THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 252

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Friday, July 4 2008 Vendredi, le 4 juillet 2008

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

Ms. Julie Gauthier Registrar

Ms. Maya Hamou Commission Counsel

M^e Simon Ruel

Ms. Reena Lalji Cornwall Community Police

Service and Cornwall Police

Service Board

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

Mr. David Rose Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Mr. Abel Fok Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Mr. Peter Wardle Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Juda Strawczynski

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims' Group

Mr. David Sherriff-Scott Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall

and Bishop Eugene LaRocque

Mr. Mark Ertel The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Doug Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

M^e Danielle Robitaille Mr. Jacques Leduc

Mr. Mark Wallace Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Mr. Ian Paul Coalition for Action

Father Kevin Maloney Father Kevin Maloney

iii

Table of Contents / Table des matières

	Page
List of Exhibits :	iv
FATHER KEVIN MALONEY, Sworn/Assermenté	1
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{e}}$ Simon Ruel	1
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Ian Paul	13
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dallas Lee	42
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Peter Chisholm	63
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. David Rose	67
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. David Sherriff-Scott	72
Re-Examination by/Ré-interrogatoire par Mr. Simon Ruel	91

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-1865	(703819) CAS Report of Father Kevin Maloney	2
P-1866	(100573) Interview Report - Father Kevin Maloney w/ MCC Paul Downing dated 27 Sep 00	6
P-1867	(701068) Memo from Pat Hall to Larry Edgar	75
P-1868	(709456) CICB - Ready for a Hearing - (Alleged) offender	77
P-1869	(709458) Letter from Brian Saunderson to Michelle Babin dated 14 Aug 00	77
P-1870	(709454) Response of Father Kevin Maloney to the Complaint of C15 dated 14 Aug 00	79
P-1871	(109001) Memorandum from Brian Saunderson to File No. 33828-1 (Maloney) dated 22 Sep 00	80
P-1872	(709460) Letter from Brian Saunderson to Michelle Babin dated 26 Sep 00	81
P-1873	(700923) CICB - Police Questionnaire dated 10 Nov 99	82
P-1874	(709462) Letter from Michelle Babin to Brian Saunderson dated 19 Oct 00	84
P-1875	(109042) Affidavit of Father Kevin Maloney sworn 08 Mar 01	85
P-1876	(109016) Notice of Application for Judicial Review dated 09 Mar 01	86
P-1877	(709444) Memo fr C-15 to Bryant Greenbaum	87
P-1878	(709443) Letter from Bryant Greenbaum to C-15 dated 03 Apr 01	88

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-1879	(709442) Letter from C-15 to Bryant Greenbaum dated 03 Apr 01	88
P-1880	(709441) Letter from Bryant Greenbaum to C-15 dated 10 May 01	89
P-1881	(709440) Order dated 05 Jun 01	90
P-1882	(700877) Letter from Bryant Greenbaum to Pat Hall dated 25 Jun 01	90

25

Upon commencing at 9:34 a.m./
L'audience débute à 9h34
THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
veuillez vous lever.
This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Good morning,
all.
Good morning, sir. How are you doing?
FATHER MALONEY: Very good. Thank you.
MR. RUEL: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
FATHER KEVIN MALONEY, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
RUEL (cont'd/suite):
MR. RUEL: Good morning, Father Maloney.
FATHER MALONEY: Good morning.
THE COMMISSIONER: Go ahead.
MR. RUEL: Father, I'd like to come back on
a point we discussed yesterday. We talked about Mr.
Dunlop's legal document which is called "Response to Demand
for Particulars" which was you obtained sometime in
1997, and you've indicated that you and the other priests

named in this document provided this document and others to

1	the Children's Aid Society?
2	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
3	MR. RUEL: And you mentioned that one of the
4	documents you provided to the CAS was a response to the
5	allegations that were made by Mr. Dunlop in this legal
6	document?
7	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
8	MR. RUEL: So we couldn't find this document
9	yesterday, but I have it I believe I have the document
10	now. It's Document 703819.
11	So, Madam Clerk, this is in the cross-
12	examination documents.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1865 is a
15	document titled in handwriting, "CAS Report of Kevin
16	Maloney." I don't see a date on it, so that's the extent
17	of the identification for Exhibit 1865.
18	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1865:
19	(703819) CAS Report of Father Kevin Maloney
20	MR. RUEL: Father, do you recognize this
21	document?
22	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
23	MR. RUEL: And can you explain what this is?
24	FATHER MALONEY: After our meeting with
25	Children's Aid, they asked us to make a response, and I sat

1	down with my lawyer, Mr. Swabey, and we responded to the
2	accusations that were in there and forwarded it to the
3	Children's Aid Society.
4	MR. RUEL: So did you forward that to the
5	CAS?
6	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I did.
7	MR. RUEL: Yourself, personally?
8	FATHER MALONEY: No, Mr. Swabey did.
9	MR. RUEL: Okay. And there's a note at the
10	bottom here, and I believe it's "Ontario Provincial Police,
11	August 1997, Received from Bill Carriere." You know Bill
12	Carriere?
13	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. He is a worker
14	at the Children's Aid Society.
15	MR. RUEL: So it seems that from this note
16	that the document was given to the OPP by the CAS. Is that
17	your understanding?
18	FATHER MALONEY: That was my understanding,
19	yes, sir.
20	MR. RUEL: Did you know that this would
21	happen?
22	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, at our first initial
23	meeting with Mr. Swabey, I was informed that the OPP would
24	be given information that we disclosed.
25	MR. RUEL: Okay. So, Mr. Commissioner,

1	unless I was moving to another
2	THE COMMISSIONER: No, go ahead. I'm sorry.
3	MR. RUEL: subject. Okay.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry. Thank you.
5	MR. RUEL: We talked yesterday about the
6	website or the websites that came up in 2000. Do you
7	recall that?
8	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
9	MR. RUEL: And I just wanted to ask you
10	we covered that subject in part, but you indicated that you
11	did not remove yourself from the parish?
12	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
13	MR. RUEL: Did any of your parishioners make
14	comments to you about this situation?
15	FATHER MALONEY: In what way, sir? You mean
16	negative or positive, or whatever?
17	MR. RUEL: Any comment.
18	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, there were a few
19	people mentioned that they had seen things on the internet.
20	MR. RUEL: Okay. Did you address your
21	parishioners in any way with respect to this matter?
22	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I did. At one point I
23	made a declaration at the end of one of our masses, a whole
24	weekend, and declared to them that I was innocent of the
25	accusations that were on the website.

25

1	MR. RUEL: OKay.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So there was no
3	groundswell of folks asking you to step down?
4	FATHER MALONEY: No.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
6	And there's no groundswell of people on the
7	other side supporting all you, I guess?
8	FATHER MALONEY: I had support from the
9	people.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: There you go. So I guess
11	that's what he was getting at.
12	FATHER MALONEY: Oh, sorry. Yes, I had
13	support from the people. Thank you.
14	MR. RUEL: First of all, during we've
15	established that during that period you were chaplain at
16	the Cornwall Jail; correct?
17	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
18	MR. RUEL: So the jail is under the
19	jurisdiction of the Ministry of Correctional Services or
20	was under the jurisdiction of that Ministry?
21	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
22	MR. RUEL: That's your understanding?
23	You had a meeting with a correctional
24	investigator?

FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I did.

1	MR. RUEL: You recall that?
2	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
3	MR. RUEL: I'd like to show you a document
4	which is already an exhibit.
5	Mr. Commissioner, if you can just give me a
6	second?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
8	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
9	MR. RUEL: Madam Clerk, the Document Number
10	is 100573, but I know this was filed as a I believe this
11	was filed as an exhibit because I think I filed it myself
12	in the context of the evidence of the Ministry of
13	Corrections.
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	MR. RUEL: No? So it's 100573.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 1866 is an
17	Interview Report of the Ministry of Correctional Services
18	for Father Kevin Maloney, and the date is September $27^{\rm th}$,
19	2000.
20	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1866:
21	(100573) Interview Report - Father Kevin
22	Maloney w/MCC Paul Downing, dated September
23	27, 2000
24	MR. RUEL: Father, do you recognize this
25	document?

1	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
2	MR. RUEL: You've reviewed it before?
3	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I have.
4	MR. RUEL: So this is an interview report
5	prepared by Correctional Investigator Paul Downing?
6	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
7	MR. RUEL: And it's dated September 27 th ,
8	2000?
9	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
10	MR. RUEL: So did the meeting with did
11	you meet with Mr. Downing?
12	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I did.
13	MR. RUEL: So the meeting was on September
14	27 th ?
15	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
16	MR. RUEL: And the Mr. Downing met you at
17	the parish, St. Columban's?
18	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, he did.
19	MR. RUEL: So who did Mr. Downing call
20	you for this interview?
21	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, he did.
22	MR. RUEL: And with respect to your position
23	as chaplain, once the allegation came out on the internet,
24	what did you do?
25	FATHER MALONEY: The Superintendent had hold

7

1	me that they had had word about it and I resigned not
2	resigned, took a leave of absence until the issue would be
3	clarified.
4	MR. RUEL: So was that on your was that
5	your own initiative or you were asked to step down until
6	the matter
7	FATHER MALONEY: I was not asked; it was on
8	my own initiative.
9	MR. RUEL: So what did Mr. Downing what
10	was the purpose of meeting?
11	FATHER MALONEY: To find clarification on
12	the accusations that were made against me because they had
13	appeared on the web.
14	MR. RUEL: So he asked you I'm not going
15	to go through that, but he asked you questions about the
16	allegations; you responded?
17	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
18	MR. RUEL: And at the third page of the
19	document well, before that, the way it happened, I see
20	here some handwritten notes on the document which seems to
21	be corrections. So did you were you given the
22	opportunity to review the document before signing it?
23	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I was.
24	MR. RUEL: Yes. And you signed it at the
25	last page?

