THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice / L'honorable juge G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 266

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Thursday, July 31, 2008 Jeudi, le 31 juillet 2008

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Peter Engelmann Lead Commission Counsel

Ms. Julie Gauthier Registrar

Ms. Brigitte Beaulne

Ms. Suzanne Sinnamon Commission Counsel

Mr. Mark Crane Cornwall Community Police

Service and Cornwall Police

Service Board

Ms. Diane Lahaie Ontario Provincial Police

M^e Claude Rouleau Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Ms. Charmian Leong Attorney General for Ontario

Ms. Michele R. J. Allinotte The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Mr. Allan Manson Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Rob Talach Victims' Group

Mr. David Sherriff-Scott Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall

M^e Gisèle Levesque and Bishop Eugene LaRocque

Mr. Giuseppe Cipriano The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Doug Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

M^e Danielle Robitaille Mr. Jacques Leduc

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Ms. Kimberly Ishmael Upper Canada District School

Board

Mr. Frank T. Horn Coalition for Action

Mr. Ian Paul

Monseigneur Eugène LaRocque Monseigneur Eugène LaRocque

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	Page iv
MONSEIGNEUR EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment	1
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann(cont'd/suite)	1

iv

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-2084	(129778) Minutes of the Council of Priests meeting - 16 May, 89	58
P-2085	(101466) Memo fr Board of Directors, Sexual Abuse Treatment Centre to Gilles Métivier and Robert Smith - Nov 7, 1991	84
P-2086	(101469) Lettre d'Eugène LaRocque à Roger Ebacher - 11 déc 91	93
P-2087	(711975) Notes of Richard Abell - 03 Dec, 93	128
P-2088	(711943) Notes of Richard Abell - Jan, 94	130
P-2089	(104368) Letter from Richard Abell to Eugène LaRocque - 28 Sep, 94	133
P-2090	(119888) Letter from Eugène LaRocque to Richard Abell - 06 Jan, 95	135
P-2091	(120057) Rapport Semestriel sur la Conduite et les Qualités des seminaristes - 28 fév 67	164
P-2092	(120061) Rapport semestriel - 25 juin, 67	169
P-2093	(119306) Lettre de Rosaire Bellemare à Adolphe Proulx - 29 nov, 67	173
P-2094	(119361) Letter from Eugène LaRocque to Charles MacDonald - 24 Jun, 83	189
P-2095	(119365) Letter from Eugène LaRocque to Charles MacDonald - 03 Jun, 88	190

1	Upon commencing at 9:34 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h34
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Good morning,
10	all.
11	Mr. Engelmann, Monseigneur LaRocque,
12	bonjour.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Bonjour.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr.
15	Commissioner.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
17	MONSEIGNEUR EUGENE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
18	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
19	<pre>ENGELMANN (cont'd./suite):</pre>
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Monseigneur
21	LaRocque.
22	This morning I would like to start with
23	dealing with some issues with respect to policies and
24	protocols, if we may.
25	I want to start, sir, with your knowledge of

1	possible policies or protocols dealing with clergy abuse
2	even before you were appointed Bishop. So this would be
3	before 1974.
4	Did you have any knowledge, sir, of any
5	investigations or prosecutions, either by civil authorities
6	or canonical, of clergy for sexual abuse of youths?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In where where in
8	which here in Alexandria-Cornwall?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, no. You weren't here
10	in Alexandria-Cornwall then, right?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I was in Windsor.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was the Dean of Essex
14	County.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So did you have any
16	experience or any exposure to allegations of this nature on
17	a firsthand basis? Like did you have any knowledge of
18	investigations either canonical or civil authorities of
19	some colleagues?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not personally, no.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
22	Were you aware of matters that were going on
23	in the London Diocese at that time?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I was not.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: This is involving a priest

1	by the name of Glendinning, I believe?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: You had no knowledge of the
4	investigation?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I knew him while he was
6	teaching at the seminary but I had knowledge of what was
7	going on.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you wouldn't
9	have had any exposure to a protocol that would have been
10	used in that particular case?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe one
12	existed.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
14	And, sir, when you became Bishop in 1974,
15	were you aware of any policies or protocols that were in
16	place to deal with allegations of clergy abuse here at the
17	diocesan level?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe there was
19	any that existed at that time.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, would it be true
21	that there were unlikely to be any at that time at the
22	national level, at the Canadian Conference of Catholic
23	Bishops level?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That is so, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	What about any special decrees from the
2	Vatican with respect to the issue? Would you have had some
3	knowledge of some of those, sir?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, there are always the
5	canons and canon law that deal with the issue.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But besides that, I don't
8	know of any other.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: So the rules that you would
10	have been familiar with then, sir, would have been rules
11	under the Code of Canon Law?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, the old code.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, 1917 code?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: We've heard some evidence,
16	sir, that that code, the work that was done in 1917, pulled
17	together canons from hundreds of years?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The Grecian decretals that
19	go back to the early Roman days.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Canon law is based on Roman
22	law.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	So we had well over 1,000 years of canons
25	that were put together in 1917?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And then, sir, we heard that
3	there was a
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There was a revision in
5	1983.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Eighty-three ('83). So when
7	you became Bishop in 1974 it would have been the canons
8	from the 1917 code?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And were you
11	familiar with any of the canons dealing with this issue?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I had studied them, I mean,
13	so that I knew where to go if I needed them.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	So would you have been familiar, sir, for
16	example with Canon 2186 which permitted ordinaries to
17	suspend from office clericals under their authority if they
18	had concerns about sexual abuse of minors?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall you know,
20	now that you cite it.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: But you would have known at
22	least, sir, that there would be canons that you could look
23	at?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, and that that
25	possibility did exist for a bishop to take away, as we say,

1	the faculties of a priest who was a public scandal.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I understand ordinaries
3	would be bishops and/or heads of religious orders?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's true.
5	Ordinaries the religious orders are in a different
6	category, though.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
8	And sir, were you aware or had some
9	knowledge of provisions dealing with the sexual abuse of
10	minors, for example Canon 2357?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Would you read it to me
12	because I certainly don't
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't have it handy, sir.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't remember.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm sorry.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, at least in the code
18	of 1917 it's my understanding that minors were defined as
19	someone below the age of 16 for some sexual acts and for
20	others puberty was the cut-off time, which was
21	approximately 14 and under for boys and 12 and under for
22	girls?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really can't remember, to
24	tell you the truth.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.

1	Were you aware, sir, that in 1983 under the
2	new Code of Canon Law that the definition of "minor" for
3	all sexual acts was anyone under 16?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I knew that but I had
5	forgotten it, I think. I'm not a canon lawyer, to tell you
6	the truth.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough, but as a priest
8	and, certainly, as one who had an academic background as
9	yourself, sir, you would have studied canon law at some
10	point?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I had three years of canon
12	law at the seminary four years. Excuse me, four years.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And that would serve you
14	well as Bishop as well?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what I had as
16	background for Bishop, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, were you aware
18	that in 2001 the age definition for minor for the purpose
19	of any sexual act was raised to 18?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: By the Code?
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall that.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	Sir, were you aware that there were
25	limitation periods with respect to canonical prosecutions

1	of sexual abuse of minors by clergy?
2	Do you know what I mean by "limitation
3	periods"?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Statute of limitation you
5	mean?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. In other words
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: A certain time limit that
8	you had to report it.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So between 1940 and
10	1983 we've heard that canonical limitation was between
11	three and five years depending on the act.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay. As I say, I'm not a
13	canon lawyer.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	But in any event, would you have been aware
16	that for some instances of historical sexual abuse where an
17	adult would come forward and say they were abused as a
18	youth, that there may be a canonical limitation period that
19	would apply so there could not be a canonical prosecution?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would have been aware of
21	that but I would have been more worried with regard to the
22	criminal statutes of limitation with the civil law.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Civil law, okay. And, sir,
24	you would have been aware that with the civil authorities
25	there would not be a statute of limitations?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what I learned, yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Was there at any time,
4	though? I mean I
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Not that I know of, sir, not
6	that I know of, but certainly the canonical limitation
7	periods have increased in time and as at 2001 they became a
8	full 10 years; in fact, 10 years from age of majority, so
9	up to age 28.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I think we've
12	earmarked an issue that I think at some point-in-time in
13	the Criminal Code for some very limited sexual acts of
14	sexual abuse or whatever, there were limitation periods.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I stand corrected.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Subject to that.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, you say you became
18	aware of limitation periods, civil authorities, and how and
19	when was that, do you recall?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think when the cases
21	started to come up, the Deslauriers case and then Father
22	Charlie's case as well.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	And that would have simply been from
25	discussions with lawyers or police officers?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think it was with the
2	Diocesan lawyer if I'm not mistaken, yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Mr. Leduc?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, aside from the
6	Code of Canon Law, were you familiar with instructions
7	"Instructio" that were issued to bishops in 1922 and then
8	again in 1962 dealing with procedures for "the worst
9	crimes", as they were called?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would not have been aware
11	of that but my canon lawyers working in the Diocese would
12	have been. That's why I had them there to consult with
13	them because, as I say, I'm not a canon lawyer but I made
14	sure that someone has there. And a doctor of canon law for
15	a small diocese is a great prize.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Monseigneur Guindon was a
18	great help to me in that regard.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So he had a
20	doctorate in canon law, sir?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And he was one of your
23	priests in the Diocese?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was the one whom I would
25	consult in that matter, yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Was he in the Diocese when
2	you were appointed as the Bishop in 1974?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you have any
5	knowledge, sir, of what these worst crimes were?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not that I can recall, no.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, because they list four
8	worst crimes and they listed them as homosexuality,
9	bestiality, abuse of minors by clergy and solicitation in
10	the confessional. Is that
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. That
12	solicitation in the confession, of course, is already in
13	canon law.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
15	Sir, do you know and I believe you've
15 16	Sir, do you know and I believe you've already indicated that you would have had a secret archives
16	already indicated that you would have had a secret archives
16 17	already indicated that you would have had a secret archives here?
16 17 18	already indicated that you would have had a secret archives here? MSGR. LAROCQUE: At one time we did, yes, at
16 17 18 19	already indicated that you would have had a secret archives here? MSGR. LAROCQUE: At one time we did, yes, at the beginning.
16 17 18 19 20	already indicated that you would have had a secret archives here? MSGR. LAROCQUE: At one time we did, yes, at the beginning. MR. ENGELMANN: And would you
16 17 18 19 20 21	already indicated that you would have had a secret archives here? MSGR. LAROCQUE: At one time we did, yes, at the beginning. MR. ENGELMANN: And would you
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	already indicated that you would have had a secret archives here? MSGR. LAROCQUE: At one time we did, yes, at the beginning. MR. ENGELMANN: And would you MSGR. LAROCQUE: I had inherited it from my

1	under the Code of Canon Law there are specific documents
2	that go into what are called secret archives?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And that limits access to an
5	ordinary or I believe a delegate of the ordinary.
6	Would that have been the same here when you
7	took over the Diocese?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. It needed
9	the permission of the bishop or I had delegated the
10	archivist to give permission as well.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And were you aware, sir, whether either of
13	these Instructios were in your secret archives, the one
14	from 1922 or the one from 1962?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would not know
16	personally, no.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, to your knowledge,
18	either before your time as Bishop or during your time as
19	Bishop, was there ever a canonical prosecution of any sort
20	dealing with sexual misconduct or clergy abuse?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: A strictly canonical
22	prosecution, no, there was not.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was just the committee
25	that I set up for Gilles Deslauriers but that was not

1	officially a tribunal.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, prior to that
3	particular committee being set up, did you have any
4	experience in dealing with one of these cases before that?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I did not.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, given the lack of a
7	protocol or internal procedure, did you feel it important
8	after the Deslauriers matter to work on some form of
9	Diocesan protocol?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, and here I'd like to
11	make reference to the Summary of Anticipated Evidence that
12	I think you have on page 10 and 11 gives you a resumé of
13	all that we tried to do with regard to policy and protocol.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I'm going to ask you
15	some questions along the lines of that.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: The Anticipated Evidence
18	Summary that we give out is a guide for counsel so they
19	have a sense as to what you're likely to say.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So that's how we use those
22	documents here.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: We don't use them as an
25	exhibit. All right?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: All right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: But I'm going to try and
3	take you through that.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But I may be able to
5	consult it myself?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Certainly, sir. If you'd
7	like to consult it
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have your permission to
9	do so?
10	MR. ENGELMANN: as we go through that's
11	fine.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. Unless there's any
13	objections or comments from counsel?
14	No. Okay.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: C'est comme un aide mémoire
16	vraimant.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Ca va.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So I just sir, there are
19	some minutes of Council of Priests meetings
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: that I want to take you
22	through.
23	And my understanding, sir, is that sometimes
24	the word "Senate" is used interchangeably with Council of
25	Priests.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They're two words that mean
2	the same thing, yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
4	So when he testified earlier during the
5	corporate presentation evidence the current Bishop, Paul
6	André Durocher, described the Senate of Priests as a subset
7	of priests, including the Vicar General and the Chancellor,
8	priests selected by their peers and priests named by the
9	bishop.
10	Do you agree with that description, sir?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's exactly what was
12	going on, yes, in my time.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And when you
14	were the Bishop you maintained the Senate?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I maintained the
16	Senate when I arrived. A new bishop has the right to
17	disband the Senate and have elections within three months I
18	think, but I chose to keep the Senate that was there in
19	place beforehand.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And where there
21	elections held during your tenure as Bishop?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, the elections were
23	every two or three years if I recall.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, your role in the
25	Senate, was it to chair the Senate meetings?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was the chair of the
2	Senate meetings.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And would you also set
4	agendas with members of the executive of the Senate?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's exactly true, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Was there anything else that
7	was specific to your role with the Senate or have I
8	captured it?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that is pretty well the
10	
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, is it fair to say
12	that the Senate or Council was a consultative body?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: In other words, it made
15	recommendations to you?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: But it was ultimately your
18	decision as to whether to implement any of those
19	recommendations?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: For example, there wasn't a
22	vote; it was your decision?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There were votes on certain
24	things to show whether they were in accord with it or not.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: On motions, for example,
2	but with regard to any action taken in the Diocese it had
3	to have my permission.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. And, sir, were
5	there regularly scheduled meetings of the Senate?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There were, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And it appears that for some
8	years there would be two or three meetings. Was there any
9	sort of standard length of time between these meetings or
10	did it depend sometimes on whether there were pressing
11	issues?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it depended on many
13	things. The pressing issues in a smaller diocese there
14	was not as many issues as in a larger diocese. And so, if
15	I recall, we had started every month and we realized it was
16	too much and so we made we would call the meetings of
17	the Senate at the will of the Bishop or at the request of
18	some of the members of the Senate.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But there were always I
21	think at least five to six meetings a year.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And when you say
23	a smaller diocese, approximately how many priests would you
24	have been responsible for
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: About 45.

l	MR. ENGELMANN: at any given time.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Forty-five (45) in all.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	So let's just go to a few of those Senate
5	minute meetings that minutes of Senate meetings that
6	might have dealt with issues of policies and protocols, and
7	I want to start, sir if the witness could have Exhibit
8	58, which was the corporate policy or corporate
9	presentation exhibit. I'm going to be referring him to a
10	number of tabs in that exhibit so if he could be provided
11	with it.
12	We're going to start, sir, with Tab 5 of
13	Exhibit 58, and I'm assuming that's in his first volume.
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, do you have the page
16	that is now on the screen?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I do.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So these are the
19	minutes from a Senate meeting on September 25 th , 1986. And
20	the people listed, are these all priests of the Diocese?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They are, yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Monsignor
23	McDougald and Father Vaillancourt are listed as ex-officio?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So they don't have any

1	voting rights?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They do, yes, but they're
3	there because of their position in the Diocese as Vicar
4	General and Chancellor.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So they would be what
6	would be called your executive. Is that fair?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not necessarily, no.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, okay. But they have
9	specific roles, sir?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They have specific roles
11	within the Diocese.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And they're appointed to
13	those roles or positions by yourself as the Bishop?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They are, yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And were they in those roles
16	prior to your arrival?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't believe so,
18	because Denis Vaillancourt was the second person I ordained
19	when I arrived in '74.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Sir, you've got some people listed as deans
22	and some people listed as elected.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: What is the difference
25	between the two categories?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, as we said before,
2	the deans are for the different deaneries of the counties,
3	the two counties, French and English. There's a French
4	dean and an English dean in every county and they are
5	chosen by their peers in the deanery and then appointed by
6	myself.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Whereas the others are
9	elected by the deaneries and I have no say about it.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	Can you tell us just a couple of acronyms?
12	The "VG" after McDougald, that's Vicar General; correct?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And the "CSV" after Roch
15	Bougie, what does that mean?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The Congregation Les
17	Clercs de Saint-Viateur. It's a religious community from
18	Quebec.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: So he's a priest of the
20	Diocese then or is he
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He's a priest
22	MR. ENGELMANN: a religious
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He's a religious working in
24	the Diocese.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	And did these clerics of St. Viateur, did
2	they have a position on your Senate?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. One of the bylaw
4	one of the laws of the Senate was that the religious
5	priests working in the Diocese had to have a representative
6	on the Senate which was chosen by themselves.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: So depending on the number
9	of religious priests, they chose one to be their
10	representative on the Senate.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So there could be any number
12	of religious orders but one of them would get
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: a seat on the Senate?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, the minutes
17	of these meetings, were they distributed within the
18	Diocese?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So would all priests get
21	copies of these minutes?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if any lay
24	people would get copies of these minutes?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge, no.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, I'm assuming,
2	unless you tell me otherwise, that you don't have
3	independent recollection of these Senate meetings.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I must admit.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And who prepares the
8	minutes?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The Secretary of the
10	Senate.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Usually it's signed. It
13	would have been Denis Vaillancourt would have been
14	Secretary at that time.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And, sir, would
16	you have you would have no reason to doubt the accuracy
17	of these minutes?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, they're submitted at
19	in the meetings. The minutes are submitted at the next
20	meeting to be adopted by those who are present, corrections
21	could be made, so I would I would surmise that once they
22	have been approved as written by the Senate that they are
23	correct.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, if we look
25	at Bates page 616, which is the last page of these minutes.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: We don't have Bates pages
2	in the exhibits.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Page 5.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: The last page, page 5.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Page 5, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: There's a caption,
9	"L'Affaire du Pere Deslauriers."
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: "Qu'est-ce que en aurait tu
12	faire?"
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, "So what could we have
14	done?"
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, and it goes on to say
16	perhaps I'll let should we have a committee to decide
17	
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Could we have a committee -
19	- "pour nous dire faut faire" to tell us what to do,
20	give us a line of conduct in similar situations.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So there seems
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "According to Canadian
23	law we have no privilege."
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So is what's
25	being suggested or at least discussed perhaps the formation

