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Is there anyone on that list
19	
20	MR. LEROUX: That was never at any one of
21	the three places?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: That's right.
23	MR. LEROUX: Okay. I got you.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Just take a minute. I want
25	you to think about it.

1	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	Number 15 I never saw at any one of those
4	three places.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: So that's David Silmser.
7	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I think you told us, if I'm
10	not mistaken, that you first met him in Toronto.
11	MR. LEROUX: In TO, yes.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: So can you go down the
13	rest of the list now that we've got it there, sir?
14	MR. LEROUX: Pardon me?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Could you go down the
16	rest of the list, because now we've got we gave you the
17	top half, now we're can you scroll down a little bit?
18	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
19	MR. LEROUX: See 25 and 26 I'm not sure.
20	One of them, one of those two I think number 20 I'm
21	not even going to speculate, but whatever one the shooting
22	was about was one of those two. I'm not sure.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So only one of
24	those two
25	MR. LEROUX: Yeah, that's

1	MR. ENGELMANN: you would have observed
2	there.
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes; one of the two.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you're just
5	not sure which one.
6	MR. LEROUX: Not sure which one.
7	Number 29 wait a second, that's a
8	brother-in-law. He was at one place, one time, for a short
9	talk on the lawn. That's all.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, do you know what his
11	profession is?
12	MR. LEROUX: He is a police officer in a
13	suit.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
15	MR. LEROUX: He is wearing a suit. I think
16	it was on a Sunday.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: So you only saw him at one
18	of the three places?
19	MR. LEROUX: Just briefly, yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MR. LEROUX: See, Al Laplante worked with me
22	at the church, but he was an that's his uncle.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, sir, I'm asking you to
24	just try and focus on the question
25	MR. LEROUX: Okay, I am getting away

1	MR. ENGELMANN: any one of those 33 that
2	you never saw at any of these three places, other than Mr.
3	Silmser?
4	MR. LEROUX: Thirty-three (33).
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So Mr. Van Diepen?
6	MR. LEROUX: Just lunch; this guy had
7	nothing to do with these three places. There were some
8	MR. ENGELMANN: What do you mean just once?
9	MR. LEROUX: Pardon me?
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, just lunch!
11	MR. LEROUX: Lunch.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry. Lunch at Harv's.
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: You told us about that. So
15	you never saw him at Mr. Seguin's house?
16	MR. LEROUX: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And what about number 34? I
18	want you to take a look at that for a minute.
19	You mentioned a male prostitute over the age
20	of 18; did you see any other individuals that you knew to
21	be male prostitutes that were either under or over 18, or
22	is it just the one?
23	MR. LEROUX: Just the one; yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	So when it says male prostitutes, and it

1	refers to juveniles, that's not correct.
2	MR. LEROUX: No, that's it doesn't belong
3	there.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
6	MR. LEROUX: That doesn't belong there. I
7	mean
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So you saw one
9	male prostitute who was an adult?
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes, he was flown in from the
11	United States.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Right but one, and only
13	one.
14	MR. LEROUX: One, and only one.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And that goes for all three
16	places: Malcolm's cottage, Ken's home, and St. Andrew's
17	Parish; is that correct?
18	MR. LEROUX: As far as prostitutes go, yes,
19	but wait a second there was two male strippers that
20	came up from Montreal who came to get some money from Ken
21	to go back to Montreal. I don't know who they were and
22	but they were originally from Cornwall and they were on
23	parole with him. And he had to lend them like, 80 dollars
24	or something. And they just came in, took the money and
25	left.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And how did you know they
2	were male strippers?
3	MR. LEROUX: Well, they said they were.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: They told you that?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yeah.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	MR. LEROUX: I was sitting there having a
8	having a coffee or a tea one night. He was banging at the
9	door, and he says, "Oh, what are you doing?" I was being
10	friendly with him, and then he just reached in his pocket
11	and he says, "I only have 40. Do you have 40 to loan me
12	until tomorrow?" I say, "Yeah." I said, "What's going
13	on?" He said, "Well they want to go to Montreal they
14	want to go back to Montreal." He said, "They're
15	strippers." And he laughed about it.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	I think you told us from time to time he
18	would go to Montreal?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And who would he go with?
21	MR. LEROUX: I'd take him down.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And would other
23	people go as well?
24	MR. LEROUX: One time we went to Malcolm
25	drove him down for, I think, his birthday or something.

1	And they went to "The Cave" restaurant or Cave-type
2	restaurant. And then they hit a few gay bars, and then
3	they came back.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
5	Just want to about this list
6	MR. LEROUX: Campus. Campus?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Number 34 is male
8	prostitutes, adults and juveniles and altar boys.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Are we going to talk
11	about altar boys? See if he has
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, after you talk about
15	the male prostitute. We know now there's no juveniles that
16	you are seeing there.
17	There is a reference to altar boys. Now
18	does that refer to people already on the list, or are there
19	other people? Or do you know?
20	MR. LEROUX: What's the question again?
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	We are looking at number 34. There is a
23	reference to altar boys.
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you see that? Do you

1	know if the reference so we have got a we have got 33
2	names before that.
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: I am asking you if there
5	if you are aware if you observed others who were altar
6	boys who were at one of those three places?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: So let us remember now,
8	that this is your affidavit. You have sworn an affidavit
9	that says,
10	"I was at several parties at Ken
11	Seguin's house, Malcolm MacDonald's
12	summer residence, and St. Andrew's
13	Parish House, where I observed, among
14	others"
15	You have got the 34 people there and the
16	last one, it says, "Altar boys."
17	So did you ever see altar boys at Ken
18	Seguin's home, at the summer residence of Malcolm
19	MacDonald, or at St. Andrew's Parish?
20	MR. LEROUX: No. I don't know whether
21	anyone of the like Sylvain or Daniel if they were
22	altar boys or not. I don't know. I didn't know them then.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Could be there on the list
24	already?
25	MR. LEROUX: That's a pretty extensive list.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: I am talking about or I
2	am asking you whether there were others
3	MR. LEROUX: No.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: that you can remember?
5	MR. LEROUX: No.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Now sir, I want to ask you
7	about a couple of names on that list. But before I do, how
8	does David Silmser get on that list?
9	MR. LEROUX: I have no idea.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	MR. LEROUX: Which one of the five is this?
12	Which one of the five affidavits is out there? Is this one
13	off a net, or is this one
14	MR. ENGELMANN: This is an affidavit that
15	you swear at Newmarket, Ontario, before Charles Bourgeois -
16	
17	MR. LEROUX: With all those names?
18	MR. ENGELMANN: November 13, 1996. At that
19	point you would have already sworn an affidavit on October
20	31, 1996, in Maine before Charles Bourgeois.
21	MR. LEROUX: Identical to this one?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: No.
23	MR. LEROUX: Oh. Okay. Oh.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: In fact, this is a new
25	paragraph. This paragraph about who you see at these three

1	places was not in your earlier affidavit.
2	MR. LEROUX: So revised edition, I guess.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
4	MR. LEROUX: We they we drew up
5	another one?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, this is two weeks later
7	now.
8	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And there's a lot of names.
10	MR. LEROUX: Wow.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So you don't know how
12	Mr. Silmer's name got on that list?
13	MR. LEROUX: I have no idea.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And sir, you never corrected this, did you?
16	MR. LEROUX: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: But you are acknowledging
18	today that that name should not have been there.
19	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now you told us,
21	I believe, about meeting Murray MacDonald.
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes; Crown.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And I think you told
24	us you were working on a car or something.
25	MR. LEROUX: Oh, wait a minute

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Do I have that right?
2	MR. LEROUX: No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Hope I am not
4	MR. LEROUX: Oh, that's Shaver.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: On the backhoe?
6	MR. LEROUX: Working on a backhoe; Shaver.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right; Shaver.
8	Do you recall ever seeing Murray MacDonald -
9	- the person you have identified as a Crown You have
10	got him on your list as being at one of these three places,
11	at least.
12	MR. LEROUX: His father. His father;
13	Milton.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. But where did you see
15	his father?
16	MR. LEROUX: Sitting on a picnic table at
17	Ken's.
18	He didn't want him there.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever see him
20	elsewhere either at Malcolm's or at the Parish House?
21	MR. LEROUX: No.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So what about the son? Not
23	the father. The son. Not Milton MacDonald, but Murray
24	MacDonald. Do you recall seeing him at any of these three
25	places?

1	MR. LEROUX: No.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: So he should not be on the
3	list then?
4	MR. LEROUX: No.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know how his name got
6	on that list?
7	MR. LEROUX: I've no idea.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if he was a
9	friend or an acquaintance of Ken or Malcolm MacDonald?
10	MR. LEROUX: Malcolm knew him.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: How do you know that?
12	MR. LEROUX: We talked about him. Talked
13	about Milton.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I am not asking you about
15	Milton MacDonald. I am asking you about Murray
16	MR. LEROUX: Malcolm MacDonald. Oh, Murray
17	MacDonald.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: There's too many MacDonalds.
19	It's important. It's important.
20	MR. LEROUX: Okay. All right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I am asking you about the
22	Crown prosecutor. Not his father.
23	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
25	Do you know whether or not he was an

1	acquaintance or friend of either Ken Seguin, Malcolm
2	MacDonald or Charles MacDonald?
3	MR. LEROUX: I don't know for sure.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And the MacDonald that you saw at
6	Ken Seguin's was his father; it wasn't him?
7	MR. LEROUX: His father.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that your evidence?
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So that is another name
11	then, that should not be on the list. Is that what you are
12	saying?
13	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
14	Number 16.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. That person has
16	a moniker.
17	MR. LEROUX: Pardon me?
18	MR. ENGELMANN: That person is referred to -
19	_
20	MR. LEROUX: I didn't say his name.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: He is C-5.
22	MR. LEROUX: C-5.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: So what about C-5?
24	MR. LEROUX: C-5 has never been or that I
25	know of at any one of those three places.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, well, Mr. Leroux,
3	then I want
4	MR. LEROUX: but, in Malcolm's office.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: That's where you saw him?
6	MR. LEROUX: No. Photographs of him.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: What kind of photographs of
8	him?
9	MR. LEROUX: Polaroid photographs.
10	Polaroid picture/photograph.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
12	MR. LEROUX: Was he alone in the picture, or
13	with someone?
14	MR. LEROUX: Alone.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Was he clothed or not?
16	MR. LEROUX: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you don't
18	remember seeing him at any of these three places?
19	MR. LEROUX: No, he was the little buddy of
20	Malcolm's.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: How do you know that, sir,
22	unless you saw them together?
23	MR. LEROUX: He talked about. He liked to
24	brag.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Who liked to brag?

1	MR. LEROUX: Malcolm MacDonald.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Sir, I asked you
3	
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: just minutes ago, to
6	look at this list. I asked you to concentrate on it and
7	tell us if there were any people who shouldn't be on that
8	list. You remember my asking you to do that?
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And we went through it once,
11	and you told me there was only one person who shouldn't be
12	on that list. That was David Silmser.
13	And then I asked you about Murray MacDonald.
14	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And you told me he shouldn't
16	be on the list.
17	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And now you've come up with
19	a third person.
20	MR. LEROUX: C-5.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So Mr. Leroux, I
22	really I want you to focus on that list
23	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: one more time, and tell
25	me if there's anyone else who shouldn't be on that list.

1	And that's a list simply of people who you
2	claim to have observed at Malcolm's cottage, at Ken's home,
3	or at St. Andrews Parish House.
4	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, I'm sorry.
7	I apologize, Mr. Leroux, you had told us
8	there were two people.
9	MR. LEROUX: Thirty-three (33); pardon me?
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, you told us 33
11	shouldn't be on that list, too.
12	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. That he was just there
14	for lunch, and not at one of those three places; somewhere
15	else.
16	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
18	MR. LEROUX: Did I mention 29, also?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: You did.
20	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: You've told us that you
22	thought you only saw him I'm hoping I have got this
23	right on one occasion.
24	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
25	And there was also a photograph of him in

1	front of St. Andrews Church, a Polaroid picture Kenny
2	Crepe, from St. Andrews, was standing next to him. He had
3	his arm over his his arm around him like this, standing
4	a picture in front of the church.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Not Ken Seguin?
6	MR. LEROUX: No.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MR. LEROUX: Father no this this is
9	Stuart McDonald a police officer.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
11	MR. LEROUX: With Kenny Crepe standing in
12	front of St. Andrews Church; Polaroid picture.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Who had the picture?
14	MR. LEROUX: Perry had the picture. Perry
15	showed me a picture.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Perry Dunlop?
17	MR. LEROUX: Yes. He said, "Do you know who
18	those two people are?"
19	I said, "Yeah, that's your and that's
20	Kenny Crepe."
21	MR. ENGELMANN: If I remember your evidence
22	from a few minutes ago, you said you only saw Stuart
23	McDonald on one occasion.
24	MR. LEROUX: I'm brain wracking here.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: That's fine. So you now
-	

1	remember but you didn't actually see him in front of the
2	St. Parish house.
3	MR. LEROUX: No, I saw a picture of him in
4	front of it.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But seeing him
6	yourself?
7	MR. LEROUX: No.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: You said one occasion at
9	Ken's was it; on the lawn?
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes. Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So you don't recall seeing
12	him at St. Parish
13	MR. LEROUX: No, no
14	MR. ENGELMANN: or at the other place?
15	MR. LEROUX: no, no, no.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So again, if you could just
17	focus, sir.
18	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I am asking you about people
20	that you wouldn't have seen at any of these three places.
21	We have now taken David Silmser off the
22	list. We have taken Joss Van Diepen off the list. We have
23	taken Murray MacDonald off the list, and we have taken
24	MR. LEROUX: C
25	MR. ENGELMANN: C-5, who is number 16

1	,off the list.
2	Is there anybody else that should be coming
3	off that list?
4	MR. LEROUX: Well, 31 is a neighbour.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, but you would have
6	seen him there?
7	MR. LEROUX: Oh, yes; he was in and out of
8	the yard, okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Leroux, I apologize, but
10	all I'm asking you is you've said in an affidavit
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: that you've seen all of
13	these people and others at one of these three places. I
14	asked you if that was correct, and I've asked you whether
15	there should be someone coming off.
16	MR. LEROUX: Okay. The rest are fine.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. You are sure?
18	MR. LEROUX: From my recollection, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, okay.
20	Now, Mr. Leroux, in the next paragraph
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Put it back on please.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Paragraph 7, and I'm on
23	Exhibit 567, there's a reference and there's a term that is
24	used there, "a clan of pedophiles".
25	MR. LEROUX: That's not mine, that's not

1	mine that's not didn't come from me.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: What doesn't come from you?
3	MR. LEROUX: The word "clan of pedophiles".
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Where does the
5	word "clan" come from, and what does it mean to you?
6	MR. LEROUX: Clan means to meet people that
7	are Scottish, that come from Glengarry County, and they're
8	stick-together people, Scottish people
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Who sticks together?
10	MR. LEROUX: Well, like if you're a "Mc" or
11	a "Mac", I mean you're it's like Scottish clan. It's
12	like a Scottish clan.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you knew the
14	term.
15	MR. LEROUX: I didn't orchestrate this clar
16	of pedophiles. That's I don't know where the hell it
17	came from.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So let me just
19	understand something though.
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: You understood "clan" to
22	mean a group of people who stick together?
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	And that are Scottish?

1	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: What about the word
3	"pedophile"?
4	MR. LEROUX: Sometimes I'd say one word,
5	"no, no, pedophile; no, no, pedophile; no, no, pedophile;
6	no, no, pedophile".
7	I had that coming out of my other end;
8	pedophile. "Don't refer to them as that. It's pedophile."
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Hang on.
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes, okay.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that a word that you
12	would have used
13	MR. LEROUX: No.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: at or about this time?
15	MR. LEROUX: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And we are talking about
17	1996, 1997.
18	MR. LEROUX: No.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know what that word
20	meant?
21	MR. LEROUX: Someone who liked kids.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. An adult who liked
23	kids?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Liked kids in what way?

1	MR. LEROUX: To sexually abuse them.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	Did you understand that that could be an
4	adult sexually abusing either a boy or a girl?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And were there other words you were using to
8	describe someone who did that, sir?
9	MR. LEROUX: The question was?
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
11	You just said something a minute ago about
12	you used a word and pedophile; something pedophile.
13	MR. LEROUX: Oh, gay, queer, pouf, faggot.
14	"No, no, pedophile." Old fag, old queer. "No, it's
15	pedophile."
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, but sir.
17	MR. LEROUX: What? (laughter/rires) I'm
18	sorry.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: No, just
20	MR. LEROUX: I'm sorry.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to make sure we
22	understand each other.
23	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: You would agree with me that
25	someone who is a homosexual

1	MR. LEROUX: Is a gay person.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: that's right.
3	That doesn't mean, in fact, it doesn't mean
4	at all that that person is a pedophile.
5	MR. LEROUX: No, it doesn't.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So are you suggesting
7	MR. LEROUX: I'm not suggesting anything.
8	But what I'm going to tell you is some of them were
9	molested young according to Mr. Dunlop. So, as
10	conditioning goes, like they grow up to be weirdos or
11	pedophiles, okay.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
13	MR. LEROUX: That's what I understood.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Well, let's look at this list if we can for
16	a minute. There are some names here.
17	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Are you do you want a
19	break? Or are you want to are you okay to go for a
20	while?
21	MR. LEROUX: I'm good for another 10 or 15
22	minutes would be all right. I can handle it.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
24	Sir, if we look at paragraph 7
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Paragraph 7, Madam Clerk.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Actually, before we look at
2	paragraph 7, Mr. Leroux, in several of your affidavits you
3	talk about observing sexual acts or sexual improprieties.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: He witnessed this
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
6	THE COMMISSIONER: The paragraph says, "he
7	has witnessed".
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. For example, if we
9	look at paragraph 8 of that very affidavit.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: It says,
11	"I have witnessed sexual improprieties,
12	molestation, fondling, oral sex,
13	intercourse between the above-named
14	clan members and minors for a period of
15	1957 or '58 to 1993."
16	MR. LEROUX: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And then you say,
18	"These sexual improprieties with minors
19	(male) occurred at Cameron's Point,
20	Summerstown, Ontario, Birch Avenue"
21	I think you have also used the term Birch Road
22	"Fort Lauderdale Florida"
23	MR. LEROUX: Birch Road, right? Somebody
24	misspelled it; got it wrong here.
25	MR. ENGELMANN:

1	"The Salt Air Motel in Fort Lauderdale"
2	and then you have got an address "Lot
3	17 Concession 1, Rural Route Number 1,
4	Cornwall Ontario."
5	Do you see that?
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And then you have got,
8	"Malcolm MacDonald's summer residence
9	Stanley Island, Ontario."
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And
12	"Malcolm MacDonald's Law Office, Pitt
13	Street, Cornwall, Ontario".
14	MR. LEROUX: Nice for me to put my address
15	on there, wasn't it? I didn't do this.
16	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I wanted
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: What is your address?
20	MR. LEROUX: RR 1 Cornwall. Lot 17
21	Concession 1, RR 1 Cornwall
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, that is your own
23	address? It was your address.
24	MR. LEROUX: K6H 5R5; yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. All right.