1	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I did.
2	MR. RUEL: And at page 3 of the interview,
3	you mention after a question from Mr. Downing asking you if
4	you had any further comments, you say:
5	"I have found this whole matter to be
6	very trying and unsettling. It has
7	called my reputation in the community
8	and in the jail in question that's
9	compromised my ability to minister to
10	my parish and at the jail."
11	Can you explain here what's the what was
12	the issue in terms of compromising your ability to do your
13	work?
14	FATHER MALONEY: Part of my work as a priest
15	is to be a person with integrity and if my integrity is
16	being challenged, then every time I face people, whether it
17	was in the pulpit or especially in the jail, my integrity,
18	if it was questioned, my effectiveness was zilch.
19	MR. RUEL: So following this interview here
20	on September 27, 2000, what happened with respect to the
21	your position at the jail?
22	FATHER MALONEY: I didn't hear anything for
23	a long period of time and then I was called by the
24	Superintendent and asked me to come in to deal with a case
25	that he wanted me to deal with. I asked if it was okay to

1	come back in to the institution and he said yes.
2	MR. RUEL: So how long after was that?
3	FATHER MALONEY: I can't be certain at this
4	time.
5	MR. RUEL: Okay. Did you hear from Mr.
6	Downing after this interview?
7	FATHER MALONEY: No, not to my remembrance.
8	MR. RUEL: Do you have any concern with
9	respect to the way this matter was handled by the Ministry
10	of Corrections and the jail authorities?
11	FATHER MALONEY: No, not so ever.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir, you stepped down or
13	you withdrew from the jail
14	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: but you didn't
16	withdraw from your parish?
17	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you explain why you
19	did one and not the other?
20	FATHER MALONEY: Yes. Because if you're in
21	a jail situation and if you get labelled as a child
22	molester you are not safe in a jail, sir.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so it was your
24	safety.
25	MR. RUEL: Now, Father, moving to the

1	second-to-last subject, we talked about Father Deslauriers?
2	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
3	MR. RUEL: We talked a little bit about
4	Charles MacDonald?
5	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
6	MR. RUEL: So and the allegations that
7	were made against them.
8	Do you know or did you know before this
9	came out publicly any other did you know of any other
10	allegation of abuse on the part of priests within the
11	Diocese on young people, allegations of abuse or sexual
12	abuse, had you heard about such allegations before?
13	FATHER MALONEY: No, sir.
14	MR. RUEL: No allegation, no rumours against
15	anyone?
16	FATHER MALONEY: Certainly no allegations.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, what about rumours?
18	FATHER MALONEY: Rumours, they were all over
19	town.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay no, no, no, I
21	think then we should be more precise. Get away from the
22	website.
23	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Get away from any from
25	the website, from Mr. Dunlop or anything like that.

1	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So before all of that
3	broke
4	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: were there any
6	rumours circulating
7	FATHER MALONEY: No.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: that you're aware of?
9	FATHER MALONEY: No.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	MR. RUEL: My last area of questioning is
12	very short, is to ask you to describe, if any, the impact
13	on yourself and on your career that those allegations and
14	all the events that followed had on you and your career?
15	FATHER MALONEY: As you pointed out in that
16	last document, the allegations had affected my integrity
17	and as a result I found myself under a tremendous amount of
18	anxiety and stress. Sometimes it was difficult to perform
19	my ministry, especially in front of large crowds, not
20	knowing who or what they believed.
21	And I think on the positive side it affected
22	me in that it made me become less independent; I had to
23	become more reliance (sic) on prayer. I had to depend upon
24	the support that I received from family, from friends, and
25	from parishioners. So it had the negative and the

1	positive.
2	MR. RUEL: Thank you, Father, those are my
3	questions.
4	FATHER MALONEY: Thank you.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you have any
6	recommendations you'd like to make at this time?
7	FATHER MALONEY: No. Because we're looking
8	at an institution, I will deal with the bishop and then
9	perhaps he can make the recommendations for the whole
10	institution.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Fine.
12	FATHER MALONEY: Thank you.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
14	MR. WARDLE: Father Maloney, my name is
15	Peter Wardle and I'm here for an organization called
16	Citizens for Community Renewal. We have no questions for
17	you today, sir, but my client wanted you to know that we
18	appreciate that all you have been through and we thank
19	you for coming forward.
20	FATHER MALONEY: Thank you.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Paul?
22	MR. PAUL: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning.
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
25	PAUL:

1	MR. PAUL: Good morning, Father Maloney, my
2	name is Ian Paul. I appear for a citizen's group by the
3	name of the Coalition for Action.
4	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
5	MR. PAUL: And I have a few questions for
6	you.
7	I thought I'd start with an area that should
8	be fresh in your mind, an area that you just covered this
9	morning which is the area of the announcement at the church
10	where you denied the allegations at the church.
11	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
12	MR. PAUL: I just have a few questions on
13	that issue.
14	I understand that was towards the end of a
15	church ceremony?
16	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, it was.
17	MR. PAUL: Just first of all, in terms of
18	the denial, I just wanted to ask you, is it just an
19	indication that it's not true or do you go into any level
20	of the details, explaining? Just for example, I think in
21	that matter one of the matters was the issue with
22	respect to whether you were ever at Alfred Training Centre.
23	Was that one of the topics that would have been
24	FATHER MALONEY: It was a general
25	declaration that the statements on the websites were not

1	true.
2	MR. PAUL: All right so
3	FATHER MALONEY: I did not go into details.
4	MR. PAUL: So it wouldn't been any details
5	to say why it wasn't true or anything to that effect?
6	FATHER MALONEY: No.
7	MR. PAUL: Did it ever go any further than
8	that, for example, any other meetings, church council
9	meetings where the issue was raised in more detail?
10	FATHER MALONEY: No.
11	MR. PAUL: Or was it left at that?
12	FATHER MALONEY: It was left at that.
13	MR. PAUL: Now, in terms of the approach of
14	announcing it in public, first of all, was that a decision
15	on your own or did you have to consult with a higher
16	authority, such as the bishop, to deal with that issue in a
17	public way?
18	FATHER MALONEY: We had a meeting of all
19	those who had been accused on the web and we agreed that we
20	would all make an announcement or a declaration on the same
21	Sunday.
22	MR. PAUL: And was it the was it the
23	public nature of it being widespread on the internet that
24	sort of precipitated a decision, oh, we better go public as
25	well?

1	FATHER MALONEY: Definitely.
2	MR. PAUL: And would you agree that perhaps
3	in a situation where a priest is denying the allegation
4	that the public announcement is probably the best way to
5	proceed or would it depend on the circumstances to you?
6	FATHER MALONEY: I would believe it would
7	depend on the circumstances.
8	MR. PAUL: I want to go back to another area
9	in relation to some evidence you gave yesterday in relation
10	to receiving transfers of priests from other areas.
11	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
12	MR. PAUL: And I believe in the late '80s
13	you were involved in assisting in preparation of a policy
14	in relation to accepting priests from other areas?
15	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
16	MR. PAUL: And would understand that that
17	would have been around '89 that that was approved. Would
18	that be
19	FATHER MALONEY: I believe so, yes, sir.
20	MR. PAUL: And would you agree that perhaps
21	one of the reasons of drafting a policy is it was probably
22	believed at the time that the decision to accept a priest
23	was a significant decision?
24	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I would agree.
25	MR. PAUL: In the sense that a priest can

1	play an important or significant role in the community?
2	FATHER MALONEY: Definitely.
3	MR. PAUL: And also in the sense that the
4	Bishop generally makes a decision on accepting a priest, it
5	wouldn't be the local church or the parishioners?
6	FATHER MALONEY: No. In our tradition it is
7	a bishop who will give jurisdiction to a priest to work in
8	a diocese.
9	MR. PAUL: So the need for the policy was in
10	part because there's a lot of responsibility on the Diocese
11	and the Bishop?
12	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
13	MR. PAUL: And on that issue, I take it that
14	the final word is up to the Bishop whether he accepts or
15	doesn't accept a priest?
16	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
17	MR. PAUL: And for example, if a bishop
18	feels that he doesn't have enough information on a priest
19	on his background then he can simply say no, I don't accept
20	the priest?
21	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
22	MR. PAUL: And I would understand that the
23	policy looked at factors such as a reference from some
24	higher authority in the other jurisdiction; that was one
25	requirement?

1	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
2	MR. PAUL: And also it suggested that there
3	be an interview of the incoming priest?
4	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
5	MR. PAUL: I just wanted to ask you, is it
6	fair to say that the policy didn't specifically say that
7	the personnel file from that priest would come from the
8	other jurisdiction, that that would be a requirement?
9	FATHER MALONEY: It didn't say that, no,
10	sir.
11	MR. PAUL: It didn't the policy didn't
12	specifically deal with situations where the priest from the
13	other area had some form of allegation of sexual abuse?
14	FATHER MALONEY: It didn't say specifically.
15	It was implied by the letter of recommendation.
16	MR. PAUL: All right.
17	So basically the main factor would be the
18	recommendation from the other jurisdiction
19	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
20	MR. PAUL: but it didn't necessarily
21	require documentation or a personnel file to come from that
22	area?
23	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
24	MR. PAUL: Or, for example, if a priest from
25	another area had been at a treatment facility such as

1	Southdown the policy didn't require that an assessment
2	report be sent to the new jurisdiction?
3	FATHER MALONEY: It wasn't in the policy and
4	I don't know what the practice was in Diocese for that.
5	MR. PAUL: So you don't know if there was ar
6	informal practice to seek those documents?
7	FATHER MALONEY: That's right, I don't know.
8	MR. PAUL: Now, as far as an incoming
9	priest, if there was some issue with respect to an
10	allegation of sexual abuse, I understand the policy didn't
11	indicate that the parishioners of the parish in this
12	jurisdiction would receive any information on it?
13	FATHER MALONEY: It wasn't in the policy at
14	that time.
15	MR. PAUL: And, for example, if there was a
16	credible allegation of sexual abuse in relation to a priest
17	coming from another jurisdiction to this area and what I
18	mean by credible, as an example I'd suggest a priest who
19	had been convicted of an allegation or who had admitted an
20	allegation of sexual abuse, something beyond just rumour or
21	gossip but something credible in that nature the policy
22	didn't require that type of information to be told to
23	parishioners in this area; correct?
24	FATHER MALONEY: That was not part of the
25	policy.

1	MR. PAUL: Do you know if that's still the
2	situation today, if an incoming priest or there is such an
3	issue like that, are the parishioners told today? To your
4	knowledge, is the policy any different?
5	FATHER MALONEY: I believe the policy is
6	different now from they are trying to apply From Pain to
7	Hope, which was a document put out by the CCCB, Canadian
8	Catholic Conference of Bishops.
9	MR. PAUL: Would parishioners be advised
10	today in those circumstances or given any information on
11	the incoming priest?
12	FATHER MALONEY: I am not aware. To my
13	knowledge it's not happened in my experience so I don't
14	know.
15	MR. PAUL: So that would be an issue perhaps
16	the Bishop would
17	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
18	MR. PAUL: That's their position?
19	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, thank you.
20	MR. PAUL: I have a few questions in
21	relation to Father Deslauriers. Now, I believe there was
22	some documentation shown in relation to, I believe, Senate
23	meetings where the topic of Father Deslauriers may have
24	come up?
25	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.

1	MR. PAUL: And you were part of the
2	meetings, the Senate meetings?
3	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
4	MR. PAUL: Now, in terms of the Deslauriers
5	case, you're aware that ultimately there was a conviction
6	in criminal court?
7	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I am aware of that.
8	MR. PAUL: And to your knowledge, as the
9	Deslauriers case proceeded was it always prior to there
10	being a conviction in criminal court, it was never your
11	impression that the allegations were totally false against
12	Father Deslauriers?
13	FATHER MALONEY: It was never discussed at
14	any meeting that I was at.
15	MR. PAUL: The strength of the allegations
16	was never discussed?
17	FATHER MALONEY: That's right.
18	MR. PAUL: Now, would you agree that when an
19	allegation in the nature of, for as an example the
20	Deslauriers case, an allegation is being brought forward
21	that perhaps there is would you agree there is some
22	obligation on the Diocese to look carefully at balancing
23	the interests of the priest and also the alleged victim who
24	may be a parishioner? There's some
25	FATHER MALONEY: Most definitely.