1	of a committee so that there can be some explanation about
2	how to deal with these matters and to set rules and
3	procedures to follow in similar cases?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct. That's
5	correct, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: There's a reference in
7	English that you read to us as well. I assume the "we" is
8	the Diocese:
9	"According to the Canadian law we
10	have no privilege."
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. I knew there
13	were I know there was some discussion about this earlier
14	with the Deslauriers matter. These were issues that may
15	have come up there because of an internal or ad hoc
16	committee report?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm not sure of the real
18	reason for it but that could be one of the reasons.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But is perhaps
20	the reason for this reference the fact that you're
21	recognising that if you investigate internally, those
22	documents will then be accessible by civil authorities? Is
23	that the gist of this comment?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't believe so. I
25	think the gist of the comment is that according to Canadian

1	law we are not privileged like lawyers or counsellors. I
2	think that's the real gist of this particular comment.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, but what documents
4	would it be that civil authorities then may want to access?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There was no question of
6	documents at I don't think at that time. This is just a
7	statement that was made, along with other it's a
8	reporting of the conversation that went on.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't think there's a
11	connection between the two.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So it may relate
13	to just comments that one priest would make to another that
14	then might be
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That according to law we
16	are not exempt; in other words, we're not privileged.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not even in confession I
19	think. God has not but any priest would go to jail
20	rather than reveal what he has heard in confession.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, because of the Oath
22	of the Confession?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: To your knowledge, sir,
25	can if the person who goes to confession, can they waive

1	that privilege and tell the priest, "Yes, you can divulge"?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe so.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He can tell.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, yes.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But the priest cannot, I
7	don't believe so.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Sir, on the previous
9	page, which is page 4 in your document
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: there is a discussion
12	about just be a moment.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, in the middle
15	paragraph, en français, there's a discussion about
16	admission criteria.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Of vocations you mean?
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: I apologise, at paragraph 5.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Vocations, okay, right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: There appears to be a
23	discussion about admission criteria for accepting or
24	admitting candidates from other Dioceses.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And apparently the consensus
2	was that there was not any criteria.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And there is a discussion
5	about establishing criteria to accept candidates.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And that this will be done
8	by a committee.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any recollection
11	as to whether this discussion arose because of the
12	Deslauriers problems?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely, because he was
14	from outside the Diocese.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And other priests who got
17	into difficulties were also from outside the Diocese.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: It was Stone; Father
19	Stone?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Father Stone, yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: And didn't Father Stone
22	leave
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And Father Lussier was from
24	outside the Diocese as well.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Didn't Father

1	Stone like this meeting occurred on the 25 th of September
2	1986, and when did he left in summer of '85?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Of '85, yes.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So this was a
6	direct response to to some of these priests that you
7	have mentioned; these three priests who had come from
8	outside the Diocese? You wanted to have some kind of an
9	admission criteria?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It wasn't I, it was the
11	Senate as a whole.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: There was a consensus?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As a whole, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, just a minute now
18	please. And I'm
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's a report. You see,
20	the report is from Father Dubé and Father Ostler, who were
21	the vocation directors, and so they would be the ones who
22	would have proposed this to the Senate that we should get a
23	criteria for admitting for admitting non-native priests
24	to the Diocese, to work in the Diocese.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: And did you support this

1	motion? Were you in agreement with this?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It wasn't a motion, as you
3	see. It was just a report.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was made at the Senate.
6	I had nothing to do with what was said. This is a record
7	of what the report said.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: At this point in time, and
10	we'll track this issue but it's my understanding that a
11	committee gets set up and in fact there are admission
12	criterion that are established.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: We see that in some of the
15	minutes that follow.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And I just wanted to confirm
18	why and you've told us, but at this point in time in the
19	fall of 1986 would the Diocese be completely reliant upon -
20	- well, coming to with respect to new priests
21	seminaries screening candidates?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The vocation directors and
25	myself, I would meet the candidates before

29

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: we even presented it to
3	the seminary to get the background of their family and
4	their own background, and so there is a certain sifting
5	that took place at that time but the seminaries themselves
6	were that's one of the their responsibilities over the
7	seven now eight-year period is to screen the candidates
8	that come in for the priesthood.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And they're to give you
10	reports on issues of concern they may have with these
11	candidates.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There was a yearly report
13	on each candidate to the Bishop personally.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: But, as I understand it, the
15	Diocese would sponsor individuals to go through
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: this religious training;
18	that the Diocese would actually pay for the religious
19	training, with the expectation that the priest would then -
20	
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They would pay whatever
22	they could pay and we'd loan them money for the rest, which
23	they for which they wrote out a promissory note that
24	they would pay back with no interest
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: to the Vocation Fund.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And that was
3	something that they were to do once they were incardinated
4	back in the Diocese?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Once they were ordained,
6	yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, okay. But the
8	expectation was that if you sponsored a seminarian, that
9	that seminarian would then come back to your Diocese to
10	work at the Diocese?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So at the time,
13	in the fall of '86, with the exception of screening by the
14	seminary, there would be your meeting with the candidate
15	and there would also as the Bishop, and you would also
16	meet with the Vocational Director of the seminary?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not the Vocation Director;
18	the Vocation Director of the Diocese.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, I'm sorry.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not the seminary.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, fair enough.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There's no Vocation
23	Director in the seminary.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There are Spiritual

1	Directors in the seminary.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. And would you
3	and the Vocational Director actually meet with candidates
4	for seminary before you would then sponsor them or propose
5	them for seminary training?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, we would.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. Now, with respect to
8	priests coming from other Dioceses, like the three you've
9	just mentioned Stone, Lussier and Deslauriers what,
10	if any, screening did you have at this time, 1986?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't admit them. They
12	were all admitted by my by Bishop Brodeur, my
13	predecessor.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, fair enough, but what,
15	if any, screening criterion did you have when you started
16	in '74 with respect to priests coming from another
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There was no screening
18	process except the Bishop contacting the superior or the
19	Bishop from where they were coming from.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	So you're reliant upon a report from
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: From those who knew the
23	situation.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
25	And we've seen some of those reports

1	earlier, for example, from the Diocese of Ogdensburg and
2	the Montfort Fathers with respect to Father Stone?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So it was at least
5	the consensus of this group, and we'll see it develop in
6	the '80s the mid to late '80s that you needed some
7	kind of criterion for priests coming from elsewhere?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was one document, yes,
9	and then to deal with complaints of sexual abuse was
10	another.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
12	So I'm going to try and track those two
13	issues, if I may, sir.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I know.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: So if we could look then at
16	Tab 6 of that same exhibit? If counsel don't have it, it's
17	600238. And I wanted you to look at this in conjunction
18	with Tab 7, which is Document Number 600239, and these are
19	minutes of the Council of Priests dated March $17^{\rm th}$, 1987.
20	And, sir, the reason I wanted you to just
21	have your finger on both of these documents is when we look
22	at the minutes, and it's page 2 of those minutes at Bates
23	page 621, Number 6, "Diocesan Procedures in the Case of
24	Indictable Offences".
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I believe the document
2	that's being referred to is in fact what we see at Tab 6,
3	"Principles and Procedures for Clergy in Difficulty".
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose so, yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And I just I
6	note, sir, it does talk under "Principles", paragraph 2
7	this is Bates page 617:
8	"Clergy and personnel are to be
9	educated on the legal and moral aspect
10	of indictable offences."
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: So it would be likely, sir,
13	that this particular document, "Principles and Procedures
14	for Clergy in Difficulty", would have been drafted sometime
15	before the March 17 th meeting?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think it was, as is
17	indicated here in the minutes, it was a feed-in to a
18	committee for the CSAO, which is the Ontario Conference of
19	Catholic Bishops.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And did you give
21	some kind of presentation on this, sir? Because it says:
22	"Monseigneur LaRocque fait une lecture
23	des notes"
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I read the notes that I had
25	prepared.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So you would have
2	given them at least a summary of what you had prepared for
3	the Ontario Conference of Catholic Bishops on the issue?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, do you know who
6	would have prepared Tab 6, the "Principles and Procedures
7	for Clergy in Difficulty"?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really can't remember.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir, if you
10	had some assistance at that time from Father Francis
11	Morrisey?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe that I ever
13	had assistance from Father Frank. I may be mistaken, but I
14	can't remember that.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, would he,
16	from time-to-time, give you assistance on issues as an
17	expert in canon law?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe so, no,
19	because I had my own expert right at my hand.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Father Guindon?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
23	And, sir, again, just looking at the minutes
24	of March 17^{th} on the second page again, paragraph 5, there
25	seems to be a discussion about the criteria for accepting

1	candidates and priests to the Diocese. And Father Kevin
2	and I believe that's Kevin Maloney
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It would be, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: presented some criteria
5	at that meeting?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's in response to the
9	minutes of the other
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That something was to be
12	done in this respect.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears some work is
14	being done on that issue.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, with respect to
17	the document at Tab 6, the Principles and Procedures for
18	Clergy in Difficulty, you're not sure who wrote it.
19	Presumably you would have asked someone to write it for
20	you, as the Bishop?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember really how
22	much I wrote of it myself. I suspect that one the
23	citations must have come from church documents.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But the rest must have been

1	drawn up with the help I would say at least Father
2	Vaillancourt, I think by the maybe not by that time, but
3	Father Vaillancourt used to have an office as assistant to
4	the Marriage Tribunal.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I don't know at what
7	time that began, but
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, sir, we're going to
9	come to another protocol that he developed
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: and I believe that would
12	have occurred in or around 1992.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: This is now
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This may be yes. This
16	would be
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Early '87.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah. My only recollection
19	is that this was a feed-in to the Ontario bishops to try to
20	develop.
21	Everyone at that time, both on the Ontario
22	level and on the Canadian level, were trying to develop
23	procedures because of the Mount Cashel and I think the
24	Indian situation was starting to the Indian residence
25	schools, and so north of Toronto

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The Christian Brothers
3	north of Toronto
4	MR. ENGELMANN: There were also some high-
5	profile cases in the United States?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. And so all that was
7	brewing at the same time and we were all trying to develop
8	some kind of criteria in order to be able to respond to
9	this a critical need at that time.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So whether this
11	was developed in part by assistance from colleagues at the
12	Ontario Conference or internally at the Diocese of
13	Alexandria-Cornwall, sir
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think that this was
15	developed internally because it's a feed-in to the Ontario
16	bishops.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: So if it's a feed-in, it
19	means that I'm not getting anything from them at that time
20	anyway.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Well, no matter who wrote it or how much of
23	it you would have written
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But it's signed by myself,
25	so I've taken the responsibility for it.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
2	And so therefore, sir, if it's signed by
3	you, you would have approved it for use at least within
4	this Diocese?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, as a draft at
6	least.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And would that
10	have been in or around the spring of 1987 probably, given
11	the reference in these minutes?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose so, yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The document itself is not
15	dated.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: No. And that's why I was
17	trying to tie in the date with those minutes.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah. Okay.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, just on the
20	document itself, under the "Principles" you're saying:
21	"The Diocese goes on record to take
22	matters of clergy misdemeanours
23	seriously out of concern for the
24	members of the clergy as well as those
25	affected. Before these are legal

1	problems, they are pastoral problems."
2	Do you know what is meant by that, "Before
3	they are legal problems, they are pastoral problems"?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: For us a Diocese, our main
5	responsibility is the pastoral effects of these evil acts
6	that are perpetrated on members of the church or members
7	outside the church.
8	And so pastoral means that the spiritual
9	concern for what's going on or for the perpetrator who is
10	acting contrary to all the very purposes of his ordination,
11	as well as the victims who have a right to be protected,
12	really, from this kind of action because it's so contrary
13	to what the church is all about.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So when you say
15	
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Pastoral refers to
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Providing pastoral
18	assistance to both a victim or perpetrator?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it's a pastoral
20	concern for us as a local as a Diocese.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what it means, I
23	believe.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It doesn't mean that the

1	legal problem is not important, but for us as a Diocese,
2	the legal problem is not the first concern. The first
3	concern is the pastoral concern. That's, I think, what
4	that sentence means.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Fair enough.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: But do you not agree that
7	sometimes the legal concern and the pastoral concern may
8	conflict in the sense that it may be to your to the
9	Diocese's advantage to avoid scandal as opposed to, by
10	putting into the criminal hands, it will become public and
11	then cause some pastoral concerns?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was the thinking at a
13	certain time in the church.
14	I think that thinking has now been put
15	aside.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
17	So back in when you drafted or had
18	someone draft these principles
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: We would still probably
20	have been in that context to a certain extent, yes. You're
21	quite right.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, it talks about in the
24	second paragraph under "Principles" that:
25	"Clergy and personnel are to be

1	educated on the legal and moral aspects
2	of indictable offences."
3	Would it be fair to say then, sir, that it
4	would be important to have this document distributed to all
5	your clergy and personnel of the Diocese?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I would suppose yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you know, sir, other
8	than distributing it, would it also presumably, it would
9	have been important to educate or train people on some of
10	these issues?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct. We did
12	have training sessions for the priests and deacons. I can
13	remember
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: at least a priest from
16	St. Paul, Jeannine Guindon from the Institute for
17	Rehabilitation Information in Montreal, and a deacon from -
18	- was a psychologist or psychiatrist from Pembroke. Those
19	three I remember
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: addressing.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: I know that in or around
22	
23	'92, and we'll come to it, you had a fellow from Southdown
24	'92, and we'll come to it, you had a fellow from Southdown here, Father J. Loftus?

1	of Southdown, yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: So that would be an example
3	of education
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm not that would be
5	another example, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	You would agree, sir, that this document
8	deals with more than sexual abuse, correct? It deals with
9	other forms of illegal and/or immoral behaviour?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Because I just note, you
12	seem to have a list of indictable offences but it appears
13	not to be a full list. It says
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, it doesn't.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: "Drunk driving,
16	embezzlement of funds; child abuse;
17	teenage or retarded adult sexual
18	assault."
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And there were three dots -
22	
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: to show that
25	MR. ENGELMANN: This was not a complete

1	list.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Those were but some
4	examples?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And I would it be fair to
7	say that when you use the term "child abuse" that could
8	either be physical or sexual abuse of a child?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would have I believe
10	so, but I think it would be mostly sexual abuse.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: That was the
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In the context in which it
13	was being
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. Fair enough.
15	And when you refer to the others, the
16	concern there is teenage or sort of a dependent adult,
17	someone who's vulnerable?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And so it's not just young
20	children, it's also teenagers and those who may be
21	dependent adults
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: that you're concerned
24	about in this protocol?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, again, when we're
2	talking about education, it wouldn't just be of the legal
3	and moral aspects of the indictable offences, it would also
4	be about the care, the support and the aftercare?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's stated in three and
6	four I think.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, the document says under
8	"Procedures" that:
9	"The Bishop will refer the
10	complainant to a third party."
11	Do you see that? It's near the bottom of
12	the page.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And it also says that:
15	"The reception of the complainant
16	without confrontation does not imply
17	that the accused is guilty and every
18	effort must be made to obtain the
19	facts."
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So I take it
22	from that, that the idea was that when taking the story
23	from the complainant, you are to avoid being
24	confrontational in any way?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not I but the person

1	responsible.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: The person you designate?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They're trying to get the
6	facts
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: as much as possible.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And then on the next page
10	you're saying that:
11	"Upon verification of the facts, help
12	must be provided to both the victim and
13	the clergy member; support provided to
14	both."
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, a little further
17	down the page under "Aftercare"
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: it says:
20	"It is recommended that the following
21	canonical and legal procedures also
22	attend the more serious cases."
23	And you see there, "Immediate suspension" is
24	the first one?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: The second one is:
2	"Immediate treatment and support of the
3	member of the clergy and victims."
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And three is "Plea
6	bargaining".
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Right?
9	So let me just ask, did you have some sense,
10	sir, as to what the more serious cases would be?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't understand.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Perhaps I can make a
13	suggestion.
14	This policy or protocol deals with a number
15	of indictable offences; correct?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: One of them that's listed is
18	drunk driving?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Would you not to
21	make too much of a hierarchy here, but would you agree with
22	me here that the sexual abuse of a child or a youth would
23	be a more serious case?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Certainly, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So what's being

1	suggested here then in the more serious cases, would be an
2	immediate suspension of the priest?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, but if he has
4	embezzled a great deal of many that belongs to the parish,
5	that also could be a serious offence.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: That's a breach of trust.
7	Is that fair, sir?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, so is the other.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Exactly. So you would agree
10	that in cases where a priest
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: A serious breach of trust.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. So a serious breach of
13	trust could be the embezzlement of Diocesan funds, for
14	example
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Or other funds.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And it certainly could
17	be the misuse of a position of trust that a priest would
18	have with a parishioner?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And some kind of sexual
21	assault or sexual abuse?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, I'm curious about
24	the third point. It says, "Plea bargaining" and then it
25	says, "If necessary to avoid litigation or incarceration".

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have some sense as to
3	what is meant by that?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think that I got from a
5	lawyer because I mean, I don't know much about law. So
6	I would imagine that might come from our Diocesan lawyer.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Are we getting back to the
10	discussion you had with the Commissioner a few minutes ago,
11	that that might be, perhaps, a reason for this not to
12	become a scandal?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. I think
14	probably that would have been one of the reasons at least
15	for this.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If it's possible to avoid a
18	public scandal.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, there's also a comment
20	under and it's underlined. It says,
21	"Confidentiality"
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: It says:
24	"is not safeguarded in the courts.
25	Legal"

1	And I think that should say:
2	"advice and assistance"
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, "advice", yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: "is available to all
5	members of the clergy involved in
6	criminal investigations."
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Now again, sir, what seems
9	to be the concern there, "Confidentiality is not
10	safeguarded in the courts"?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's pretty well the same
12	thing as we've already discussed, I think.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: This is something that
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That we have no legal
15	privilege.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So this could be out there,
17	so to speak, in the public domain?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was a concern, at
20	least in 1986 or '87, when this was drafted?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, the reference to:
23	"Legal advice and assistance is
24	available to all members of the clergy
25	involved in criminal investigations."