1	And that's when you lived next to Mr.
2	Mr. Seguin.
3	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And you also have lastly,
5	St. Andrew's Parish House.
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So let me just go
8	through those with you for a minute.
9	At Cameron's Point, Summerstown, Ontario
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Other than what you allege
12	happened to you
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: With Father Eugene.
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: You are telling us today
17	that you don't recall seeing, and you don't remember seeing
18	any sexual improprieties.
19	MR. LEROUX: No.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Now we have talked about
21	that ritual incident that comes up time and time again.
22	Let me just ask you this, sir.
23	You say now that you heard that story from a
24	tailor? Or

MR. LEROUX: Yes, at the tailor shop.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever tell Mr. Dunlop
2	or Mr. Bourgeois that that's where you heard the story?
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Are you absolutely sure?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You actually told Mr. Dunlop
7	or Mr. Bourgeois just listen to my question
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: that you didn't witness
10	this ritual?
11	MR. LEROUX: No. I heard it from
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Now just to make it clear
13	in my mind I know that you say you heard it.
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you ever tell
16	Mr. Bourgeois or Dunlop: "Look it; I didn't really see
17	this, but I heard it from the tailor at the tailor shop."
18	Or did you say to them, "I saw this" and you never did tell
19	them?
20	MR. LEROUX: No, I gave them names of
21	different people, and where they were from, and what
22	happened to them.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
24	MR. LEROUX: And we put it all together and
25	this is what we came up with.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: I am not sure I have an
3	answer to my question.
4	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So I am going to try it
6	again. All right?
7	You have said today
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: You may have said earlier
10	this week, as well I think you did that that whole
11	ritual incident that you claim to have observed that you
12	claim to have seen
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: at Cameron's Point
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: You didn't see.
17	MR. LEROUX: No.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And you have told us here at
19	this Inquiry that you heard about it
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: from someone at a tailor
22	shop
23	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: or words to that effect.
25	Okay?

1	That allegation appears in many of the
2	statements and interviews, affidavits that you give to Mr.
3	Dunlop, to the OPP, in an examination for Discovery.
4	And you never say, in any of those statements, "No, I
5	didn't witness that."
6	MR. LEROUX: That's why I'm here today. To
7	set the record straight.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Fair enough.
9	Fair enough. You are telling us that today.
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So what I am so I am
12	going to get back to my question then.
13	What did you tell Mr. Dunlop? What did you
14	tell Mr. Bourgeois? Did you tell them the same thing you
15	told everybody else before that you witnessed this
16	ritual? Or did you tell them, "No, I just heard about it
17	from someone at the tailor shop".
18	MR. LEROUX: Some of the things I had heard
19	about some of the things they put together. I mean it's
20	orchestrated.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Leroux, I am trying to
22	get an answer to that one question.
23	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you tell either
25	Mr. Dunlop or Mr. Bourgeois that you never actually saw

1	this ritual incident? Or and that you heard it from
2	someone else or did you tell them, "That's what I saw at
3	Cameron's Point"?
4	MR. LEROUX: I might have told them I saw
5	it.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Because that is
7	what appears in all of the documents.
8	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: So you are telling us now
10	that you did not come clean with them on this one either.
11	MR. LEROUX: No.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, maybe it is an
13	appropriate time to take a lunch break.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, we will take a
15	break. We'll come back at two.
16	Could you canvass Mr. Engelmannparties
17	to see the length of time for cross-examination please?
18	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise/À l'ordre;
19	veuillez vous lever.
20	Upon recessing at 12:34 p.m./
21	L'audience est suspendue à 12h34
22	Upon resuming at 2:02 p.m./
23	L'audience est reprise à 14h02
24	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed.

130

Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.

1	RON LEROUX, Resumed/Sous le meme serment
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon all. Mr.
3	Leroux, how are you doing?
4	MR. LEROUX: Very good, thank you.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Have a good lunch?
6	MR. LEROUX: Very good. Terrific.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann?
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you. Good afternoon,
9	Mr. Commissioner.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, Mr. Leroux.
12	MR. LEROUX: Good afternoon, Mr. Engelmann.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, just before I start
14	my colleague, Ms. Hamou, canvassed Counsel with respect
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: to cross-examination.
17	And the low time is six-and-a-half hours. The high time is
18	eight-and-a-half hours. And there were really just two
19	counsel that indicated that they might be any length of
20	time and perhaps that will change.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, certainly with the
22	cross-examination of one it eliminates
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: some questions for
25	the others. And so we will see how we

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you for
3	that.
4	EXAMINATION BY/INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. PETER ENGELMANN
5	(Cont'd/Suite):
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So, Mr. Leroux, I was on
7	Exhibit 567.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: And that is your
9	affidavit that you swore for Mr. Dunlop or before
10	Mr. Bourgeois on November 13, 1996.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And I was on page 3.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, just a second.
13	Would that be in the book, Madam Clerk? Could you find it
14	for the witness? It's on the screen, but it's in the book,
15	as well.
16	So if you turn to page 2 of that affidavit.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Actually, just the top of
18	the third page, where we are on the screen.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Just to help him
20	out, on page 2 is where there was paragraph 6, where we
21	went through the 34 names with you?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And then, Mr.
24	Engelmann is now on page 3, paragraph 8, and that was the
25	one where you said witnessed certain things at different

1	places; all right?
2	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Does that help situate
4	you where we are?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
7	Mr. Engelmann.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So, Mr. Leroux, in the first
9	sentence of that paragraph, it says "I have witnessed
10	sexual improprieties" and then goes on to describe some
11	sexual acts and it gives a period of time. Do you recall
12	where I was, where I was asking you and then in the next
13	sentence it says "These sexual improprieties with minors
14	(male) occurred at", and there's a list of places; do you
15	see that?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And I had asked you about
18	Cameron's point.
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So I now want to go to the
21	second one.
22	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Birch Avenue or Birch Road
24	in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, and what I want to know is,
25	you've told us about certain people that you saw there; all

1	right? But I don't want to know who you saw there. I'm
2	asking specifically if you witnessed sexual improprieties
3	when you were down in Fort Lauderdale?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: On Birch Road as opposed
5	as to the Salt Air Motel?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. In fact, you give two
7	spots: You say Birch Road and you say the Salt Air.
8	So let's start with Birch Road.
9	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Sexual improprieties and
11	then there is a number of others, molestation, fondling,
12	et cetera.
13	MR. LEROUX: Not on Birch Road.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: You never witnessed that?
15	MR. LEROUX: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I think what you told us is
17	you saw some teenage male prostitutes?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: You also told us about some
20	of the people you saw walking on Birch Road?
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: You didn't actually see
23	anybody engaged in sex
24	MR. LEROUX: No.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: of any sort?

1	MR. LEROUX: No.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, the next spot or the
3	next location is the Salt Air Motel in Fort Lauderdale,
4	Florida. Did you, sir, actually witness any sexual
5	improprieties there?
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And who, if anyone, from
8	Cornwall, would have been involved?
9	MR. LEROUX: Malcolm MacDonald.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And do you know who
11	he was with, sir?
12	MR. LEROUX: He was with a black guy from
13	who was over at the Marlin Beach Hotel.
14	MR. LEROUX: And what did this black fellow
15	do for a living?
16	MR. LEROUX: He had a business card, but I'm
17	not sure what he did. He was not a prostitute, though.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
19	MR. LEROUX: I don't think he was a
20	prostitute, but he was well, they don't pose themselves
21	as, but I suppose you would classify him as a prostitute.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, hold it.
23	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Is he someone that someone
25	paid to have sex?

1	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Right?
3	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And do you know who
5	paid?
6	MR. LEROUX: I paid.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And you paid for him to have
8	sex with you?
9	MR. LEROUX: No.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: With whom?
11	MR. LEROUX: Malcolm.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And why did you do that?
13	MR. LEROUX: I need a pretty good reason.
14	It's because what he tried to do to me at
15	the hotel.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did he ask you
17	to pay for a prostitute for him or did you just do it?
18	MR. LEROUX: I just did it.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And was this person under
20	the age of 18 or over, to your knowledge?
21	MR. LEROUX: Oh, way over.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. All right, so you
23	don't see any sexual improprieties with minors, then, at
24	the Salt Air Motel?
25	MR. LEROUX: Not witnessed but maybe

1	behind a closed door, but didn't witness it.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: So you saw minors go into
3	rooms with people?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: But you didn't actually see
6	them engage in sexual activity?
7	MR. LEROUX: No.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Who are these people?
9	MR. LEROUX: Malcolm MacDonald gave his
10	business card to a kid at the swimming pool who was twelve
11	years old.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, how do you know how
13	old the child was?
14	MR. LEROUX: Because he I'll get to that.
15	His parents were at the pool and they left and they were
16	going shopping and he didn't want to go. So he was sitting
17	there talking to Malcolm and Malcolm said he was talking
18	to him and he was really friendly, this kid, and he
19	handed him a business card said I can "I'm a lawyer."
20	The kid says, "Well, I want to be a lawyer
21	when I grow up."
22	So anyway, I said to Malcolm, "Come on,
23	we'll go for a drive or something."
24	And he said, "No, I'll hang around the pool.
25	If you want to go, you go."

1	So I didn't go.
2	I went up to the room and I was getting
3	burned in the sun. When I come back, he was taking the kid
4	in the room into his room, I think Room 13, I believe.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if anything of a
6	sexual nature occurred in that room?
7	MR. LEROUX: I didn't see anything, but
8	MR. ENGELMANN: But what?
9	MR. LEROUX: But they were in there for
10	approximately an hour.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Anything else, sir? You
12	talk about witnessing sexual improprieties and we're at the
13	Salt Air Motel in Fort Lauderdale, Florida.
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes, Ken Seguin and Denis
15	Bolland.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	MR. LEROUX: Ken gave him \$50 to sleep with
18	him.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And is that the
20	person you mentioned, Denis?
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that person under or over
23	the age of 18, or do you know?
24	MR. LEROUX: Eighteen. Seventeen or 18. He
25	was well, that's one of the persons I drove back,

1	because his mother asked me to take him to Boston for
2	because he was wanted for armed robbery, at a knifepoint,
3	in a confectionery store.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So two questions, sir.
5	You're not sure if this fellow was under or over 18 or 18?
6	You said he could have been 17 or 18?
7	MR. LEROUX: Seventeen or 18.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And you didn't actually
9	witness
10	MR. LEROUX: No.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: them have sex?
12	MR. LEROUX: No.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Anything else?
14	MR. LEROUX: Can I tell you what Denis said?
15	What Denis Bolland said, that doesn't you want to know
16	what Denis Bolland said after?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you that Mr.
18	Seguin had sex with him?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he ever tell you his
21	age?
22	MR. LEROUX: He was under 18 or close to 18.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So he didn't tell you his
24	age?
25	MR. LEROUX: No. Not exactly, no.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: We're still at the Salt Air
2	Motel?
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just asking about
5	witnessing.
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Actual seeing; not hearing.
8	MR. LEROUX: Not, no.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Not people telling you?
10	MR. LEROUX: Correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Seeing sexual improprieties?
12	MR. LEROUX: No.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Your address, it's listed.
14	I think you told us it was your address; Lot 17, Concession
15	1?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I understand from one of my
18	learned friends that that's also an address for Mr. Seguin
19	could be an address for Mr. Seguin.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Well it's
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes, it could.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's do both.
23	MR. LEROUX: Visits, yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	So at either your residence or Mr. Seguin's

1	residence in Summerstown, did you witness sexual
2	improprieties?
3	MR. LEROUX: No. From any of that group or
4	any
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Anybody.
6	MR. LEROUX: No.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You said you saw a
8	number of probationers and ex-probationers, but I'm asking
9	if you actually saw people engaged in sexual activity.
10	MR. LEROUX: There's a fine line there.
11	Just a second. David Latreille and C-8 came in by boat
12	from Malcolm's cottage, and they were out on the back deck
13	sitting there talking, and they were having a yelling match
14	and, at the end of that, he says, "These damn friends of
15	yours coming onto me"; and so I said, "Well, David
16	Latreille is not really a friend of mine. I mean, you're a
17	big enough guy. You can handle yourself. Just tell him
18	no." That's the only impropriety there with
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	But you didn't witness
21	MR. LEROUX: No, no, no.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And when I asked you
23	that, that was either at your residence or at Ken Seguin's?
24	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	Malcolm MacDonald's summer residence,
2	Stanley Island?
3	MR. LEROUX: No.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Malcolm MacDonald's
5	law office, Pitt Street?
6	MR. LEROUX: No, just photographs.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well, let me ask you
8	this
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: photographs, you said a
11	naked boy or naked boys?
12	MR. LEROUX: Boys.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Were there ever
14	photographs of people having sex with boys or were they
15	just naked boys?
16	MR. LEROUX: Just naked boys.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: St. Andrews Parish house?
18	MR. LEROUX: Okay, that's another fine line.
19	I was on a scaffold in the large room in the front where
20	the piano is, and I was rolling the ceiling, and there was
21	Father Kevin Maloney came in through the side door where
22	the office is and walked by the doorway. I turned and
23	looked; I saw him, and he had a young fellow with him, and
24	they disappeared into the house.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you later see them?

1	MR. LEROUX: Upstairs.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Where were they?
3	MR. LEROUX: In a bed.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you actually see them
5	engaged in sexual activity?
6	MR. LEROUX: No.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: But you saw them in a
8	bed?
9	MR. LEROUX: In a bed.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Were they dressed?
12	MR. LEROUX: Well, some clothes on the
13	floor, but I just walked by. I just glanced in.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So the door was open?
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: To your knowledge, sir, was
17	this someone over or under the age of 18?
18	MR. LEROUX: Could have been 16, maybe
19	young.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's just from your
21	observation; you never spoke to the person?
22	MR. LEROUX: No, no, nothing.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Leroux, I'd like to take
24	you to paragraph 7. And in fact perhaps we could start
25	with is it possible to get two up on the screen at the

1	same time? No. Okay. Because there's a similar paragraph
2	in Exhibit 564. Well, let's work with this one first.
3	I'll just run through this list with you,
4	Mr. Leroux.
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry; what exhibit
7	are we on again?
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Five-sixty-seven (567).
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Thank you.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: It's the second of the two
11	affidavits.
12	The first name, Eugene LaRocque, you've
13	already told us about your allegation of child sexual
14	abuse.
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And you've told us, and it's
17	stated in your affidavits, some of the places you would
18	have seen Eugene LaRocque.
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And some of the people you
21	would have seen him with.
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: But aside from your
24	allegation, you have never seen Eugene LaRocque engaged in
25	sexual activity with anyone. Is that correct? Aside from

1	your own allegation.
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes no, no, I have never
3	seen him, no, engaged in.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So the answer is yes?
5	MR. LEROUX: That's correct, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Father Charles MacDonald,
7	you've talked to us about him and that he was a close
8	friend of Ken Seguin's.
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: You've talked to us about
11	him in the company of several young men or teenage boys,
12	but you haven't ever suggested that you witnessed Father
13	Charles MacDonald in any sexual activity with a male under
14	the age of 18.
15	MR. LEROUX: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So anything you would know
17	about that would be as a result of conversations you would
18	have had with others?
19	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And you've had some of those
21	conversations?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Would those conversations
24	have been conversations with Mr. Seguin?
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: With Father MacDonald
2	himself?
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And with some others?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: The next person, Father
7	Donald MacDougald, sir, aside from your own allegation of
8	child sexual abuse,
9	MR. LEROUX: No.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: you've never seen
11	MR. LEROUX: No.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: this individual engaged
13	in any sexual activity?
14	MR. LEROUX: No.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I don't even I
16	may be wrong, but I don't remember you
17	MR. LEROUX: No.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: talking about him in the
19	company of others either.
20	MR. LEROUX: No.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, except at Cameron
22	Point.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: That's true.
24	And I think if I remember your evidence,
25	sir, it was either Father Cameron or Father MacDougald at

1	Cameron's Point, you weren't sure which one.
2	MR. LEROUX: I wasn't sure.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So the same would be true of
6	the next person, Father Bernard Cameron. Aside from your
7	own allegation and the possibility of him being at
8	Cameron's Point, you don't witness him involved with anyone
9	else in any kind of sexual activity?
10	MR. LEROUX: No.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Nor do you suggest that I
12	don't think you say that he's at Malcolm MacDonald's
13	cottage or at other places?
14	MR. LEROUX: No.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Not in Florida?
16	MR. LEROUX: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Father Kevin Maloney.
18	You've mentioned
19	MR. LEROUX: One time.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: something at the parish
21	house?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: He is also on your list of
24	people you would have seen at either Ken's, Malcolm's or
25	-

1	MR. LEROUX: Never.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, no, sorry. Actually,
3	Ken's, Malcolm's or St. Andrews parish house. So you've
4	only seen him at St. Andrews parish house?
5	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And you have Father Gary
7	Ostler and Father David Ostler. With Gary Ostler, is that
8	the fellow you spoke about with the
9	MR. LEROUX: Father Gary, yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: brief incident at St.
11	Andrews?
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And you were an adult at the
14	time?
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And I believe you said you
17	treated it as a joke?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Aside from that incident,
20	there's no
21	MR. LEROUX: No.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: suggestion that he has
23	engaged in anything?
24	MR. LEROUX: None.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Sexual impropriety or

1	anything else?
2	MR. LEROUX: No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Father Ranald or Rory
4	MacDonald. Oh, I'm sorry.
5	Father David Ostler.
6	MR. LEROUX: No.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: No allegation of sexual
8	impropriety, sir, of any sort?
9	MR. LEROUX: No.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I think his name comes up
11	because he's at the parish house one night for supper?
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that it? As far as I
14	know, that's it.
15	Father Ranald or Rory MacDonald. I think
16	you mentioned him as a person your father went to see?
17	MR. LEROUX: No. That's the late Reverend
18	R.J. MacDonald
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
20	MR. LEROUX: not Ranald MacDonald.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry, my apologies.
22	MR. LEROUX: Older man. Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: There's a lot of MacDonalds
24	here. Any allegation that you witnessed any kind of sexual
25	impropriety on his part?