1	MR. PAUL: So it's a difficult situation
2	because the Diocese won't necessarily want to either
3	abandon the priest or abandon an alleged victim who may be
4	a parishioner?
5	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
6	MR. PAUL: Now, I was going to ask you about
7	a document, I believe it's Exhibit 58.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. What tab, sir?
9	MR. PAUL: I have it as page 5, Exhibit 58,
10	and it's 600237. Tab 5 I'm told.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Tab 5.
12	MR. PAUL: I believe it's page 5. It may be
13	the last page possibly.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
15	MR. PAUL: Now, the top of page 5 do you
16	have the top of page 5?
17	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
18	MR. PAUL: And I think you were asked about
19	this. Am I correct in recalling that when you were asked
20	about this you didn't have a very good recollection of the
21	discussion about the law of privilege?
22	FATHER MALONEY: No, I knew we knew about
23	the law of privilege. I believe the question was asked if
24	I recollected what the conversation was around this and I
25	don't know what the conversation was around that particular

1	thing. One of the disadvantages, the minutes are not clear
2	on what the conversation was, but about the law of
3	privilege I think I'm aware of that.
4	MR. PAUL: But do you recall a topic of
5	privilege being discussed in context of Father Deslauriers?
6	FATHER MALONEY: I do not recall that.
7	MR. PAUL: Do you recall Father Deslauriers'
8	case being discussed at the Senate meeting?
9	FATHER MALONEY: His particular case would
10	not have been discussed because that would have been a
11	personnel matter, but the fallout of his case may have been
12	discussed.
13	MR. PAUL: Okay. Page 5 seems to have a
14	topic "L'affaire du Père Deslauriers."
15	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
16	MR. PAUL: I mean, is that an error, in that
17	Father Deslauriers wasn't discussed as a topic, because it
18	seems to imply that that's a topic heading?
19	FATHER MALONEY: It would have been the
20	fallout from that case not the actual details of what went
21	on with that case.
22	MR. PAUL: And you don't recall the issue of
23	privilege at all being discussed?
24	FATHER MALONEY: We did discuss where
25	privilege lay and one of the things that I remember now,

1	that's the problem. Do I remember it from that meeting or
2	other meetings, but we did discuss the question of
3	privilege.
4	MR. PAUL: But was there some discussion
5	suggesting that there was a hesitancy to hold meetings
6	because of the somehow the law of privilege might result
7	in the information going to the authorities to the
8	detriment of Father Deslauriers? Is that
9	FATHER MALONEY: No. No, it wasn't to do
10	with that at all, as far as I remember.
11	MR. PAUL: Was there some hesitancy to
12	discuss the topic of Father Deslauriers because of the law
13	of privilege?
14	FATHER MALONEY: No.
15	MR. PAUL: And can you assist in why the
16	topic of privilege was discussed at all?
17	FATHER MALONEY: It was to see where our
18	obligations were to report incidents.
19	MR. PAUL: Can you assist in why the minutes
20	would say:
21	"According to the Canadian law, we have
22	no privilege."
23	Why that would have been discussed at the
24	meeting?
25	FATHER MALONEY: It would be along the line

I	of a league a lawyer has a client you know, call it
2	patients/clients, whatever, privilege, we do not enjoy
3	that. So if someone comes to us and admits that they have
4	something, we have an obligation to report.
5	MR. PAUL: All right. So it's in context of
6	information coming and it being a duty to report?
7	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
8	MR. PAUL: Was that topic of privilege
9	discussed in the context of confessions or was it not in
10	the context of confessions?
11	FATHER MALONEY: Not in the context of
12	confession.
13	MR. PAUL: So the comment about privilege
14	was not applicable to confessions. Were you still of the
15	view that if a priest gave some admission with respect to
16	sexual misconduct in a confession that there was not a duty
17	to report?
18	FATHER MALONEY: That wasn't discussed to my
19	knowledge.
20	MR. PAUL: Do you have a view in relation to
21	or are there instructions given within the Diocese in
22	relation to whether there's a duty to report if the
23	revelation of sexual abuse comes in the context of a
24	confession?
25	FATHER MALONEY: It's not a diocesan policy.

1	It is a church policy universal. What happens in
2	confession stays in confession.
3	MR. PAUL: So had there been comments
4	admitting sexual abuse from the context of confession, your
5	position would be there would not be a duty to report?
6	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
7	MR. PAUL: I want to go back to another
8	area.
9	The John MacDonald you testified in
10	relation to John MacDonald; he is the individual that sent
11	you the letter?
12	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
13	MR. PAUL: And I wanted to ask you some
14	questions about Exhibit 235 which is Document 120888.
15	This wouldn't be a document prepared by you,
16	obviously, but it's one you were shown yesterday. It's a
17	police occurrence report about the telephone calls
18	involving Mr. Silmser and Mr. MacDonald?
19	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
20	MR. PAUL: Now, I understand from your
21	evidence yesterday that Mr. MacDonald's contact by phone
22	was only phone messages; correct?
23	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, though Canpage.
24	MR. PAUL: But you indicated that Mr.
25	Silmser there was an actual person-to-person

1	communication between him and you?
2	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, there was.
3	MR. PAUL: And I believe on the occurrence
4	report it seems to indicate:
5	"Father Maloney, in the presence of his
6	lawyer, Sean Adams, advised writer that
7	he has received two phones calls
8	through his answering service from a
9	David Silmser as well as one from John
10	MacDonald."
11	It didn't the occurrence report doesn't
12	seem to suggest that they were actual person-to-person
13	calls, it seems to suggest they were all calls on an
14	answering service?
15	FATHER MALONEY: There was one person-to-
16	person call.
17	MR. PAUL: And are there any answer are
18	there any messages left by Mr. Silmser?
19	FATHER MALONEY: I can't remember right now
20	sir.
21	MR. PAUL: Is it your recollection that Mr.
22	Silmser's contact is only an actual phone call where he
23	talks to you?
24	FATHER MALONEY: No. It is not my
25	recollection. I don't know whether there was others.

1	MR. PAUL: Well, the only actual messages
2	you remember are messages from John MacDonald?
3	FATHER MALONEY: John and David Silmser.
4	According to here, there were calls from both.
5	MR. PAUL: Messages?
6	FATHER MALONEY: Messages.
7	MR. PAUL: All right.
8	FATHER MALONEY: But I only remember talking
9	to David Silmser.
10	MR. PAUL: Okay. Now, what was the length
11	of the phone call of Mr. Silmser?
12	FATHER MALONEY: Maybe four-to-five minutes.
13	MR. PAUL: Okay. And the topic, is it Mr.
14	MacDonald's situation? Is that why he's calling? Or is it
15	something unrelated?
16	FATHER MALONEY: It was the whole
17	allegations.
18	MR. PAUL: Does Mr. MacDonald come up in the
19	phone call or do you remember?
20	FATHER MALONEY: I do not remember.
21	MR. PAUL: So it's possible I mean, it's
22	possible then that Mr. MacDonald is even discussed by Mr.
23	Silmser? Is that
24	FATHER MALONEY: I don't remember what was
25	discussed at that particular time, sir.

1	MR. PAUL: All right.
2	Now, Mr. MacDonald initially sends a letter
3	to you; correct?
4	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, he did.
5	MR. PAUL: And you didn't take any objection
6	or you don't feel threatened by that letter?
7	FATHER MALONEY: No.
8	MR. PAUL: And do you feel the letter is
9	appropriate in the sense that you do respond to it with
10	your own letter?
11	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
12	MR. PAUL: Is that so you don't have any
13	objection that you'd want to take to the police in relation
14	to the letter? There's nothing that you object to in
15	relation to the letter?
16	FATHER MALONEY: No.
17	MR. PAUL: When you write back sorry,
18	just one other question. Mr. MacDonald is someone you
19	never met or knew before that?
20	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
21	MR. PAUL: And as far as Mr. MacDonald's
22	familiarity with diocese policies, you had no idea whether
23	he was familiar with the policies or not?
24	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
25	MR. PAUL: Then you had to assume that he

1	wasn't and for that reason you sent him a copy of the
2	policy?
3	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
4	MR. PAUL: Now, you do reply by
5	correspondence to him; correct?
6	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
7	MR. PAUL: I believe that's Exhibit 203, if
8	I could show the witness that?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
10	MR. PAUL: Page I believe it's page
11	1098311.
12	FATHER MALONEY: What tab am I looking at,
13	sir?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Two-zero-three (203).
15	FATHER MALONEY: Sorry.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: No, that's fine. It's on
17	the screen. It's your letter of
18	FATHER MALONEY: Oh.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: to return
20	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
21	MR. PAUL: Now, you indicate in the
22	correspondence you'd be forwarding it to the authorities?
23	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
24	MR. PAUL: And I believe is there another
25	part towards the end of that towards the bottom of the

1	page?
2	Okay. Now, with this, you attach a copy of
3	the policy; correct?
4	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
5	MR. PAUL: Now, you don't make any specific
6	reference to who Mr. MacDonald might contact if he wants
7	any kind of help or assistance in the Diocese?
8	FATHER MALONEY: No.
9	MR. PAUL: Are you
10	FATHER MALONEY: Only what I said in the
11	letter, sir.
12	MR. PAUL: Okay. Are you assuming that
13	he'll find that in the policy if he wants to do that?
14	FATHER MALONEY: It is there in the policy.
15	It's number five in the policy.
16	MR. PAUL: Okay. Perhaps if we could go to
17	the next page; I believe that's the policy? And you're
18	talking about phase five, offering of help?
19	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, sir.
20	MR. PAUL: "Offering to help"?
21	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, sir.
22	MR. PAUL: And it indicates that depending
23	on the circumstances, help and support is offered to the
24	alleged victim and his family taking into consideration the
25	guidelines given by the Children's Aid Society and by the

1	police?
2	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, sir.
3	MR. PAUL: Now, while phase four above
4	refers to the Bishop, phase five doesn't necessarily make
5	it clear who's responsible for the "offering to help"?
6	FATHER MALONEY: It is a document by the
7	Diocese so that the person would then, I would presume,
8	look into the Diocese to find that information.
9	MR. PAUL: Is the person responsible for
10	providing help is, in fact, the Bishop?
11	FATHER MALONEY: No. That's was the
12	situation that we did not want when this policy was
13	developed, we did not want the Bishop to be the determining
14	factor, that it should go through the appropriate
15	investigation forms.
16	MR. PAUL: Okay. So Mr. MacDonald was to
17	contact somebody. Who would be the correct person for him
18	to contact about getting help?
19	FATHER MALONEY: Getting help? If he
20	applied for the help, then if as long as it wasn't
21	deemed as interference with investigations then the help
22	would be provided.
23	MR. PAUL: And that would and his point
24	of contact would be who? If he was the first person he
25	would contact in the Diocese?