1	Sir, is it fair to assume then that the
2	policy or protocol here is suggesting that legal fees will
3	be paid for clergy who are involved in criminal
4	investigations? That was the intent?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was the intent. Under
6	normal circumstances, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well, there would
8	have to be something unusual or exceptional to take them
9	out of these circumstance?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As in the case of Father
11	Deslauriers.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Where he had a substantial
14	amount of money
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So if the priest was
16	
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: we did not pay the
18	legal fees for Father Deslauriers.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So if a priest was,
20	for some reason, well-heeled
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Depends on how well how
22	he got his well-heeledness.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. Fair enough.
24	Now, there's no mention at all in this
25	document about any duty to report to the Children's Aid

1	Society.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. And I mean, if I can
3	speak to that, even the police, in the case of Father
4	Charles at least, had not reported it either. So it was
5	not clear I think at that time, at least to me and I think
6	to them that a historical sexual assault should be reported
7	to the CAS.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	Well, you are jumping ahead now till 1993.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I know but
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're dealing with the
12	issue of historical sexual assaults.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: So at the time of the Father
15	Charlie matter, which we'll get to, you're saying there was
16	some confusion.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There was.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: About whether or not you had
19	a legal duty to report.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: What about a moral duty,
22	sir? The Children's Aid Society is there. It's there to
23	protect children of all sorts.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, that's the very
25	point. The very point is that if he has abused a child and

1	it's only the one case 20 years ago it doesn't mean that he
2	has always he's been abusing ever since.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: No, but if a person is in a
4	position of trust and in a position where they have access
5	to children and youth
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: that may be of concern,
8	correct?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's a concern but it
10	depends. There are different degrees of concern.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: But
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's also a concern of, you
13	know, are you going to remove a priest for the mere
14	allegation of something that happened 30 years ago when his
15	parishioners need his help and there's nobody else to put
16	in his place? That's what I mean by the varieties of
17	concern or various degrees of concern for myself as a
18	Bishop.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	This policy in any event appears to address
21	that issue though, sir, in the sense that it says immediate
22	suspension for a serious charge.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, that's right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: But with respect to the duty
25	to report issue there's no reference here, sir, whether

1	it's historical or current of any duty to report to the
2	Children's Aid Society.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because it didn't enter
4	into our minds, I think, at that time.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So you would agree, sir, that that's lacking
7	from this policy or protocol?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But we had to put it within
11	the historical context, you know. It's 20/20 vision when
12	you're dealing from this angle.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But when you're back in the
15	situation itself I think it's we have to try to see
16	things as they were 20 years ago.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	Well, this policy, sir, as I understand it
19	continued in existence until approximately May of 1992 when
20	we get a policy or a protocol that's drafted by Father
21	Vaillancourt.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It would have been there as
23	a draft policy as I referred
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.

MSGR. LAROCQUE: --- to Mr. Hunter from

1	Newfoundland when I wrote to him. He had asked for
2	whatever policies we might have.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. Well, this particular
4	policy
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It indicates, in other
6	words it's a draft because it's an ongoing thing.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's not the final word, in
9	other words.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	But the one we're looking at now
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: "Principles and
14	Procedures for Clergy in Difficulty" that remained your
15	policy and your protocol for dealing with these issues
16	until it was replaced by the Vaillancourt document in or
17	around 1992?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would probably be so,
19	yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
21	And this particular document has no mention
22	of reporting either current or historical abuse to the CAS?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You've said that before,
24	yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Right?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't think it has to be
2	repeated really, you know. I'm sorry but
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, sir, there was some
4	confusion about historical/current. I just want to talk
5	about the document.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Nor does it have any
8	requirement to report to the civil authorities generally,
9	in other words, the police. Correct?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's not mentioned in here
13	so I have to admit that's true.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And the reason I said I think this had been
16	in place for some time, and I just wanted to refer you to
17	this very briefly, is you had some correspondence and you
18	just mentioned it, sir, with a Mr. Gordon Winter.
19	And if you could turn to Tab 12 of the book
20	you have, Exhibit 58?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Counsel, it's 600244.
23	As I understand it, sir, just by way of
24	background, Gordon Winter is heading up the Winter
25	Commission in St. John's, Newfoundland and this is in 1989.

1	Do you recall that, sir?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what the letter
3	says.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	You are writing to him and he's written to
6	you, has he not?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He has made the request,
8	yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, and he's he's made
10	a request to all dioceses and archdioceses for any types of
11	policies they might have, policies and/or protocols dealing
12	with this issue of clergy abuse?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really wouldn't know. I
14	mean I received it but I don't know how many others
15	received it. That's not within my knowledge.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Fair enough.
17	And you knew that he had been tasked by the
18	Archdiocese of St. John's to look into clergy abuse in that
19	particular archdiocese?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I was aware of that.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	And you indicate in the letter that this
23	policy is currently under revision and you attach a copy of
24	the document we have just been looking at, "Principles and
25	Procedures for Clergy in Difficulty"?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I refer to it as a draft
4	copy.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. But it was the
6	guideline that you had in place that you were working on
7	revising?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, if we could just
10	look at some of the work then? If you could turn to
11	actually, I don't think is an Exhibit 58. It's Document
12	Number 129778.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2084 is minutes
15	from a meeting of the Council of Priests on May $16^{\rm th}$, 1989.
16	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO. P-2084:
17	(129778) Minutes of the Council of Priests
18	meeting - 16 May 89
19	MR. ENGELMANN: So I'm not sure if you've
20	had a chance to look at this recently. The reference I'm
21	going to want to take you to is on the second page under
22	"Miscellaneous." It's the second caption. It says
23	"Committee for the Study of Cases of Sexual Aggression."
24	That was really the only section, sir, that
25	I was going to take you to. So if you want to have a quicl

1	look at that before I ask the question?
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So this is May of 1989 and
5	this is about five or six months before you write to Mr.
6	Winter. It appears at one of the Council of Priests
7	meetings that there is a discussion about requirements
8	either from your insurer or insurance companies generally.
9	Is that fair, sir?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suspect that this comes
11	from the Ontario Bishops Conference of Bishops and that
12	this was this knowledge was made revealed to us there
13	and that I'm communicating to them what the insurance
14	companies, the brokers really for all the dioceses of
15	Ontario, had told us.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So if there were issues that
17	come up at the Ontario Conference you would regularly
18	report these back to your Council of Priests?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If it dealt with matters
20	that were necessarily for them to know, yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	So it would appear that there was no
23	committee for the study of cases of sexual aggression in
24	place yet. And when I say yet I mean May of 1989.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't answer that. I'm

1	not sure.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well, it's saying
3	here:
4	"The insurance companies require that
5	such a committee be in place so as to
6	protect the Diocese and the insurance
7	companies themselves."
8	And it also says:
9	"Any incident of sexual aggression
10	must"
11	And that's being highlighted:
12	"be reported within the 24 hours
13	following the incident."
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, I don't know if you
16	can recall this but presumably that would mean within 24
17	hours of the Diocese receiving a complaint?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you set up
20	something, sir, to ensure that these incidents would be
21	reported to your insurance company within 24 hours of a
22	report to the Diocese?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I so advised the
24	financial I think the financial man is the one who would
25	have been dealing with the insurance companies.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Would that be Mr. Bryan?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Mr. Bryan or I guess
3	Monsignor Leduc before 1984.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: I just don't want to get
5	confused here. This is not Jacques Leduc? This is a
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, no.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: a priest by the name
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This is a priest by the
9	name of Leduc who is deceased.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, it would probably have
12	been Gordon Bryan as I recall because
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, yeah.
14	But you would have requested that someone in
15	your
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would have advised him of
17	this necessity because he was the one who dealt with the
18	insurance.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	So this would have been an impetus as well,
21	sir, for revising your internal policy.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what I mean when we
23	say that there's always something coming in.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, all right.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was an evolutionary

1	process, really.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, then if we could also
3	take a look at it's Exhibit 1858. The Document Number
4	is 129779 as identified in the cross documents. This is
5	minutes of the Senate of Priests meeting of September 13^{th} ,
6	1989.
7	And, sir, the particular reference in
8	question is the bottom of the first page. It's paragraph
9	six, if you could have a look at that?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
11	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, this would just be
13	apparently confirming what you just told us, that this was
14	coming to you from the Ontario Conference of Catholic
15	Bishops?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I was passing it onto
17	the Senate.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, and you were providing
19	an update to the council?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says:
22	"To many it appears important to
23	establish a definite policy or
24	guidelines at the provincial and
25	national levels concerning all cases of

1	sexual abuse or aggression."
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, it references a
4	draft that was presented to the diocese by the insurance
5	companies. Presumably, these are the insurance companies
6	for the Ontario Conference of Catholic Bishops?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: For all the dioceses.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All the dioceses in Ontario?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And is that what we see at -
11	- it's Bates page 035 and it also says "Annex B"?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm sorry?
13	MR. ENGELMANN: It's around three or four
14	pages in.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Second-to-last page it
16	was, yeah.
10	was, real.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Annex B, yes, okay.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Annex B, yes, okay.
17 18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Annex B, yes, okay. MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I'm wondering if
17 18 19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Annex B, yes, okay. MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I'm wondering if this is the generic draft that would have been presented at
17 18 19 20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Annex B, yes, okay. MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I'm wondering if this is the generic draft that would have been presented at the OCCB? The reason for some confusion on my part is it
17 18 19 20 21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Annex B, yes, okay. MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I'm wondering if this is the generic draft that would have been presented at the OCCB? The reason for some confusion on my part is it says, "Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall" at the top.
17 18 19 20 21 22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Annex B, yes, okay. MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I'm wondering if this is the generic draft that would have been presented at the OCCB? The reason for some confusion on my part is it says, "Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall" at the top. MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	document. I'm wondering if this is a document that you
2	would have gotten from your attendance at one of these
3	conferences.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no recollection, to
5	tell you the truth. It could very well be.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	This was never adopted as a local protocol,
8	though, was it, sir? I mean if it was, your signature
9	would be on it?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think this is a feed-in
11	to what we were doing.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. There are
13	certainly parts of this, sir, that appear to find their way
14	into a later protocol. So that would be consistent with
15	your comment about
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, this seems to be part
17	of the criteria for accepting ordained priests to the
18	diocese. That is was this found at the same
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, I'll come to that, sir,
20	yeah.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay. I'm a little
22	confused but it's
23	MR. ENGELMANN: No, you're not confused at
24	all. There are two different matters.
~ ~	

THE COMMISSIONER: There's two different

1	matters that occurred at that meeting. There's one dealing
2	with the insurers and the other one dealing with exactly
3	what you were talking about before, about some kind of
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Criteria?
5	MR. ENGELMANN: criteria when you get
6	priests coming from outside the diocese.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Just before I go to that
9	document though, sir, if we could stay with the more
10	generic document if I can?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: All right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And I will just be a moment.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, it's suggesting, at
15	least in the first paragraph and I'm just under the
16	Annex B.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: It says about the third
19	line down:
20	"The Bishop will establish a standing
21	committee"
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: "to investigate alleged
24	cases in the diocese involving priests
25	and/or other persons considered under

1	his control."
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And it then goes on, and I'm
4	believing this would be a suggested or generic protocol:
5	"In the event that provincial or
6	federal laws have been transgressed,
7	the pertinent authorities will be
8	informed by the Chairman and the
9	Bishop."
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And it also says:
12	"We as a diocese are required by the
13	terms of our insurance policy coverage
14	to advise our insurers of any possible
15	claim. We are also required to not
16	admit liability."
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I'm just wondering
19	again, this appears as I say to have been a document
20	presented by insurance companies to the Ontario Conference
21	for the various dioceses to consider?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	I'll just be a second.
25	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And they're talking about
2	the need to report at the top of the next page, sir, the
3	first full paragraph:
4	"The priesthood shall be instructed and
5	reminded annually in writing that they
6	must report all incidences of sexual
7	impropriety to the Committee Chairman
8	and civil authority, whether it
9	involves themselves or another priest
10	or person who could be considered to be
11	under the direction or control of the
12	Roman Catholic Church."
13	Again, as I see this document, it's
14	suggestions for protocols?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So this would have been a
17	document that presumably would have been discussed at this
18	Council of Priests meeting, sir?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm quite sure it was, yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. All right.
21	Sir, as well, and you noted this in
22	reviewing the documents, there's a reference in the minutes
23	and it's the second page in. It's Bates page 031,
24	paragraph seven, document on the it says "Exception"
25	accepting I think is what it should say:

1	"of candidates and priests to the
2	diocese."
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right. Well, there's a
4	French version and an English version.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And it says:
6	"This document does not apply to
7	seminarians."
8	And I believe this is what we talked about
9	earlier about priests transferring from other dioceses.
10	And is that what we see then at Bates page 033 where it
11	says, "Criteria for Accepting Ordained Priests to the
12	Diocese"?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Wait a minute now. Can we
14	go back to what you just had on the that number seven
15	that was on it?
16	Where is that? Okay, yes. Okay, I see now.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears that the meeting
18	was moved and seconded, that:
19	"The criteria for accepting ordained
20	priests to the diocese be accepted."
21	(As read)
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: As long as the words
24	"candidates and" be omitted?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And then the document that's
2	attached that says for candidates or:
3	"Criteria for accepting ordained
4	priests to the diocese."
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: That would have been
7	adopted?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would imagine, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And would that have been
10	something then that you would have approved and
11	implemented, sir, as the Bishop?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I would imagine so.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	And the document itself requires that:
15	"An applicant be interviewed by a panel
16	of priests chosen by the Bishop and
17	then that panel makes a recommendation
18	to the Bishop."
19	And it also provides in the last point that:
20	"If an applicant is accepted and
21	assigned to a parish, there will also
22	be a trial period of three months."
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, if we could go back to
25	Exhibit 58, which is the cerlox book that you were looking

1	at earlier, and I'd like to look at Tab 10, if you might.
2	Counsel, it's Document 600242. We have a
3	couple of letters from Thérèse Daigneault. She was your
4	secretary, sir, at the Diocese?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At that time, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you instruct her to
7	write to the Archbishop of Toronto and also to the Bishop
8	of London?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And you were seeking copies
11	of texts for their policies?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If they had any, yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. And again, this was
14	part of this ongoing effort to revise
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: a protocol?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To get feed-in for our own
18	policies.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, we don't have it, but
20	do you have any recollection of receiving a response?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember, really,
22	but I would suppose that they would have answered one way
23	or another, but I can't remember.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: That's fine.
25	Sir, if you could then look at Tab 14 of

1	Exhibit 58? And again, these are minutes of a Senate of
2	Priests meetings, Document Number 600246. And it's a
3	meeting of November 14^{th} , 1989. And at paragraph 7, which
4	is on the second page, sir, Bates page 649, this is again a
5	reference to the March 1987 document?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's the document
8	we've looked at earlier, "Principles and Procedures for
9	Clergy in Difficulty"?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, it says and I'd
12	asked you this earlier about the need to distribute that
13	document. It says:
14	"The document should"
15	Presumably the word "be" is missed there.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: "should [be] used as a
18	starting point and distributed to the
19	members of the Council of Priests."
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any idea about
22	why there's a reference
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because the membership
24	keeps changing on the Council of Priests, and so there
25	would have been new members there.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	So you want to make sure that the new
3	members get a copy as well as those that might have
4	received it back in '87?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And, sir, Council of Priests members, you
8	would agree, sir, if you were educating if you want to
9	educate priests and personnel generally, it would be
10	important to distribute this beyond the Council to all of
11	your 45 priests in the Diocese?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I took it for granted
13	that the deans who had monthly meetings with their priests
14	in their deaneries would put this on the agenda and would
15	be discussing it with their priests. I'm quite sure that's
16	what happened.
17	Besides the Senate meeting for the whole
18	Diocese, the Council of Priests, there were also individual
19	each dean in his deanery had a monthly meeting with his
20	which was partly spiritual, praying together, and partly
21	a business meeting.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: But you would agree with me,
23	sir, would you not, that as the leader of the Diocese, if
24	you want to make sure that things are done, it's important
25	for you sometimes to be explicit about that, some things of