1	MR. LEROUX: No.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: His name is on your list of
3	33 or so. Was that simply a meal at the parish house or
4	was that somewhere else or do you know?
5	MR. LEROUX: I think just a meal at the
6	parish house. Very he was with Father Charlie a lot,
7	Rory.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
9	MR. LEROUX: He travelled with Charlie I
10	think quite a few times. Like we'd see him, they'd stop
11	in. Called him Rory. There's nothing there. No sexual
12	impropriety with them; nothing like that that I know of.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	Claude Shaver's name you've mentioned a few
15	times as being someone who you've seen at various places.
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And you've seen with, I
18	think, on a couple of occasions, you might have mentioned
19	teenage boys or people under 18.
20	MR. LEROUX: Talking to?
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
22	MR. LEROUX: Yeah.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Is there someone
24	MR. CALLAGHAN: I'm sorry. At this point, I
25	would ask

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Just come forward to the
2	mike.
3	MR. CALLAGNAN: I would ask Commission
4	counsel not to attempt to lead that evidence, I don't
5	recall, and I would ask him just to ask the question of
6	what is pretty important, and to put to the witness what he
7	thought didn't say at this stage, I think is quite
8	indelicate.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. I disagree.
10	I find that with this witness, it's necessary to reframe
11	the questions so that he can get the context properly and
12	then we'll go.
13	It goes to weight, Mr go ahead Mr.
14	Engelmann.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Just trying to try and find
16	a reference that is handy, because there are several
17	references, and I'm just Sure, paragraph 14 in the
18	affidavit, sir.
19	I wasn't going to go this specific, but I
20	will now, because I have been asked. Paragraph 14 in the
21	affidavit, please, Madam Clerk, page 3, bottom of the page
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Madam Clerk.
23	All right, so if you could read that
24	paragraph about Mr. Shaver.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you blow it up a

1	little bit.
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: First of all, ask him if
5	it is true.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I am going to go through it
7	piece by piece.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't want to just sir,
10	first paragraph, first sentence:
11	"I have observed Claude Shaver at Ken
12	Seguin's home."
13	Is that true?
14	MR. LEROUX: A couple of times, yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. A couple of times?
18	Is that what you said?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think you recounted
21	one of those times to us.
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: You were was that the
24	time you were under a backhoe or something?
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. You also say
2	you've observed him at Birch Avenue, Fort Lauderdale?
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And you also say you've
5	observed him at Malcolm MacDonald's summer residence?
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. Now, you say, as
8	well, that he was very good friends with Malcolm MacDonald
9	Is that true, sir, to your knowledge?
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And how do you know that?
12	MR. LEROUX: Because he would invite him
13	over for steak on the island, a steak dinner on the island
14	a barbecue.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: So just because you see him
16	going there or see him there or did you actually were
17	there discussions about him?
18	MR. LEROUX: We had discussions about him
19	too. He was a friend, just like
20	MR. ENGELMANN: What about him being a good
21	friend of Father Charles MacDonald? First of all, is that
22	true to your knowledge?
23	MR. LEROUX: Can't really say no, I'd be
24	speculating. He was there having a drink on the deck with
25	Gino and a few people, Ken

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So you don't know if this is
2	true?
3	MR. LEROUX: Well, he's in a photograph. I
4	gave a there was a photograph with him sitting on the
5	back deck behind the house, behind that police house in St.
6	Andrews.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Is Father Charles in the
8	photograph?
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: So, I'm sorry. You are
11	saying that the conclusion you're reaching that Father
12	Charlie MacDonald and Mr. Shaver were friends is based on
13	the fact that you saw him in a photograph with Father
14	Charles MacDonald and Mr. Shaver together?
15	MR. LEROUX: Having a drink.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Having a drink. All
17	right. And seeing him where else?
18	MR. LEROUX: Malcolm's cottage.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. All three of them
20	together; Malcolm, Father MacDonald and Claude Shaver?
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: What about Ken Seguin? You
24	suggest he was a good friend of Ken Seguin's.
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that true or false?
2	MR. LEROUX: He knew him.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MR. LEROUX: He knew him. He talked about
5	him, and Malcolm knew him.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
7	MR. LEROUX: He knew him they all knew
8	each other like
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But there's a
10	difference between knowing each other and being very good
11	friends. You would agree?
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
13	I think he was down for a meal when I I'm
14	not I can't really say for sure. Maybe.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. What about the
16	Bishop, Eugene LaRocque? This affidavit is suggesting that
17	he was very good friends with the Bishop.
18	Is that true or false? Or do you know?
19	MR. LEROUX: I don't know.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Have you ever seen them
21	together?
22	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Lastly you say that
24	you've observed him you say observed, I'm sorry.
25	MR. LEROUX: Observed? I lost you for a

1	second. What was the question?
2	MR. ENGELMANN: The last question was asked
3	is you say in this affidavit that you observed in other
4	words, you saw Claude Shaver talking with young male
5	prostitutes on Birch Avenue, Fort Lauderdale.
6	MR. LEROUX: He was standing with Malcolm
7	and they were talking to some boys on Birch Road Avenue
8	Road.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And you don't know for sure
10	that those boys were prostitutes?
11	MR. LEROUX: Oh, I've no idea.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: You just know that
13	MR. LEROUX: They were on Birch Road.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And you know something
15	about people who are on Birch Road?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: So you made an assumption?
18	MR. LEROUX: This was about the time that
19	Daytona 500 came down and Wilson and
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Excuse me. You have this
22	picture in your mind that you saw Mr. Shaver talking to
23	some young people in the last sentence you say they were
24	male prostitutes approximately 13 to 15 years old. Do you
25	stand by that statement?

1	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure of the ages.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
3	MR. LEROUX: I don't know their ages for
4	sure, sure.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: You have to talk into the
6	microphone.
7	MR. LEROUX: I am not sure of the ages for
8	sure, sure, but they're young.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. You saw them
10	once, twice, more than twice; can you give me some idea?
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Once or twice.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So getting back
14	to where I was, you never witnessed Claude Shaver engaged
15	in sexual acts with minors?
16	MR. LEROUX: No, no.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: The next person on the list
18	is Ken Seguin, we've talked about him. But again sir you
19	never actually witnessed Mr. Seguin having sex with a male
20	under eighteen?
21	MR. LEROUX: No.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And we've talked again about
23	probationers and ex-probationers being at his house but it
24	was never in your presence?
25	MR. LEROUX: No.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: He talked to you about it.
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes, that's correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: The next name there is the
4	late Monsignor R.J. MacDonald. Now is that the fellow
5	if we can have the paragraph
6	MR. LEROUX: He hauled off and hit me on the
7	side of the head for parking my bike on the vines at the
8	church an early Sunday morning. That was one of the last
9	times I went to church. But I used to do was run in, get
10	the bulletin and leave after that. He wanted me to move
11	all the bikes. I said "No, I'm moving one".
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
13	MR. LEROUX: Hauled off and cranked me.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir you say that he hit you.
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: But you don't allege any
17	type of
18	MR. LEROUX: Oh, no, no.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: sexual abuse.
20	MR. LEROUX: No, no.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir I'm asking you if
22	you've witnessed or are aware of his being involved in any
23	sexual impropriety?
24	MR. LEROUX: No.

158

MR. ENGELMANN: Now the late John McPhail,

1	that name is not familiar to me but it's here. I would
2	suggest to you again that you
3	MR. LEROUX: I don't even know who John
4	McPhail, a late John McPhail, I have no idea who that is.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I would suggest to you
6	therefore that you would not have witnessed him involved in
7	any kind of sexual impropriety?
8	MR. LEROUX: None.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Now the late John Donihee,
10	that name comes up, I believe, on one occasion as someone
11	who may have been at Cameron's Point. The only reference
12	I've seen to that, sir I would suggest to you, that you
13	have not seen this individual engaged in any kind of sexual
14	impropriety?
15	MR. LEROUX: Definitely not.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know who he is?
17	MR. LEROUX: Priest from St. Andrew's church
18	I think. See I went to school with Steven Donihee, his
19	nephew.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MR. LEROUX: Or grand nephew, nephew maybe.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: How about the late Norman
23	Loney?
24	MR. LEROUX: I wouldn't know Norman Loney if
25	I fell over him but it's there. But I don't know any

1	Norman Loney.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: So again, you don't even
3	know this person.
4	MR. LEROUX: No.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So there is no way you could
6	have witnessed anything improper on his part.
7	MR. LEROUX: No.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: We've talked about Malcolm
9	MacDonald. You have some references in this affidavit,
10	some separate references to him in paragraphs 9, 10 and 11.
11	If you can have a look at those just briefly sir.
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	MR. LEROUX: That's written up all wrong.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, let's
15	MR. LEROUX: They just had photographs;
16	individual photographs of individual persons.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
18	MR. LEROUX: I just said individual
19	photographs of individual persons.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. You're looking
21	at paragraph 9?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know some of those
24	persons?
25	MR. LEROUX: C-5.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. What about the first
2	name?
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Then, did you know what
5	about Mr. Silmser; where is that name coming from? Did you
6	actually
7	MR. LEROUX: First time I met Silmser face
8	to face was in a hotel room in Toronto.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, and you talked to us
10	about that and Mr. Dunlop had arranged that.
11	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: You told us what he asked
13	you to do.
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: But you see a photograph of
16	Mr. Silmser in Mr. MacDonald's office?
17	MR. LEROUX: Yes he what shall I say -
18	- he picked it out of the drawer and showed it to me. He
19	showed me lots of pictures, different pictures.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Who showed you lots of
21	pictures?
22	MR. LEROUX: Malcolm MacDonald. His
23	trophies.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute. Are you

1	going to go on with Mr. Silmser? How, if you only met Mr.
2	Silmser in 1996 in a hotel
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: how could you have
5	recognized him in the photograph?
6	MR. LEROUX: He shows me the photograph.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
8	MR. LEROUX: Often he would say "Do you know
9	who this is? Do you know who this is?" I said "No". He
10	said "That is David Silmser".
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so that's what he
12	did.
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir I'm just
16	THE COMMISSIONER: When you say
17	MR. LEROUX: So what do you
18	THE COMMISSIONER: you say "I visually
19	recognize some of the minors in the pictures". So with Mr.
20	Silmser
21	MR. LEROUX: Some of them.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Some of them.
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Sorry Mr.
25	Engelmann, go ahead.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: That's fine. I am finding
2	it very warm in here. I'm not sure if it's just me. I
3	hope our air conditioning is working.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll check on it at the
5	break.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you mind?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, by all means.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Persons who wish to take
10	their jackets off may do so.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir you make reference to
12	some tapes, some videotapes and some magazines. And they
13	are described and I don't know if these are your words -
14	- but they are described as "kiddy porn". Do you see that
15	in paragraphs 10 and 11?
16	MR. LEROUX: "Kiddy porn". That is not my
17	wording.
18	Captain MacDonald Road that's where he's
19	lived since 1856.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: That's where who's lived
21	since 1856?
22	MR. LEROUX: Malcolm MacDonald.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Surely he hasn't lived there
24	since then. You're talking about his family?
25	MR. LEROUX: This has been his family home

1	since 1856. That's the brag.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	MR. LEROUX: The argument with him
4	there's an argument about that. Anyway I won't get into
5	that.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
7	So have you or have you not seen videotapes
8	and/or magazines of naked males under-aged males
9	engaged in sex. You are talking about kiddy porn tapes.
10	MR. LEROUX: No, he just said tapes. Tapes.
11	They would order them and they would come in a brown box by
12	Purolator or mail.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And how would you know they
14	would come in a brown box.
15	MR. LEROUX: Well, when they were there they
16	would show them to you. Either Purolator or I say,
17	"Well, how do you get that stuff here? I mean, you're a
18	" Well, he said, they send it by truck, send it in the
19	mail. Brown box.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Were these are these
21	MR. LEROUX: See I went to look they were
22	going to put a glass building outside like a solarium to
23	the side of the building. Build a solarium.
24	I was sitting in the library, and he likes
25	to show us his little antique cars, and tapes and stuff

1	like that. Didn't roll anything, but
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you actually watch
3	videotapes with Malcolm MacDonald?
4	MR. LEROUX: Never.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So how do you know that they
6	were videotapes
7	MR. LEROUX: Well, the pics there's a
8	picture on the front. I mean, a depiction of what's
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Would he ever describe these
10	tapes to you?
11	MR. LEROUX: He'd hand them to you. And
12	you'd hand it back.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: So what was
14	MR. LEROUX: sort of on the sleeve
15	THE COMMISSIONER: What was it on the sleeve
16	or the tape that made you think or did you think that
17	they were pornographic tapes?
18	MR. LEROUX: You see a couple of guys nude
19	on the on the cover.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
21	MR. LEROUX: Triple X-rated. Stuff like
22	that.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay but, could these have -
24	- these are commercial tapes?
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes. You order them in the

1	you can order them through out of magazines.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But these are
3	these are men having sex.
4	MR. LEROUX: Well, there was not all
5	older men, there was younger men as well. "Above the age
6	of 18" is what was stamped on the tape anyway, there.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
8	So then it would be incorrect to suggest
9	they were kiddy porn.
10	MR. LEROUX: Oh no. That's not my wording,
11	kiddy porn.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. What about
13	magazines? Were there magazines?
14	MR. LEROUX: At the cottage.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Pornographic magazines.
16	MR. LEROUX: Yeah, he had a lot of
17	pornographic material at the cottage, and just leave it
18	around laying on the end of the couch, on a coffee table, a
19	
20	MR. ENGELMANN: What kind of pornographic
21	material at the cottage?
22	MR. LEROUX: Books.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Books?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yeah, x-rated books,; male
25	pornography books.

25

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Were there magazines?
2	MR. LEROUX: Yeah magazines. Did I say
3	books? I'm sorry.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, you did.
5	MR. LEROUX: I'm sorry. It's magazines
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	MR. LEROUX: soft-covered magazines.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: What else was laying around
9	at the cottage?
10	MR. LEROUX: Little whips he had these
11	little tiny whips and stuff like that which he would order
12	out of a magazine.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	So there's some magazines?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Did the magazines have
16	pictures of people under the age of eighteen?
17	MR. LEROUX: No, no, no.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So they were just magazines
19	of
20	MR. LEROUX: Commercial, pornographic
21	material.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Of men engaged
23	in sex?

167

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So the stuff that was

MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	lying around the cottage that you said was in various
2	places was that always of men having sex? Or was there
3	any that involved males that were under eighteen to your
4	knowledge?
5	MR. LEROUX: No; no.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So it was always gay sex;
7	adult men.
8	MR. LEROUX: Yeah. Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And the photographs that you
10	talked about at his office
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you see photographs like
13	that at the cottage?
14	MR. LEROUX: He had a few. He'd even bring
15	some to Florida with him sometimes. Leave them in the
16	drawer.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And those
18	photographs; were they of males under eighteen, over
19	eighteen, or both?
20	MR. LEROUX: It was some of the stuff that
21	he took with him from Canada.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: How old were the males in
23	the
24	MR. LEROUX: Same ones some of these
25	fellows that were mentioned here.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Some of them would have been
2	under eighteen, according to your affidavit.
3	MR. LEROUX: No. No, the same some of
4	the persons that I mentioned here. He would take some
5	pictures and put it in his duffle bag or
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Of the people you mentioned
7	in paragraph 9?
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Just take a look at it, sir.
10	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to understand
13	what you are saying "yes" to.
14	MR. LEROUX: Okay. The question was
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he have some photos not
16	just at his office, but also at his summer residence?
17	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And were any of those photos
19	of naked teenage boys?
20	MR. LEROUX: Above the age of eighteen?
21	They'd be yes; but they were not four or five or six or
22	eight or nine or ten years old, I mean.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: No, I am talking about
24	teenaged boys.
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Because you have
2	described some ages in paragraph 9
3	MR. LEROUX: Amazing.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: between the ages of 14
5	and 16, and then you say there is another fellow who would
6	have been between the ages of 17 and 19.
7	MR. LEROUX: Not my wording.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
9	MR. LEROUX: I didn't write this thing up.
10	I mean, this I read it, I know I signed it but
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, but you didn't you
12	told us you didn't write it, sir
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: but you gave some
15	information. You had some interviews with Perry Dunlop and
16	others. Are you suggesting to us that he gave you the
17	ages? Or did you give the ages?
18	MR. LEROUX: I don't remember giving any
19	ages of that Not on that
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So then sir, I am asking you
21	to
22	MR. LEROUX: Nineteen, seventeen
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I am just asking you to
24	think about it. And think about some of those names that
25	are there. Think about some of those photos you would have

1	seen.
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	MR. LEROUX: Oh, I see how it's worded.
4	Okay.
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	MR. LEROUX: I was judging what I saw from
7	the pictures of these.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: The art.
9	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So you are not sure how old
11	
12	MR. LEROUX: No.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: the males were in these
14	photos?
15	MR. LEROUX: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: They could have been under
17	eighteen; they could have been over. Depends on the photo?
18	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, you have described to
20	us what you believe a pedophile is.
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you agree with me that
23	it could cause serious harm to a person's reputation to be
24	described as a pedophile?
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And would you agree with me
2	that people should be very careful before suggesting that
3	someone is a pedophile?
4	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, you have now told
6	us, in this affidavit, that's Exhibit 567, that several
7	people that you list as being members of a clan of
8	pedophiles, you either didn't know or certainly didn't have
9	any knowledge that they were engaged in sexual
10	inpropriaties with people under the age of 18; correct?
11	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you agree with me,
13	sir, that to make allegations against people you don't know
14	or even if you do know the people but you have no evidence
15	to suggest that they are pedophiles, could have caused
16	these people great harm?
17	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And, sir, even
19	if you didn't read this when you signed it you did read
20	this a couple of times and I'm just going to try and remind
21	you of that you went to the OPP in February of 1997
22	MR. LEROUX: I was taken to the OPP.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. Fair enough.
24	And you may have been asked to read a
25	statement, but you did read the statement?