1	FATHER MALONEY: I really don't know. It's
2	not clearly stipulated in the policy.
3	MR. PAUL: All right. So you read it, the
4	combination of your letter and the policy, might still
5	leave some confusion to somebody like Mr. MacDonald about
6	who he might want to contact?
7	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
8	MR. PAUL: And when you received phone
9	messages from Mr. MacDonald, it was just a phone number, no
10	indication of what the message was?
11	FATHER MALONEY: Just the phone messages
12	through Canpage.
13	MR. PAUL: So it would be
14	FATHER MALONEY: So there would be no text
15	messages if that's what the term is. There wouldn't be any
16	of that. It would be just John Mr. John MacDonald or
17	John MacDonald and the phone number.
18	MR. PAUL: Did you consider before calling
19	the police that perhaps Mr. MacDonald might be trying to
20	contact you to get clarification on where to go?
21	FATHER MALONEY: No, I did not consider
22	that.
23	MR. PAUL: At this point, the post office
24	had given you a copy of the last page of his letter?
25	THE COMMISSIONER: No.

1	FATHER MALONEY: First page.
2	MR. PAUL: Sorry, the first page. That was
3	the one that was left in the photocopier?
4	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: And so it was an
6	original, for what it's worth, not a copy?
7	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, sir.
8	MR. PAUL: And did Mr. MacDonald ever seek
9	that back from you?
10	FATHER MALONEY: No.
11	MR. PAUL: And you never tried to contact
12	him to give that back to him?
13	FATHER MALONEY: No.
14	MR. PAUL: It didn't cross your mind that he
15	might be trying to call you to get that back?
16	FATHER MALONEY: No.
17	MR. PAUL: Do you think, under the
18	circumstances, the phone message do you agree that the
19	letter from Mr. MacDonald wasn't threatening to you?
20	FATHER MALONEY: It certainly wasn't
21	threatening to me, no.
22	MR. PAUL: And there was nothing about the
23	phone messages certainly, there was no actual message
24	other than the name and the phone number, so there was
25	nothing threatening in that?

1	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
2	MR. PAUL: Did you not consider that it
3	might have been appropriate either for you to call back or
4	Sean Adams to call back and just find out what Mr.
5	MacDonald wanted?
6	FATHER MALONEY: I remember that I did not
7	want to appear to be interfering with the investigation
8	and, therefore, that's why I sought advice whether I should
9	call back.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: And that advice you
11	sought was from Sean Adams?
12	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
13	MR. PAUL: You weren't influenced in any way
14	somehow by being hostile towards Mr. MacDonald because of
15	police said he was connected to Mr. Silmser?
16	FATHER MALONEY: No.
17	MR. PAUL: I want to ask you a couple of
18	questions in relation to your interaction with the
19	authorities and I understand that you turned over, for
20	example, the Children's Aid that you turned over website
21	information as an example?
22	FATHER MALONEY: Not website, I turned over
23	the disclosure from Perry Dunlop's lawsuit and the
24	documents that were connected to that.
25	MR. PAUL: The civil litigation claim?

1	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
2	MR. PAUL: Right. In relation to the
3	Children's Aid Society, did they ever ask you if you were
4	prepared to give over your personnel file?
5	FATHER MALONEY: My own personnel file?
6	MR. PAUL: Yes.
7	FATHER MALONEY: No.
8	MR. PAUL: That wasn't asked by the
9	Children's Aid?
10	FATHER MALONEY: It wasn't asked to me.
11	MR. PAUL: Okay. Was it ever asked by the
12	OPP; did they ever ask you to turn over your personnel
13	file?
14	FATHER MALONEY: I don't have a personnel
15	file. The Diocese has a personnel file on me. I'm an
16	employee of the Diocese.
17	MR. PAUL: What I'm asking is were you ever
18	asked by authorities such as OPP or Children's Aid whether
19	you'd consent to the Diocese turning over your personnel
20	file?
21	FATHER MALONEY: No, I was not; not to my
22	recollection.
23	MR. PAUL: Okay. And you were never asked
24	by the Bishop anything in relation to that?
25	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Mr. Commissioner, maybe

1	he should be asked if he knows because there's a whole
2	record of inter-relations between the OPP and the Diocese
3	in disclosure of all this kind of things.
4	If the witness may be just asked if he knows
5	about those interactions so we can there are going to be
6	OPP witnesses. There will be Diocese witnesses. They'll
7	all talk about this and that might be of assistance.
8	MR. PAUL: Are you, yourself, aware whether
9	any information of your personnel file was turned over or
10	whether it was requested?
11	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, it was.
12	MR. PAUL: Okay. It was requested by whom?
13	FATHER MALONEY: It was requested, I know,
14	by the OPP.
15	MR. PAUL: Okay. And at what stage was
16	that?
17	FATHER MALONEY: I don't know.
18	MR. PAUL: And that would have been a
19	request to the Diocese?
20	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, it was.
21	MR. PAUL: Now, you were asked by Commission
22	counsel a number of points about your OPP interview and
23	questions that were asked in the OPP interview. And I
24	think you were asked about by the OPP about any
25	association with Ken Seguin?

1	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
2	MR. PAUL: And with respect to Ken Seguin,
3	did the OPP did you indicate to the OPP that there was
4	some family connection with Ken Seguin?
5	FATHER MALONEY: There is an interfamily
6	connection, yes.
7	MR. PAUL: And what is that connection?
8	FATHER MALONEY: Okay. My sister's husband
9	is his sister is married to Ken Seguin's brother.
10	MR. PAUL: Right.
11	FATHER MALONEY: And in St. Andrews, that
12	means we're kissing cousins. There is actually no
13	relationship.
14	MR. PAUL: Yes.
15	FATHER MALONEY: But there is an interfamily
16	connection.
17	MR. PAUL: Yes, and you were also asked
18	whether there was any connection in the Church, and I think
19	you indicated that he was a member of the Knights of
20	Columbus?
21	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
22	MR. PAUL: And that was is that at your
23	parish or another parish?
24	FATHER MALONEY: No. Council 755. It's
25	at that time, it was a citywide council.

1	MR. PAUL: All right. And you knew him
2	through that?
3	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
4	MR. PAUL: But you indicated to the OPP that
5	you denied attendance at his residence in Summerstown?
6	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
7	MR. PAUL: You were also asked, I believe,
8	by the OPP in relation to Malcolm MacDonald, and I think
9	again you indicated that your position was that you
10	denied attending at his residence or cottage?
11	FATHER MALONEY: Never was near his cottage.
12	MR. PAUL: But he was someone, you
13	indicated, who was known to you all your life?
14	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
15	MR. PAUL: And he was prominent in your
16	church; was he?
17	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, he was.
18	MR. PAUL: Now, Charles MacDonald, would the
19	two of you at some point share the same parish?
20	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, we did.
21	MR. PAUL: And what period would that be?
22	FATHER MALONEY: That would be '73-4, about
23	'73 or '74.
24	MR. PAUL: And were you also at some point
25	both responsible for English youth at one point?

1	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, we were.
2	MR. PAUL: And what period of time was that?
3	FATHER MALONEY: I would have to look at my
4	Curriculum Vitae, but it would be in the late '70s or early
5	'80s, I would believe.
6	MR. PAUL: I want to ask you a couple of
7	points about your contact with Paul Downing involvement at
8	the jail.
9	Now, Paul Downing, when he interviewed you,
10	did he discuss anything other than the allegations at the
11	jail; did he question you about some unrelated incident
12	involving a guard and an inmate?
13	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, he did.
14	MR. PAUL: And what was the purpose of that
15	coming up? Why would that come up?
16	FATHER MALONEY: Probably because he was in
17	town and he was killing two birds with one stone.
18	MR. PAUL: And was that a formal
19	investigation, the discussion about the other guard?
20	FATHER MALONEY: He just asked me to make a
21	statement about it. If that's formal, yes.
22	MR. PAUL: Okay. The reason I'm asking
23	that, I believe in the materials, at one point, there's a
24	suggestion that a guard overheard C-15 at the jail speaking
25	

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Excuse me. Excuse me.
2	MR. PAUL: Oh, sorry.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: We should look at the
4	monikers.
5	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: (off mic) You may not
6	want to now articulate the moniker since
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, you're right. For
8	the record, could the record just delete the name and put
9	in the moniker, which we will not name right now but
10	integrate it later I guess.
11	So let's continue on the questioning; the
12	guard and an inmate.
13	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
14	MR. PAUL: There was a guard who indicated
15	they overheard an inmate talking about your case?
16	FATHER MALONEY: That wasn't the with the
17	Downing interview. The Downing interview was another
18	subject completely.
19	MR. PAUL: All right. I just want to ask
20	you; the guard who overheard the inmate, is that the same
21	guard that Mr. Downing was talking about?
22	FATHER MALONEY: No, it wasn't.
23	MR. PAUL: It was totally unrelated?
24	FATHER MALONEY: That's right.
25	MR. PAUL: Thank you.

1	Those are my questions, Mr. Commissioner.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
3	MR. PAUL: Thank you.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Lee? While we are
5	waiting for Mr. Lee, now that we know that you are closely
6	related to Mr. Seguin. So now the serious question though
7	in family circle were you ever in family reunions where
8	he would be there as well?
9	FATHER MALONEY: No.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Through the
11	family, had you ever heard of anything about Mr. Seguin's
12	sexual activities?
13	FATHER MALONEY: No.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: No rumours in the family
15	at all about anything suspicious or anything like that
16	about his sexual activities?
17	FATHER MALONEY: None whatsoever.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	Mr. Lee.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. LEE
21	MR. LEE: Father Maloney, my name is Dallas
22	Lee. I'm counsel for the Victims Group. I have a few
23	different areas that I want to touch on with you. I don't
24	expect to be terribly lengthy.
25	If we can start, please, with Exhibit 1858?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: One-eight-five-eight
2	(1858)?
3	MR. LEE: Yes. These are minutes of a
4	Senate meeting.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Okay.
6	MR. LEE: Do you have that, sir?
7	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
8	MR. LEE: You were asked about this document
9	in-chief by Mr. Ruel, and if you turn to the fourth page
10	briefly, you'll see that we find the criteria for accepting
11	ordained priests to the Diocese.
12	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: And you told us that these were
14	the criteria that you drafted, but that you were not
15	specifically involved in the process whereby they were
16	adopted and accepted. Is that correct?
17	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
18	MR. LEE: And one of the questions Mr. Ruel
19	asked you was whether or not there had been any changes
20	from your draft to this version here which was ultimately
21	adopted, and you told us you didn't know.
22	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
23	MR. LEE: Can I get you to turn back a
24	couple of pages to the second page of the document, please?
25	And if you look at the second paragraph, it reads:

"Criteria for Accepting Candidates and Ordained Priests to

1	the Diocese"?
2	FATHER MALONEY: I'm not sure. I
3	MR. LEE: Do you recall in your draft
4	whether or not as I understand it, as it's drafted now,
5	this relates to essentially lateral transfers, established
6	priests coming from elsewhere?
7	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
8	MR. LEE: As opposed to candidates which
9	would be new priests entering the Diocese for the first
10	time?
11	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
12	MR. LEE: Do you recall whether or not your
13	initial criteria would have dealt with both of those
14	categories?
15	FATHER MALONEY: I can't recall.
16	MR. LEE: Leaving that question aside, do
17	you know whether or not there is a separate document
18	dealing with new candidates?
19	FATHER MALONEY: No, I do not know.
20	MR. LEE: It was nothing you drafted
21	anyways?
22	FATHER MALONEY: No.
23	MR. LEE: And nothing you were involved with
24	at any point?
25	FATHER MALONEY: No.