1	importance to you?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I may have been explicit.
3	I'm not sure.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But I also put confidence
6	in priests that they will do what they're supposed to be
7	doing as deans.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, if you could then look
9	at Tab 15? These are the minutes of the Senate from May
10	29 th , 1990.
11	Counsel, it's Document 600247.
12	And, sir, I'd ask you to look at it's the
13	fourth page in. It's paragraph 15. It's Bates page 654
14	under the caption "Diocesan Committee on Sexual Abuse":
15	"Should we organize something at the
16	Diocesan level or should we wait for
17	the Bishop's report? It was decided to
18	wait."
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, this sorry, the date
21	here, sir, is May 29 th , 1990.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Is the report that's being
24	referred to, is this the work that's being done by a
25	taskforce of the Canadian Conference of Catholic Bishops?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It could very well be, yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. We know that a
3	taskforce was set up in or around 1989.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And they worked on a
6	document that became known as "From Pain to Hope."
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: But that document, although
9	there were some recommendations that came out in the fall
10	of '91, a draft, the document itself wasn't promulgated
11	until approximately June of '92?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that likely what we're
14	being what's being talked about here?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think so, yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That document has also been
18	recently revised. So it just shows that the whole thing is
19	still at an evolutionary process.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: You were aware then, sir
21	and I believe you were still Bishop at the time that on
22	its $10^{\mathrm{th}}\text{-year}$ anniversary there was another subcommittee
23	struck?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The beginning of a
25	revision, yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. All right.
2	And would it be fair to say when a decision
3	is being made to decide to wait, that you perhaps
4	anticipated that the report would come out before June of
5	'92?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: You didn't know when it
8	-
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Fair enough.
11	Sir, if we could then look at Tab 16 of
12	Exhibit 58? It's Document Number 600248. These are the
13	minutes of the Senate meeting of September 18 th , 1990. And
14	I'd like you to look at Bates sorry, it's page 3 of your
15	document, sir, and there's two paragraphs I'd like you to
16	look at. It's paragraph 9 and paragraph 11. And let's
17	look at 11 first, if we can. There's a reference to the
18	Canadian Conference of Catholic Bishops; correct?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And they're talking about
21	-
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, wait a minute. Number
23	9 you're at now?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: I wanted to start at Number
25	11, if we could?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Excuse me. Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: It would appear clear from
3	the reference there, it says:
4	"There's a progress report in the cases
5	of sexual abuses. The Winter
6	Commissioner made recommendations to
7	the Bishops of Canada. There is a
8	study to deal with the theology of
9	sexuality. We are studying the
10	'problematics' between celibacy and
11	priestly ministry."
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, it would appear,
14	therefore, that the CCCB had not yet concluded its work.
15	That was still in progress?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would seem to be so,
17	yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, if we look under
19	paragraph 9 where it says "Diocesan Committee on Sexual
20	Abuse" it says:
21	"The time has come that the Diocese has
22	to set up a committee."
23	And then there's some reference to what's
24	happening in Toronto.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	So would it be fair to say, sir, at this
3	point in time we're just over four years since the
4	Deslauriers issue has surfaced and certainly there have
5	been discussions at meetings. There's a statement of
6	principles and a basic protocol, this "Clergy in
7	Difficulty" document, but you're still in need of some form
8	of committee and formal process. Is that fair?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think I had also
10	appointed my two priests to be responsible by that time.
11	I'm not sure, but Monsignor McDougald and Father
12	Poirier.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I think that may come
14	later, but we'll check. That I believe that's in
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know exactly at
16	what time they started their
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	But the consensus of this meeting is that
19	you shouldn't be waiting for the CCCB; you should be
20	setting up your committee?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And presumably the report
23	about the CCCB and the Winter Commission, those would have
24	been things you brought back to your priests? You would
25	have given them that update?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would imagine so, but I
2	can't recall.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And the issue of celibacy
4	that's referenced at paragraph 11
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's a theological study
6	that they were going
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's not at a diocesan
8	level, sir; that's only at
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's at the
10	MR. ENGELMANN: the Conference level?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's at the Conference
12	level.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, whether or not
14	sorry, despite the fact you've not set up a committee or
15	had perhaps a more formal protocol in place, there's some
16	indication that in the fall of 1990, some of your priests
17	are speaking out about the problem of child abuse in
18	society, and I say that because I understand that the
19	Executive Director of the local Children's Aid Society
20	wrote to you expressing some appreciation for the message
21	that some of your priests were delivering in homilies in
22	the fall of that year.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They were instructed to do
24	so in their homilies.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	And that instruction would have come from
2	you, sir?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	The reason I'm asking is if you want to look
6	at Tab 17 of Exhibit 58 counsel, it's Document Number
7	600 I'm sorry, 600249. There's a letter from Mr. Abell?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Incidentally, sir, did you
10	have some relationship with Mr. Abell of any sort?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe I had met him
12	once or twice
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: but nothing on an
15	ongoing basis.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	But you knew that he'd recently been
18	appointed, sir, as the Executive Director of the Children's
19	Aid Society?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And he's writing to you;
22	he's indicating that he's heard a he says:
23	"I was personally fortunate enough to
24	hear Father Doug at St. Columban's give
25	a very powerful homily on this issue

1	last Sunday."
2	And I think he thanked him for that
3	personally?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: He says:
6	"I was also sent the text of a powerful
7	homily delivered by Father Kelvin
8	Maloney at Blessed Sacrament regarding
9	child abuse."
10	And again it goes on:
11	"It's clear that your clergy are able
12	to be strong messengers regarding the
13	need to put child abuse into the moral
14	and spiritual context."
15	Sir, do you have some recollection of the
16	instruction you would have given at that time
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: to your priests?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't really.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Do you know whether when they say "child
22	abuse" whether that would have been both physical and
23	sexual abuse of children?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think at the time the
25	primary preoccupation was sexual abuse.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
2	Sir, then if you could turn to Tab 18 of
3	Exhibit 58. Counsel, 600520. These are minutes of the
4	Council of Priests meeting of January 22^{nd} , 1991. And, sir,
5	the reference is on the third page, Bates page 664,
6	paragraph 7, Committee on Sexual Abuse.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Now again, sir, there's this
9	reference we saw at the previous fall:
10	"It is time to set up a committee."
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: This is now January of 1991.
13	I don't believe you've appointed people yet because there's
14	some reference here to doing that.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's fair, yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says:
19	"The Executive of the Senate was
20	discussing an ombudsman who would
21	contact the person accused within 24
22	hours to verify the accusation. The
23	names of Gerald Poirier and D.B.
24	McDougald were suggested."
25	All right?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think we see that term
3	later, but we've heard the role "Bishop's Delegate" coming
4	up later. Would that be the same as the ombudsman
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: that's envisioned here?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, that's the reason
8	for the two is Donald Bernard was for the English side of
9	the Diocese. Gerald Poirier would have investigated the
10	French side.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If it was a French victim
13	or a French priest, then he would be responsible.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	But the term "ombudsman" that's being and
16	this is a suggestion at this time does that later become
17	what we hear as Bishop's Delegate?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Bishop's Delegate.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's talking about
22	someone to lead the investigation.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "If".
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, if it proves
25	necessary.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's also talking about
3	the ombudsman doing the initial investigation when an
4	accusation is being made.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: It says that Father
7	Vaillancourt will be providing some guidelines for the
8	committee.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And we know that, as I said,
11	a couple years later well, actually no, not a couple of
12	years later; this is January of '91. We know that in the
13	spring of '92 he presents a document.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, presumably these
16	individual ombudsmen or later Bishop's delegates, you'd
17	agree that it would be useful for them to have some
18	training in investigating allegations of this nature?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose it would be
20	beneficial, yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if either of
22	these individuals had that kind of background and, if not,
23	whether some training was provided to them?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They had a great deal of
25	training as pastors of parishes to handle many diverse

1	situations, and so and they didn't express the need for
2	special training and I don't believe they received any, no.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you think at that time
4	of suggesting they get some training, either from child
5	welfare officials or police officials or others?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I did not.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I'd like to refer the
8	witness to Document Number 101466. This is a letter from
9	Gilles Metivier to Bishop Larocque, November 7 th 1991. This
10	was in the cross documents.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2085 is a memo
12	from the Board of Directors of the Sexual Abuse Treatment
13	Centre, dated November 7 th , 1991.
14	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2085:
15	(101466) Memo from Board of Directors of
16	Sexual Abuse Treatment Centre - 7 Nov 91
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, do you have a copy of
18	it?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: If you look on the second
21	you have a choice; you can read it in English or French.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, we're talking
23	English.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	That's the second page in, 967.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears that Gilles
3	Metivier is writing to you as the Chairman of the Board of
4	Directors?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is of the Sexual
7	Abuse Treatment Centre.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir,
10	receiving this letter from Mr. Metivier regarding the
11	formation of a board of directors to develop a proposal for
12	a child sexual abuse therapy centre here in the City of
13	Cornwall?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In general I remember
15	because I'm I know we gave a great sum of money to get
16	it started.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
18	In this letter he's asking if you would
19	support the initiative to provide the and to provide the
20	Board with a letter of support?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if you did
23	that, sir?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I must have because I know
25	we provided the funds as well, so

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	If you could look at Tab 21 of Exhibit 58?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If I might state, too,
4	publicly that I have been told, and we received a plaque to
5	this effect, that if it had not been for the financial
6	support of the Diocese, this treatment centre would not
7	have been established at that time.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: If you'll look at Tab 21,
9	sir, of Exhibit 58, it's Document Number 600253. It's a
10	letter dated November 14^{th} . Is this the letter that you
11	wrote back, sir, in response to Mr. Metivier's request?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose that it is,
13	yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, are you aware
15	you are aware that this initiative came to fruition?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And in your role as Bishop
18	did you continue to lend support to its creation?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, both financial and
20	moral.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And to your knowledge, did
22	this centre provide support and treatment to victims of
23	child sexual abuse during your tenure as Bishop?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They did. I was invited to
25	the yearly meeting where they made their report. As you

1	know, they extended the treatment to Cornwall Island so
2	that the Akwesasne children would also be covered.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, did this centre become
4	known as the Children's Treatment Centre?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It did and was located
6	across the street from our diocesan offices.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And it continues to function
8	even today, sir, as the Children's Treatment Centre. Is
9	that correct?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct. In a new
11	location, I was told.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Is this an appropriate time,
13	sir?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll take the morning
15	break. Thank you.
16	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
17	veuillez vous lever.
18	This hearing will resume at 11:20 a.m.
19	Upon recessing at 11:03 a.m./
20	L'audience est suspendue à 11h03
21	Upon resuming at 11:22 a.m./
22	L'audience est reprise à 11h22
23	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
24	veuillez vous lever.
25	The hearing is now resumed. Please be

1	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Vous pouvez vous asseoir,
3	Monseigneur.
4	Well, Ms. Sinnamon, I guess you're going to
5	have to take the podium and
6	MONS. LAROCQUE: Ils ont commencé leurs
7	vacances de bonne heure.
8	LE COMMISSAIRE: Oui, c'est ça. C'est ça.
9	Malgré, pour les gens de l'enquête
10	MR. ENGELMANN: J'étais dehors pour chercher
11	les autres.
12	LE COMMISSAIRE: les trois semaines
13	seront pas pour des vacances.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Je voulais dire que j'étais
15	dehors pour chercher les autres, mais c'est pas vrai.
16	LE COMMISSAIRE: Oui, c'est certain.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Should we wait?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I believe Mr. Sherriff-
20	Scott's on his way.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: I apologize, sir, for my
23	tardiness.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. No problem.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: I just without actually

1	starting a question, sir, if you have Exhibit 58 still, Tab
2	19, that's where we're going next.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Tab 19?
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
6	Proceed, Mr. Engelmann.
7	EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
8	EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
9	ENGELMANN:
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
11	Sir, this is a correspondence from the
12	Canadian Conference of Catholic Bishops; correct?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's my understanding
15	and it's dated November 22^{nd} , 1991. It's my understanding
16	this is a draft copy of recommendations that was sent from
17	the taskforce looking into this issue to all bishops across
18	the country?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And these draft
21	recommendations and this would have been sent presumably
22	in both English and French?
23	MCCD IADOCOIE. Vos that/s wight
	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And the copy we have here is

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because I belonged to the
2	French sector at that time.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The Diocese belongs to the
5	French sector.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
7	And the draft recommendations, sir, included
8	preliminary observations; correct?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, they sent them under
10	confidentiality but they wanted our input
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: before they printed
13	them.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: So just looking at it
15	says "ébauche deux".
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: So this is the second draft
18	that you're being sent, perhaps the first one you're
19	actually getting, but it's draft two on that page, which is
20	Bates page 668.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: It says "Preliminary
23	Observations".
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And it lists four of them.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says, among other
3	things, in the preliminary observations, that the draft
4	recommendations include some preliminary observations,
5	including the fact that most of the recommendations in the
6	report concern the sexual abuse of children by priests.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And some of these
9	recommendations, sir, as I understand it, are addressed to
10	all Catholics in Canada, and we see that on the second page
11	of the document, "Recommandations aux catholiques du
12	Canada".
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And there's a number of
15	recommendations. And then on the following page we have
16	recommendations for all the bishops of Canada.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, it's followed, as
19	I understand it, by some recommendations well, there are
20	going to be recommendations, if you look at page 8, for
21	those responsible
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: For the education of
23	priests, yeah.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: for the education of

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would be
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would be those in
4	seminaries.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Seminaries. I apologize.
6	And then there's also recommendations to the Canadian
7	Conference of Catholic Bishops; correct, "Recommandations
8	au CECC"?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Now, sir, as a Bishop
11	and a member of the Canadian Conference of Catholic Bishops
12	you were asked to provide comments on any or all of these
13	draft recommendations?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was, yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, it's my
16	understanding that after reviewing this particular document
17	you did, in fact, write a letter in response?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember, but I
19	would imagine I did.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Well, just to refresh your memory, sir, if
22	the witness could be shown Document 101469. This is a
23	letter from Eugène LaRocque to Roger Ebacher.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Ebacher.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Ebacher, pardon.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Strange pronunciations of
2	the French.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, yeah. I usually
4	yeah.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	I'm sorry; Madam Clerk, is that an eight or
7	a six or what number is that, 2086.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry, sir, I missed
9	that.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2086 is a letter
11	dated December 11 th , 1991 to Son excellence Monsieur Roger
12	Ebacher from Monseigneur LaRocque.
13	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2086:
14	(101469) Lettre d'Eugene LaRocque à Roger
15	Ebacher - 11 Dec 91
16	MR. ENGELMANN: If I understood your letter
17	correctly, you were supportive of all of the preliminary
18	recommendations with just one exception?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what the letter
20	says, yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And that exception was
22	recommendation number 53
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: from the document we
25	previously looked at.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Correct?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And that recommendation,
5	that particular recommendation did not does not directly
6	address the issue of clergy abuse on children?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	So with respect to the recommendations
10	dealing with clergy abuse of children and youth, you were
11	supportive of the recommendations from the taskforce?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I was supportive of
13	the draft that they had sent to me, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I mean, if you'd had any
15	concerns, sir, you would have listed them, as you did with
16	this particular one?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	Sir, then if you could turn to it's
20	Exhibit 58 again, Tab 24.
21	Counsel, it's Document 600256.
22	This is minutes of the Council of Priests
23	meeting May 19 th , 1992.
24	And, sir, I'd like you to particularly look
25	at it's paragraph 8, Bates page 693. It's page 3 of

1	your documents, sir.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have it, yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	And it says:
5	"Sharing on protocol regarding
6	situations of sexual abuse CCB kit,
7	document included."
8	And it says:
9	"Father Gérald Poirier and Monsignor
10	McDougald are responsible for this
11	committee."
12	There is also the document prepared by Denis
13	Vaillancourt.
14	So this is the first time there's a
15	reference, sir, to a document prepared by Denis
16	Vaillancourt.
17	And, sir, I believe this and we'll come
18	to this document is something known as the Diocesan
19	Guidelines on Sexual Abuse by Priests, Deacons, Seminarians
20	and Pastoral Assistants?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think so, yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	And there's a reference to a recommendation
24	to have a diocesan protocol from the CCCB.
25	So, sir, there's one other reference there

1	and I just wanted to ask you about it. It's paragraph 9.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: It says "The Rehabilitation
4	of Priests".
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's a program from New
7	Mexico.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The Jemez Program.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Jemez?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, the Spanish
11	pronunciation.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And it says it's a program that deals with
14	the rehabilitation of priests who are considered
15	pedophiles?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And it defines them as
18	priests who have sexually abused minors on more than two
19	occasions.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The literature that we had
23	received was there was a possibility of rehabilitation for
24	a priest if it was one single incident or at the most two,
25	but if it was more than that, then they should be taken out

1	of circulation, and they offered them a place to stay there
2	as a kind of support
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: because you couldn't
5	put them back in parishes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
7	Now, it appears what they're saying is
8	and I don't know whether it's only in the Jemez Program
9	they have a home where they can live where they live,
10	pray, celebrate mass and work together, but they never go
11	back to their old parishes?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. No, it was
13	right there in New Mexico.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The place is closed, to my
16	knowledge. It no longer exists.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you recall what, if any,
18	discussion you might have had on this issue?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think this is just for
20	information to the priests.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: You never asked any of your
22	priests to consider going to this program, sir?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	But if we looked at the criteria, perhaps

1	Father Deslauriers would have been eligible, sir?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It depends what you mean by
3	pedophilia. Father Deslauriers never abused young
4	children. It was always it's ephebophilia. I make the
5	distinction.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, I see. So and what
7	is your distinction between the two, just so we're clear?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: My definition of pedophilia
9	is children who are under the age of maturity.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Under the age of puberty?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Of puberty.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And ephebophilia would be
14	those who are pubesce but not yet adults.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	So we looked at that earlier and I had said,
17	at least back in the 1917 Code, some of the sexual acts
18	were based on puberty; in other words, 12 and under for
19	girls; 14 and under for boys?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And some were just under 16?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So that would be sort of the
24	cut-off for you between pedophilia and ephebophilia, if I
25	can use the term?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, 12-14 would under
2	that would be it would be pedophilia, in my
3	understanding. Above 14 and before the age of adulthood,
4	which depends it goes it was 16, I guess, for a
5	while. They've just raised it to 18 in Canada.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's 21 in other places.
8	So whatever age a person is considered an adult, that's the
9	age span I would say ephebophilia.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So those would be people
11	typically in their teens, from 13 to 18?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, to 18 or 19.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And to my knowledge, I
15	think this rehabilitation centres at Jemez was just for
16	those who had abused children. I may be wrong, but that's
17	my recollection.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I think their definition
19	might have been a bit broader than yours. They do talk
20	about some 15-year olds as well.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Pardon?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: But in any event, I don't
23	think there's a point to going to the document.
24	Whether a priest is abusing, sir, a person
25	under 12 or 14, depending on male or female

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's still a breach of
2	trust. Even as a homosexual it's a breach of trust.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, it's a breach of trust
4	because the position of a priest is a position of trust; is
5	it not, sir?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Just as if the person was a
8	teacher
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Or a doctor or a parent
10	even.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: But do you agree, sir,
13	that if we were to take out the word "pedophilia" and put
14	in the adolescence, that the rule would still apply that if
15	it's one or two incidents well, that's what they say
16	it can be helped and cured and if it's more than that
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suspect the same
18	principle might apply.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: A psychologist, I guess,
21	would have to tell us.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: But I'm talking about
23	what your view was at that time or what it is now.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, this is not my view.
25	This is what I remember reading

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: in their pamphlet.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: So it educated me to a
5	certain extent because, I mean, I have no way of telling
6	this is their experience, apparently.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: No, but what I'm getting
9	at is you read this in 1992?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I guess so, yes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
12	So then you would have learned, and it's
13	their opinion and you may not agree, but there's somebody
14	here telling you that if there's one or two incidents
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Then they can do something.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, yeah, and if it's
17	more than two incidents
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's more serious.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: It's serious. So you're
20	aware of that back in 1992?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, if there's abuse
24	of altar server, whether they're 11 or 14 or 15
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh no, that's still

1	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't want them in your
2	parish?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: a terrible breach of
4	trust.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, the document that I
6	wanted to reference earlier, it's Exhibit 58, Tab 25, and I
7	believe this is a document prepared by Father Vaillancourt?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Diocese of Alexandria-
10	Cornwall Diocesan Guidelines on Sexual Abuse by Priests,
11	Deacons, Seminarians and Pastoral Assistants.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, this is the document
14	that he prepared; correct?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's our understanding
17	that it was prepared sometime in 1992 and would have been
18	discussed at that Council of Priests meeting that we talked
19	about in May of 1992?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, this one is not signed
22	off and there seems to be some confusion about when the
23	document was officially adopted. Certainly at the time of
24	a press release that was issued by the Diocese in January
25	of 1994, this was the document that at least you referred