1	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And you never said to those
3	OPP officers, "Gee, I made a mistake, some of those names
4	should come off that list," did you?
5	MR. LEROUX: No, it was all there; I just
6	read it.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And you also read the
8	statement to Mr. Dunlop, when he videotaped you, on
9	December 1st, 1996?
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And you read those names and
12	you didn't say, "Whoa Some of these names shouldn't be
13	here at all" let alone referring to people as
14	pedophiles?
15	MR. LEROUX: That's right, "pedophile" is
16	not my word, you know.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: But whether it's your word
18	or not, you know what it means?
19	MR. LEROUX: Now, yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And what I'm asking you is:
21	Why didn't you say, "Stop the tape Stop the interview
22	There's some people's names here that shouldn't be here in
23	any way."
24	MR. LEROUX: I have a lawyer there that's
25	Perry's lawyer with me to read it when there, come with

1	me, do it.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	MR. LEROUX: And I just do it.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Did the OPP see you in
5	November or later sometime later in 1997 did you try
6	and correct it then?
7	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: How so?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't think he
10	understood the question.
11	MR. LEROUX: I still have a relationship
12	with Perry and Helen Dunlop, yeah.
13	I also had calls that's getting way ahead
14	of ourselves now.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You had calls?
16	MR. LEROUX: Within the last few months, not
17	to change the story. Leave the story the way it is; don't
18	change the story. You stuck to your story right along. Do
19	not change your story.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Who did you have calls from?
21	MR. LEROUX: I had Helen Dunlop.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: When did you have a call
23	from Helen Dunlop?
24	MR. LEROUX: Say a few months three, four
25	or five months I'm not sure. Just before while the

1	Inquiry was going on.
2	And I had people pushing me to call them.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: You had people asking you to
4	call Helen Dunlop?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Who asked you to call Helen
7	Dunlop?
8	MR. LEROUX: I have to say?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
10	MR. LEROUX: John MacDonald, Steven Parisien
11	and a few times I ran into Carson Chisholm and he said,
12	"Have you talked to Perry or Helen lately?"
13	And I said, "No way, you shouldn't". "You
14	should." And I said, "Perry doesn't want to talk to me.
15	I talked called several times; he doesn't want to talk,"
16	so.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: So let me just understand
18	what you've just told us, okay?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to make sure
21	I've got it right.
22	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: While this Inquiry has been
24	going on

175

MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: you've been approached
2	by or you've had a discussion with I don't know the
3	circumstances maybe you can tell us?
4	MR. LEROUX: Three times with John MacDonald
5	up until about a couple of weeks ago to call them.
6	To call them.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: So John MacDonald has asked
8	you to call the Dunlops?
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Has he said anything else to
11	you about
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes. Or and else call someone
13	else.
14	The last, oh, there's a woman in Ottawa
15	just a second, I'm going to she's a writer for The
16	Orator. She's a writer for The Orator.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: A woman named "Sylvia"?
18	MR. LEROUX: Sylvia MacEachern, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Who asked you to call her?
20	MR. LEROUX: John MacDonald.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he say why?
22	MR. LEROUX: She wants to talk to you and he
23	demanded three times, in front of other people: My sister,
24	one of my brothers is
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So John MacDonald on three

1	occasions asked you to call either Sylvia MacEachern or the
2	Dunlops?
3	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he ask you to
5	MR. LEROUX: I had three numbers. I have
6	them in my my wallet's in the car but I had three
7	numbers: their cell phone numbers and the home phone in
8	BC.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And those were given to you
10	by?
11	MR. LEROUX: Steven Parisien.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And how many times did he
13	_
14	MR. LEROUX: Eight maybe eight months
15	ago.
16	He hasn't talked to me in a long time now,
17	but maybe eight months.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And he gave you some phone
19	numbers?
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And who did he ask you to
22	call?
23	MR. LEROUX: Perry and Helen.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And you said Carson Chisholm
25	

1	MR. LEROUX: Yeah.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: you met him?
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes, a lot of places.
4	Bad-mouthing me.
5	Okay. Oh, don't get into that.
6	Okay, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: What do you mean? Did you
8	have discussions with him about giving evidence here?
9	MR. LEROUX: No.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
11	MR. LEROUX: Not about the evidence here.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
13	MR. LEROUX: He asked me, "Are you going to
14	testify?"
15	I said, "I'm walking the fence on it,"
16	meaning I didn't know.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And did he ask
18	you to call Perry or Helen Dunlop?
19	MR. LEROUX: He just asked me if I was in
20	touch with them. "Were you in touch with them?" And, "You
21	should testify. You should. You should get that out
22	there. You should testify."
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, who's this that's
24	encouraging you to testify: Carson Chisholm?
25	MR. LEROUX: Carson Chisholm.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Anyone else
2	MR. LEROUX: They should
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry, I didn't mean to
4	interrupt.
5	MR. LEROUX: No, it's just part of the
6	conversation that's coming in.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: What was the conversation
8	you want to tell us about?
9	MR. LEROUX: Oh, about what the what
10	they're doing to kids here. What they've done, these
11	MR. ENGELMANN: That's Carson Chisholm
12	talking to you?
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: You said he bad-mouthed
15	you?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: What did he say?
18	MR. LEROUX: Not to me.
19	To I have two personal friends of mine;
20	they're elderly and I don't want to say their names
21	THE COMMISSIONER: No, you don't have to.
22	MR. LEROUX: The the language is bad.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: No, but you were saying
24	Carson Chisholm told your friends some things about you?
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	And it took them two years to tell me.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know if we need
3	to go there, but
4	MR. LEROUX: No, I
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so did you have a
6	conversations with Helen Dunlop?
7	MR. LEROUX: A brief one, yes, and I said I
8	would like to speak to Perry.
9	"Oh, well, he's busy. And he's busy. And,
10	"He's out," "Well, he's not here." Or, "He's"
11	THE COMMISSIONER: So when was that call?
12	MR. LEROUX: Within the last 10 months.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: And what did she tell
14	you?
15	MR. LEROUX: I know my mother my mother
16	died the 17th my mother was alive then, so it would be
17	within well, a little less than a year.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: So what did she tell you?
19	Did she talk to you about this Inquiry?
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes. And how was I doing and
21	how was the Inquiry going and if I was going to testify,
22	and not to change my story and
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Anything else?
24	MR. LEROUX: Just going, "And how's your
25	mother?" Something like that.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: So did she say anything
2	about changing your story or anything like that?
3	MR. LEROUX: I didn't say yes; I didn't say
4	no. I said it was just I didn't want to discuss it.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
6	MR. LEROUX: I didn't know what I was going
7	to do yet, I was going to come here to testify or not so
8	I told her.
9	Even within a few months I haven't I
10	didn't say anything to anybody. I was walking the fence on
11	it. I didn't know what I was going to do.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Anyone else talked to you,
13	sir, about being a witness here, telling you to call
14	anybody, encouraging or discouraging you to testify?
15	MR. LEROUX: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	MR. LEROUX: Not I don't think so.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Before we went on that
19	subject, we were trying to finish off a question on what
20	now?
21	Oh, I think that people who were named would
22	be hurt by the fact that you were saying that they were
23	pedophiles and that you went to the OPP station and the
24	last time you went to the OPP station, you had an
25	opportunity there to tell them that there were some

1	mistakes in those affidavits.
2	MR. LEROUX: I could do that?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, it wasn't they came
4	to him.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm sorry.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: That was either in the fall
7	of '97 or perhaps later.
8	MR. LEROUX: Oh, in Maine State.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
11	MR. LEROUX: I don't understand what they
12	wanted. Whether it was through them or
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	I guess what I'm saying is, Mr. Leroux,
15	you've come here
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: and you said you wanted
18	to set something straight.
19	MR. LEROUX: Yeah, this was orchestrated,
20	and I followed through with it. Didn't bother changing
21	anything on it. Just like I was told lately not to change
22	anything, not to change my story. The story has been like
23	that right along as far as the Project Truth thing. That
24	part of it.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Mr. Leroux, you

1	told us that part of what you are doing here is setting the
2	record straight or words to that effect.
3	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
4	Because forever, there's been nothing about
5	Project Truth has been anywhere near the truth.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, so I'm just wondering
7	I'm not wondering why now?
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: But I'm wondering why not
10	earlier?
11	MR. LEROUX: I'm 60 years old. I'm starting
12	I'm tired. I'm fed up. I wanted my life back. I want
13	my life back.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I want to ask you just a
15	couple more questions, if I may?
16	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: About some individuals.
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: If you have 564 for the
20	witness, please.
21	I am looking at paragraph 6.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: So it will come up here?
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Just to situate you, just to
24	understand what we are doing, Mr. Leroux. This is an
25	earlier version of the affidavit. There were some other

1	names here. Some of them were taken off. They were in
2	October $31^{\rm st}$, but they were no longer on November $13^{\rm th}$, and
3	they were in this paragraph about "I can advise that I've
4	witnessed a clan of pedophiles".
5	Okay? And names that have been removed
6	between the affidavits include Father Raoul Poirier; do you
7	even know who that is sir?
8	MR. LEROUX: No.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So that name should
10	have never been there. Correct?
11	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, it was taken off before
13	the November 13 th version, but I'm just you want to clear
14	the record, let's clear the record.
15	Father Thomas Swift?
16	MR. LEROUX: Never heard of him.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. That name
18	shouldn't have been there?
19	MR. LEROUX: No.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: You have got Ron Wilson on
21	this list, and he's not on the next list. You've never
22	seen him engaged in sexual impropriety?
23	MR. LEROUX: Never.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. You've seen him
25	at Malcolm's or Ken's or have you seen him oh, yes,

1	you mentioned him in Florida as well.
2	MR. LEROUX: I've known Ron since he's on
3	the police force.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry?
5	MR. LEROUX: I knew Ron Wilson since he's on
6	the police force.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
8	MR. LEROUX: He used to check the doors at
9	the store and things like that. My sister worked for him.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
11	MR. LEROUX: My deceased brother worked for
12	him. He is a friend I mean of the family.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And you are not alleging in
14	any way that he is a pedophile?
15	MR. LEROUX: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And Murray MacDonald came
17	off that list. He's on the list here in this affidavit.
18	It's removed before the November 13 th one. And sir his name
19	shouldn't have been there?
20	MR. LEROUX: Definitely not.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: You also have Milton
22	MacDonald there. That's Murray MacDonald's father.
23	MR. LEROUX: Yeah.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: That name gets dropped

185

before the next affidavit. You did reference him earlier.

1	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think he was at Ken's
3	and Mr. Seguin didn't want him there.
4	MR. LEROUX: No.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't remember if you
6	explained to us why that was.
7	MR. LEROUX: Just didn't want him around.
8	Something to do with his record right there.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Leroux, let's just talk
10	a little bit if we can about your own allegations. The
11	first time you made them, that you made them not your
12	father that you made them to any police force was when
13	you spoke to the OPP in February of 1997. Is that fair?
14	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure. It could be.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well you had some
16	discussions with Mr. Dunlop in the fall of '96?
17	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I suggest to you the first
19	time that you brought you, personally brought
20	allegations of child sexual abuse to the police, it was
21	when you met with two OPP officers in February of '97?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: You also we looked at
24	another statement where Officers Genier and Hall met with
25	you in Maine?

1	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And there was some
3	discussion then about your own allegations of child sexual
4	abuse?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you informed, sir, to
7	your knowledge, about what they were doing with respect to
8	your investigation sorry, your allegations?
9	MR. LEROUX: No.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't recall if they
11	contacted you from time to time?
12	MR. LEROUX: No.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: To your knowledge, did the
14	OPP tell you who they might have spoken with about your
15	allegations?
16	MR. LEROUX: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if they ever
18	told you if they interviewed the three priests that you
19	allege sexually abused you?
20	MR. LEROUX: Not that I know of, no.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you ever told if other
22	boys from St. Columban's were interviewed; the school you
23	went to? To your knowledge.
24	MR. LEROUX: No, no.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, charges were never

1	laid by the OPP with respect to your allegations?
2	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Never went to court, that
4	you were never a witness?
5	MR. LEROUX: No.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You said you went to court
7	for prosecution of Marcel Lalonde.
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you recall, sir,
10	being advised as to why charges were not going to be laid?
11	MR. LEROUX: No.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: When I say recall, do you
13	remember being told?
14	MR. LEROUX: No.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: What about Mr. Dunlop?
16	You've told us about all the meetings with him, the
17	travelling back and forth, the great cost and expense to
18	you. You've told us about going to Florida with his
19	brother-in-law, et cetera.
20	Before he left to go to B.C., did he tell
21	you the steps that he may have taken to investigate your
22	allegations of child sexual abuse?
23	MR. LEROUX: The steps he may have taken?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. What he might have
25	done to investigate.

1	MR. LEROUX: No.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you what
3	witnesses he might have spoken to?
4	MR. LEROUX: No.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I want to ask Mr.
6	Leroux about effects and impact. I don't know if it's
7	should I do that before the break or after?
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, what would you
9	like, sir? Would you like to go on some more and talk
10	about the effects of all of this on you or would you rather
11	take a break now? It's your choice.
12	MR. LEROUX: I'll just glance at this for a
13	minute and see what this entails, you know.
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	MR. LEROUX: Keep going.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	I'm going to put a couple of questions to
18	you, and I understand that you have a brief outline
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: that you want to read
21	from.
22	Sir, the question we've been asking all of
23	the victims and alleged victims that have come forward is
24	effects of alleged child sexual abuse.
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, you've already told us,
2	I believe, some effects. You told us Mr. Leroux.
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: You told us about some
5	problems you had at school right afterwards.
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Urination.
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And at home. You also told
10	us about not attending church anymore.
11	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And you've told us that
13	you're now receiving a disability pension
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: for post-traumatic
16	stress disorder.
17	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you tell us when that
19	would have been diagnosed, approximately?
20	MR. LEROUX: In 2001.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So, about six years ago?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, is it in anyway
24	related to your allegations of child sexual abuse, to your
25	knowledge?

1	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, is there anything else
3	anything you want to say about the effects of alleged
4	child sexual abuse?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes, there's an outline here.
6	Loss of trust in the church; loss of trust
7	in police; loss of trust with people in authority; anger
8	towards institutions for doing nothing, not just for me but
9	for anybody else. I met some of the other people here.
10	Guilt and shame for the abuse I received as
11	a boy; guilt and shame for my behaviour, PTSD; loss of
12	childhood; loss of education; isolation; fear and paranoia.
13	I'm a loner.
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	Loss of work; loss of family and friends;
16	loss of everything.
17	I'm not finished.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: It's okay.
19	MR. LEROUX: My activities with Perry Dunlop
20	have caused me further harm to me and many others. I am
21	troubled by guilt and shame for my part. I take
22	responsibility for my actions.
23	If I could change the past I would. I
24	cannot do this, but I can try and set the record straight
25	today.

1	I have just witnessed the suicide of a close
2	friend, and left Canada to get away.
3	I was pressured by Perry Dunlop to help him.
4	I did resist at first, but he kept at me. They told me
5	that I was important. I came to trust Perry, and felt that
6	we were trying to protect the children and the community.
7	I got caught up in all this. I feel
8	manipulated and used by Perry. I feel Perry abandoned me
9	and left me alone to face this mess.
10	Since I was abused as a boy I have always
11	-
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	I have always felt alone to face the problem. I have
14	always been alone.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
16	So what we're going to do now is we're going
17	to take the afternoon break.
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Then we'll come back, and
20	we'll start the cross-examination.
21	MR. LEROUX: Sorry about this.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, no, no. No, no, no.
23	That's quite all right.
24	All right. Thank you.
25	Let's take the afternoon break.