1	MR. LEE: Can I have you take a look,
2	please, at Exhibit 1861? It should probably be in that
3	same binder.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: It should be just a few
5	tabs past there.
6	FATHER MALONEY: Oh, sorry.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: One-eight-six-one (1861)
8	yes.
9	FATHER MALONEY: Yes. Thank you.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MR. LEE: Do you have that, sir?
12	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
13	MR. LEE: And this is your document dated
14	May 20 th , 1997 written to Richard Abell. Is that correct?
15	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
16	MR. LEE: And you've set out there the
17	various documents that you sent, and I'm concerned with
18	number 4, being the statement of Gerald Wesley Renshaw,
19	dated at the end, December 05, 1996. Do you see that?
20	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
21	MR. LEE: And one of the things that you
22	told Mr. Ruel in-chief yesterday in the context of these
23	documents is that you had turned over a number of them to
24	the CAS and that they all made allegations of a sexual
25	nature against you.

1	Do you recall that evidence?
2	FATHER MALONEY: That I said they all
3	MR. LEE: Yes.
4	FATHER MALONEY: made they weren't
5	all about me.
6	MR. LEE: Let me take you to the transcript
7	reference. If we can look at Volume 251, please, Madam
8	Clerk? That's yesterday's transcript.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Go ahead.
10	FATHER MALONEY: So what am I looking at,
11	sir?
12	MR. LEE: Page 105, please, towards the
13	back.
14	FATHER MALONEY: One-zero-five (105). Okay.
15	MR. LEE: And the context here, Mr. Ruel is
16	asking you about the documents that you sent to the CAS as
17	reflected in this statement, and if you begin reading at
18	line 3, and if you can read down to line through to line
19	19 just to yourself, please?
20	FATHER MALONEY: So you're asking me to read
21	from line 3 down?
22	MR. LEE: Yes.
23	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
24	MR. LEE: Please.
25	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
2	MR. LEE: You have that, sir?
3	FATHER MALONEY: Yeah.
4	MR. LEE: And so the section that I'm
5	referring to is beginning at line 13 where Mr. Ruel asks:
6	"In a statement from a person named
7	Gerry Renshaw Gerald Renshaw?"
8	You agree:
9	"That's correct."
10	Mr. Ruel follows up with:
11	"All making allegations against you
12	_
13	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
14	MR. RUEL: of a sexual nature?
15	FATHER MALONEY: Yes."
16	MR. LEE: And I just want to clarify the
17	record. If we can look very briefly at Exhibit 548, which
18	is the statement referred to by Gerald Renshaw? I don't
19	think you'll have that one in any of your binders.
20	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
21	MR. LEE: We'll bring it to you.
22	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
23	MR. LEE: Are you there, sir?
24	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I am. Thank you.
25	MR. LEE: And I will give you as much time

1	as you would like to read this document, if you choose, but
2	if I can call your attention to page 2 at the very top? We
3	see a reference to you?
4	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
5	MR. LEE: "I observed Kevin Maloney, a
6	Catholic priest, at Ken Seguin's home
7	at least twice."
8	And if you turn over to page 4, please,
9	halfway down the page we have a list of names that is
10	titled:
11	"I recognize and identify the following
12	people from pictures that Perry Dunlop
13	showed me."
14	And you're one of the people listed there.
15	Is that correct?
16	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
17	MR. LEE: And I would suggest to you that
18	there are the other and you have a second reference
19	to a different picture at the very top of page 5.
20	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
21	MR. LEE: It appears that there were two
22	pictures of you.
23	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
24	MR. LEE: And you're welcome to review it if
25	you want, but I would suggest to you those are the only

1	references to you in this document and that nowhere in this
2	document is it suggested by Mr. Renshaw that you were
3	involved in sexual activities?
4	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
5	MR. LEE: And you agree with that?
6	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, sir.
7	MR. LEE: Thank you.
8	Very briefly, turning back to the issue with
9	your leave of absence from the Cornwall Jail as compared to
10	remaining in ministry with the Diocese, one of the things
11	you told us in the context of the policy and some of the
12	rationale behind going to the police and going to the CAS
13	with allegations is that you, meaning, I presume, the
14	Diocese as a whole, didn't want the
15	Bishop to be the determining factor?
16	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
17	MR. LEE: I have the gist of that right?
18	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
19	MR. LEE: So in other words, let's take the
20	discretion out of it, put this into the hands of the police
21	and the CAS and remove any doubt from being cast on
22	ourselves. Is that correct?
23	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
24	MR. LEE: But as I understand it, that
25	doesn't apply to the decision of whether or not the Bishop

1	will remove a priest from ministry or from active duties
2	when an allegation is received. Is that correct? That
3	remains in the Bishop's discretion?
4	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
5	MR. LEE: When an allegation is received, he
6	decides what's going to be done with the priest?
7	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
8	MR. LEE: And in certain cases, for example,
9	with Gilles Deslauriers, he's removed from ministry?
10	FATHER MALONEY: He was what?
11	MR. LEE: Removed from ministry?
12	FATHER MALONEY: He was.
13	MR. LEE: And in other cases, in your
14	example, for example, you were left in ministry?
15	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
16	MR. LEE: Do you have any concerns with that
17	discretion being vested in the Bishop?
18	FATHER MALONEY: No, because I think that as
19	long as the police or CAS are doing the investigation, they
20	would then inform the Bishop whether they believe that
21	there were grounds at which this person should be removed.
22	MR. LEE: Have you ever been party to any
23	discussion within the Diocese, whether with the Bishop or
24	as part of a committee, where the benefits of a better-
25	safe-than-sorry approach in terms of leaving accused

1	priests in ministry was discussed? Was that ever something
2	you've discussed around?
3	FATHER MALONEY: No, sir.
4	MR. LEE: But your opinion is that it's
5	satisfactory for the Bishop to retain that discretion?
6	FATHER MALONEY: It has to be the Bishop's
7	decision to staff the parishes but it has to be in
8	consultation; he doesn't work in isolation. He has to work
9	in consultation with the competent authorities.
10	MR. LEE: In terms of who remains in
11	ministry and who is removed, it is of the Bishop's sole
12	discretion; is that correct?
13	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
14	MR. LEE: Can you turn up Exhibit 678,
15	please, this is your OPP interview, 678.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: You should have that,
17	sir. So if you look at the
18	FATHER MALONEY: Okay
19	THE COMMISSIONER: He doesn't have it.
20	Okay, there you go.
21	MR. LEE: Do you have that, sir?
22	FATHER MALONEY: I do, thank you.
23	MR. LEE: And you went through this a little
24	bit with Mr. Ruel yesterday and one of the things that he
25	put to you and with which you agreed was that you were

1	asked about the allegations against you and you answered?
2	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
3	MR. LEE: Is that correct? And you told us
4	that you were cooperative with the OPP in answering those
5	questions.
6	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
7	MR. LEE: You've reviewed this, I take it,
8	in preparation for your testimony here?
9	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I have.
10	MR. LEE: Would you agree with me that there
11	is no specific mention by the OPP in this interview of the
12	Ron Leroux statements or affidavits or whatever you want to
13	call them?
14	FATHER MALONEY: Nothing specific. I would
15	agree with you.
16	MR. LEE: They didn't put those statements
17	to you; they didn't give you a hard copy and ask you to
18	respond or anything like that?
19	FATHER MALONEY: No.
20	MR. LEE: And one of the questions that they
21	don't ask you in this interview at least is whether or not
22	I mean the very frank question of whether or not you
23	have ever abused children.
24	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
25	MR. LEE: You weren't asked that?

1	FATHER MALONEY: I was not asked that.
2	MR. LEE: Were you ever asked that at any
3	other time by the OPP?
4	FATHER MALONEY: No, I was not.
5	MR. LEE: And would you agree with me that
6	reading this interview, as you have recently, there isn't a
7	single question that suggests that the OPP were asking you
8	about possible misconduct by you?
9	FATHER MALONEY: I would agree.
10	MR. LEE: And the first indication, it seems
11	to me that we have that the reader of this would have
12	that you have been accused of anything is towards the back
13	of the interview, on the third-last page, page 14 of 16, if
14	you look at the very bottom right.
15	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
16	MR. LEE: And you see the lengthy answer
17	that begins at the bottom of the page from you?
18	FATHER MALONEY: The answer
19	MR. LEE: Begins "No, um, as I mentioned to
20	you in the fall"
21	FATHER MALONEY: Yes. Correct.
22	MR. LEE: If you look down about six lines
23	you say:
24	"Um, through different sources I've
25	heard that my name has been, you know,

1	linked with it which says to me that
2	that particular document that you're
3	talking about someone has access to
4	it."
5	And then if you turn over the page your
6	answer continues, it's quite lengthy and you tell the OPP
7	about the dilemma you faced in terms of the award you were
8	that was being proposed by Big Brothers and the concerns
9	you had about dragging them into this entire thing and
10	casting some kind of negative cloud over them; is that
11	right?
12	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
13	MR. LEE: And would you agree with me that
14	this is the first time and the only time in this interview
15	that there's any suggestion by anyone that you've been
16	accused of anything personally?
17	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
18	MR. LEE: And if we look down, following
19	that lengthy answer, we have Officer Seguin who turns to
20	his partner, Don Genier, and asks, "Don, do you have any
21	questions?" and that, more or less, is the conclusion of
22	the interview isn't it?
23	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
24	MR. LEE: Was there any follow-up about your
25	comments about allegations against you specifically, after

1	this interview that we don't see recorded here?
2	FATHER MALONEY: Not that I'm aware of.
3	MR. LEE: And did you get the feeling at any
4	point during this process that you were being treated as a
5	suspect?
6	FATHER MALONEY: No.
7	MR. LEE: I think you told us you certainly
8	weren't referred to as a suspect.
9	FATHER MALONEY: That's right.
10	MR. LEE: But did you get the feeling that
11	that was the purpose of the interview?
12	FATHER MALONEY: No.
13	MR. LEE: And this is the only interview you
14	had with the OPP?
15	FATHER MALONEY: As far as I can remember.
16	MR. LEE: You were asked a little bit
17	yesterday moving to a different area. You were asked a
18	little bit yesterday about the lawsuit involving the
19	website that you wished to have shut down and the wanderer
20	and things along those lines; do you remember that?
21	FATHER MALONEY: Yes. Yes, I do.
22	MR. LEE: And what you told us was that you
23	weren't completely up to speed on exactly how that whole
24	thing was resolved. For your purposes, the website was
25	shut down?

1	FATHER MALONEY: That's right.
2	MR. LEE: And you were content with that?
3	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: And you're not aware of exactly
5	the details of how that concluded, in terms of whether
6	money changed hands in terms of settlement or in terms of
7	costs or anything along those lines?
8	FATHER MALONEY: No.
9	MR. LEE: You weren't kept up to speed on
10	that at all?
11	FATHER MALONEY: We received the letters
12	from the law firm when the case was settled and that's it.
13	MR. LEE: Did you did you personally
14	contribute funds to any kind of settlement or costs awarded
15	at any point?
16	FATHER MALONEY: No.
17	MR. LEE: You never wrote a cheque for any
18	of this?
19	FATHER MALONEY: No.
20	MR. LEE: Do you know how this litigation
21	was funded; was it the Diocese that was funding the
22	litigation?
23	FATHER MALONEY: I don't know how it was
24	funded.
25	MR. LEE: It wasn't you?