1	to as your protocol?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any
4	recollection, sir, as to when between '92 and January of
5	'94 it actually became official?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Since we had been preparing
7	it for so long and this was kind of the l'aboutissement
8	the end of a long process, I would suspect that we all
9	regarded this as the procedure for the Diocese.
10	Now, unfortunately it was not signed, and
11	that's my fault.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	But whether it was officially signed or not
14	then, sir, what you're saying to us is that as early as the
15	summer of '92, it would have been the protocol you would
16	have followed?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	So in any event, by the time we get to the
20	Silmser matter, which starts in December of '92, it would
21	be this protocol you would be under?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, I understand, and
24	we talked about it very briefly earlier, that in June of
25	1992, the Canadian Conference of Catholic Bishops issued a

1	document or released a document that is known as "From Pain
2	to Hope;" correct?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose I don't
4	have recollection of the date itself, but
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: that's fine. Sure.
7	Because we had been consulted beforehand.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: It is Exhibit 632, Tab 13.
9	The Document Number, for counsel, 601061.
10	When it was promulgated, you would have
11	received a copy. Is that fair?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: As a member of the
14	Conference?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: You'll note, sir, on the
17	second page, the date June '92 appears?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: We looked at the
20	recommendations that you commented on in November of '91.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is now the document
23	itself coming from the Conference?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to take you to a

1	couple of areas of the report, if I may.
2	If we could look at Bates page 136? For
3	your reference, sir, it's page 40, and those pages are at
4	the bottom left. This is under the caption "Summary: Where
5	does the Church stand?"
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is in the summer of
8	1992.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And they say just in that
11	first paragraph:
12	"To conclude this section"
13	Presumably it's this section of the report.
14	"let us state where the Catholic
15	Church should stand in the context of
16	this plan for action."
17	And the first bullet, the very first one
18	that they emphasize is on the side of openness and truth.
19	And, sir, I'd just like you to read that for
20	a moment.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So in emphasizing openness
23	and truth, they talk about cooperating
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Child protection.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: fully

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: with the civil
3	authorities?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And not claiming
6	preferential treatment. And they talk about the spirit of
7	openness and truth.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you agree, sir, with
10	this stand of the Canadian Conference of Catholic Bishops?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, this is part of my
12	education.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And the education of every
15	bishop, I would expect, in Canada.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: But they're saying just
17	at the top of that they say:
18	"Let us state where the Catholic Church
19	should stand"
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you agree with that?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	And presumably you would agree with that
25	still today?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I certainly do, but it is
2	part of my education, remember, because I had refused to
3	cooperate with the police to a certain extent in the
4	Deslauriers affair.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what I'm thinking
7	about when I say
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: the evolution.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. And so this
11	was an important milestone for you and just a further
12	recognition about
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was part of the
14	education that I think that we all underwent.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And certainly,
16	for you it would give you some indication about how you
17	should deal with civil authorities from that point forward.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	And sir, it talks about the next caption
21	on the side of "Extensive Cooperation by Catholics" and it
22	talks about the fact that the Church would be socially
23	irresponsible if it participated in the fight against child
24	sexual abuse only when one of its ministers is implicated.
25	It says:

107

1	"We know that such cases represent only
2	a small proportion of the total number
3	of cases in our country."
4	And it talks about essentially:
5	"the Church should encourage all
6	Catholics to address this issue of
7	child sexual abuse."
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was the purpose of the
9	priests being told to mention it in their homilies.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And it also talks
11	about and you believe that the Church should stand that
12	way at that time and presumably still today?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Certainly; absolutely.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, on the side of
15	"Transforming Persons and Institutions" it says
16	essentially:
17	"I would like to see our Church face
18	with clarity and courage the decisions
19	that must be taken in light of the
20	failure that child abuse represents for
21	society and the Church itself."
22	And:
23	"It's simply intolerable that a society
24	should degenerate to the extent of
25	closing its eyes to the injustices

1	which are destroying the foundations on
2	which children build their identity."
3	Et cetera.
4	So they are calling for change in
5	institutions themselves, both in civil society and within
6	the Church.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They do, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And again, sir, you would
9	agree with those statements?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm entirely in agreement
11	with those statements.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Then and today?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, on the following
15	page, in the second paragraph, the authors state:
16	"Another contributing factor to child
17	sexual abuse is a Church that too
18	readily shelters its ministers from
19	having to account for their conduct,
20	that is often tempted to settle moral
21	problems behind a veil of secrecy which
22	only encourages their growth, that has
23	not yet fully developed a process of
24	internal reform in which the values of
25	familial communion would predominate.

1	Challenges for personal conversion and
2	institutional change are far from
3	lacking. We would like to see our
4	Church take firm steps which would
5	leave no doubt as to its genuine desire
6	to eradicate the phenomenon of child
7	sexual abuse."
8	Okay, pretty strong words.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Pretty strong words about
11	what has happened perhaps in the past.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, they talk about
14	challenges for personal conversion.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right. It's significant
16	that you didn't read the paragraph up above, though, which
17	has to do with society as a whole.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, this report has over
19	100 pages and I'm sure there are many
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, but I'm just saying
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Is there something you would
22	like to emphasize from that, please feel free.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. Well:
24	"The context of general society is also
25	to be taken into consideration. Child

1	abuse, sexual abuse flourishes in a
2	society that is based on competition
3	and power and which is undermined by
4	sexual exploitation and violence
5	against women."
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So that explains the
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's
8	MR. ENGELMANN: societal context.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Contemporary society has
10	shown itself quick to reject
11	traditional values especially the
12	family values and be unable to offer
13	new ones and to be unfair to women and
14	children. The challenge to transform
15	society becomes enormous when we begin
16	to realize the terrible social cost
17	when child abuse is tolerated."
18	I think that's a very important paragraph as
19	well.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Absolutely.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you for letting me
22	read it.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. Sir, we've had
24	experts here comment on this report and the importance of
25	this report.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm sure there are many,
3	many portions of this report that are valuable. What I'm
4	trying to do, sir, is just pick out a few that deal with
5	the institutional response of the Church.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And how that might have
8	affected you personally and also your Diocese.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And what I'm suggesting in
11	the paragraph that I read is it does talk, and you've
12	talked to us a little bit about this yourself, about your
13	conversion between the time of the Deslauriers matter and
14	later, because what
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: My education rather than
16	conversion.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
18	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. The word
21	that's being used in this paragraph, though, it says:
22	"Challenges for personal conversion and
23	institutional change are far from
24	lacking."
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Presumably, you'd agree with
2	that statement, sir?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Within the Church hierarchy
5	itself.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, and within the priests
7	and within the people too, at every level really.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. And sir, I'm not
9	saying that this document couldn't be applied to perhaps
10	some other institutions, but this is a document that
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Comes out of the Church.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: a taskforce of the
13	Church is saying here is what we needs to do.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But it situates the Church
15	in the society in which we live.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I mean we're not you
18	know, we're not angels or not extraterrestrial. We are
19	here on earth and living out of the Church has to be within
20	that context of societal change that has been going on so
21	rapidly in our times.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. But clearly the
23	paragraph that we just looked at, the second paragraph, it
24	sort of links back to some of those captions from the
25	previous page about openness and truth, for example.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	Now, sir, there are a number of
4	recommendations that are made. They start on Bates page
5	139 which is page 43 of your document. But I just ask you
6	to look at the guiding principles for those 50-odd
7	recommendations and you'll find them on page 44 of the
8	report.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Which is Bates page 140. It
11	says:
12	"A certain number of guiding principles
13	underlie the recommendations we are
14	making and indicate the spirit in which
15	we have laboured. These guiding
16	principles include:"
17	First point:
18	"Giving priority to the protection of
19	children and vulnerable adults."
20	And sir, that goes back to an earlier policy
21	you had where there was a concern about child abuse?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And vulnerable adults.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Abuse of teenagers and also
24	abuse of dependent adults.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: "Taking allegations of
4	sexual misconduct seriously,
5	independently of the esteem for and the
6	reputation of the accused."
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay? Again, sir, if
9	there's anything you disagree with here please let me know
10	but these are sort of guiding principles that they're
11	telling us about these recommendations.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: "Presuming an accused
14	person's innocence until proven
15	otherwise."
16	And you'll note there is something under
17	that. It says:
18	"This presumption of innocence should
19	not, however, disregard a healthy need
20	for prudence. Necessary measures must
21	be taken in order to avoid all risks of
22	future abuse."
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And you would agree with
25	that, sir?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: "Respecting both the civil
3	and canonical legislation which is
4	applicable in these cases while
5	avoiding any undue interference."
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And there can be conflicts
8	from time to time, sir, between canon and civil law?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
11	"Respecting the rights of all persons
12	implicated in allegations of sexual
13	misconduct in the proceedings following
14	an allegation."
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And:
17	"Carefully avoiding any word or gesture
18	that risks dissuading someone from
19	carrying out his or he duty of
20	reporting a case of child sexual
21	abuse."
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you agree with those
24	guiding principles, sir?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely, yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	Sir, one of the recommendations and I
3	just want to touch upon a couple if I may it's page 46
4	of your report. It's Bates page 142, para 6:
5	"Appoint in the respective dioceses a
6	priest hereinafter called the Bishop's
7	delegate."
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And you in fact did that,
10	sir.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think it had already been
12	done by the time we got this report.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. We're not sure of the
14	date but probably in 1991 you had two Bishop's delegates
15	set up.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They were in place
17	certainly by the allegation made by Mr. Silmser.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, yes. In fact, they
19	were probably in place before this report in June of '92.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think so, yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And it does say here though,
22	sir, and I'm just looking at the page. It says:
23	"The Bishop's delegate and the deputy
24	delegate should participate in a
25	special training session before taking

1	on the delicate responsibilities
2	entrusted to them."
3	And I think you told us that that didn't
4	happen.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, this did not.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says that:
7	"The delegate should be empowered and
8	directed by the Bishop to act
9	immediately."
10	Did you give that delegation, sir?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	It calls at (7) for the:
14	"Establishment of an advisory committee
15	of at least five persons."
16	I'm not sure if your protocol had five but
17	it certainly called for the establishment of an advisory
18	committee. Fair enough?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And at the next page,
21	paragraph 8 mandated the advisory committee to prepare and
22	maintain a current basic protocol regarding situations of
23	sexual abuse?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And you had done that at

1	least unofficially at some point in '92 with Mr
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Father Vaillancourt's
4	document?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And there's a requirement at
7	nine, a recommendation to communicate to priests and
8	religious personnel concerning the duly approved text of
9	the Diocesan protocol?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, my understanding, sir,
12	is with very few exceptions these recommendations are
13	identical to the recommendations that were sent to you in
14	draft form in the fall of 1991?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't make the
16	comparison but I would imagine that they are, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And at that time, as
18	you said, there was only a concern about one. So if these
19	are in essence the same as those, I take it you'd be in
20	agreement with all of them?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. I remember
22	remember that the CCCB is not an overall power over the
23	dioceses.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think you know that

1	already.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, we've heard that from
3	experts that this is a guide.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, and there's -
5	- given as a help to the dioceses but it's left up to the
6	Bishop of his diocese to use it in whatever way he sees
7	fit.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: We heard that there's a
9	distinction between what's called the Dallas Charter in the
10	United States and From Pain to Hope in Canada, in the sense
11	that the Dallas Charter is binding on diocesan bishops.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because all the bishops
13	accepted that it would be binding.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, but in Canada this is
15	not a requirement but a recommendation.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, and I think
17	that it has been adopted by most dioceses.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: But it is still short of
19	binding?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The CCCB cannot bind the
21	_
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: There would have to be some
24	kind of a unanimous effort and perhaps a
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: An agreement.

l	MR. ENGELMANN: delegation to Rome to
2	get something like the Dallas Charter?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Rome can make it binding
4	but the CCCB does not have that power.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So then just to conclude on
6	the documents, sir, the conclusion you'll find at page 63 -
7	- it's Bates page 159.
8	Again, sir, they say they say some things
9	that are perhaps readily apparent but, for example, in the
10	second paragraph on page 63:
11	"The devastation touches the whole
12	community to one degree or another."
13	And this is the problem of clergy abuse of youth. I know
14	that we're talking
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, Cornwall could well be
16	an example of such a community.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And they talk
18	about the fact that abuse can cause profound damage. You
19	certainly don't disagree with that, sir?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And quite aside from that,
22	they talk about issues of truth, humility, conversion and
23	forgiveness as all being important principles to guide the
24	Canadian Conference of Catholic Bishops?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And you'll see that set out
2	at page 64.
3	And that then led them to this caption or
4	title, "From Pain to Hope".
5	Sir, I understand that in January of '94 you
6	would have issued a media release in respect of the
7	Diocesan guidelines. Now, this was in response, I think,
8	to some media exposure of the Silmser settlement and that
9	affair, but it's our understanding at least this is sort of
10	the first public airing of a diocesan protocol, a
11	guideline.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think we had a press
13	conference at the Diocesan Centre, if I'm
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I'm just, for now,
15	referring to a media release
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: that was dated
18	January 7. You'll find it in Exhibit 58, tab 28.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Twenty-eight (28)?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: It's in the it's in
21	the
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The second volume, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So in the first paragraph of
24	that media release, and that's January 7 th '94, it says:
25	"In view of recent media allegations of

1	sexual aggression on the part of a
2	member of the clergy at the Diocese of
3	Alexandria-Cornwall, let it be known
4	that the Diocese has acted in
5	accordance with the guidelines accepted
6	and promulgated for the immediate and
7	serious attention demanded by such a
8	complaint."
9	And then it says "copy enclosed".
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And if we look at the
12	document attached, sir, this is what I have called
13	previously the Vaillancourt document?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: That and, sir, if it
16	hadn't been officially promulgated or adopted, certainly by
17	your making this public you're saying it is official?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And I believe, in fact, sir,
20	you're saying yeah, you've said "accepted and
21	promulgated" so there's no doubt that this is official at
22	this point-in-time?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: We're going to come back to
25	this media release for other issues dealing with Father

1	MacDonald.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I want to talk to you now,
4	just briefly, about involvement with the Children's Aid
5	Society in developing a joint protocol.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And I understand that in
8	addition to working on a Diocesan protocol going from the
9	"Clergy in Difficulty" document, which we saw from '87 to
10	'92, and then the Vaillancourt document from '92 on, that
11	there was some work done on establishing a multi-agency
12	protocol, in particular with the Children's Aid Society?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The Children's Aid Society,
14	the OPP, the local police, a Baptist minister and I think
15	an Anglican, so that it became a sort of ecumenical church
16	as well as agencies. I think they would have been the
17	first in Canada to do that.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think this would be the
20	first diocese in Canada to have inaugurated such a protocol
21	of involving all these people on an ecumenical basis as
22	well as institutional basis with the police and the CAS.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. What I'd like to
24	do is try and take this from its roots to where it ends up.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's my understanding
2	that this might start actually with discussions or meetings
3	between yourselves between yourself and the Children's
4	Aid Society officials?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It could very well have
6	been, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm going to suggest to
8	you that as early as October of '93 the Children's Aid
9	Society had raised the idea with you of a joint protocol in
10	a meeting that Mr. Abell would have had with you on that
11	day. I just want to show you a document.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: It's Exhibit 1299 and,
14	again, I think it comes up in relation to the Silmser
15	matter but all I'm interested for now is the discussion on
16	the protocol.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Counsel, it's document
19	711991.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, exhibit number
21	again, Mr. Engelmann?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Twelve-ninety-nine (1299).
23	THE COMMISSIONER: And what are we looking
24	at? Okay.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Monsignor, the page in

1	question is the second-last page in the exhibit. It has at
2	the top left the last three numbers, 922. And although the
3	date doesn't appear on this, I know from an identical copy
4	of this, which is another document number, that it's dated
5	October 12 th .
6	This is a meeting you were having with
7	Richard Abell and two colleagues of his, a fellow by the
8	name of Bill Carriere and another fellow by the name of
9	Angelo Townsdale.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I know
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I know Angelo very well.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. I don't do
14	you have any independent recollection of that meeting? It
15	was primarily to deal with issues involving Father
16	MacDonald.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Every day is too far back.
18	I can't remember the details.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, okay.
20	Well, Mr. Abell has notes and I'm looking at
21	Bates page 922, second paragraph, and he says, "I say"
22	being Abell
23	"I say we need a protocol, he"
24	and that's attributed to you
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: "agrees we need to get
2	to work with the police."
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right? And this seems
5	to be, sir, at least from my review of the notes, first
6	indication that there is some discussion between Children's
7	Aid Society and your Diocese about having some kind of a
8	multi-agency protocol.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
11	And would you agree with me that the impetus
12	for these discussions about a joint protocol may have been
13	the situation that arose with the allegations against
14	Father MacDonald?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, probably, yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Sir, if I could take you to another document
18	then, it is Document Number 711975.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Tab?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: No not no.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: You'll get it in just a
22	moment.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Excuse me.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: It's not yet an exhibit.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: By the time you get used
2	to it, it will be time for you to go.
3	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 2087 is
5	these are notes from Mr. Abell?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Richard Abell.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. And the first date
8	on it is the $3^{\rm rd}$ of December is that '95, Mr. Engelmann?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I believe it's '93, sir.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2087:
12	(711975) Notes of Richard Abell - December
13	3, 1993
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Again, sir, the first page
15	deals primarily with ongoing issues with Father MacDonald.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I really want to take you to
18	the second page, if I may.
19	This is a these are his notes of a phone
20	call with you on December 3 rd 1993. He's saying:
21	"I reminded him of the need to get on
22	to protocol work."
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: "We both acknowledged the
25	great number of rumours in the

1	community."
2	And this is just before the press release
3	and things are very public in January. And he said again:
4	"He wants to cooperate with us 'to get
5	at the truth'. Parted on a positive
6	note."
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So, again, there's a
9	reference to Mr. Abell reminding you of the need to do some
10	work on a protocol. Is that fair?
11	I don't do you have any recollection of
12	this, sir?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I'm interested in the
14	fact that at the very beginning he says:
15	"I just came back from Rome and I had a
16	head cold."
17	You see, m'hm?
18	So that would have been the he had
19	visit when I was the one president of the Ontario Bishops,
20	and I was the one to present the Ontario Bishops to the
21	Pope.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So that's situating yourself
23	in time?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It situates me quite well,
25	thank you, yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
2	So you had a few either in-person meetings
3	or telephone conversations with Mr. Abell during the period
4	the fall of '93 through 1994?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You were aware that they
7	were investigating the Father MacDonald matter?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Very much so, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, all right. So,
10	therefore, you had had contacts with them, and during some
11	of these contacts there would have been discussions about
12	protocol or the need for a protocol?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, again, Document Number
15	711943.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Merci.
17	Exhibit 2088 is a note from Mr. Abell again.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: And
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, the date is difficult,
21	but we believe it to be March 1 st , 1994.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: March 1st, 1994.
23	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2088:
24	(711943) Notes of Richard Abell - March 1,
25	1994

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, again, this is
2	predominantly about the Father MacDonald issue, but you'll
3	note the reference:
4	"Bishop ready to meet re: protocol
5	issues."
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And it appears you're still
8	interested in working on some kind of a protocol with the
9	CAS as at March of '94?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: But it also appears, sir,
12	that there hadn't been meetings or committees set up to
13	begin the work on this yet?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Apparently not, no.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	Sir, if you'd look at and you should
17	still have this in front of you. It's the cerlox book,
18	Exhibit 58. We've been looking at a number of tabs.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm guessing it's in the
21	second volume. It's Tab 29, Mr. Commissioner.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it is.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Twenty-nine (29)?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Twenty-nine (29).
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Volume Two.