1	THE REGISTRAR: Order. All rise. À
2	l'ordre. Veuillez vous lever.
3	The hearing will resume at 3:35.
4	Upon recessing at 3:19 p.m.
5	L'audience est suspendue à 15h19
6	Upon resuming at 3:42 p.m./
7	L'audience est reprise à 15h42
8	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed.
9	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
10	RON LEROUX, Resumed/Sous le même serment
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
12	MR. MANSON: Good afternoon,
13	Mr. Commissioner.
14	Before I start, just to convenience my
15	colleagues, do you have any sense of what your plan is for
16	the afternoon?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Listen to evidence.
18	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
19	MR. MANSON: I knew I walked into that as
20	soon as the words came out.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, I know.
22	MR. MANSON: No, I'm just talking about in
23	terms of time. I may be about an hour. It's twenty to
24	four.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Well you're certainly

1	going to be finished.
2	MR. MANSON: Oh, I know I'm going to be
3	finished. But do you plan to do anything after me?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it depends.
5	MR. MANSON: Okay.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: It depends on how
7	Mr. Leroux is feeling. Tomorrow is Friday and I know
8	people would like to be on their way. So I had thought of
9	starting at 9:00 and wrapping everything up by 1:00. So in
10	order to do that I've got to work backwards. So 9:00 to
11	1:00 is four hours, and then yours is five, so we're going
12	to have to make up the rest tonight kind of thing.
13	MR. MANSON: I just wanted the other counsel
14	to have some sense of
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. But your cross-
16	examination is going to be so efficient that I don't think
17	anyone else is going to have any questions.
18	MR. MANSON: We shall see.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. ALLAN
20	MANSON:
21	MR. MANSON: Mr. Leroux,
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. MANSON: My name is Allan Manson and I'm
24	counsel for the Citizens for Community Renewal, which is a
25	group of concerned Cornwall citizens who are committed to

3 want to set the record straight, and so let's get to it.

4 Okay?

5 MR. LEROUX: Fine.

6 MR. MANSON: I want to start by going back

7 to the year before your late friend, Mr. Seguin's, death.

8 MR. LEROUX: Yes.

9 MR. MANSON: So we're talking about '92 to

10 '93; that period. Okay?

11 MR. LEROUX: Yes.

12 MR. MANSON: And first I want to take you to

13 your recollection of finding him on the ice in his pyjamas.

MR. LEROUX: Yes.

15 **MR. MANSON:** Do you remember that?

MR. LEROUX: Yes.

17 MR. MANSON: And I understand that it's your

18 view that he was very upset, very distraught, and maybe

19 suicidal at that time; correct?

MR. LEROUX: Yeah, that's correct, yes.

MR. MANSON: Now, when you were asked by Mr.

22 Engelmann about that you were a little unclear of the date.

23 MR. LEROUX: Yes.

24 MR. MANSON: But if we could look at Exhibit

25 562 for a minute, please. This would be the statement that

1	you gave Constables McDonell and Fagan in Maine. If we can
2	just look at the first paragraph:
3	"In December 1992, around the 19^{th} of
4	the month, I was getting up and looked
5	out the window and saw Ken Seguin
6	walking out on the ice in his pyjamas."
7	That's the ice incident that you're talking
8	about; correct?
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. MANSON: And you remembered the date
11	December 19 th , specifically at that time. Does that sound
12	accurate?
13	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure.
14	MR. MANSON: I want to suggest to you that
15	the reason that date came to your mind is that something
16	significant happened the day before on December $18^{\rm th}$, 1992,
17	and that is that C-8 went to the police about you,
18	complaining about guns and about a threat. Do you recall
19	that?
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
21	MR. MANSON: And that was December 18 th , 1992
22	that that started.
23	MR. LEROUX: Okay, I am
24	MR. MANSON: I'm sorry?
25	MR. LEROUX: That's that's on the record?

1	Okay.
2	MR. MANSON: And that included the
3	allegation that you had threatened him by putting a gun to
4	his head; correct?
5	MR. LEROUX: That's what he said. I don't
6	remember putting a gun to anyone's head.
7	MR. MANSON: But you recall that that was
8	the allegation?
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. MANSON: In the same year from that
11	point, from the ice incident moving forward, Ken Seguin
12	told you that he was concerned and upset because of an
13	investigation that was going on, correct?
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. MANSON: And at some point you learned
16	from him that the investigation stemmed from allegations by
17	D.S., correct?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. MANSON: And in the summer of 1993, June
20	or July
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. MANSON: you learned from him that
23	the investigator was Heidi Sebalj, correct?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

MR. MANSON: Did he tell you how he knew

1	that?
2	MR. LEROUX: Malcolm?
3	MR. MANSON: No, my question is did he tell
4	you how he knew that?
5	MR. LEROUX: Through Malcolm.
6	MR. MANSON: Oh, he knew it from Malcolm
7	MacDonald.
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. MANSON: Thank you. Another event that
10	happened that year in February after you came back from
11	Florida, was your trip to the Lancaster Police Detachment
12	over the suitcase and the two loose tapes; correct?
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. MANSON: I understand it is your
15	evidence that you never saw any of those videotapes;
16	correct?
17	MR. LEROUX: That is correct.
18	MR. MANSON: But you talked after they
19	were left with the police, you talked with Ken Seguin about
20	them; correct?
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. MANSON: But he didn't tell you what was
23	on them, did he?
24	MR. LEROUX: No.
25	MR. MANSON: But he was concerned. He did

25

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

finding Mr. Seguin's body or the funeral, but if we can

MR. MANSON: Now I do not want to talk about

LEROUX

1	just move right past that t	o the end of 1993, the beginning
2	of 1994?	
3	MR. LEROUX:	Okay.
4	MR. MANSON:	You are about to leave Cornwall
5	to move to Maine; correct?	
6	MR. LEROUX:	Yes.
7	MR. MANSON:	At this point you are in a
8	rough financial situation?	
9	MR. LEROUX:	No, I had money.
10	MR. MANSON:	In terms of your relationship
11	with C-8	
12	MR. LEROUX:	Yes?
13	MR. MANSON:	That had ended; correct?
14	MR. LEROUX:	Yes.
15	MR. MANSON:	At this point. We are still
16	talking early 1994.	
17	MR. LEROUX:	Yeah.
18	MR. MANSON:	You broke up when?
19	MR. LEROUX:	Oh, couple of years before
20	that.	
21	MR. MANSON:	And you had lived together for
22	about 11 or 12 years?	
23	MR. LEROUX:	Yes.
24	MR. MANSON:	And you were about 17 years

older than C-8?

1	MR. LEROUX:	Yes.
2	MR. MANSON:	He was born in 1964; you were
3	born in 1947; correct?	
4	MR. LEROUX:	Yes, that's correct. Nineteen
5	year age difference.	
6	MR. MANSON:	I'm sorry, I didn't' hear you.
7	MR. LEROUX:	There was a 19 years
8	difference? I'm not sure.	I'm just figuring, yeah, you're
9	okay.	
10	MR. MANSON:	If I am wrong about 17 it is
11	pretty close to that; corre	ct?
12	MR. LEROUX:	Okay.
13	MR. MANSON:	It's pretty close to that;
14	correct?	
15	MR. LEROUX:	Yes.
16	MR. MANSON:	When you first met him he was
17	15?	
18	MR. LEROUX:	Fifteen or 16, I'm not sure.
19	Close.	
20	MR. MANSON:	When you were about to move to
21	Maine	
22	MR. LEROUX:	Yes?
23	MR. MANSON:	your relationship at that
24	point with C-8 was an angry	one; correct?
25	MR. LEROUX:	Yes.

1	MR. MANSON:	You were angry over your
2	property?	
3	MR. MANSON:	Yes.
4	MR. MANSON:	You had transferred your
5	property to C-8; correct?	
6	MR. LEROUX:	To the company. To the
7	company.	
8	MR. MANSON:	And that company C-8's
9	company?	
10	MR. LEROUX:	Well, I started the company.
11	He walked away with the com	pany.
12	MR. MANSON:	Oh, that was what I was going
13	to get to next	
14	MR. LEROUX:	Start splitting hairs, okay.
15	MR. MANSON:	that in terms of the
16	business	
17	MR. LEROUX:	The business.
18	MR. MANSON:	you lost the business to C-
19	8?	
20	MR. LEROUX:	Yes.
21	MR. MANSON:	And was it your view that he
22	took it from you?	
23	MR. LEROUX:	Well, definitely.
24	MR. MANSON:	So now let's move to Maine,
25	okay?	

1	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
2	MR. MANSON: And let's go to the fall of
3	1996 just before you meet Perry Dunlop and Mr. Bourgeois,
4	okay?
5	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
6	MR. MANSON: Now I understand that Mr.
7	Dunlop found you through C-8; correct?
8	MR. LEROUX: That's what he told me.
9	MR. MANSON: So obviously you knew that he
10	had been talking to C-8?
11	MR. LEROUX: I presumed that from yes
12	and he told me that I had the information and you're
13	from C-8.
14	MR. MANSON: Did you know from Mr. Dunlop
15	that C-8 was being investigated for sexual assault at that
16	time?
17	MR. LEROUX: I guessed it.
18	MR. MANSON: Exactly how did you guess it?
19	MR. LEROUX: Okay, we were coming up from
20	Maine in a white van.
21	MR. MANSON: Who's "we"?
22	MR. LEROUX: Perry and myself. And he
23	looked at me and he said, "Guess who got arrested?" I
24	thought about it for a few minutes and I said, "C-8?" "Yes.
25	Now, do you know what for?" I said, "Probably a rape

1	situation." "Good guess, b	ut do you know who?" I said,
2	"Don't tell me, I will tell	you. A niece."
3	MR. MANSON:	I think we can we can leave
4	that right there for the mon	ment.
5	MR. LEROUX:	Okay.
6	MR. MANSON:	That's okay. But when he first
7	came down to see you	
8	MR. LEROUX:	Yes.
9	MR. MANSON:	Which I believe he arrives
10	on October 7, 1996 at the	at point had he told you that
11	there was an investigation?	Or did you know that from any
12	other source?	
13	MR. LEROUX:	An investigation of?
14	MR. MANSON:	C-8.
15	MR. LEROUX:	No, he just told me he got
16	arrested.	
17	MR. MANSON:	So you learned it from him
18	during this trip back in the	e white van.
19	MR. LEROUX:	Yes.
20	MR. MANSON:	Which would be in 1996.
21	MR. LEROUX:	Yes.
22	MR. MANSON:	Can you place that for us? You
23	were going from Maine to who	ere?
24	MR. LEROUX:	To the train station to go

to his home -- up to -- up to Canada here.

1	MR. MANSON: And was that in November or
2	October? Can you recall?
3	MR. LEROUX: I know that it was cold. I'm
4	not sure which month.
5	MR. MANSON: And
6	MR. LEROUX: It seems to me we went to
7	Toronto because the weather was cold we were jogging
8	in the morning in Toronto.
9	MR. MANSON: So this may have been at the
10	time of your November 13 th statement that was sworn in
11	Newmarket?
12	MR. LEROUX: It's a possibility.
13	MR. MANSON: And you learn later that Mr.
14	Bourgeois represented C-8 on that sexual assault
15	Charge.
16	MR. LEROUX: Ah, I have no idea.
17	MR. MANSON: Okay. Did you have any idea
18	when you first met Mr. Dunlop, which is October $7^{\rm th}$, right?
19	He comes to Maine; October 7 th , 1996.
20	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
21	MR. MANSON: Do you have any idea what he
22	thought of you in relation to these goings-on in Cornwall?
23	MR. LEROUX: No.
24	MR. MANSON: You think he thought of you as
25	someone with some information that would

1	MR. LEROUX: An important person.
2	MR. MANSON: An important person.
3	MR. LEROUX: With information.
4	MR. MANSON: Did you know that he thought
5	you were a paedophile?
6	MR. LEROUX: No.
7	MR. MANSON: If we could just turn to
8	Document 728943, please?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: That's a new exhibit, Mr.
10	Manson?
11	MR. MANSON: A new exhibit.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
13	MR. MANSON: This is the Will State
14	statement of Mr. Dunlop, prepared April $7^{\rm th}$, 2000.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, Exhibit Number
16	579; do you know if we should put an advisory on this?
17	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-579:
18	(728943) Will State of Perry Dunlop dated 7
19	Apr 00.
20	MR. MANSON: Yes, we certainly should; it's
21	rife with names.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, so to remind
23	those
24	MR. MANSON: Including C-8, which is where

we're going to go to that part.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Madam Clerk, just stamp
2	this showing that there is a ban on publication, so anybody
3	reading it will be aware that they should be cautious what
4	they do with it.
5	MR. MANSON: Can I go to the bottom of page
6	44, please?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: So, Mr. Leroux, this is a
8	document that outlines what Mr. Dunlop might have said, at
9	some point, okay?
10	Mr. Engelmann?
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I have concerns about
12	this.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Why is that?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, Mr. Manson has asked
15	the witness if he knew what Mr. Dunlop thought of him.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And in particular, that Mr.
18	Dunlop thought he was a paedophile; the witness has said,
19	"No." I don't see why we have to repeat this.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, let's
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Unless we're going somewhere
22	else.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's see what the
24	question is and I'll let you know.
25	MR. MANSON: I want to refer the witness to

1	the bottom, the second from the last five lines, starting
2	with "It became clear to me".
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. That's fine. Go
4	ahead.
5	MR. MANSON: Mr. Leroux, this is part of the
6	information that apparently Mr. Dunlop obtained from C-8.
7	You'll see it says "C-8 indicated that Ron Leroux now lives
8	in Maine." There's a little discussion of you, but it's
9	the paragraph that starts "It became clear".
10	"It became clear to me that Ron Leroux
11	was the inside man. He was the
12	operator who ran these players."
13	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, "ran with
14	these players".
15	MR. MANSON: Oh, I'm sorry:
16	" who ran with these players. He was
17	the u/c operator of the paedophile
18	world. Although I had never met him as
19	of this time I believed that he was
20	also a paedophile."
21	When you met with Mr. Dunlop or when he
22	spoke to you before meeting him on October $7^{\rm th}$, did he give
23	you any sense that this is what he thought of you?
24	MR. LEROUX: I just seen this. I wouldn't
25	have been their contact ever at all with Mr. Dunlop. What

1	a
2	MR. MANSON: But then he comes down on
3	October 7 th to visit you; correct?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. MANSON: And the first thing that
6	happens when he arrives at your house, is that he shows you
7	and your wife the Fifth Estate video about this case;
8	correct?
9	MR. LEROUX: Possibly, but
10	MR. MANSON: Do you remember seeing the
11	Fifth Estate video?
12	MR. LEROUX: Which I'm not sure.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: You might want to say
14	more about what the Fifth Estate is.
15	MR. MANSON: Well, if we can stick to the
16	same exhibit, page 47.
17	MR. LEROUX: This would be the very first
18	time that he
19	MR. MANSON: Yes, when he comes to your
20	house with Mr. Bourgeois for the first time.
21	Let me read to you what he says.
22	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
23	MR. MANSON: Tell me if this refreshes your
24	memory, please.
25	MR. LEROUX: Okay.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Manson, I just want
2	to, what he says, this is a Will State; it's not a
3	statement. It's not an affidavit. In the line of accurate
4	things, it goes to an anticipated evidence kind of thing.
5	MR. MANSON: That's correct, Mr.
6	Commissioner.
7	We can fish through the notes. There are
8	voluminous notes for Mr. Dunlop. I thought this would be
9	the more efficient way, if it jogs the witness' memory.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: If the purpose of it is
11	just to jog the witness' memory, then that's fine. But if
12	we're going to focus on specific words, then I don't like
13	looking at Will State for that purpose.
14	MR. MANSON: No, it's not for that purpose.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
16	MR. MANSON: He says very simply
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Where are we now?
18	MR. MANSON: The bottom paragraph,
19	October 7 th , 1996.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Hang on. Okay.
21	MR. MANSON: "I travelled to Norway,
22	Maine, in the United States of America.
23	I went to Ron Leroux' house. He was
24	there with his wife, Cindy, and her
25	sister, Debbie. We all watched the CBC

1	Fifth Estate show that was aired about
2	the case."
3	You don't recall that?
4	MR. LEROUX: No. No, my wife did not have a
5	good relationship with her biological sister. It took a
6	couple of years for them to to be around yes, they
7	didn't have a good rapport, either one of them. If one
8	went to church, one didn't.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. But how did
10	MR. MANSON: What's more I'm sorry.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: What does that have to
12	do? I don't understand.
13	MR. LEROUX: No, but I I wouldn't have my
14	sister-in-law there and
15	MR. MANSON: You don't think Debbie would
16	have been in your house?
17	MR. LEROUX: No, no.
18	MR. MANSON: But let's stick to the video.
19	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
20	MR. MANSON: Do you remember you know the
21	TV show, the Fifth Estate that's on CBC?
22	MR. LEROUX: I've heard of it.
23	MR. MANSON: You don't recall being shown,
24	at any time, by Mr. Dunlop, this video of this news program
25	about, as he puts it, "the case"?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Where he was on TV, Mr.
2	Dunlop would have been interviewed in this TV show and
3	other people.
4	MR. MANSON: You don't recall that ever?
5	MR. LEROUX: No.
6	MR. MANSON: Okay.
7	He's with you, at this point, this is when
8	he's staying at the Ramada Inn with Mr. Bourgeois, and he's
9	in Maine for approximately three or four days; correct?
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
11	MR. MANSON: And you have lengthy, lengthy
12	discussions with him?
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. MANSON: And Mr. Bourgeois?
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. MANSON: Do they have their tape
17	recorder with them at this point?
18	MR. LEROUX: I'm not sure. I only I'm
19	not sure.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, he testified and I
21	asked him questions about the first time they went they
22	didn't want to meet in his house.
23	MR. MANSON: That's right.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: They went to the
25	restaurant. There was no

1	MR. LEROUX: We talked in a restaurant.
2	That was the very first time.
3	MR. MANSON: And then they moved into the
4	hotel and you started meeting at the Ramada Hotel, with the
5	karaoke bar downstairs?
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. MANSON: And my question is did they
8	have the tape recorder with them while you were meeting in
9	the Ramada Motel room?
10	MR. LEROUX: The first time?
11	MR. MANSON: Well, during this trip, October
12	7 th to 10 th ?
13	MR. LEROUX: Oh, I don't remember.
14	MR. MANSON: Can we look at Exhibit 563 for
15	a minute, please.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, in fairness, sir,
17	the way I had cleared it up I thought was and my
18	understanding was they didn't have a tape recorder at the
19	restaurant, but then the witness talked about this box,
20	this big recording machine.
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: And when did you first
23	see that?
24	MR. LEROUX: I noticed it in the room within
25	a few days. I didn't notice it right away, but I asked him

1	what it was and he said, "It's a recorder."
2	I said, "It's a big one." Yeah.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
4	MR. MANSON: And this was in the room at the
5	Ramada?
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. MANSON: So this is during this trip?
8	MR. LEROUX: It could be.
9	MR. MANSON: So it's possible that they were
10	tape recording your discussions during this trip?
11	MR. LEROUX: It's a possibility.
12	MR. MANSON: Well, let's look at the
13	statement that comes out of this trip. It's Exhibit 563.
14	Mr. Engelmann showed you this, Mr. Leroux.
15	It's signed by you at the bottom of every page and on the
16	last page it says "This statement was made by me 10,
17	October, 1996, of my own free will." And then it has your
18	signature, and it looks like what may be the signature of
19	Perry Dunlop; correct?
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
21	MR. MANSON: You understood, during this
22	trip, that they were very interested in the comings and
23	goings involving Ken Seguin and Malcolm MacDonald; correct?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. MANSON: The events of Summerstown and

1	who visited in Summerstown were very important to them;
2	correct?
3	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
4	MR. MANSON: And in this statement, on the
5	very last page, you make the joke about the clan; correct?
6	Where you say:
7	"I knew that all of these guys went to
8	the Highland Games together. They were
9	like a clan."
10	And that's just a joke; correct?
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. MANSON: And later on, in fact, you
13	explained
14	MR. LEROUX: The Scottish clan; highland
15	fling, yeah.
16	MR. MANSON: Exactly. And later on you
17	explained to police officers that you meant a group of
18	Scottish men who have names with Mc and Mac, et cetera.
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. MANSON: And that's all that you said in
21	this joking way, a reference about a clan; correct?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. MANSON: In this statement, you'd agree
24	with me, that you make no mention of any threats towards
25	Mr. Dunlop or his family; correct?