1	FATHER MALONEY: It wasn't me.
2	MR. LEE: And that goes in terms of not only
3	some kind of resolution at the end but in terms of who was
4	paying the lawyers, it wasn't you?
5	FATHER MALONEY: It wasn't me.
6	MR. LEE: Madam Clerk, can we show the
7	witness Exhibits 1855 and C-1856, please.
8	FATHER MALONEY: Your numbers again, please,
9	sir.
10	MR. LEE: Eighteen fifty-five (1855) and
11	1856.
12	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: And sir, these are two lists of
14	names; do you see those?
15	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
16	MR. LEE: What I would like you to do is
17	take a moment and review these names and please do it
18	carefully. The first question I'm going to ask you when
19	you're done is whether or not you recognize all of those
20	names, so turn your mind to that question, okay?
21	FATHER MALONEY: You're asking me whether I
22	recognize them or no?
23	MR. LEE: Just stop for one moment. I
24	should point out to you the second list, 1856
25	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.

1	MR. LEE: these names are subject to a
2	confidentiality order by the Commissioner, so do not name
3	those names. Should you need to refer to one of those
4	names give me the number.
5	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
6	MR. LEE: Okay?
7	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: The other list is fine.
9	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
10	MR. LEE: So my first question for you is do
11	you recognize every name on those two lists?
12	FATHER MALONEY: I do not recognize Number
13	2.
14	MR. LEE: On which list, sir?
15	FATHER MALONEY: On the first, 1855.
16	MR. LEE: Okay.
17	FATHER MALONEY: I do not recognize Number
18	3.
19	MR. LEE: On that same list?
20	FATHER MALONEY: On the same list, sorry.
21	May I ask for a clarification?
22	MR. LEE: Certainly.
23	FATHER MALONEY: Are you asking do I know
24	the person or do I recognize the name?
25	MR. LEE: Do you recognize I don't need

1	to know if you've met these persons.
2	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
3	MR. LEE: Do you recognize the name?
4	FATHER MALONEY: Okay. And I on the
5	second list, Number 5.
6	MR. LEE: That is not a name you recognize?
7	FATHER MALONEY: No.
8	MR. LEE: Now, the first question I have and
9	it relates to all of the names on the list that you do
10	recognize, have you ever personally received a complaint of
11	sexual abuse relating to anyone on either of these lists?
12	FATHER MALONEY: No, sir.
13	MR. LEE: Do you have any knowledge of
14	anyone else at the Diocese ever having received a complaint
15	of sexual abuse relating to anyone on either of these
16	lists?
17	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, definitely Number 4.
18	MR. LEE: On list Number 1?
19	FATHER MALONEY: On list Number 1.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: So Father Deslauriers?
21	FATHER MALONEY: Father Deslauriers there
22	would have been and definitely on Number 10.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Father Charles MacDonald?
24	FATHER MALONEY: Father Charles MacDonald.
25	MR. LEE: Anyone else?

1	FATHER MALONEY: Well, I guess my own name
2	if you want to include that. They would have been notified
3	of that I'm sure.
4	MR. LEE: Now, let's take Father
5	Deslauriers, we know that in 1986 the Brisson family, in
6	conjunction with their son Benoît Brisson, brought
7	allegations against Gilles Deslauriers forward and things
8	moved along from there.
9	Do you have any knowledge of receiving do
10	you ever receive a complaint of abuse at the hands of
11	Gilles Deslauriers, prior to 1986?
12	FATHER MALONEY: No.
13	MR. LEE: Do you have any knowledge of
14	anyone in the Diocese having receiving such a complaint?
15	FATHER MALONEY: I have no knowledge of that
16	at all.
17	MR. LEE: And when it comes to Father
18	Charles MacDonald, do you have any knowledge of a complaint
19	being received by yourself or anyone at the Diocese that
20	predates the Silmser complaint?
21	FATHER MALONEY: No, I have no knowledge.
22	MR. LEE: Now, I've asked you about
23	complaints of sexual abuse, now I want to ask you about
24	information relating to the sexual abuse of young persons
25	and I want to make sure you appreciate the difference. As

1	I define complaint, somebody comes forward to you and says
2	"I was abused" or "my son was abused" or "this identifiable
3	person was abused"
4	FATHER MALONEY: That's right.
5	MR. LEE: as opposed to information that
6	Father so and so may be up to something with young people
7	in a sexual way, okay?
8	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
9	MR. LEE: So with that in mind, have you
10	ever personally received information suggesting that anyone
11	on either of these lists may have been involved in the
12	sexual abuse of young persons?
13	FATHER MALONEY: No.
14	MR. LEE: Do you have any knowledge of
15	anyone at the Diocese ever having received information
16	suggesting that anyone on either of these lists may have
17	been involved in the sexual abuse of young people?
18	FATHER MALONEY: No.
19	MR. LEE: Are you satisfied that you have
20	been given enough time to review these lists and turn your
21	mind to the questions I've asked in relation to each person
22	on these lists?
23	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
24	MR. LEE: You're not concerned that I've
25	sprung this on you and that you haven't had enough time to

1	think about this? You're satisfied you've reviewed the
2	list and that you've given me your answers?
3	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: Are there any other priests of the
5	Diocese about whom you've received allegations or
6	information relating to sexual abuse of young people that
7	are not on these two lists?
8	FATHER MALONEY: No.
9	MR. LEE: And does the same go for having
10	received information or having knowledge of information
11	having been received by the Diocese?
12	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
13	MR. LEE: Those are my questions, sir.
14	Thank you.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
16	FATHER MALONEY: Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Ertel.
18	MR. ERTEL: No, thank you, sir.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	Mr. Chisholm.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Good morning, sir.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
24	CHISHOLM:
25	MR. CHISHOLM: Good morning, Father. We

1	know each other.
2	FATHER MALONEY: Good morning.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: A couple of questions. I act
4	for the CAS.
5	If I could get your Madam Clerk, could
6	you pull up Exhibit 203, please, the second page.
7	To the second page, Father, if I could take
8	your attention to that, please.
9	FATHER MALONEY: Did you say Tab 203?
10	MR. CHISHOLM: Sorry, Exhibit 203.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, that's Tab 203 but
12	the second page, the one dealing with the
13	FATHER MALONEY: Diocesan policy?
14	MR. CHISHOLM: Yes.
15	FATHER MALONEY: Okay, yes.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: You have that before you?
17	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: Mr. Ruel took you to that
19	yesterday, asked you if you were involved in the
20	development of that policy, you said no.
21	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: And he asked you if you'd
23	received a briefing of the policy once it came into effect
24	and you said you received a copy of it.
25	FATHER MALONEY: I received a copy of it,

1	yes.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: And a copy was published in
3	the <u>Standard Freeholder</u> .
4	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: And Mr. Ruel asked you if you
6	received training with respect to the policy and you said
7	that you could not be sure. Do you recall that?
8	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: Do you recall any orientation
10	session that would have been conducted in St. Raphael's; in
11	attendance would have been Bill Carriere of the CAS and Ian
12	Grant of the OPP with respect to that guideline? Does it
13	help you at all in terms of your recollection?
14	FATHER MALONEY: I remember going to a
15	meeting there but I don't remember the nature of that
16	meeting.
17	MR. CHISHOLM: with respect to attending a
18	meeting at St. Raphael's
19	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
20	MR. CHISHOLM: can I assume that you've
21	attended more than one meeting at St. Raphael's
22	FATHER MALONEY: Oh, yes.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: over the years?
24	FATHER MALONEY: Oh, yes, many of them,
25	thank you.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: But you recall one meeting;
2	what was the topic of that meeting?
3	FATHER MALONEY: I don't that's the
4	problem, I don't remember what the topic of that meeting
5	was. I remember being at meetings at St. Raphael's.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: You've been to several over
7	the course of your career?
8	FATHER MALONEY: Yes. Yes, definitely.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: But do you recall a meeting
10	where Bill Carriere would have been in attendance?
11	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: You recall that?
13	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: And do you recall a meeting -
15	- do you recall a meeting where Ian Grant of the Ontario
16	Provincial Police would have been in attendance?
17	FATHER MALONEY: I would not recall that
18	because I did not know the individual while I would Mr.
19	Carriere.
20	MR. CHISHOLM: And with respect to the
21	meeting that Bill Carriere was in attendance, you can't
22	recall what was discussed at that meeting?
23	FATHER MALONEY: No, but I remember that he
24	was there.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: And do you recall if Mr.

1	Carriere made a presentation at the meeting?
2	FATHER MALONEY: I don't remember.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: And at that meeting that Bill
4	Carriere was at, do you recall a number of other priests
5	from the Diocese was in attendance?
6	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: Thank you, Father. Those are
8	my questions.
9	FATHER MALONEY: Thank you.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: Mr. Rose.
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. ROSE:
12	MR. ROSE: Good morning, Father Maloney. My
13	name is David Rose. I'm counsel for the Ministry of
14	Community Safety and Correctional Services. I just have a
15	few questions to clarify some of the things that we've
16	heard about over the last couple of days.
17	You've been asked questions over the last
18	couple of days about actually today, about a statement
19	that you made to Paul Downing on September 27 th , 2000. He
20	was the Ministry investigator.
21	FATHER MALONEY: Right.
22	MR. ROSE: And that was the statement that
23	happened at the Cornwall parish.
24	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
25	MR. ROSE: And at that time, sir, as we've

1	heard, you're the part-time chaplain at the Cornwall Jail.
2	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
3	MR. ROSE: And your relationship with the
4	Ministry of Community and Safety and Correctional Services
5	is you're effectively on a fee-for-service contract.
6	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
7	MR. ROSE: Okay. And, as we've heard,
8	you're providing services to both staff and inmates.
9	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
10	MR. ROSE: So you'd be in the Cornwall Jail
11	from time to time
12	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
13	MR. ROSE: on a fairly frequent basis?
14	FATHER MALONEY: About eight hours a week at
15	the most.
16	MR. ROSE: Okay. It sounds frequent to most
17	of us.
18	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
19	MR. ROSE: And so on September 27 th , 2000 you
20	give two statements to Mr. Downing.
21	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
22	MR. ROSE: One of which you saw on your
23	screen this morning.
24	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
25	MR. ROSE: And that statement took place for

1	about it started around 2:20 in the afternoon, as we
2	saw, ended around 3:15 that afternoon.
3	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
4	MR. ROSE: And that statement dealt with the
5	allegations on the website
6	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
7	MR. ROSE: for which you completely
8	denied everything.
9	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
10	MR. ROSE: And as we've heard, that was
11	accepted by the Ministry.
12	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
13	MR. ROSE: The second statement that Mr.
14	Paul asked you about occurred about 15 minutes later.
15	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
16	MR. ROSE: In other words, after the first
17	statement that we've just seen ended, about 15 minutes
18	later there was some questioning about a completely
19	different allegation.
20	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
21	MR. ROSE: Nothing to do with sexual abuse.
22	FATHER MALONEY: Nothing.
23	MR. ROSE: Nothing to do with physical
24	abuse.
25	FATHER MALONEY: Nothing.