132

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Counsel, it's Document
2	600621.
3	This is a letter from Mr. Abell to yourself
4	and he's referencing a call on March $8^{\rm th}$, apparently your
5	call to him?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And he's saying:
8	"Like to confirm that I remain
9	committed to discussions with the
10	Diocese and relevant police services
11	regarding future handling of abuse
12	allegations against the clergy. As
13	we've discussed when we first met
14	regarding the most recent allegation,
15	it is our joint interest to ensure that
16	these matters are dealt with in a
17	timely and efficient manner."
18	So again he's confirming, once again, the
19	commitment of his agency to work on this protocol
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: for allegations of
22	clergy abuse?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, if we could look at
25	Document Number 104368? This is a letter from Richard

1	Abell to Eugene Larocque dated September 28 th , 1994.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2089.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2089:
4	(104368) Letter from Richard Abell to Eugene
5	LaRocque - September 28, 1994
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry? Two-zero-eight -
7	_ _
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Two-zero-eight-nine
9	(2089).
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Again, sir, this deals with
11	some issues involving their investigation into the Father
12	MacDonald matter, but if you'll note in the third
13	paragraph, Mr. Abell once again is welcoming the
14	opportunity to develop a protocol with your assistance
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: to address the handling
17	of allegations against Roman Catholic clergy. Do you see
18	that?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So he seems to be quite
21	persistent in calling or writing
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: to remind you about
24	their desire to get a protocol with you?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And it appears you've got a
4	handwritten note
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, dated January 4 th .
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And I believe the
7	first note just refers to their investigation but the
8	second note refers to the protocol issue.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: If I was able to decipher
11	them.
12	So what I'm interested in is the second
13	note.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you tell us what it
16	says? And if you want, we can try and blow it up a bit on
17	the screen.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Meeting for protocol
19	agreement between Richard Abell, Bill
20	Carriere, myself, Father"
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Is it "Williamson"?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, there's no
23	"Vaillancourt"
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Monsignor Donald

1	Bernard McDougald, Father Poirier"
2	Gerald Poirier:
3	"the Counsel of Christian"
4	No:
5	"the Cornwall Christian Counsel, the
6	OPP, the Cornwall Police, and the Crown
7	Attorney for January 25 or 26."
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	So it appears that there's something and
10	I don't know if this was a note of a phone call with Mr.
11	Abell, but it appears at least that a meeting has been set
12	up to deal with the protocol issue?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Apparently, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Now, if we could look at it's Document
16	Number 119888. It's a letter from Richard Abell to Bishop
17	Larocque, dated January 6 th , 1995.
18	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2090 again is a
20	letter dated January 6, 1995 from Mr. Abell to Bishop
21	LaRocque.
	Haitoeque.
22	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO. P-2090:
22 23	
	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO. P-2090:

1	about the abuse allegation against Father MacDonald but I
2	wanted to look at the fourth paragraph if we can.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And with respect to the
5	protocol issue, he's saying:
6	"I'm extremely pleased that we can now
7	move ahead to establish collaborative
8	protocol for dealing with abuse
9	allegations against members of the
10	clergy."
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	So it appears and this is about the time
14	of your note as well in January?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So again this is another
17	expression of interest, at least by Mr. Abell on joint work
18	on a protocol?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears that it hasn't
21	started yet but that it's about to start. Is that fair?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's fair, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: From the note.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	And sir, if you look at again, it's that
2	Cerlox book that you have.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: I think it's the second
5	volume, Exhibit 58, Tab 30.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: There's a letter.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Tab 29 is a letter too.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I think it's Tab 30
10	the one I'm looking for.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Tab 30, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: This is a letter to the OPP?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The OPP and the Cornwall
14	Police and various clergy, kind of a
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: letter to all of them.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And the letter is dated
18	March 3 rd
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: March 3 rd .
20	MR. ENGELMANN: of '95.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And there had been a note
23	that there might be a meeting in January earlier but it
24	appears that you're now setting up the meeting for some
25	time in March; is that fair?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	And:
4	"This letter confirms arrangements for
5	a meeting of police, CAS and churches
6	with respect to investigative protocols
7	of abuse allegations against the
8	clergy."
9	Is that fair?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, do you recall, sir, if
12	that meeting actually took place? Do you have any
13	recollection of that?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't think I was present
15	so I can't tell.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Would you have sent one of your Bishop's
18	delegates or both of them to a meeting of that nature?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Father Vaillancourt would
20	have been there, I'm quite sure.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. Well, he did testify
22	that he attended a meeting.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was held at his place.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was done at the Precious

1	Blood Church itself.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	Now, do you recall, sir, if a protocol came
4	out of that meeting or something was developed? Something
5	was developed
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know whether it
7	came out of that meeting or whether it but I know that
8	eventually a protocol came for it.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	Well, sir, if you turn a couple of tabs
11	further in the exhibit you're in, Exhibit 58, Tab 32?
12	Counsel, it's Document Number 600263.
13	Sir, to your knowledge is this the protocol
14	that would have come out of those meetings?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And you would have signed it
17	off and approved it on June 21st, 1995?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: June 17 th , I think it says
19	on my copy here.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: This particular protocol has
21	two dates. There is one that's signed off on June $21^{\rm st}$,
22	1995. Then there is an identical one that's signed on
23	June 17 th , 1996.
24	Do you have the one that's June 17 th , 1996?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I do, yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But see this
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Is what you're looking at,
4	sir, part of the Diocesan policies for 1996?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think so, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	I'm looking at another document.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And that's at 32?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: No.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay, I've got it now.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Thirty-one (31).
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thirty-one (31), yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't have the (off mic).
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I have it.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, if you look at Tab 31
16	of Exhibit 58 which I believe is Document Number 738076
17	that's the same as what you then see at Tab 32, sir, just
18	signed off approximately a year earlier. Is that correct?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Is it the same or not? I'd
20	have to read it to see.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I believe that part is
22	identical and then there's an additional part that is added
23	in '96 dealing with priests.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So just on this document,

1	sir, at Tab 31 if we can, it does say that these guidelines
2	right at the bottom:
3	"have been drawn up in consultation
4	with the CAS of Cornwall and both the
5	OPP and Cornwall Police."
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And it sets out various
8	phases. Phase 1 deals with receiving a complaint and that
9	it's to be reported immediately to the CAS or the police if
10	the victim is under the age of 16 at the time of the
11	offence.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And would you agree with me,
14	sir, that this protocol then would require historical
15	sexual abuse as well if the person was under 16 at the
16	time?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was the agreement that
18	we reached with the CAS at this time, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And part four, sir, dealt
20	with the decision of the Bishop. Can you just explain that
21	to us briefly, sir?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Let me read it first.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
24	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, as I understand it,

1	the Committee does the person who is investigating makes
2	his report and if the report warrants it then I immediately
3	remove the person from pastoral work.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	So let me just try and understand. The
6	investigation that's being referred to, that's an
7	investigation by a civil authority or is that an internal
8	investigation? The way I read this is
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It would be both, I would
10	imagine because if you reported it the first is you have
11	to report it right away but the Bishop's delegate still
12	continues his work and reports back to me.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, because I was looking
14	at phase three and it says "Investigation by the Proper
15	Authority."
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And then under phase four
18	"Decision of the Bishop":
19	"The Bishop waits for the investigation
20	to take place."
21	I assume that the investigation referred to
22	was
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Was the CAS?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, something either by
25	the CAS or the police.

142

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not suggesting it
3	precludes any type of Diocesan investigation.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right, yeah.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: But the way this is worded
6	it appears that you're to wait for their investigation to
7	take place and then and then it says:
8	"If the situation warrants it"
9	And they set out some examples.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: If one of those takes place
12	then you are to:
13	"remove the suspected aggressor from
14	Church duties."
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Am I reading that correctly?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what it says, yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So what if any discretion
19	does that leave you once it's been established that there
20	is a risk, for example, to the alleged aggressor or there
21	is the possibility of a risk to other members of the
22	community? If that is determined through some form of
23	investigation by the police or by the CAS then according to
24	this protocol you must remove that priest from Church
25	duties.

1	Am I reading it correctly?
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It doesn't say "must." It
4	says he will do it, but doesn't say must.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, it says:
6	"If the situation warrants it"
7	And then it gives some examples
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: as I understand it of
10	what would warrant it.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: "The Bishop removes"?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: So according to this
15	protocol, if one of those situations arises you've agreed
16	to remove the suspected aggressor from Church duties.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct. That's
18	what I did with Father Charlie.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, that this
20	document, and it's a short document, but just looking at
21	it, it appears to be very focused on the accused or the
22	alleged offender.
23	In any event we'll carry on. The document
24	speaks for itself.
25	Did this particular document, did it replace

1	the earlier Diocesan guidelines or were they to exist
2	together?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think that's what I was
4	referring to in Tab 32; the two of them are put together.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So Tab 32, these are the
7	Diocesan policies from 1996?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: It's onn the cover.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that correct?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And at page 37, Bates page
14	816, we have the document we've just been looking at
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: which now has a new date
17	of June 17 th , 1996; correct?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is the document
20	that I said was identical to the June $21^{\rm st}$, '95 document?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And then attached to it you
23	have a protocol for priests who are the subject matter of
24	criminal proceedings or civil litigation?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's a two-page
2	document?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And it's dated June 17 th ,
5	1996?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It is, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, what about the
8	Vaillancourt document, does it still exist or has it now
9	been superseded by these two protocols?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suspect that these
11	protocols are part of the evolution of the Vaillancourt
12	document.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Because the Vaillancourt
14	document let me just see if I can get it handy for a
15	second.
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	MR. ENGELMANN: The Vaillancourt document
18	really talks about an internal investigation in the main,
19	and it's at Tab 25 of that same book you're looking at.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Actually, it's in the
21	first volume, last tab. Let me help you. Volume 1
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, here it is.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: and the very last
24	tab.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I have it. Thank you.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, the phases seem to be -
3	- the captions at least are very close. It says:
4	"Receiving a complaint, phase one;
5	phase two, informing the Bishop of the
6	Diocese."
7	Here's where it starts to get different:
8	"Phase three, meeting with a suspected
9	aggressor; phase four, meeting of the
10	Advisory Committee; phase five,
11	notification; phase six, inquiry and
12	decision by the CAS; phase seven,
13	offering to help."
14	And what I just was trying to understand,
15	Monsignor, was whether this document at Tab 25 of Exhibit
16	58 was to continue to co-exist or whether it had been
17	superseded by the two documents we now see in the 1996
18	diocesan protocols. We no longer see this particular
19	document, the Vaillancourt document as I've called it, in
20	those 1996 protocols.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I think it was part of
22	the evolutionary process.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So it's
24	essentially gone now and we have the 1996 documents?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose so, yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	I'll just be a moment.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
4	Then we can wind down for lunch, Mr.
5	Engelmann.
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Just a couple of questions
8	before we do, sir, if I may.
9	In Tab 32, sir, the document we're looking
10	at, we have the document that's been re-signed June $17^{\rm th}$,
11	'96. Do you know of any reason why you would have approved
12	this same document again a year later, or was it just so
13	that you had the two together at the same time?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think that that would be
15	the reason, yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	And if we look at the second part of the
18	document, "Protocol for priests who are the subject matter
19	of criminal proceedings or civil litigation".
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: It seems to be, just by
22	looking at it, very much a protection for priests document,
23	in the sense that it sets out all of the various
24	protections and/or provisions you're going to be putting in
25	place if a priest is the subject matter of either an

148

1	allegation of an allegation that leads to either a
2	criminal proceeding or a civil litigation?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And I just want to give you
5	a couple of examples.
6	It appears at paragraph 2 that the priest is
7	entitled to legal counsel of choice within reason. Do you
8	see that?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And those costs are going to
11	be borne by the Diocese.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's whether it's a
14	criminal allegation or a civil lawsuit. Is that correct?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: With the understanding that
16	if he's found criminally responsible if I remember
17	correctly the context that he would have to reimburse
18	the Diocese.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	Paragraph 4 talks about the accused priest
21	is to be ensured reasonable lodging and necessary funds to
22	provide for lodging and food?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Paragraph 5 talks about a
25	priest receiving full salary, car allowance, benefits, et

1	cetera, until the completion of all legal processes,
2	including appeals?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Paragraph 6 talks about the
5	provision of therapy, the priest's preference of therapy?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: These are all expenses that
8	the Diocese will bear; correct?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The subject of the protocol
10	is protocol for priests.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's why it seems to me
13	the victims and how we handle the complaints is part of the
14	protocol that we have the new protocol that we have with
15	the police and the CAS.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	So
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There's a different
19	purpose. One is for one reason, the other one's for the
20	other, and then non-mention of victims in that is because
21	we're talking about how to handle the priest situation.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So the first page,
23	which is page 37, of the Diocesan protocols, or 816
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: that would be the page

1	that would set out sort of victims or alleged victims
2	rights?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Whereas the other two pages
5	would set out the priest's rights?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The priest's.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	And if I'm reading correctly, at "B" on page
9	39, this also sets out rights for priests who are
10	indirectly involved because it says:
11	"Legal assistance should be provided to
12	other priests who are interviewed by
13	legitimate investigating authorities,
14	both civil and religious,"
15	Again, the costs will be paid by the
16	Diocese.
17	And there's some other rights that priests
18	indirectly involved will have. Is that correct?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah. Could you help me
20	with, "In compliance with the Constitution Act of 1982"?
21	Do you know what that means?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I have no idea. I know
23	what it
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That has to be a legal
25	document I would imagine, because

151

1	MR. ENGELMANN: I know what it is but I
2	don't see I don't know why it would apply to the
3	paragraph before. I don't know what that has to do with
4	the Diocese paying costs for priests who are indirectly
5	involved in either civil and/or religious matters or
6	investigations.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know who would have
9	drafted this, sir?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would imagine that Father
11	Vaillancourt who was working in this would be the one who
12	would be working on both aspects of this document. I was
13	not personally involved. That's all I can say.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	But you approved it?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I did, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm just wondering about
18	the rationale for paying the priest's legal fees. You can
19	see that on the previous page.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: It's Bates page 817.
22	It says, "A) presumption of innocence". So
23	presumably that applies if it's a criminal matter. But
24	this is to provide costs for both criminal and civil
25	proceedings?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But as I said, if the
2	priest is found guilty in a criminal action then the
3	understanding was, and still is I think, that he would
4	reimburse the Diocese?
5	MR. ENGELMANN: What if there's no criminal
6	action and it's just a civil proceeding?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't remember that that
8	possibility was discussed.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, your comment that
10	"If they're found guilty, I'll have to repay," that's not
11	in this document?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was an understanding,
13	I think, that is in the back of my mind at least. I know
14	it's not in the documents.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: If you're going to try and
16	enforce such an understanding, surely, sir, you'd have to
17	have it in the document that talks about rights for
18	priests?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Probably.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And the rationale
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's a recollection only
22	that I have.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	The rationale that's listed there is a
25	presumption of innocence and then it says:

1	"b) Church is not taking sides."
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: "The victim has the full
4	power of the Crown at his or her
5	disposal."
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just wondering; I'm not
8	familiar with other employers who would fund legal fees for
9	their employees if they're charged with criminal offences.
10	I'm just wondering where that rationale comes from.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose it's from the
12	fact that priests do not receive a salary comparable to
13	other people. They don't have the funds usually to be able
14	to engage legal help.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: But there are lawyers
16	available through other means for individuals who don't
17	have funds; are there not, sir?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose so, yes.
19	As you know, I did not draw up the document
20	myself. I mean, I'm reading it as you are. I don't know -
21	- I was not privy to the discussions that took place in
22	order to put this down on paper.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: But it seems that as long as
24	a priest maintains his innocence, there are many rights
25	that he's afforded under this protocol in 1996.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, isn't that true even
2	in law, that you're innocent until you're proven guilty?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, that's I think
4	you're misunderstanding my question. I'm talking
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: What is the question then?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: The question is that as long
7	as a priest maintains his innocence, denies responsibility,
8	he's entitled to many benefits under this protocol? He's
9	entitled to full legal fees
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: throughout either a
12	civil or criminal process. He's entitled to reasonable
13	lodging and necessary expenses. He's entitled to receive
14	full salary, car allowance and benefits until the
15	completion of all legal processes, including
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You have to know the
17	context, sir. The context is that many of my priests, as
18	well as myself, were accused.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: In 1996, sir?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In 1996.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I thought that that was
22	-
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Beforehand.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: It was before this, before
25	June