1	MR. LEROUX: No, that was in a first in a
2	phone conversation.
3	MR. MANSON: Later on?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yeah.
5	MR. MANSON: Can we move to your next
6	statement, which is dated October $31^{\rm st}$, and it's Exhibit
7	564, please. Do you recall Mr. Engelmann showing you this?
8	This is the first version that has the word "Affidavit"
9	the phrase "Affidavit of Ron Leroux" at the top.
10	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
11	MR. MANSON: And it's dated October 31st,
12	1996.
13	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
14	MR. MANSON: Ultimately I'll show you some
15	other versions that are sworn by you on November $13^{\rm th}$, but
16	this is the interim one. Do you recall seeing this in the
17	past few days?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. MANSON: Did you have any telephone
20	conversations with Mr. Dunlop or Mr. Bourgeois between the
21	time that they left on October $11^{\rm th}$ and the time that this
22	was presented to you, this October 31 document?
23	MR. LEROUX: I could have. I'm not sure.
24	There's so much activity. I
25	MR. MANSON: So you think the material in

1	this October 31 document was based on your conversations
2	between the $7^{\rm th}$ and the $11^{\rm th}$ when they were at the Ramada
3	Inn?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. MANSON: There weren't any other sources
6	for it?
7	MR. LEROUX: I don't think so.
8	MR. MANSON: And this is the document where
9	you start seeing, for want of a better word, some
10	legalisms; phrases like "I can advise" and "have
11	witnessed"; phrases like "sexual improprieties". This is
12	the first time you see some of those legalisms in a
13	document. Is that correct?
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. MANSON: And this is the first time that
16	you see the phrase "clan of pedophiles"; correct?
17	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
18	MR. MANSON: And it's your evidence that
19	that was that phrase was coined either by Mr. Dunlop or
20	Mr. Bourgeois; correct?
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. MANSON: They concocted it?
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
24	MR. MANSON: If we look at this October 31st
25	document, there is a lot of material that wasn't in your

LEROUX

1	October 10 th statement; correct?
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
3	MR. MANSON: When they came to see you in
4	Maine from October $7^{\rm th}$ and October $11^{\rm th}$, you knew that they
5	wanted information about sexual abuse of young people in
6	Cornwall by prominent members of the Cornwall community;
7	correct?
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. MANSON: They wanted that information
10	and the juicier the better; correct?
11	MR. LEROUX: Oh, yes.
12	MR. MANSON: And you provided it to them;
13	correct?
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. MANSON: And that's why in the October
16	$31^{\rm st}$, statement, Exhibit 564 , when we move to paragraph 14 ,
17	we see the candle story, don't we?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. MANSON: And as you said earlier, you
20	might have told them that you had actually observed this;
21	correct?
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. MANSON: We also see in paragraph 30 an
24	account of a discussion of the threat to Perry Dunlop and
25	his family; correct?

1	MR. LEROUX:	Yes.
2	MR. MANSON:	"Father Charlie was the most
3	vocal a	and enraged stating that he hated
4	Perry D	ounlop and that Dunlop and family
5	will be	e disposed of."
6	Correct?	
7	MR. LEROUX:	It's there, yes.
8	MR. MANSON:	I'm sorry?
9	MR. LEROUX:	I see it there, yes.
10	MR. MANSON:	And this wasn't in your earlier
11	statement of October 10 th .	
12	MR. LEROUX:	No, this is getting more
13	compelling.	
14	MR. MANSON:	More compelling? It's a better
15	story isn't it?	
16	MR. LEROUX:	Yes. It's building. I could
17	see it.	
18	MR. MANSON:	It's building.
19	MR. LEROUX:	Okay.
20	MR. MANSON:	Well, that's my point,
21	Mr. Leroux. You knew that	they wanted stories, and you'd
22	made up your mind to provid	le them; correct?
23	MR. LEROUX:	Yes, but it's not my wording.
24	I mean I didn't word tha	t.
25	MR. MANSON:	Well, but did you between

1	either during the visit, October $7^{\rm th}$ to October $11^{\rm th}$, or
2	between those dates in October $31^{\rm st}$, did you tell them a
3	story about death threats to Mr. Dunlop?
4	MR. LEROUX: Death threats?
5	MR. MANSON: Yes.
6	MR. LEROUX: No. All I mentioned was that I
7	had a phone conversation. I said "You're still alive?" and
8	he said "Yes." He said "Why?" I said "I heard the ranting
9	and raving of Father Charlie saying something like I want
10	that bastard dead."
11	Then he starts telling me about his little
12	girl being chased down the driveway by some woman with a
13	butcher knife or something. Somebody put them up so,
14	like I don't know.
15	MR. MANSON: Mr. Leroux
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. MANSON: Other than saying you heard
18	Father Charlie say "I want you dead" we see in this
19	document a story of a conversation between Ken Seguin,
20	Malcolm MacDonald and Father Charlie where there is a
21	discussion of planning to kill Perry Dunlop and his family.
22	MR. LEROUX: I never told anybody that.
23	MR. MANSON: You didn't tell that to
24	Mr. Dunlop?
25	MR. LEROUX: No.

1	MR. MANSON: But you continued to swear to
2	it in these statements and affidavits, Mr. Leroux.
3	MR. LEROUX: The police officers would have
4	come down and said to me "See this here. Look at that very
5	carefully and you tell me, is this true or not true?" I
6	would have said no. There's nobody that ever pointed
7	anything out to me.
8	MR. MANSON: Can we just go to Exhibit 567
9	for a minute, please. And this is paragraph 31. It's a
10	fuller version of what we just saw. Let me read it to you:
11	"Approximately two weeks before Ken's
12	death, Ken advises me on a planned hit
13	against Dunlop and family.
14	I would go over to his house daily
15	during this two week period. Ken would
16	violently throw up; was losing weight;
17	was extremely sick. Ken was in a state
18	of mental disarray because a family
19	Dunlop's family was going to be killed.
20	In fact, I was present at a meeting
21	between Malcolm, Ken and Father Charlie
22	for a brief period where they openly
23	discussed the killing of Dunlop, the
24	cop, and his family. Father Charlie
25	was the most vocal and enraged; stating

1	that he hated Perry Dunlop, and that
2	Dunlop and family will be disposed of.
3	Malcolm stated that Dunlop is not going
4	to let it go. Malcolm said that he had
5	inside information from the Chief that
6	Dunlop was not going to let it go.
7	They continued to discuss the planned
8	attack and I left."
9	Is that not true?
10	MR. LEROUX: No, that's not true.
11	MR. MANSON: But you swore this affidavit,
12	if we look at the last page, on November 13, in Newmarket,
13	you swore that all this was true.
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. MANSON: Did you fabricate this story or
16	to satisfy Mr. Dunlop
17	MR. LEROUX: I couldn't fabricate anything
18	like that. I couldn't fabricate anything like that.
19	MR. MANSON: Could we turn to Exhibit
20	THE COMMISSIONER: No, they're not singing
21	about you Mr
22	MR. MANSON: I didn't think so.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: It must be for me.
24	MR. MANSON: It's Exhibit 568. This is a
25	December 1 videotaped interview conducted by Perry Dunlop

1	at the law office of Charles Bourgeois with you.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: In Newmarket.
3	MR. MANSON: Yes.
4	If we could turn to page 10, please.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Well okay. I notice
6	that present is Perry Dunlop, Constable of the Cornwall
7	Police Service.
8	MR. MANSON: Yes. That's how he's
9	identified himself at this point, and Charles Bourgeois
10	it doesn't say whether Mr do you recall this is
11	where you read the affidavit on to videotape, and you were
12	videotaped. Do you recall that? December 1, 1996, at Mr.
13	Bourgeois' office?
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes, vaguely; yes.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Hang on. Please magnify
16	Madam Clerk or go to the front page so that we can
17	MR. MANSON: We should start on the front
18	page please.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. Okay.
20	MR. MANSON: Halfway down, Mr. Dunlop says:
21	"Have you been induced or felt that you
22	had to give this statement?"
23	You say:
24	"No."
25	And then Mr. Dunlop says:

1	"I'm going to get Ron to read. Read
2	through an affidavit he has made for
3	us. So I'll let Ron go ahead."
4	And then you start reading what appears to
5	be the November 13 th document which we have here as Exhibit
6	567. And on page 10, halfway down, two-thirds of the way
7	down, we have the paragraph that I just showed you that you
8	said was false and that you would never have said that. Do
9	you see where it starts
10	"Approximately two weeks before his
11	death"
12	Ken's death.
13	" Ken advises me on a planned hit
14	against Dunlop."
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Hold on a second. It's
16	got to go further up; right there.
17	See that Mr. Leroux, "Approximately two
18	weeks before his" Ken's death?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Right there.
21	So you would have been reading that out loud
22	on the video camera, being recorded.
23	MR. MANSON: "Because a family, Dunlop
24	family was going to get killed, to be
25	killed."

1	Do you recall reading that on this videotape
2	statement?
3	MR. LEROUX: I could have.
4	MR. MANSON: But now you say it was false
5	and it was fabricated, but you didn't fabricate it.
6	MR. LEROUX: It's false and fabricated, but
7	I mean it's it's
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Ah, hold on. Mr
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't think that's what
10	the witness said.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, I can't hear -
12	
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't think that's what
14	the witness said.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: About what now?
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I thought he said he could
17	not have fabricated that.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: He said "I could not have
19	fabricated that".
20	MR. MANSON: I just suggested that it was
21	false and fabricated. I didn't suggest that he fabricated
22	it.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: The question really was
25	unclear then.

LEROUX

25

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't think the witness
3	understood the question.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I didn't.
6	MR. MANSON: I'll break it up. So you're
7	now reading on videotape to Mr. Dunlop this statement, and
8	it's your evidence that a) the statement is false
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. MANSON: that it must have been
11	fabricated by someone; correct?
12	MR. LEROUX: Correct.
13	MR. MANSON: But you didn't fabricate it;
14	correct?
15	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
16	MR. MANSON: But you're content to repeat
17	it; aren't you?
18	MR. LEROUX: He asked me to. He told me to.
19	MR. MANSON: They asked you to.
20	MR. LEROUX: Why didn't he do it?
21	MR. MANSON: I'm sorry?
22	MR. LEROUX: I said why didn't he do it?
23	Why didn't he read it?
24	MR. MANSON: But you've talked about this

death threat a number of times in a number of statements;

1	haven't you? You continue to repeat it.
2	MR. LEROUX: Well, it's there for me. It's
3	written up.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: I know, but
5	MR. MANSON: Can we turn to Exhibit 573?
6	This is your interview in Orillia with Constables Bell and
7	Anthony that was organized either by Mr. Dunlop or Mr.
8	Bourgeois. You recall that?
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes, yes. I remember sitting
10	in the
11	MR. MANSON: I'm sorry; I didn't hear you?
12	MR. LEROUX: I remember there was a lawyer
13	bringing me there; driving me there, and coming into the
14	sitting there with him.
15	MR. MANSON: This is part 2 of the interview
16	starting at 8:22, if we can look at page 9. You're
17	explaining I'll read it to you.
18	"Bell: Okay, so from 1993 until 1996,
19	did you ever inform any authorities
20	about this threat; this planned hit
21	against Perry Dunlop?"
22	Leroux: No.
23	Bell: Why was that?
24	Leroux: I have a wife. I have a kid.
25	I have my stepdaughter there all summer

1	long at the house. She goes back to
2	school first week in September. I get
3	married, I have my wife. She moves
4	down there.
5	Bell: What was your why didn't you
6	inform the authorities?
7	Leroux: They're going to shoot that
8	family. What's the matter with
9	shooting me, I live next door. I see
10	this guy with the gun. He isn't doing
11	anything. He's discussing me. He
12	didn't shoot Ken."
13	Now, you're treating this as if it's a real
14	serious threat; aren't you?
15	MR. LEROUX: If it was, I would have called
16	somebody; I don't know who, but I would have called and say
17	"They're going to shoot you".
18	MR. MANSON: But, Mr. Leroux, what I'm
19	suggesting to you is you're not saying to them the story of
20	the threat is false. You're not telling Constable Bell
21	that; are you?
22	MR. LEROUX: No.
23	MR. MANSON: And in fact, Mr. Dunlop
24	believed this story; didn't he?
25	MR. LEROUX: I don't know.

1	MR. MANSON: Well, Mr. Dunlop was scared
2	because of this threat; wasn't he?
3	MR. LEROUX: He stayed right here in
4	Cornwall though.
5	MR. MANSON: That is not what I asked you.
6	You know that he was scared; don't you?
7	MR. LEROUX: Scared? No, I don't think he
8	was scared.
9	MR. MANSON: Well, he probed you about it to
10	find out how serious it was because he was concerned;
11	wasn't he?
12	MR. LEROUX: Probed me? I didn't
13	orchestrate this. This is
14	MR. MANSON: Well, you are certainly going
15	along with it; aren't you?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. MANSON: And you're treat
18	MR. ENGELMANN: In fairness to the witness,
19	read a little bit further where he was taken. When he's
20	asked about it, he said, "I thought it was ranting and
21	raving." It's on the next page. So I think this should be
22	put in context, sir.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So Mr. Manson, if
24	you will
25	MR. MANSON: I am happy to do that.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MR. MANSON: If we can show paragraph 10.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Paragraph 10.
4	MR. MANSON: Page 10, I mean.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Page 10.
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	MR. MANSON: Where is the ranting and
8	raving? Which page?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Page 10, it states
10	"Do you think Father Charles and
11	Malcolm were capable of carrying out
12	this threat against Dunlop?"
13	THE COMMISSIONER: The answer is
14	"My own personal? No. I thought they
15	were just ranting and raving."
16	MR. MANSON: But you are not telling them
17	earlier that it was a fabrication that someone
18	fabricated this story; are you?
19	MR. LEROUX: No.
20	MR. MANSON: If I could have just one
21	second, Mr. Commissioner.
22	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
23	One second, Mr. Commissioner.
24	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
25	I apologize, Mr. Commissioner.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no.
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	MR. MANSON: Can we turn to Exhibit 571, I
4	believe. It's the May 30 th , 1997 taped telephone
5	conversation between Mr. Dunlop and Mr. Leroux, at page 27
6	and 28; partway down page 27.
7	Mr. Leroux, this is the conversation while
8	you were living in Maine in the late spring of 1997 where
9	Mr. Dunlop is trying to persuade you to come back to do
10	some more work on the case. And he has taped this
11	conversation. And Mr. Engelmann showed it to you.
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
13	MR. MANSON: On page 27 halfway down, Perry
14	says,
15	"Okay. And it's getting back to the
16	to the death threats and stuff. Was
17	there any doubt in your mind that they
18	were going to kill?"
19	"No, none whatsoever."
20	"Okay, so there was"
21	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
22	THE COMMISSIONER: So he has tape recorded
23	your conversation, Mr. Leroux.
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Dunlop. And this is

1	a transcription of it. And this is what Mr. Manson is
2	showing to you.
3	MR. LEROUX: All right.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: And now we have got it up
5	on the screen where Mr. Dunlop says,
6	"Okay, okay, it's getting back to the
7	death threats and stuff. Was there any
8	doubt in your mind that they were going
9	to kill?"
10	MR. LEROUX: Now okay.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, go ahead.
12	MR. LEROUX: When I said this on the
13	telephone.
14	MR. MANSON: Yes.
15	MR. LEROUX: Had I already read or had that
16	statement in my possession or saw it or read it?
17	MR. MANSON: Yes.
18	MR. LEROUX: Oh, okay.
19	MR. MANSON: But you had, Mr. Leroux. This
20	is after. This is three months after the previous
21	interview with Constable Bell and Constable Anthony
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. MANSON: where I suggested you were
24	giving them the impression that it was a serious story.
25	You certainly didn't tell them it was false. Mr. Engelmann

1	asked me to point out that you said it was the rantings and
2	ravings of old men which you repeat in this
3	conversation.
4	But what I am suggesting to you is Mr.
5	Dunlop took it seriously; didn't he?
6	MR. LEROUX: I don't know if somebody
7	takes something seriously, he goes and gets some action on
8	it. He's a police officer.
9	MR. MANSON: But you did say this when he
10	asked you,
11	"Do you have any doubt whatsoever that
12	they were going to kill?"
13	Your answer was,
14	"No, none whatsoever".
15	Is that correct?
16	MR. LEROUX: It's a possibility, I don't
17	MR. MANSON: No, no, no. That is not what I
18	am asking Mr. Leroux. Your answer to that question. Let
19	us just slow down. Mr. Dunlop says at page 27,
20	"Was there any doubt in your mind that
21	they were going to kill?"
22	Ron:
23	"No, none whatsoever."
24	Was that your answer to the question?
25	MR. LEROUX: It probably was.