1	MR. ROSE: And it was only alleged and it
2	was only Mr. Downing was simply asking you whether you had
3	overheard or had witnessed any verbal abuse
4	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
5	MR. ROSE: by a Corrections guard of an
6	inmate.
7	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
8	MR. ROSE: And you said "I don't know
9	anything about this."
10	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
11	MR. ROSE: That was all that transpired in
12	that second statement.
13	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
14	MR. ROSE: Thank you, sir. Those are my
15	questions.
16	FATHER MALONEY: Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	Mr. Fok, do you have any questions, sir?
19	MR. FOK: No questions.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	Ms. Robittaile.
22	MS. ROBITTAILE: No questions.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
24	Ms. Lalji.
25	Good morning.

1	MS. LALJI: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
2	Good morning, Father Maloney. My name is
3	Rena Lalji. I'm counsel for the Cornwall police. I wanted
4	to thank you very much for your evidence. I don't have any
5	questions for you.
6	Thank you.
7	FATHER MALONEY: Thank you.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Kozloff.
9	MR. KOZLOFF: Good morning, Father Maloney.
10	FATHER MALONEY: Good morning.
11	MR. KOZLOFF: Bill Kozloff, counsel for the
12	Ontario Provincial Police. I have no questions.
13	Thank you for your evidence.
14	FATHER MALONEY: Thank you.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Wallace.
16	MR. WALLACE: Good morning, Father. My name
17	is Mark Wallace. I'm counsel for the Ontario Provincial
18	Police Association. I have no questions for you.
19	Thank you.
20	FATHER MALONEY: Thank you.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Sherriff-Scott.
22	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Mr. Commissioner, just
23	so you're alerted to what I'm going to do, I shouldn't be
24	very long, but I wanted to cover an area that wasn't
25	covered in any real way by Commission counsel and that

1	related to the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board matter.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
4	SHERRIFF-SCOTT:
5	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: So, Father Maloney,
6	just a digression from that at first, which is if we can
7	turn back to Exhibit 1865, 1-8-6-5, which was the response
8	to the demand for particulars that the Commissioner
9	referred to in the record as the CAS report of Kevin
10	Maloney.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: It's a brand new one.
12	Sir, if you look in your loose ones they're the more
13	current ones.
14	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: We saw that first this
16	morning.
17	FATHER MALONEY: All right. It's here
18	someplace.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
21	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Just coming back to the
22	various meetings that were held between yourself and your
23	lawyer and representatives of the CAS, this was prepared in
24	response to the disclosure you made of allegations against
25	you?

1	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
2	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And it was given to at
3	least Mr. Carriere, to your recollection?
4	FATHER MALONEY: This here document?
5	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Yes.
6	FATHER MALONEY: It was sent to the CAS.
7	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. And you
8	understood from your discussions there that this
9	information would be transmitted to the OPP?
10	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, they told us that.
11	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And, in fact, you
12	understood the OPP had this information at some point?
13	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
14	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. Thank you.
15	Now, just moving ahead, at one point in the
16	time that followed there's reference to this issue again
17	and it's document, Commissioner, 701068, which is not yet
18	an exhibit.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And they'll call that
21	up for you and you'll get a copy of it.
22	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
23	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Just tell me when
24	you're finished? Oh
25	THE COMMISSIONER: We're not there yet.

1	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay.
2	THE REGISTRAR: Zero-six-eight (068)?
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Sherriff-Scott?
4	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'm sorry, 701068.
5	It's in my cross document notice. It's an internal OPP
6	memorandum.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Do we have it on I'm
8	sorry?
9	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I don't
10	THE COMMISSIONER: We have it on the screen,
11	Madam Clerk. Can we proceed on the screen?
12	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Sure, I'm content with
13	that.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: And we'll give it Exhibit
15	Number
16	THE REGISTRAR: It's 1867.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Eighteen-sixty-seven
18	(1867). And this is what?
19	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: This is a memorandum
20	from Deputy Superintendent Larry Edgar in the it's in
21	the context of matters relating to this witness.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Does it have a date?
23	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: It is referencing a fax
24	of May 12, 1999
25	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

1	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: but itself not
2	dated.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Good. Thank you.
4	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1867:
5	(701068) Memo from Pat Hall to Larry Edgar
6	THE COMMISSIONER: There it is.
7	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: No, that's not the one.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: That's not the one?
9	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Seven-zero-one-zero-
10	six-eight (701068).
11	That's the one. And I'm only interested
12	remember the caution about the name of the complainant, not
13	to utter the name.
14	FATHER MALONEY: Okay.
15	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And if you can read
16	just the top portion of the first paragraph, it's what I'm
17	interested in.
18	I'm really only interested in down to "Tim
19	Smith." You got that far?
20	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
21	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. So there's a
22	reference here to you having been interviewed in this
23	matter. And you were interviewed in relation to this
24	complainant by the CAS?
25	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.

1	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you understood that
2	that was being transmitted to the OPP?
3	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
4	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you did prepare the
5	document which we've seen?
6	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
7	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Do you know whether you
8	prepared any other statement for the OPP?
9	FATHER MALONEY: No.
10	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay.
11	And then at some time following there was
12	delivered to you. I take it in the mail, what was called a
13	notice of readiness for hearing by the Criminal Injuries
14	Compensation Board?
15	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
16	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And that basically told
17	you that this thing was ready for hearing and it was
18	proceeding?
19	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
20	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you'd had no notice
21	of that before?
22	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
23	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. I'll come to
24	that.
25	And if we could turn up Document 709456.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
2	Exhibit Number 1868 is a document called
3	"Ready for a Hearing; Alleged Offender, Kevin Maloney" and
4	that will be enough for 1868.
5	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1868:
6	(709456) CICB - Document "Ready for a
7	Hearing; Alleged Offender, Kevin Maloney"
8	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You received this via
9	mail. sir?
10	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I did.
11	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you'd no notice of
12	the commencement of this proceeding in advance?
13	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
14	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay.
15	And now if you can turn to Document Number
16	709458 , which is a letter of August 14^{th} , 2000 to the
17	compensation board from what is my office.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	Exhibit Number 1869 is a letter addressed to
20	Michelle Babin, Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated
21	August 14 th , 2000 from Brian Saunderson.
22	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1869:
23	(709458) Letter from Brian Saunderson to
24	Michelle Babin dated August 14, 2000
25	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And the purpose of

1	showing you this, Father Maloney, is as you'll recall, you
2	prepared a response and the response was submitted to the
3	Compensation Board; correct?
4	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
5	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And if you turn to the
6	I'm sorry, Commissioner, the document reference exhibit
7	was?
8	THE COMMISSIONER: One-eight-six-nine
9	(1869).
10	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Six-nine (69)?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
12	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you.
13	If you'll return to the Document 709454, is
14	in fact the response that was delivered on your behalf, if
15	you can have that just confirm that for us?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: No, you won't
17	FATHER MALONEY: Oh.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	Exhibit 1870 is a document entitled
20	"Response of Father Kevin Maloney to the complaint of"
21	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'm not sure of the
22	moniker number.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: That was close. No, I
24	was just about
25	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)

1	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: C-15, thank you.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1870:
4	(709454) Response of Father Kevin Maloney to
5	the Complaint of C-15 dated August 14, 2000
6	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Eighteen-seventy
7	(1870), Commissioner?
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it is.
9	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you.
10	This is the response that was prepared by
11	you and
12	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, it is.
13	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: submitted to the
14	board?
15	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, it is.
16	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you.
17	And there is a document which we'll come to
18	in a brief moment, but you were advised at a later stage
19	that all these materials that were tendered to the Criminal
20	Injuries Compensation Board were ultimately given to the
21	OPP as well?
22	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
23	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay.
24	Now, if you can turn to Document 109001.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

1	Exhibit 1871 is a memorandum to file from
2	Brian Saunderson.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1871:
4	(109001) Memorandum from Brian Saunderson to
5	File No. 33828-1 (Maloney) dated September
6	22, 2000
7	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And this relates, sir,
8	as you'll recall to issues pertaining to compelling the
9	Criminal Injuries Compensation Board to disclose certain
10	documents in their possession; correct?
11	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
12	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And it became an issue
13	in this proceeding, their refusal to disclose it and, in
14	particular, it was a logged journal of all the people who
15	had ever been at the school in terms of employment
16	function, teaching, et cetera?
17	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
18	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you knew the OPP
19	had this document and had investigated at the school?
20	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
21	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And they had the
22	document and the Compensation Board was not giving it to
23	your counsel?
24	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
25	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. And then if we

1	can turn up 709460.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
3	Exhibit Number 1872 is a letter dated
4	September 26 th , 2000 addressed to the Criminal Injuries
5	Compensation Board from Mr. Saunderson.
6	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1872:
7	(709460) Letter from Brian Saunderson to
8	Michelle Babin dated September 26, 2000
9	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: This is another letter
10	that was copied to you, Father Maloney, and it relates to
11	the question of the manner in which this tribunal was going
12	to hold a hearing. They were going to do it
13	electronically?
14	FATHER MALONEY: That's right.
15	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And there's a ruling
16	from the tribunal that they were going to have an
17	electronic hearing as opposed to a viva voce hearing?
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Electronic, you
19	mean like
20	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: They weren't even going
21	to do it on closed circuit. They were going to do it by
22	telephone.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.
24	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: So this was opposed, if
25	you'll recall?

1	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
2	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And there were various
3	other production issues that arose
4	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
5	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: disputes in terms
6	of what the Tribunal was going to disclose?
7	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
8	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And if you turn to
9	Document Number 700923?
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	FATHER MALONEY: Thank you.
12	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Which is a police
13	questionnaire, Commissioner.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
15	Exhibit 1873 is a police questionnaire.
16	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And this is prepared by
17	investigating officer, Steven Seguin.
18	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1873:
19	(700923) Police questionnaire prepared by
20	Steven Seguin
21	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And if you flip over to
22	the next page, you'll recall one of the major production
23	issues related to a previous statement by the complainant
24	which was summarized in that box, that there had been an
25	allegation against another person and it was contended that

1	person was the only person who had ever abused the
2	complainant?
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute now.
4	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: At Box C at 04,
5	"Alleged Incident and Remarks", second page, Commissioner.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: I've only got one page.
7	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: It should be a two-page
8	document, Bates page
9	THE COMMISSIONER: No, the back page so
10	it doesn't matter. We can continue with the screen.
11	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Sure.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Madam Clerk, please make
13	sure that 1873 has the back page. Okay.
14	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Mr. Lee has a copy.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: That's okay. I'm good.
16	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Do you see that, sir?
17	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
18	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And this became another
19	issue of contention about the disclosure of this earlier
20	statement which contended there was only one person who was
21	an abuser?
22	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
23	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And that person is
24	named in this paragraph?
25	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.