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The Leroux accusations.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, those accusations only
3	became public quite a bit later, did they not?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe so.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: You believe they were public
6	in June on June 17 th , 1996?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	So you believe that all of these rights that
10	were set out for you and other priests in the Diocese might
11	have been influenced by the Leroux affidavit?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely, because we knew
13	that we were innocent.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I said we knew that we were
16	innocent.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And the law was not
19	protecting us, so we had to have some way of being able to
20	protect ourselves.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well, were any of you
22	charged, sir?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. We were investigated,
24	but we were not charged because there were no grounds for
25	the accusations.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, I'm certainly going to
2	come to that, but this talks about priests going through
3	criminal proceedings and/or civil litigation.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So presumably it goes far
6	beyond statements and an allegation statements on a
7	website. It goes through it is there for priests who
8	are charged?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: These were not just
10	statements on a website. I'm sorry; these were not
11	statements on a website. These were accusations that were
12	investigated by the police and where the priests and myself
13	had to have legal counsel.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. But, sir, this
15	protects priests through an entire criminal law process,
16	including all appeals, and any civil litigation that might
17	arise?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what it says, and as
19	I say, I didn't draw up the document.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I signed it, but I did not
22	draw it up.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	So just to end then, sir, whether you drew
25	it up or not, you were the Bishop and you approved this

1	protocol?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I did.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Thank you.
4	Maybe we could take the lunch break?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Take the lunch break.
6	Thank you.
7	Come back at 2:00 2:10.
8	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
9	veuillez vous lever.
10	The hearing will resume at 2:10.
11	Upon recessing at 12:38 p.m./
12	L'audience est suspendue à 12h38
13	Upon resuming at 2:13 p.m./
14	L'audience est reprise à 14h13
15	THE REGISTRAR : Order; all rise. À
16	l'ordre; veuillez vous lever.
17	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
18	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, Mr.
21	Commissioner.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon, sir.
23	MONSIGNOR EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
24	EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
25	<pre>ENGELMANN (cont'd/suite):</pre>

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, Monsignor.
2	Sir, when we left off, I had finished the
3	review of the protocols, and what I'd like to do now is ask
4	you questions about Father Charles MacDonald.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Father?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Charles MacDonald.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, just by way of a
9	roadmap, I'm going to ask you a few questions about your
10	knowledge of his background from the seminary, et cetera,
11	his role in the Diocese just before you arrive and then his
12	role during your tenure as Bishop, and then we'll go to the
13	Silmser complaint and how you became aware of it, and your
14	knowledge of the response to the complaint, up to and
15	including the settlement discussion, et cetera.
16	So we'll start, sir, if we can, just with
17	some knowledge. Father MacDonald was a priest in the
18	Diocese of Alexandria when you arrived and you were
19	appointed as Bishop?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's quite true, yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And he had been ordained by
22	your predecessor, Bishop Proulx?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	My understanding is he was ordained in or

1	around 1969. So that would have been Bishop Proulx at that
2	time?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And that was after Bishop Brodeur?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, you told us that you
8	didn't have many discussions with Bishop Proulx about staff
9	when you took over?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: None whatsoever, yeah.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	So I guess that answers my question. I was
13	going to ask if you'd had any discussions with him about
14	Father MacDonald specifically?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: What about with either
17	Bishop Brodeur or other senior priests or diocesan staff?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not that I can remember,
19	no.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	And would you have occasion to review his
22	file after you had gotten to know him a bit?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I would have, yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	And he would have had an active file because

1	he was one of the
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: 40-odd priests?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was an assistant at St.
7	Columban's when I arrived.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: That's right.
9	So just before we get into what he was doing
10	at St. Columban's I'd just like to ask you a couple of
11	questions from his file.
12	Document Number 120057, it's entitled, in
13	French, "Rapport Semestriel sur la Conduite et les Qualités
14	des séminaristes", and the date is
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would be the annual
16	report on Father Charles from the seminary.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. The date is February
18	28 th , 1967.
19	Now, sir, in 1967 this is again in the
20	document this was before your time as Bishop?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Seven years before I came,
22	yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you give us a sense as
24	to what you would have been doing then?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In 1967, I was principal of

1	King's College at the University of Western Ontario.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	Would you have then some relationship with
4	the rector at St. Paul's University?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: None whatsoever.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you come to know him?
7	This is Reverend Bellemare.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I may have met him but
9	certainly not on a personal basis.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Fair enough.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: So Exhibit 2091 is
12	exactly un "Rapport semestriel sur la conduite et les
13	qualités des séminaristes" and it's dated the $23^{\rm rd}$ of no,
14	February of 1967.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I believe it's the 28 th of
16	February, sir, '67.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it is. Thank you.
18	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2091:
19	(120057) Rapport Semestriel sur la conduite
20	et les qualités des séminaristes - 28 fév 67
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I apologize. Did you say
22	2900 or 2901?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: I said 2091.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Two zero nine one (2091).
25	Sir, I don't know if you've seen this

1	document any time in the recent past, it may have been some
2	time ago.
3	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I just have a few
5	questions. First of all, I don't know if you knew this,
6	but Father MacDonald would have gone to seminary as a
7	mature student?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he had been teaching
9	beforehand.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So at this time he's
11	34 years of age?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm assuming that there
14	would be people much younger in the seminary, possibly
15	people older?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Most of them would have
17	been younger than himself.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At that time anyway.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: How young could people be
21	going to seminary at that time?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, in the seminary of
23	theology they would have Grade 13, three years of
24	university. So they would be 21 probably or 22.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And I speak some French, as
3	you know, but it's not perfect. "Tenue extérieure", what
4	does that mean, sir?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Is outside outward
6	bearing.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	And the comment there:
9	"Conduite moins satisfaisante"
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Satisfaisante, yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Pardon.
12	
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "que les années passées en
14	ce qui a trait aux visites aux chambres entre
15	séminaristes."
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "entre séminaristes."
17	Most seminaries at that time forbade the
18	visiting in between the rooms of the seminarians. They
19	were not to be in each others rooms.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So what it appears they're
21	saying is that his conduct was less satisfactory than the
22	year before?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He wasn't observing the
24	rules.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And he has many

1	visits to his room by other seminarians?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, he's visiting other
3	seminarians.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, I'm sorry.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, probably both ways.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And, sir, under "Character" there's several
8	other comments that
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I would
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He doesn't
12	MR. ENGELMANN: suggest are
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He doesn't accept the
14	correction.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: are less than positive.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: One of them is that he never
18	accepts fault.
19	"Il ne semble pas accepter d'être pris
20	en défaut."
21	Do I have that right?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. Well, it doesn't say
23	"never". It just says he doesn't like to be taken at
24	fault.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And after that he

1	defends by attacking. Is that how
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he lets his Scotch out
3	Scot character out.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says this is with
5	respect to
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He's aggressive.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, with people on staff,
8	with his colleagues and with the rector?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Je suis intéressé,
11	Monseigneur, dans la
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oui.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: In the next comment:
14	"À la même occasions, il a fait preuve
15	aussi de jalousie, je dirais, presque féminine."
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oui. C'est une remarque
17	assez inusitée surtout pour un rapport de séminariste.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And, sir,
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Rancunier" means he keeps
21	a spirit of revenge.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Rancunier" means he keeps
24	a spirit of revenge. He doesn't forgive very easily.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.

1	And, sir, on the following page under is
2	that "Moral qualities and virtues"?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Vertu?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just not it says at
7	the end "very critical"
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, very he finds
9	fault with many things.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Critiqueur.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: But doesn't easily follow
13	directives?
14	And, sir, "Vue d'ensemble", is that sort of
15	a combined view of people there or do you know what that
16	means?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, because the whole
18	faculty is discussing him.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	So it says unfavourable?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would be astonished if he
24	were to persevere.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And they're suggesting, are

1	they not, that there's some question as to whether or not
2	he'll be accepted for another year?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: You'd agree with me that
5	this report at least is quite negative?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, and at that time, as I
8	think you indicated earlier, screening would be done -
9	screening through the seminary, not on a transfer from
10	another diocese, would be by the school itself?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, at that time I don't
12	believe they had psychologists who came in to screen. They
13	do at the present time, but that's
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: only in the later
16	years.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: But that already took place
18	when you were still Bishop; correct?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it was.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Late '90s?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I think they had I
22	know at St. Peter's Seminary, where I taught, did have a
23	man early on, even before other seminaries did.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was a man from Montreal.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, there's a follow-up
2	report from the rector in June. I don't want to spend much
3	time on it, but it's Document Number 120061.
4	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
5	MR. ENGELMANN: If that could be the next
6	exhibit, sir? It's another "rapport" from the University
7	of St. Paul. It's dated June 25 th , 1967.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2092.
9	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO. P-2092:
10	(120061) Rapport semestriel - 25 juin, 67
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's the one we have just
12	read. It would probably be the first semester report and
13	this would be the final of the year report, the end of the
14	year report.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	And they would take a summer recess like
17	most universities, sir?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They did, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm just looking at the
20	second sentence:
21	"J'avais crû devoir prendre Charles
22	pour des visites nombreuses et
23	prolongées du séminariste à sa
24	chambre."
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "à sa chambre." Okay. So

1	you're correct then that they are going to his room rather
2	than he going to their room.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	So this is still continuing, these visits by
5	seminarians to his room?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Apparently.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says again that he
8	reacts in an aggressive fashion?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: But what does this say? He
11	is jealous and
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He showed il a laissé
13	voir he showed jealousy and that he kept some
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Grudge.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Grudge, yes, would be
16	better, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And he's commenting that
18	he's a man of 34 years.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. You wouldn't
20	expect that.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So there is some concern
22	about his behaviour again, his immaturity and his breach of
23	rules?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And again, sir, it suggests

1	possibly that they should ask the Bishop whether or not he
2	should perhaps refer him to another seminary?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: For the fall term?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So again, sir, this is
7	somewhat negative?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It doesn't please him at
9	all.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Il ne sourit pas"
12	MR. ENGELMANN: That does not please
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Father Charles at all, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: That's the:
15	"Cette perspective ne lui sourit pas du
16	tout."
17	Is that
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And he is making it very
20	clear further down on the page that he has made it clear to
21	Charles MacDonald he will not tolerate any more visits to
22	his room?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: What's he saying at the
25	bottom about psychological?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You mean before that?
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Just at the bottom of the
3	page.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, excuse me.
5	"But I maintain what I said in the February
6	report with regard to the problem of the psychological
7	problem that I reported at that time."
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you would not have read
9	these initially when you became Bishop, but you would have
10	become aware of these reports at some point?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At some point I would, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And would this have ever
13	been something you would have discussed with Father
14	MacDonald?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't believe I did,
16	no.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was already ordained and
19	in action.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
21	There's
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Apparently he was
23	undergoing counselling, though.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Back then?
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Back then, yeah.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At that time, if you do not
2	arrive at getting rid of this psychological problem through
3	counselling that you are now undertaking, it "il serait
4	aventureux" it would be dangerous for us to keep him
5	here.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I just want to refer
7	you to one other letter, if I may. And this is a letter
8	from, again, the rector, Reverend Bellemare to Monsignor
9	Proulx. It is Document Number 119306 and it's dated
10	November 29 th , '67.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2093, letter
12	dated November 29, '67 to Monseigneur Adolphe Proulx from
13	Rosaire Bellemare.
14	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO. P-2093:
15	(119306) Lettre de Rosaire Bellemare à
16	Adolphe Proulx - 29 Nov, '67
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, he certainly appears to
18	be noticing some positive change in this letter, sir. I'm
19	reading:
20	"Il est certain que ses attitudes ont
21	beaucoup changé depuis le printemps
22	dernier."
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The middle of the
24	paragraph, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And then it says:

1	"Il semble que les explications que
2	j'ai eues avec lui avant les vacances
3	d'été et très sûrement autres
4	interventions personnelles au cours de
5	ses vacances ont été fructueuses."
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, have borne
7	fruit, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So do I take it from that
9	that he is saying that
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There has been a change.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: The explanation for the
12	change had something to do with a personal intervention on
13	the part of
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Bishop Proulx.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Bishop Proulx?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As well as his own
17	intervention before he left.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	And, sir, do you have any idea what that
20	intervention was?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely not.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	And you never spoke to Bishop Proulx about
24	that?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I've already answered that

1	question.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, do you know at that
3	time whether Father well, he was then Charles MacDonald
4	he would have been sponsored presumably by this Diocese
5	to go to St. Paul's?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: That was the process?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The Diocese makes the
9	request.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	And that would typically be from the bishop
12	of the day?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Sir, I now want to show you a document, and
16	I thought we had this as an exhibit, so I apologize. I'm
17	going to give you the document number, sir. It states on
18	it that it's a CV for Charles MacDonald.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, we have it.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm saying we have seen
22	it as an exhibit, I believe.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, I'm almost positive we
24	have. One-one-nine-eight-eight-seven (119887) is the
25	version I have, but there may be another doc number for it.

1	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it's Exhibit 2014
3	oh, no, I'm sorry. I'm sorry, that's not a CV. That's the
4	card thing that we had.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: That may be
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, that is his CV?
7	MR. ENGELMANN: That may be what this is.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it is.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because they were on cards.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Two-zero-one-four (2014).
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I believe it may have been
12	entered much earlier. I seem to recall Mr. Neville putting
13	this in during his cross-examination. It doesn't matter if
14	we have it in now.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this it? It's 2014?
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Are you able to tell us,
18	sir, by looking at this document whether it would have been
19	something from diocesan files?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that was on file in my
21	office at my secretary's.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There was a file for each
24	priest active priest.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And is this are

1	these you described for us yesterday some kind of a card
2	index?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This is what it was, the
4	front and the back of that card.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
6	And on the back of the card there's some
7	handwriting. Sir, is that your handwriting?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That is my handwriting,
9	yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So can you just explain for
11	us what that says? This is something that Father MacDonald
12	did after his treatment at Southdown?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. I sent him
14	away in September of 1994 for a one-year course at Regis
15	College at the University of Toronto.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So that was as a
17	student, not as a teacher?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no, as a student.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
20	And there's nothing after that. Is that
21	because that's when you
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's when well, he was
23	retired. He never went back to a parish after he left
24	Southdown.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Regis College is the Jesuit
2	college. On this Feast of St. Ignatius of Loyola, I had to
3	say that.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: On this Feast of St.
6	Ignatius of Loyola, I had to say that it was a Jesuit
7	college.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's the founder of the
10	Jesuits.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. And is Father
12	MacDonald still on being paid from your parish?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: From the Diocese?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That, I'm not sure.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I'm sorry; you're the
17	wrong person to ask. I'm sorry. Okay.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if he was during
19	your tenure as Bishop, sir?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he was receiving a
21	salary.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you would have
23	retired as Bishop in 2002?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: April of 2002.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So until that time, it's

1	your understanding Father MacDonald
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: My understanding was that
3	we were contributing, yes. I don't know what the salary
4	might have been, whether it was the regular or whether it
5	was less than regular. I don't know.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	Now, you indicated to us that when you
8	started as the Bishop, Father MacDonald was an assistant
9	priest at St. Columban's Parish here in Cornwall?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. That's
11	right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, the card index on
13	2014 indicates that he was appointed there in 1969?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Probably after his
15	ordination, I would imagine.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And was that a large parish,
17	sir?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, there were usually
19	three priests assigned to that parish.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	So he would have been one of two assistants?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And there would have been a
24	head priest?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, the pastor would have

1	been.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And excuse my ignorance,
3	sir, but would they reside in a manse or a
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. They all
5	resided together and the rectory is just across the street
6	from the church.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	And do you know who those priests were at
9	that time or who they were when you started in '74 at St.
10	Columban's?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It seems to me that
12	Monsignor Donald Bernard McDougald was the pastor and the
13	previous pastor, Monsignor I have a memory lapse was
14	there in residence. And I forget who the third priest was
15	but I think the third priest was a younger priest who had
16	been ordained by Bishop Proulx.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: So there would have been
18	four priests in residence there?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Three active and one
20	retired.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	And at that particular time and again,
23	this is there was the procedure or process in place
24	for the screening of new priests was simply as you
25	described? That would be the seminary and then some

1	discussion or pernaps interview with you and the vocational
2	Director coming in?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, usually it was the
4	Vocational Director who would refer him to me, and then I,
5	if I was satisfied, would recommend that he go to with
6	his because there were different seminaries to which we
7	could send them, depending whether they were French-
8	speaking, which would be the Grand Seminary of Montreal
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: or Saint Paul's.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And English-speaking could
13	go to Toronto or St. Peter's or Saint Paul's.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And when they came back to the Diocese after
16	their successful completion of seminary studies
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: and they were ordained,
19	was there any form of trial period, sir?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that was
21	MR. ENGELMANN: A probationary period, or is
22	that what the seminary is for?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. The reason they were
24	put in a parish with other priests was to get that trial
25	period.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In other words, they were
3	not put in a parish all by themselves at the very
4	beginning.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So in essence, when
6	they join a larger parish as an assistant, there's
7	supervision from the head priest of that church?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	And
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's no longer the
12	case, of course. They now have before ordination they
13	spend at least a year in a parish under the supervision of
14	the priest, without being ordained, so that he can render
15	his judgment as to whether they would be fit. Because
16	academically they could be very intelligent, but if they
17	can't relate with people, then it's another it's a very
18	difficult situation.
19	And so the present situation is that they
20	spend a year as what they call "stage pastoral", a pastoral
21	period of time in the parish, and they're supervised at
22	that particular time by the seminary as well as by the
23	parish priest.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Would this have happened
25	after you left here as Bishop, sir?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, did you know that
3	Father MacDonald came to be responsible for the altar
4	server programme at St. Columban's Church?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that would have
6	happened before my coming.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: But when you would have
8	joined then, sir, as Bishop, he would have had that
9	responsibility?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He may have had, but I
11	would not have known. That's an internal matter between
12	the pastor and himself.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	So for him to have that charge, that would
15	have been a decision of the pastor of the day?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. And it was
17	usually given to one of the assistants.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	And, sir, at that point in time, would the
20	program have only been male? It would have only been altar
21	boys?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know when that
24	changed, sir?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it was in the I

I	would say the beginning of the 1980s in some parishes, mid-
2	1980s perhaps.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And the functions as the
4	person in charge of the altar server programme, would those
5	be functions simply at the church or would there be
6	functions away from the church as well?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Usually it would be, you
8	know, to show them how to serve and to make the
9	appointments as to what masses they were to attend.
10	The custom, I believe, in most parishes was
11	to reward them in some way at Christmas and during the
12	holidays with some kind of a picnic, and usually on those
13	picnics the priests were all there as well as some
14	laypeople.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Were there any other
16	activities or rewards? For example, were there retreats
17	that they would be taken on from time to time?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge, no.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: So the person who would have
20	been responsible for supervising someone like Father
21	MacDonald in the role as the head of an altar server
22	programme would have been the pastor of that particular
23	church?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And to your knowledge, sir,