1	MR. MANSON: And I am suggesting to you, Mr.
2	Leroux. If this story is a fabrication, it looks like Mr.
3	Dunlop believes it; doesn't it?
4	MR. LEROUX: Believes what?
5	MR. MANSON: The story about the death
6	threat.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann?
8	MR. ENGELMANN: I think, well, one, I
9	covered this. And I covered the difference between the
10	two.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Between the two?
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Between the comment to Bell
13	and the alleged comment to Dunlop. If he wants to I
14	don't think we should talk about what Mr. Dunlop believes
15	or doesn't believe.
16	If Mr. Dunlop wants to talk about what he
17	believes, he can do that here. He shouldn't be asking Mr.
18	Leroux what Mr. Dunlop believes. I have covered this
19	before and I think he has now confused the witness. But in
20	any event, you know, it is done.
21	He said the first time when I asked him
22	about it he didn't remember. Now it is being suggested to
23	him that he must have said that he said, "Probably."
24	You know, it's covered
25	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry. And now you

1	are mixing me up. What do you mean, "Probably"?
2	MR. ENGELMANN: That's what the witness has
3	just said: "Probably, I said it."
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
5	MR. ENGELMANN: You recall, I went through
6	this with him. He doesn't remember because he said, "Well
7	Mr. Dunlop never told me he taped any of our calls."
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: So he couldn't remember the
10	specific call.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And I asked him if he knew.
13	Then he said this "I'm not sure. I don't know."
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, well, then
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, it's being suggested to
16	him again.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And you know, but this
19	anyway, I don't want to get into the fabrication thing in
20	front of the witness. I'll let it go, but I think we've
21	been here. We've done that.
22	MR. MANSON: Well, perhaps, Mr.
23	Commissioner, we could ask the witness to leave.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
25	MR. MANSON: Just very briefly, I'll be very

1	brief.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll ask you to leave
3	for a moment please. Mr. Engelmann Mr. Spice will go
4	out with him too.
5	WITNESS LEAVES/LE TÉMOIN SE RETIRE
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	MR. MANSON: Mr. Commissioner, the point is
8	a simple point and it's an extremely serious and central
9	one.
10	We have now heard that a number of stories
11	were fabricated. Who fabricated them? Either it was the
12	Dunlop-Bourgeois team or it was Mr. Leroux.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
14	MR. MANSON: And it seems to me that
15	evidence that suggests Mr. Dunlop took the story seriously
16	supports the inference that he likely didn't fabricate it.
17	So if A didn't fabricate a fabricated story that could only
18	be fabricated by A or B; therefore, B. And that's exactly
19	how I was going to wind this up.
20	It seems to me that it's one of the few
21	opportunities that supports the view that it was A rather
22	than B.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
24	MR. MANSON: Now, I'm not saying that it
25	proves it. I'm saying it supports it, but there is other

25

1	evidence well, I'll finish there.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: You premise is that there
3	is A or B and somehow, when I'm going through all of this,
4	I think that communication I mean one guy comes up and
5	says, "I heard people talking about oh, gees, I'd like to
6	see this guy dead". That's where the thread starts.
7	MR. MANSON: Exactly.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: And then somebody comes
9	up and I don't know if it's a fabrication but embellishes
10	it with other things.
11	MR. MANSON: M'hm.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: And so to say that it's a
13	fabrication one way or the other, I think what this
14	gentleman is saying is "Look it, I started off with this
15	seed, and this thing grew".
16	And I don't necessarily think that that's a
17	fabrication.
18	MR. MANSON: Well, if the growth is false;
19	it's got to be fabricated.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, if the growth is
21	false. Where is it false? I mean
22	MR. MANSON: He is telling us that it's
23	false.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, he is saying that -

1	MR. MANSON: He is saying, "I did not sit
2	there and hear this conversation".
3	THE COMMISSIONER: No, he says, "I was
4	there; I heard Father Charlie MacDonald be very angry and
5	say something about somebody's death".
6	And then it takes on a life of its own.
7	It's not necessarily a fabrication.
8	MR. MANSON: Well, I mean, it's a huge leap
9	from suggesting I'd like to see so and so dead
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
11	MR. MANSON: and discussing plans to
12	contract to kill him; and his family, which is added later
13	as well. That's the fabrication, which Mr. Leroux agrees
14	that it's a fabrication.
15	My point is well, let's find out who
16	fabricated it. If he says he didn't, it's got to be the
17	other side. And the other side seems to believe it.
18	Therefore, if they are treating it well, that's an
19	inference. If you treat something seriously, that suggests
20	you believe it. It may be false, but you believe it.
21	Because he is treating it seriously.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, well, just a
23	minute.
24	There are other interpretations about that,
25	and I don't know if I want to go there, but my point is you

1	are telling him, this is a taped conversation and you know,
2	blah, blah, and this is what you answer is. And he
3	says, "I don't remember that".
4	So either we put the tape to him, listen to
5	the tape, this is what you is this your voice? Did you
6	say that? Okay, well then you see because we're dealing
7	with intangibles here. It's the same thing when we are
8	looking at he's talking about the videos, and he says,
9	"I don't remember saying that". Well, maybe we should put
10	the video to him. And say, well, look it, here you are
11	reading this affidavit, and there you are. I don't know.
12	MR. MANSON: The problem with the videos is
13	they have been destroyed. So
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Pardon me?
15	MR. MANSON: Pardon me? Oh, I'm talking
16	about the videos seized in the suitcase.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no. Nor do I
18	I don't know that I really want to see those.
19	MR. MANSON: I have a number of things going
20	on in my head at the moment.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: So do I.
22	MR. MANSON: But I'm if we have to go to
23	the audiotape, fine, but I need to put to this witness, Mr.
24	Commissioner, about the source of the fabrication. I'm
25	going to be doing this a number of times.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: No, well, okay. I think
2	we will go back to basics about what is the fabrication.
3	You are imputing that Mr. Dunlop would have
4	been afraid. Maybe Mr. Dunlop wasn't afraid. Maybe he
5	wanted the story to keep going, and I'm not saying for a
6	moment that that's what it was. I'm saying
7	MR. MANSON: That's I grant that.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: That's one possibility;
9	there are other possibilities.
10	MR. MANSON: I grant that.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: And there may be
12	possibilities that these people were just miscommunicating
13	and when one person says something, the other person hears
14	what they want to hear. And then when that person throws
15	it back to the other person, it takes out what they want to
16	hear.
17	MR. MANSON: But the point is, Mr.
18	Commissioner, you're right.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.
20	MR. MANSON: That there are various
21	inferences that might arise.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
23	MR. MANSON: But through Mr. Engelmann's
24	interruption, I have been disentitled from putting to this
25	witness that the proper interpretation is you fabricated

25

1	it.
2	And that's the point. If he wants to say
3	no, fine, but that's what I'm getting to. Bringing the
4	audiotape in, we could do that, and he'll say, I guess
5	that's my voice. I guess I said that. And you could be
6	right. But I have to be entitled to put that to him, the
7	fabrication question.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it's a house card
9	though. I don't know that we are at the point where it's a
10	fabrication. You know, if you bring it up that way and
11	maybe it's late, and I was not as attentive as I should be,
12	I don't know that it really is this or that. I don't if
13	we're there yet.
14	MR. MANSON: Well, we know the candles is a
15	fabrication. He is telling us this witness is telling
16	us that.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: That he never observed
18	that.
19	MR. MANSON: That's right.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
21	MR. MANSON: And I am suggesting that the
22	growth of the story about the death threat is similarly a
23	fabrication. I'm not saying he is going to agree with me,
24	but it takes a few steps to get there.

THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Okay, well, don't

1	get me wrong. I'm not saying that you can't do that. I am
2	just saying that I don't know that we've done it to the
3	point to my satisfaction that if he comes back and
4	answers the fabrication question, that it's got any value
5	because there is some substance that is missing.
6	MR. MANSON: Well, certainly, you'd have to
7	agree if he admits finally, yes, I fabricated it, then that
8	has value.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, if he were to say I
10	fabricated it, but he's not going to say that. He is going
11	to say "I started off with this conversation with Perry
12	Dunlop", anyway
13	MR. MANSON: We don't know what he is going
14	to say, and I'm going to suggest in a minute that there's
15	other problems with this story
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Please do.
17	MR. MANSON: that were fabricated by
18	somebody.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. MANSON: I'm not and often it may be
21	a germ of truth that grows, and grows and grows. But some
22	of these falsehoods, which we now see some of them are
23	falsehoods by their author's own admission have taken on
24	a life of their own in the community.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm, oh, absolutely.

1	MR. MANSON: And they need to be addressed,
2	and we have a list of them.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
4	MR. MANSON: And we would like to pursue
5	them.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Don't get me
7	wrong. I encourage you to do that. All I'm saying is
8	let's go we might want to go back; start over with the
9	building of this issue.
10	And I would suggest you go back, you start
11	again, and you construct it, and I'll listen real
12	attentively to make sure that I've got it straight, and
13	then you can ask the punch line.
14	MR. MANSON: But when I go back, and we
15	replay this, does Mr. Engelmann jump up again?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute, wait a
17	minute.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Well let me do it right now
19	because I hate to tell you, with all due respect to my
20	friend, he was not using plain language. I was confused
21	with the question. Why doesn't he start with, what did you
22	overhear to start with?
23	Then we can talk about what the story was
24	and how the story may have changed. That was never done,
25	and I think the witness was confused. I was confused. So

1	I don't think the line of questioning was fair.
2	We talk about a fabrication. Well a
3	fabrication of what?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: What is fair is, you know,
6	it's a joke at some times, it's not a joke at other times
7	and I brought that inconsistency up.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Mr. Manson, first
9	of all, I don't think that you were fair with Mr.
10	Engelmann. Mr. Engelmann rises as an officer of the court,
11	just like everybody else, and we stop. And so if he gets
12	up again, and if he keeps getting up, and if he's at the
13	point where I think he is being improper, I'll tell him,
14	but even in this late hour I don't think we should go
15	there.
16	MR. MANSON: I apologize, Mr. Commissioner,
17	I was making a people joke.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, then I'm sorry. Then
19	that's fine. A feeble attempt at humour is my protocol as
20	well.
21	MR. MANSON: I wasn't suggesting that we put
22	some clamp on Mr. Englemann and force him to sit there
23	regardless of what I do.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.
25	MR. MANSON: It was there used to be a

1	phrase that suggested like a replay and I wanted to do the
2	replay on my own, without help.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
4	MR. MANSON: I apologize.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: So bottom line is sir, I
6	think that you have a right to go where you want to go. I
7	don't think it was done quite the way and I agree that
8	this witness is confused on this thing.
9	And another thing, let's keep the language
10	simple.
11	MR. MANSON: Yes.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's keep the terms
13	short and the questions even shorter. All right. And then
14	we will proceed.
15	MR. MANSON: Thank you.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Witness in please.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	RON LEROUX, Resumed/Sous le même serment
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
20	MANSON (Cont'd/Suite):
21	THE COMMISSIONER: How are we doing? A
22	little tired. All right. Well we'll go on for a bit and
23	if you get too tired, you tell me. All right?
24	Mr. Leroux, again, we want to talk to you
25	about what you may have overheard about death threats and

25

we've just done this.

1	things. What I've asked counsel to do, Mr. Manson, is I
2	have asked him to go back to the beginning so that we can
3	build on this and make clear what we are doing.
4	So just bear with us and we'll see where we
5	go. All right? Are you okay with that?
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
8	MR. MANSON: Mr. Commissioner, I want to
9	apologize to you and to Mr. Leroux for going so late when I
10	said about an hour. It's hard to forget where things go.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. We asked for an
12	estimate. I can tell you that your cross-examination has
13	not been repetitive or anything like that, and so it is
14	through no fault of anybody. We'll take the time that it
15	takes and see where we go. All right?
16	MR. MANSON: I think we need to look at
17	THE COMMISSIONER: You have to bring the
18	microphone over, Mr. Manson.
19	MR. MANSON: Yes.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Keep it in front of you.
21	MR. MANSON: I think we need to start with
22	the October $31^{\rm st}$ statement, which is Exhibit 564, and it's
23	paragraph 30.
24	Mr. Leroux, I apologize if it looks like

1	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
2	MR. MANSON: We have, but I'm going to try
3	and do it more slowly and more carefully.
4	MR. LEROUX: Okay.
5	MR. MANSON: So we are going back.
6	MR. LEROUX: Okay, that's fine.
7	MR. MANSON: Let's look at paragraph 30,
8	first sentence:
9	"Approximately two weeks before Ken's
10	death, Ken advises me on a planned hit
11	against Dunlop and family."
12	Is that true, Mr. Leroux?
13	MR. LEROUX: No.
14	MR. MANSON: And I am going to continue now.
15	"In fact, I was present at a meeting
16	between Malcolm, Ken and Father Charlie
17	for a brief period where they openly
18	discussed the killing of Dunlop, the
19	cop, and his family."
20	MR. LEROUX: No.
21	MR. MANSON: Next sentence:
22	"Father Charlie was the most vocal and
23	enraged; stating that he hated Perry
24	Dunlop and that Dunlop and family will
25	be disposed of."

1	Is that true, Mr. Leroux?
2	MR. LEROUX: No.
3	MR. MANSON: "Malcolm stated that Dunlop
4	is not going to let it go. Malcolm
5	said he had inside information from the
6	Chief that Dunlop was not going to let
7	it go."
8	Is that true?
9	MR. LEROUX: No.
10	MR. MANSON: "They continued to discuss
11	the planned attack, and I left to go
12	home."
13	I take it that's not true either?
14	MR. LEROUX: No.
15	MR. MANSON: Now can we move to the November
16	13 th
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir, I just hasten you to
18	stop. Can we ask the witness, would you consider it if we
19	asked him, is there any grain of truth in that?
20	MR. MANSON: Well, if we could just finish
21	the story, Mr. Commissioner.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Fine, okay.
23	MR. MANSON: There are a few other incidents
24	added.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Fine. Okay.

1	MR. MANSON: And then I'm going to come back
2	to that.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
4	MR. MANSON: This is Exhibit 567, and it's
5	at paragraph 31 here.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so Mr. Leroux, we
7	are going to Exhibit 567, which is an affidavit that you
8	would have signed and sworn. What page, Mr. Manson?
9	MR. MANSON: Bates page 7071765, it's
10	paragraph 31.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Okay.
12	MR. MANSON: There is a new detail added
13	right at the beginning where you say where this
14	affidavit that you swear says:
15	"I would go over to his house daily
16	during this two-week period. Ken would
17	violently throw up; was losing weight
18	and was extremely sick. Ken was in
19	this state of mental disarray because a
20	family, Dunlop's family was going to be
21	killed."
22	Is that true, Mr. Leroux?
23	MR. LEROUX: No.
24	MR. MANSON: Okay. You've told us earlier
25	that you did hear Father Charlie say something like "I wish

1	that cop was dead". Is that true?
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
3	MR. MANSON: No, did he say that?
4	MR. LEROUX: Something like that.
5	MR. MANSON: So beyond that single
6	statement, Father Charlie apparently saying "I wish that
7	cop was dead", none of the rest of this story is true. Is
8	it?
9	MR. LEROUX: No.
10	MR. MANSON: But somehow, it got into this
11	affidavit that you swore. It's there; isn't it?
12	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
13	MR. MANSON: And I'm suggesting to you that
14	if it's not true, and the story is there, someone had to
15	make it up. Correct?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. MANSON: And the someone either has to
18	be you or Perry Dunlop and Charles Bourgeois. Correct?
19	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
20	MR. MANSON: There's no one else who was
21	involved in preparing this affidavit. Correct?
22	MR. LEROUX: That's right.
23	MR. MANSON: And we agree that it's a
24	fabrication. By fabrication, I mean it's made up. Right?
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	MR. MANSON: Now, I want to point out two
2	things to you. First, in your February $7^{\rm th}$, 1997 interview
3	with Constable Bell and Constable Anthony, you treated the
4	story of the death threat as if it was true. Correct?
5	MR. LEROUX: Yes, I guess so.
6	MR. MANSON: And then in your telephone
7	conversation with Mr. Dunlop on May $30^{\rm th}$ of 1997, which we
8	have as Exhibit 571 can we put this up on the board? On
9	the screen I know, showing my age. It's a screen not a
10	board.
11	Let's just look at the first page.
12	"The following is an audio-taped
13	telephone conversation between Perry
14	Dunlop and Ron Leroux on the $30^{\rm th}$ of May
15	1997."
16	During that period of time, were you getting
17	a lot of phone calls from Perry Dunlop?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
19	MR. MANSON: And he was pestering you to
20	come back to Canada to work on the case. Wasn't he?
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. MANSON: And that's what this phone call
23	is about. We will look at some other parts of it later,
24	but that's what this phone call is about. Correct?
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

251

1	MR. MANSON: But I take it from your earlier
2	testimony that you don't recall this specific phone call.
3	Is that true?
4	MR. LEROUX: No.
5	MR. MANSON: Well, let's look at some of the
6	details. This is the phone call where you tell Mr. Dunlop
7	that you need 25,000 to get you out of the hole you are in.
8	MR. LEROUX: I never told him that.
9	MR. MANSON: Okay.
10	Can we turn to page 4 please? Scroll down
11	please.
12	You are telling him that you are having
13	problems making your mortgage.
14	Scroll up you are having problems
15	there, fine that you are having trouble making your
16	mortgage payments.
17	And you say,
18	"Ron: Find about twenty-five grand
19	fast. Get them off my back.
20	Perry: Yeah.
21	Ron: (something inaudible) I don't
22	think so. Maybe raise ten."
23	MR. LEROUX: I don't remember any of that.
24	MR. MANSON: And then you start talking
25	about the man who held your mortgage who was a priest,