1	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And just to complete
2	this issue for the Commission, 709462.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: While we're waiting for
4	another document, what time is the train for folks that are
5	leaving? Are folks leaving on the train today?
6	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'm going to be 10
7	minutes.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Pardon me?
9	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'll be 10 minutes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, what I want to do
11	is those of you who wish to leave at this point, you may
12	simply withdraw and I won't take too much offence.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15	Exhibit Number 1804 I'm sorry, 1874
16	1874 is a document sent to Mr. Brian Saunderson, October
17	19^{th} , 2000 from Michelle Babin of the Criminal Injuries
18	Compensation Board.
19	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1874:
20	(709462) Document sent to Mr. Brian
21	Saunderson from Michelle Babin of the
22	Criminal Injuries Compensation Board, dated
23	October 19, 2000
24	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And this, you'll
25	recall, relates to their decision to hold the matter

1	electronically?
2	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
3	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you'll recall there
4	was objection taken to that?
5	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
6	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And that all these
7	issues percolated up into an application for judicial
8	review?
9	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
10	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay.
11	And, sir, ultimately, it was disclosed
12	there is a Document 109010. No, I'm sorry, that's the
13	wrong document. It's not what I'm looking for. My
14	apologies. I'll just go right to the
15	THE COMMISSIONER: The decision?
16	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: No, there was an
17	affidavit filed and another affidavit dealing with the
18	merits and it was transmitted to the OPP. So I just want
19	to complete the record on that. It's 109042.
20	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1875 is an
22	affidavit in the Superior Court of Justice, an Affidavit of
23	Father Kevin Maloney, sworn the 8 th day of March, 2001.
24	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1875:
25	(109042) Affidavit of Father Kevin Maloney

1	sworn to the $8^{\rm th}$ day of March, 2001
2	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You remember this
3	document was sworn by you in aid of the Notice of
4	Application for Review on these various issues?
5	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
6	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And it dealt with the
7	merits of your response as well as the procedural points
8	raised?
9	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
10	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay.
11	And that application that was filed,
12	Commissioner, is 109016, and then we'll get to the
13	decision.
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	THE COMMISSIONER: And Exhibit 1876 is a
16	Notice of Application for Judicial Review.
17	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1876:
18	(109016) Kevin Maloney - Notice of
19	Application for Judicial Review dated March
20	9, 2001
21	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: This, Father, is the
22	application that was filed on your behalf; correct?
23	FATHER MALONEY: Correct.
24	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And in the result,
25	there was a withdrawal of the complaint by the complainant.

1	If we could turn up 709444?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: What was it?
3	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: It is an April 2^{nd} , 2001
4	sorry, it's dated March 29^{th} , but was received by the
5	Criminal Injuries Compensation Board
6	THE COMMISSIONER: So he didn't really
7	withdraw the complaint. He withdrew his application for
8	compensation?
9	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: He withdrew it was
10	dismissed, in fact, but the document is entitled there's
11	no title on it. It's dated March 29 th .
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Okay.
13	Exhibit Number 1877 is a letter to from
14	the Criminal no, no, this is from the Applicant, I'll
15	call him, to the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board.
16	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1877:
17	(709444) Kevin Maloney - Memo from C-15 to
18	Bryant Greenbaum
19	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You became aware that
20	this happened?
21	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I was.
22	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And the document that I
23	next want to refer you to is 709443.
24	This is a letter to the complainant from the
25	Compensation Board which you were given a copy of?

1	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So Exhibit 1878 is a
3	letter going to the complainant from Bryant Greenbaum,
4	Executive Assistant to the Chair, and is dated April $3^{\rm rd}$,
5	2001.
6	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1878:
7	(709443) Kevin Maloney - Letter from Bryant
8	Greenbaum to C-15 dated April 3, 2001
9	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And in the third full
10	paragraph it references to being the person writing
11	explaining that the Applicant would not be able to resubmit
12	regarding the individuals and that he still advised that he
13	did not want to proceed with claims against yourself and
14	another individual; correct?
15	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
16	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And that then was
17	followed by a confirmation, 709442 , of April 3^{rd} , 2003 .
18	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	Exhibit Number 1879 is a letter from the
21	complainant to the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board
22	dated April 3 rd , 2001.
23	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1879:
24	(709442) Kevin Maloney - Letter from C-15 to
25	Bryant Greenbaum dated April 3, 2001

1	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: So your understanding
2	is the complainant reconfirmed in response to this request?
3	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
4	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you.
5	And then finally, there is another document
6	709441, another letter from the Compensation Board dated
7	May 10, 2001 to the complainant.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
9	Exhibit 1880 is a letter to the complainant
10	from the Criminal Compensation Board dated May $17^{\rm th}$, 2001.
11	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1880:
12	(709441) - Kevin Maloney Letter from Bryant
13	Greenbaum to C-15 dated 10 May 01
14	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you'll recall where
15	there was an insistence on your behalf that the matter be
16	dismissed as opposed
17	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, the letter is
19	dated May 10 th , 2001.
20	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: May 10 th , yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
22	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Is that right, sir?
23	FATHER MALONEY: That's correct.
24	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'm sorry,
25	Commissioner, I missed the exhibit number.

And then finally, sir, there was an order 7 709440. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 9 So Exhibit 1881 is an order dismissing th 10 application and it's dated June 5th, 2001. 11 EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1881: 12 (709440) - Kevin Maloney Order dated 05 J 13 01 14 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall 15 receiving that? 16 FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. 17 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the 18 last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y 19 attention is Document 700877. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.		
MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: One eight? THE COMMISSIONER: Eight zero. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Eight zero. Thank y And then finally, sir, there was an order 709440. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. So Exhibit 1881 is an order dismissing the application and it's dated June 5th, 2001. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1881: (709440) - Kevin Maloney Order dated 05 J MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall receiving that? FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspect Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25th, 2001.	1	THE COMMISSIONER: One eight eight zero
THE COMMISSIONER: Eight zero. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Eight zero. Thank y And then finally, sir, there was an order 7 709440. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. So Exhibit 1881 is an order dismissing th application and it's dated June 5 th , 2001. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1881: (709440) - Kevin Maloney Order dated 05 J MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall receiving that? FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspect Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	2	(1880).
And then finally, sir, there was an order 7 709440. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 9 So Exhibit 1881 is an order dismissing th 10 application and it's dated June 5 th , 2001. 11 EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1881: 12 (709440) - Kevin Maloney Order dated 05 J 13 01 14 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall 15 receiving that? 16 FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. 17 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the 18 last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y 19 attention is Document 700877. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 21 Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspect 22 Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries 23 Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	3	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: One eight?
And then finally, sir, there was an order 7 709440. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 9 So Exhibit 1881 is an order dismissing the 10 application and it's dated June 5th, 2001. 11 EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1881: 12 (709440) - Kevin Maloney Order dated 05 J 13 01 14 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall 15 receiving that? 16 FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. 17 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the 18 last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y 19 attention is Document 700877. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 21 Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspect 22 Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries 23 Compensation Board dated June 25th, 2001.	4	THE COMMISSIONER: Eight zero.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. So Exhibit 1881 is an order dismissing the application and it's dated June 5th, 2001. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1881: (709440) - Kevin Maloney Order dated 05 J MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall receiving that? FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspect that I from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25th, 2001.	5	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Eight zero. Thank you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. So Exhibit 1881 is an order dismissing the application and it's dated June 5th, 2001. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1881: (709440) - Kevin Maloney Order dated 05 J MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall receiving that? FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspect Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25th, 2001.	6	And then finally, sir, there was an order
So Exhibit 1881 is an order dismissing the application and it's dated June 5 th , 2001. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1881: (709440) - Kevin Maloney Order dated 05 J MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall receiving that? FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspect that I from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	7	709440.
application and it's dated June 5 th , 2001. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1881: (709440) - Kevin Maloney Order dated 05 J MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall receiving that? FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspect Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11 EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1881: 12	9	So Exhibit 1881 is an order dismissing the
(709440) - Kevin Maloney Order dated 05 J 13 01 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall 15 receiving that? 16 FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the 18 last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y 19 attention is Document 700877. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 21 Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspect 22 Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries 23 Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	10	application and it's dated June 5 th , 2001.
MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall receiving that? FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspect Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	11	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1881:
MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall receiving that? FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspec Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	12	(709440) - Kevin Maloney Order dated 05 Jun
receiving that? FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspect Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	13	01
16 FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do. 17 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the 18 last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y 19 attention is Document 700877. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 21 Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspec 22 Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries 23 Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	14	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you recall
MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspec Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	15	receiving that?
last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to y attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspec Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	16	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I do.
attention is Document 700877. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspec Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	17	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you. And the
20 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 21 Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspec 22 Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries 23 Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	18	last thing in the piece that I'd like to just bring to your
Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspec Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	19	attention is Document 700877.
Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
23 Compensation Board dated June 25 th , 2001.	21	Exhibit Number 1882 is a letter to Inspector
	22	Hall from Bryant Greenbaum of the Criminal Injuries
24 EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1882:	23	Compensation Board dated June 25th, 2001.
	24	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1882:

(700877) - Kevin Maloney - Letter from

25

1	Bryant Greenbaum to Pat Hall dated 25 Jun 01
2	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you were advised,
3	sir, that the Compensation Board was giving over to the OPP
4	all of the documents, statements that it had?
5	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
6	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And sir, when Project
7	Truth was terminated and there were no charges against you,
8	you were advised of that through my office?
9	FATHER MALONEY: Yes.
10	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you were advised
11	that my office was in touch with the OPP on those matters?
12	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, sir.
13	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. Those are my
14	questions, sir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
16	Maître Ruel?
17	MR. RUEL: Just a few questions.
18	RE-EXAMINATION BY/RÉ-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. RUEL:
19	MR. RUEL: Father Maloney, your counsel just
20	asked you about the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board
21	proceedings, so you were represented by counsel through
22	those proceedings?
23	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, I was.
24	MR. RUEL: Was that counsel provided for by
25	the Diocese?

1	FATHER MALONEY: Yes, it was.
2	MR. RUEL: Did you have to pay did you
3	pay for those legal this legal representation?
4	FATHER MALONEY: No, I did not.
5	MR. RUEL: Do you know who paid?
6	FATHER MALONEY: No.
7	MR. RUEL: Thank you. Those are my
8	questions, Mr. Commissioner.
9	We have this would be over for the day.
10	I guess the next witness would be Mr. Jacques Leduc on July
11	14 through 16 and followed by Father Lebrun, the same week.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So let me
13	say, sir, thank you very much for attending. I appreciate
14	your testimony and I'll certainly take into consideration
15	when I write my report.
16	Again, thank you.
17	We'll adjourn the matter till July 14^{th} you
18	say, 1 p.m.
19	FATHER MALONEY: Thank you.
20	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
21	veuillez vous lever.
22	This hearing is adjourned until July $14^{\rm th}$ at
23	1 p.m.
24	Upon adjourning at 11:18 a.m./
25	L'audience est ajournée à 11h18

1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATION
5	
6	I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province
7	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
8	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
9	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
10	
11	Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province
12	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
13	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
14	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
15	
16	
17	ed a wd
18	
19	Dale Waterman, CM
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	