1	wasn't he?
2	MR. LEROUX: No, it was a bank it was
3	Norway Savings Bank. He had the Fathernot MacMillan
4	it's another "Mc" or "Mac" McCready
5	MR. MANSON: It's "Mc" something, isn't it?
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. MANSON: And did you owe him money?
8	MR. LEROUX: He had a second mortgage.
9	MR. MANSON: Oh, I'm sorry, he had a second
10	mortgage on your house.
11	MR. LEROUX: But I had a couple of years to
12	pay him.
13	MR. MANSON: Well, if we scroll down a
14	little more.
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. MANSON: Stop there please.
17	"No, this fucking priest there that had
18	a mortgage on it I think he found
19	out I was doing something with the
20	priests or something. I don't know.
21	Perry: Yeah?
22	I just had the sneaking suspicion he's
23	a fucking queer anyways. The bastard
24	he don't like me."
25	MR. MANSON: You don't recall this

1	conversation with Mr. Dunlop?
2	MR. LEROUX: Not at all. Not at all.
3	MR. MANSON: So when we turn to page 27
4	MR. LEROUX: Not at all.
5	MR. MANSON: Partway through where it
6	says,
7	"Okay, it's getting back to the death
8	threats and stuff. Was there any doubt
9	in your mind that they were going to
10	kill?"
11	[answer:] "No, none whatsoever."
12	You are telling me you don't remember that conversation?
13	MR. LEROUX: No.
14	MR. MANSON: From your dealings with
15	Mr. Dunlop or Mrs. Dunlop during this period early 1997
16	did they do anything to give you the impression that
17	they took these threats seriously?
18	MR. LEROUX: No.
19	MR. MANSON: Do you know if they reported
20	them to the police?
21	MR. LEROUX: I have no idea.
22	MR. MANSON: I am ready to move on to
23	another area, Mr. Commissioner.
24	So I am in your hands. We will just keep
25	going?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Keep going.
2	MR. MANSON: If we could go back to Exhibit
3	564 please.
4	Now Mr. Leroux, this is the statement the
5	first affidavit-like statement with all the the lawyer
6	language in it. And the date is October $31^{\rm st}$.
7	Now what I would like you to put your mind
8	to is that period of time between the four day visit,
9	October 7^{th} to October 11^{th} ; the Ramada Inn; the Karaoke; the
10	Garth Brooks stuff.
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. MANSON: Between then, and the end of
13	that month when you are sent or shown, somehow, this
14	material. Do you recall seeing this in October 31st?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: It is Halloween,
16	remember?
17	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
18	MR. MANSON: Okay.
19	Was it sent to you? Because later two
20	weeks later you are in Aurora in Newmarket, rather
21	swearing a similar document.
22	But during the interim you get this
23	document; correct?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. MANSON: Okay.

255

1	MR. ENGELMANN: I apologize for
2	interrupting, but there is a handwritten copy of the
3	document. It is sworn in front of Mr. Bourgeois
4	THE COMMISSIONER: On October 31st.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: On October 31st.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, so I think
7	MR. ENGELMANN: In Norway, Maine.
8	MR. MANSON: Right. So they come to Norway
9	again, with the document. You are shown it, and it is
10	sworn, correct?
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Engelmann.
13	But in fairness, it is a handwritten copy
14	that is sworn.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
17	MR. MANSON: What I want to do is to look at
18	well first, going back to this period of time in
19	October.
20	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
21	MR. MANSON: You know that Mr. Dunlop and
22	Mr. Bourgeois are very interested in comings and goings in
23	Summerstown, correct?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. MANSON: And, in fact, in your earlier

256

1	statement in October 10 th you even said, "You know they're
2	interested." The specific phrase used:
3	"Being a close neighbour and friend I
4	knew a lot about what was going on, I
5	mean Summerstown is like Peyton Place."
6	You had said that earlier. So you know that that is what
7	they are concerned about, right?
8	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
9	MR. MANSON: And on October 10 th , you talk
10	about a few things. Now on October 31st, let's look at
11	paragraph 33. And I think there's some mistakes in terms
12	of the dates that get fixed up later, but for the first
13	time you tell a story about seeing a Mercedes-Benz in front
14	of Ken Seguin's home.
15	MR. LEROUX: No, it was in front of my
16	driveway.
17	MR. MANSON: In front of your driveway?
18	MR. LEROUX: Yes. Something about a land
19	speculator, he said he was.
20	MR. MANSON: He said he was a land
21	developer, and when you talked to him you saw a gun.
22	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
23	MR. MANSON: So this part of the story is
24	true?
25	MR. LEROUX: Yes.

1	MR. MANSON: You see in the affidavit, it
2	comes on the same page can we scroll up as the
3	discussion of the death threat. Correct?
4	One is paragraph 30; the other is paragraph
5	33.
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	MR. MANSON: You agree with me, Mr. Leroux,
8	that paragraph 30 talks about the fabricated death threat.
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. MANSON: Correct? And paragraph 33
11	talks about the Mercedes, correct?
12	MR. LEROUX: That's 31 I don't have
13	MR. MANSON: Can we scroll down to 33
14	please? That is the start of the story about the Mercedes;
15	correct?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. MANSON: Yes?
18	And the two on the same page is a curious
19	placement, isn't it?
20	MR. LEROUX: Isn't it? Yeah.
21	MR. MANSON: Because the story of the
22	Mercedes gives some spice to the story of the death threat,
23	doesn't it? Do you agree with that?
24	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
25	MR. MANSON: Makes it look more credible,

1	doesn't it?
2	MR. LEROUX: I guess so.
3	MR. MANSON: Paragraph 30, this document is
4	talking about a death threat and a contract to kill
5	someone. And in paragraph 33, we've got a Mercedes by
6	the way what colour was the Mercedes?
7	MR. LEROUX: Green, it was in my driveway.
8	MR. MANSON: It was green?
9	MR. LEROUX: Green.
10	MR. MANSON: Green.
11	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
12	MR. MANSON: We've got a green Mercedes and
13	a man with a gun in it.
14	MR. LEROUX: Not in it. He just had a gun
15	in his jacket. Could have been a cop, could have been
16	anybody. Could have been a detective, I don't know.
17	MR. MANSON: Let's go up to another
18	paragraph this appears for the first time in October
19	$31^{\rm st}$; another one that appears for the first time is October
20	27 th I mean paragraph 27. Sorry.
21	Mr. Leroux, I am suggesting to you that
22	MR. LEROUX: Suggesting?
23	MR. MANSON: Yes. I want to make a
24	suggestion to you.
25	MR. LEROUX: Okay.

1	MR. MANSON: That in your earlier statement
2	you knew Dunlop and Bourgeois were interested in strange
3	comings and goings in Summerstown; correct?
4	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
5	MR. MANSON: You didn't tell them about the
6	green Mercedes at that time; did you?
7	MR. LEROUX: I don't remember.
8	MR. MANSON: But it didn't show up in your
9	October 10 th statement; did it?
10	MR. LEROUX: No, because I had written down
11	part of the licence number, and I had it.
12	MR. MANSON: But it may have occurred to you
13	during that interim, because it's not, you know, it's one
14	incident. A Mercedes, a guy with a gun; it's only one
15	incident, right?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yeah.
17	MR. MANSON: But you also in your October
18	10^{th} statement didn't say anything about what's referred to
19	as the VIP meeting; did you?
20	MR. LEROUX: VIP meeting?
21	MR. MANSON: Oh, I'm only saying that
22	because that's the phrase in this affidavit, if you look at
23	paragraph 28. You see where it says,
24	"Ken advised me also that Rory was
25	present as well as a bunch of VIPs. He

1	also advised that Murray MacDonald,
2	Crown Attorney was there".
3	But you told us earlier Murray MacDonald was
4	never at Ken Seguin's house; was he?
5	MR. LEROUX: That's correct, yes.
6	MR. MANSON: He was never there?
7	MR. LEROUX: No.
8	MR. MANSON: No. Paragraph 27 is a big
9	story about a VIP meeting at Malcolm MacDonald's cottage.
10	Did you ever see Murray MacDonald going from Ken Seguin's
11	house to Malcolm MacDonald's?
12	MR. LEROUX: No. No, I answered that
13	before; somebody had asked me that.
14	MR. MANSON: Can you read paragraph 27 to
15	yourself for a second?
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	MR. MANSON: Just tell me when you're
18	finished, Mr. Leroux.
19	MR. LEROUX: Wow, a lot of people.
20	MR. MANSON: Are you finished?
21	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
22	MR. MANSON: This isn't true; is it?
23	MR. LEROUX: No.
24	MR. MANSON: This story about a group of
25	prominent Cornwall people all gathering at Malcolm

1	MacDonald's cottage in September of 1993 just isn't true;
2	is it?
3	MR. LEROUX: No.
4	MR. MANSON: Did you make up this story, Mr.
5	Leroux?
6	MR. LEROUX: He asked me different times
7	about people that went there. Apparently, it all hooked up
8	together I guess.
9	MR. MANSON: Well, but looking back now
10	MR. LEROUX: Yes?
11	MR. MANSON: and
12	MR. LEROUX: Never seen this laid out like
13	this before; like actually sit there and read this.
14	MR. MANSON: But you agree with me
15	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
16	MR. MANSON: a story about you sitting
17	in your house and watching a group of prominent Cornwall
18	people all going over to Malcolm MacDonald's cottage on a
19	morning
20	MR. LEROUX: I named the ones that went
21	there.
22	MR. MANSON: Yes. But all at the same time
23	
24	MR. LEROUX: No.
25	MR. MANSON: that did not happen; did

1	it?
2	MR. LEROUX: No, maybe half a dozen at a
3	time; that would be about it. I mean on a weekend or
4	something.
5	MR. MANSON: But let's just get this clear.
6	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
7	MR. MANSON: The story that I just asked you
8	to read
9	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
10	MR. MANSON: is not true; is it?
11	MR. LEROUX: No.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: So, for example, sir, at
13	the bottom of this it says:
14	"A fourth car pulled up along the front
15	of Ken's house, stopped for a few
16	seconds and left towards the marina. I
17	observed Ron Wilson and waved to him.
18	He waved back."
19	Is that true?
20	MR. LEROUX: I observed Ron Wilson one time
21	going over there and gave him a wave, I mean, I don't know
22	if he's going to the cottage or going to Ken; I don't know.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: I can't hear you.
24	MR. LEROUX: I'm sorry. I saw Ron Wilson go
25	there in a Cadillac or something.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
2	MR. LEROUX: But who he visits, I don't
3	know. It's too much. It's too many people there.
4	MR. MANSON: Can we just agree that this
5	meeting of all these people
6	MR. LEROUX: Didn't happen.
7	MR. MANSON: at the same time didn't
8	happen.
9	MR. LEROUX: It's an impossibility.
10	MR. MANSON: But it may be, as the
11	Commissioner pointed out, that you told somebody at one
12	point that you saw Ron Wilson; perhaps.
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. MANSON: But all of these people
15	together, that didn't happen; correct?
16	MR. LEROUX: No.
17	MR. MANSON: We know that. Okay. Can we
18	look at Document 565 please? This is the November 13 th
19	statement. If we go to paragraph 28 no, I'm sorry, not
20	for this, it's not 28. Paragraph 7 please?
21	You discussed this with Mr. Engelmann and I
22	just have one point to make. You agreed with Mr. Engelmann
23	if we go to the name Father Gary Ostler; partway
24	through.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you blow it up, Madam

1	Clerk?
2	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. Blow it up.
4	MR. MANSON: It's paragraph 7, yes. That's
5	fine. It's partway through the paragraph.
6	You told Mr. Engelmann that you had never
7	observed Father Gary Ostler involved in any sexual
8	improprieties with young people; correct?
9	MR. LEROUX: That's correct.
10	MR. MANSON: In fact, the only comment
11	you've made throughout this history about Gary Ostler was
12	the incident when you're about 40 years old and you are
13	working at the Parish House, correct?
14	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
15	MR. MANSON: Is Gary Ostler the son of Eddie
16	Ostler?
17	MR. LEROUX: I have no idea.
18	MR. MANSON: Can we go to Exhibit 568
19	please? I am moving along quite quickly now.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Not a problem.
21	MR. MANSON: This is the videotaped
22	interview at Charles Bourgeois' office, Mr. Leroux.
23	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
24	MR. MANSON: And this is the interview where
25	first you read the affidavit onto the videotape, but later

1	you are shown some pictures.
2	And you have talked about that before
3	that you were shown pictures to identify. Can we turn to
4	page 23, please? Halfway down.
5	"Dunlop: And who can you tell us
6	for the purpose of this tape just
7	I'll I'll bring the pictures to you
8	so you don't have to get up."
9	And your answer is,
10	"Jacques Leduc."
11	So I take it you identified a photograph of Jacque Leduc
12	during this interview, correct?
13	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
14	MR. MANSON: It was shown to you and you
15	identified it; correct?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	MR. MANSON: And then Mr. Dunlop says,
18	"Jacques Leduc, who was at the he
19	was at the meeting in the island?"
20	Mr. Leroux: "Yes."
21	You just told us there was no meeting on the island.
22	Correct?
23	MR. LEROUX: A meeting? The VIP meeting
24	MR. MANSON: Yes.
25	MR. LEROUX: that was mentioned in here?

1	MR. MANSON: Yes.
2	MR. LEROUX: I didn't even understand "VIP".
3	What that what the meeting was a VIP meeting. It
4	says, "Jacques Leduc who was at the meeting in the island."
5	MR. MANSON: "He was at the meeting in the
6	island." Answer: "Yes."
7	MR. LEROUX: Why would he go to the island?
8	MR. MANSON: Oh, no, that's not
9	MR. LEROUX: I know; it's just
10	MR. MANSON: My question Mr. Leroux
11	MR. LEROUX: this doesn't make sense.
12	MR. MANSON: But my question is first you
13	identify the photograph as Jacques Leduc, right?
14	MR. LEROUX: Just a second. Can you give me
15	a minute?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
17	While we are at it, Mr. Manson. I do not know that has
18	there been any groundwork to show me that the meeting
19	refers to the one we just talked about?
20	MR. MANSON: This is all we have got. And I
21	will come back to that in a second because I appreciate
22	your point.
23	(A SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
24	Perhaps to deal with that first if we
25	could go to the top of the page.

LEROUX

24

25

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

You offer that, Mr. Leroux.

"The morning meeting."

1	MR. LEROUX: I saw him a picture of him
2	on the church at Saint at St. Andrew's church.
3	MR. MANSON: But when Mr. Dunlop starts
4	talking about "the meeting", you answer, "the morning
5	meeting"; correct?
6	MR. LEROUX: What's the morning meeting? I
7	don't understand what the morning meeting what's a
8	morning meeting? I don't know.
9	MR. MANSON: I am suggesting to you that it
10	is the meeting that we just talked about about all the -
11	- VIP means "very important person".
12	MR. LEROUX: I don't understand any of this.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: I think we have had
14	enough for today.
15	MR. MANSON: Okay.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: I think the witness is
17	getting tired.
18	I am tired. And so we will resume tomorrow
19	morning.
20	I think I would like to see the video of the
21	statement he gave to Mr. Dunlop.
22	MR. MANSON: Yes.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: I would like to see the
24	tape that he gave in Orillia where he I think it is in
25	Orillia where he read the statement

1	MR. MANSON: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: And I would also like to
3	hear the audio taped thing. Everything that can be
4	reproduced, I would like I would like to see it, and I
5	would like Mr. Leroux to see it. All right?
6	MR. MANSON: I think that is an
7	excellent idea, Mr. Commissioner.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
9	Mr. Leroux, you will be patient with us. We
10	are going to come back.
11	MS. DALEY: Start time?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry? Well that is what
13	we are going to see here.
14	Can we start at 9 o'clock tomorrow morning?
15	Do you think you can be ready for nine?
16	MR. LEROUX: Yes.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. I do not know
18	what equipment we are going to need to a television I
19	guess to see this. I do not know if the logistics are
20	there, but I would like to see if we can get that done.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I am not sure we can do it
22	for nine o'clock, sir, but we will do it as quickly as we
23	can. We may have to get things from out of the building.
24	I was just looking at the time.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So let us

LEROUX

Cr-Ex(Manson)

1	look at 9:30 then. A 9:30 start. We are not going to rush
2	this. We are not going anywhere.
3	MR. MANSON: I can advise you, Mr.
4	Commissioner, that I only have a few more areas to go
5	through. And they would probably go fairly quickly.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. M'hm. Good; thank
7	you.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So your preference do you
9	want Mr. Manson to start at 9:00, and see if we can get
10	things done? Or
11	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I think that what I
12	want to do is just stop everything right here. Let us look
13	at these things so we can get I can get a picture of
14	this. I think it will help Mr. Leroux it might help Mr.
15	Leroux refresh his memory by seeing this. And then we will

18 MR. MANSON: Fine, Mr. Commissioner.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

20 So we will start at 9:30 and we will see where we go with 21 the videos and things. Thank you.

let Mr. Manson take it from there. Okay? How is that? Is

22 THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;

veuillez vous lever.

that fair?

16

17

24

25

This hearing is adjourned until tomorrow

morning at 9:30 a.m.

1	Upon adjourning at 5:24 p.m./
2	L'audience est ajournée à 17h24
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Marc Demers a certified court reporter inthe Province of
4	Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
5	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
6	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
7	
8	Je, Marc Demers, un sténographe officiel dans la province
9	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
10	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
11	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
12	
13	
14	Mailes
15	
16	Marc Demers, CVR-CM
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	