1	at that time, was there any enhanced supervision given with
2	respect to this position because of the close working
3	relationship with children?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't understand your
5	question.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, were there any
7	enhanced screening or interviews or extra supervision
8	because people in that role would be working regularly with
9	children?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, it was taken for
11	granted that if you had made it through the seminary, it
12	was a normal human being with a sense of responsibility.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	Sir, in or around 1975, Father MacDonald was
15	appointed the pastor of St. Anthony's Parish of Apple Hill
16	in Monkland?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I appointed him there.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And that would have
19	been a smaller parish, sir, as far as population-wise?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And would he have been the
22	sole priest there?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He would have been, yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	And so as the only priest, presumably he

I	would have automatically been responsible for the altar
2	servers' programme there?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He would have been
4	responsible for everything in the parish.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And again, at that
6	time, that would have still been altar boys?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I understand that
9	he became to have a role in the Diocese with respect to
10	youth programmes in the Diocese itself?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he became responsible,
12	along with the Deacon, Ernest Bellefeuille and his wife
13	Shannon, for what was called the COR Movement, C-O-R, which
14	is
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir sorry.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Which is the equivalent in
17	English to the ${\ensuremath{R}}^3$ in French. ${\ensuremath{R}}^3$ is the "Rencontre avec soi,
18	avec Dieu et avec le prochain", so the triple meeting with
19	God with your inner self and with others.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And when would youth enter a
21	program of that nature, at what age?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: High school age, probably,
23	late high school age, most of them.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: So that wouldn't start as
25	early as Grade 9, sir?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It could. I think it was
2	more on a volunteer basis, those who wanted to go.
3	Basically, it was a weekend, Friday, Saturday and Sunday
4	afternoon.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So he was responsible for that role amongst
7	the Anglophone youth?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was Father Kevin was
9	and he was as well, and then gradually he took over the
10	full responsibility.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Because on the card, if I
12	look back at 2014, it says "Co-responsible for youth among
13	the Anglophones".
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, co-responsible with
15	Father Kevin.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	And do you know who had that responsibility
18	for francophones?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it was Father Gilles
20	Deslauriers and Father Vaillancourt helped him at times. I
21	believe Father Luc Bouchard also helped at times.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And at that particular time,
23	in '78, how were people assigned to a position of that
24	nature? Is that something that the individual priest would
25	express an interest in having?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, but you have to have
2	the approval of the Bishop.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because it's a diocesan
5	function.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	But presumably Father MacDonald would have
8	put his name in for it and you would have approved it?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And again, at that time,
11	this was before there would be any form of further
12	screening or anything of that nature?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, as I understand it, in
15	June of 1983, he was named the Chaplain of Bishop Macdonell
16	Secondary School?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was a school that
19	was just to be opened?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And there's a letter to that
22	effect. It's Document 119361.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: By that time, he had been
24	moved from St. Anthony's to St. Mary's in Williamstown,
25	which was a little closer to Cornwall, I think.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So he had become the pastor
2	at St. Mary's Parish?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And again, that would have
5	been a church where he would have been the sole priest?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 2094 is a
8	letter dated June $24^{\rm th}$, 1983 to Charles MacDonald from
9	Bishop LaRocque.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2094:
11	(119361) Letter to Charles MacDonald from
12	Eugène LaRocque dated June 24, 1983
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, this is simply the
14	appointment letter where you're naming him as the first
15	chaplain of this new Catholic secondary school?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: On a part-time basis.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
18	So he still has his role as the pastor?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And he's taking on this
21	additional function?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you have some sense,
24	sir, as to what those duties would entail?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, offering the holy

1	sacrifice at the mass, school masses, and I don't think
2	there would have been much counseling because Sister
3	Margaret Scanlon was also there and I think she did a lot
4	of the counseling among the students.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I was going to ask you
6	whether the position entailed meeting students one on one
7	for some kind of pastoral counseling or care?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It could have on occasion,
9	but I suspect that he was there only one afternoon a week.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, do you know who
11	was responsible for supervising his work at that school?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It would be the principal.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Likewise, he was
14	appointed as a part-time chaplain at St. Joseph's High
15	School in 1987. Is that correct, sir? Perhaps it's 1988?
16	Document Number 119365.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 2095 is a
18	letter dated June $3^{\rm rd}$, 1988 to Reverend Father Charles
19	MacDonald from Bishop LaRocque.
20	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2095:
21	(119365) Letter to Charles MacDonald from
22	Eugène LaRocque dated June 3, 1988
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, it appears he's taking
24	on a part-time chaplaincy at the St. Joseph's High School,
25	and this is at or about the time he's also now moving from

1	St. Mary's Parish to St. Andrew's Parish?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think there's something
3	wrong here. In 1983, he was appointed to Bishop Macdonell
4	School which was a 9 and 10 school. That was before the
5	extension that was granted in 1984 by William Davis.
6	And so when the school was extended to Grade
7	11, 12 and 13, it took on the name of St. Joseph's. So
8	it's actually the same school but two different names.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Okay.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's the evolution of the
11	Catholic school system.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: There was also a Bishop
13	Macdonell School here in Cornwall; correct?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There still is.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: That's a junior high school?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But it's a grade school.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It reverted back to a grade
19	school in my time, Grade 1 to Grade 8.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	But in any event, this was another part-time
22	chaplaincy?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Part-time chaplaincy in the
24	same school.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And again, a

1	supervision of him in that role would have been through the
2	principal or staff at the school?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you know you made
5	the decision to appoint Father MacDonald to these positions
6	in the schools?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In consultation with the
8	principal of the school.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And presumably he had
10	expressed an interest in these positions?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, he's a former
14	teacher.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
16	And did you have any role in the supervision
17	of priests who were working in schools, or did anyone at
18	the Diocese?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In which way?
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, I'm just wondering if
21	there was any kind of reporting back to another priest in
22	the Diocese or whether the reporting relationship was
23	simply with the principal or someone at the school?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, it was just with the
25	principal.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	And, sir, with respect to the supervision of
3	priests who were responsible for youth programs in the
4	Diocese, who would he have reported to for those
5	activities?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Youth programs on the
7	English side?
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He would have reported to
10	me. That was a diocesan function.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. All right.
12	Sir, let's talk then about a complaint that
13	was made in or around December of 1992 concerning Father
14	MacDonald. This was a complaint that was initially made by
15	an individual named David Silmser.
16	And, sir, I'd like to refer you to Exhibit
17	311 first, and this is a letter from a Monsignor
18	Schonenbach to Monsignor McDougald of your Diocese. It's a
19	letter dated December 11 th , 1992.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: You said Exhibit 302?
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I believe it's Exhibit 311.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Three eleven (311),
23	sorry. Okay. Yes.
24	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So this is a
2	letter you've seen before?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it was copied to me.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: You would have seen it at
5	the time and, no doubt, in preparing for coming here today,
6	correct?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Was Monsignor Schonenbach
9	someone who was known to you at that time?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he had been Secretary
11	of the Canadian Bishops for some time.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, and
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Before he went back to work
14	in his own Archdiocese of Ottawa.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So you had some
16	personal knowledge of him?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And he was a member of the
19	Ottawa Archdiocese at this time?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Still is.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And we've heard that
24	both of these individuals were the Bishop's delegate at the
25	time in question for their respective dioceses.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And this letter so as
3	Bishop's delegate they would have responsibility to deal
4	with complaints of clergy abuse.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, the letter
7	describes a meeting that Monsignor Schonenbach has with Mr.
8	Silmser on December 10 th of 1992, correct?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm wondering, sir, before
11	receiving this letter, if you might have had some prior
12	knowledge of this complaint by Mr. Silmser?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I may have but I can't
14	remember.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because he says that he had
17	received a telephone conversation with Monsignor McDougald.
18	So if Monsignor McDougald was aware of this he would've
19	made me aware of it at the time.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
21	Well, I'm wondering certainly and I'm
22	sure he would have spoken to you either at your request or
23	at his upon receipt of this letter. Is that fair?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He would have who's
25	"he"?

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Monsignor McDougald.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it was copied to me
3	so he knew that I had received the same letter.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough, but you would
5	have spoken to him shortly after receipt of this letter,
6	presumably.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember but
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	And, sir, I'm wondering if you can recall
10	being informed either by Monsignor McDougald or by
11	Monsignor Guindon, or Father Guindon, of an approach that
12	Mr. Silmser might have made to Father Guindon actually
13	before he met with Monsignor Schonenbach in Ottawa?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember that.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I may come back to that.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If that happened I'm sure
17	that Monsignor Guindon would have referred him to Monsignor
18	McDougald because he was my delegate.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So did you have some
20	sense, sir, as to why an allegation of sexual abuse at St.
21	Columban's Parish by an ex altar boy dealing with one of
22	your priests, why that was being dealt with by Monsignor
23	Schonenbach in Ottawa?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because Mr. Silmser chose
25	to go to him since he was living in the Archdiocese of

1	Ottawa.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And who would have told you
3	that, sir?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's in a letter.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And he lives in Hammond,
7	Ontario, which is the Archdiocese of Ottawa.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: When Monsignor Schonenbach
9	testified here he said that Mr. Silmser told him that he
10	called the Cornwall Diocese. He spoke to Monsignor Guindon
11	about his complaint and what Silmser related to Schonenbach
12	was Guindon told him, "What do you expect me to do about
13	it?" or words to that effect.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know. I'm not
15	privy to that conversation.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well, my question to
17	you is whether Monsignor Guindon advised you of the
18	complaint he had received from Mr. Silmser.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no recollection of
20	that. I'm sorry.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you recall what your
22	reaction was when you did read this letter back in December
23	of 1992?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: My first reaction was to
25	think that this is utterly out of character, the Father

Charles that I knew.

Charles that I knew.
MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I just want to try and
refresh your memory about whether or not you might have
known about the approach to Father Guindon.
And if the witness could be shown it's
Exhibit 1889.
Monsignor Larocque, this is an examination
for discovery transcript dated December 12 th , 1995.
THE COMMISSIONER: What number again?
MR. ENGELMANN: I believe it's 1889.
THE COMMISSIONER: Eighty-nine (89), oh,
okay. Yeah, that makes sense.
MR. ENGELMANN: I believe the note that my
colleague Maitre Dumais has made here.
THE COMMISSIONER: What page?
MR. ENGELMANN: The page in question is
it's page 175 of the transcript. It's Bates page 530.
Do you remember, sir, attending at an
examination for discovery and being asked some questions?
This is in December of 1995.
MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'd like to have the page,
please. I don't have
MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
THE COMMISSIONER: It's page if you're
looking at the Bates pages or if you look at the top

1	left corner. Yeah, it's 530, the last three numbers.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
3	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I'm referring to
5	it's right next to number 506.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: There's a question and
8	before we go on to the next statement there are a couple of
9	things arising out of that:
10	"Bishop, did you receive a report from
11	Monsignor Guindon about his initial
12	contact from Mr. Silmser?"
13	Answer:
14	"No, I didn't receive a report. I just
15	got he told me that he had gotten
16	the phone call and I told him, if I
17	recall correctly, that they should put
18	the protocol into operation."
19	"All right. Any other discussions with
20	Monsignor Guindon?"
21	"Not that I can recall, no, because
22	from then on it was Monsignor
23	McDougald. He was the person
24	responsible."
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you for helping my

1	memory.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	You'd agree, sir, your memory would have
4	been better about the circumstances back in 1995 than it
5	would be today in 2008?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suspect so, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you know, if Mr.
8	Guindon got the initial call, why the Diocese protocol
9	wasn't put into effect and Monsignor McDougald didn't deal
10	with this as opposed to
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no idea.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	So you don't have any recollection about
14	what sorry what Father Guindon would have told you
15	about his contact with Mr. Silmser?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Just that he had received a
17	telephone call. That's all. But he should have referred
18	him to Monsignor McDougald.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I think that's
21	in the examination for discovery that's what you said.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And he certainly shouldn't
24	have said what he was alleged to have said, "What do you
25	expect me to do about it?" or words to that effect. That

1	wouldn't be a proper response.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But that is Mr. Silmser's -
3	
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: evaluation of the
6	conversation.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Assuming for a moment
9	that it's correct, that that is an accurate rendition of
10	what he said, that isn't quite what you would have thought
11	Monsignor Guindon would have said if he was respecting the
12	policy?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really don't want to make
14	a comment on I mean, it's almost a comment on a
15	conversation where I wasn't even present.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, that's not what -
17	- no, no, it's a fair question.
18	Do you want to restate it, Mr. Engelmann?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, if it's a fair
20	question I would say then that he should have answered more
21	courteously.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Because an answer like that
23	isn't going to encourage someone to bring a complaint in
24	your Diocese.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, but it could be that

1	Monsignor Guindon was involved with a tribunal case, a
2	marriage tribunal, when he got the phone call.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: There are many
4	explanations. We're not
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: So I don't the man is
6	dead so I don't want to
7	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, and we're just
8	trying to be fair here on the issue of as we premised
9	the question, the question was, "If what Mr. Silmser says
10	is accurate"
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Then it's not courteous.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: So there you go. It
13	doesn't mean it's accurate. It just means that assume for
14	a moment it is. That wasn't the response you expected from
15	Monseigneur Guindon?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, he should
17	THE COMMISSIONER: That's all. Okay.
18	But in your examination for discovery you
19	did say, if I recall correctly, they should put the
20	protocol into operation?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: And those were the first
23	words that you said on that.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, and in the letter we
2	just looked at from Monsignor Schonenbach and that's
3	Exhibit 311 in the fourth paragraph he's relating
4	again, this is something that Mr. Silmser would have told
5	to him:
6	"He told me he was raising the matter
7	at this time because he wanted to lose
8	the label of being a bad person. He
9	said `for starters I'd like a letter
10	from Father MacDonald acknowledging
11	what he did so that I could show this
12	to my mother'."
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I remember that that was
14	one of his requests, that he wanted something for his aged
15	mother to be able to change her mind with regard to
16	himself.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	And were you aware of any other requests at
19	that time, sir?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not that I knew of, no.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Then he goes on and he just simply says at
23	the end:
24	"My own knowledge of David Silmser is
25	restricted to this one meeting. He

1	seems like a credible person. At the
2	conclusion of the meeting I indicated
3	that you were the person in charge of
4	these questions in the Alexandria-
5	Cornwall Diocese. I gave him your
6	telephone number."
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That means Monsignor
8	McDougald
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: to whom the letter's
11	addressed.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So he's clearly
13	indicating to Mr. Silmser that he's not the guy to do this.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And presumably that's what
16	he's told Mr. Silmser. He's now asking your Diocese to
17	deal with it.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, Monsignor, did you ever
20	speak with Monsignor Schonenbach about his letter or his
21	meeting with David Silmser?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I spoke to him recently but
23	not at that time.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well, when you
25	spoke to him recently, did you talk about this?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I was staying at the
2	rectory, but I can't remember that we went into any details
3	on this at all.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Was this at a time when you
5	both knew you were going to be witnesses here?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know if he knew,
7	but I knew that I was being a witness here.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
9	Sir, did you ever speak to Mr. Silmser about
10	his concerns or his allegations?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't ever remember
12	because I was told not to. That's the reason why the
13	bishop's delegate is there, so that bishop can remain as
14	objective as possible.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall who would have
16	told you not to or is that just from protocol?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's the protocol. That
18	reason for the bishop's delegate is to free the bishop so
19	that he can be more objective in dealing both with the
20	priest and with the victim, because in most cases he's
21	responsible for both.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Is it likely, sir, that you
24	would have had a number of discussions with Monsignor
25	McDougald about this matter over the course of the next few

1	months, from December of '92 onwards?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He would have reported to
3	me, yes, I would imagine.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: On a regular basis if there
5	was something to report?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, whenever something
7	new showed up, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	And would it be fair to assume that he would
10	have spoken to you shortly after this letter was received
11	by your Diocese?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose so, yes,
13	although he knew that I had the letter, so
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. No, fair enough.
15	But would he have told you, sir, whether or
16	not would he have told you that Mr. Silmser was not just
17	making allegations against Father MacDonald but also
18	against another man by the name of Ken Seguin?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge, no.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	And did you know Mr. Seguin at that time?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So you didn't know he was a
24	close friend of Father MacDonald's?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I found that out later, but

1	I don't know Ken Seguin at all on a personal basis.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	Were you aware that he had at least started
4	in the seminary with Father MacDonald?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I found out later, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you pick a spot for a
8	break here, Mr. Engelmann?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: In just a moment, if I can,
10	sir?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: So shortly after this letter
13	came in, would you have instructed Monsignor McDougald to
14	do anything in particular with respect to this complaint?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To follow the protocol.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So you would have aside
17	from telling him to follow the protocol, you would not have
18	interfered in any way in how he was doing his job?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And the protocol that he
21	would have followed, just so we're clear, is the protocol
22	we looked at this morning that Father Vaillancourt would
23	have drafted in or around May of '92?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think so, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	We're going to go to that just after the
2	break then if we can.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
4	Let's take the afternoon break.
5	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
6	veuillez vous lever.
7	The hearing will resume at 3:25 p.m.
8	Upon recessing at 3:09 p.m./
9	L'audience est suspendue à 15h09
10	Upon resuming at 3:28 p.m./
11	L'audience est reprise à 15h28
12	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
13	veuillez vous lever.
14	The hearing is now resumed. Please be
15	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
16	MONSIGNOR EUGENE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
17	EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
18	ENGELMANN (cont'd/suite):
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Just before the break, sir,
20	we were I wanted you to have something handy now for the
21	next few questions, and that is the protocol that would
22	have been in place at the time.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, you'll find it
25	again I think it's at the very end of the first volume

1	of Exhibit 58 it's that blue book Tab 25.
2	Counsel, it's Document number 600257.
3	We'll just have it on the screen for you as
4	well, sir. There we go.
5	So we've already confirmed that this is the
6	protocol. Whether officially promulgated or not, it would
7	have been followed for the Silmser complaint.
8	Sir, just looking at it, under the receipt
9	of the complaint, which is phase one, receiving a complaint
10	
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: we have the person
13	designated by the Bishop, and that would be Father or
14	Monsignor McDougald; correct?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And although he did not meet
17	with Mr. Silmser immediately, we know that Monsignor
18	Schonenbach did.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Another bishop's designate,
20	yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And do you know, did
22	when Monsignor McDougald would have first reported this
23	to you, would you have had a discussion about whether he,
24	as the designated person, should also meet with Mr.
25	Silmser?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't want to interfere,
4	so I
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, but I'm perhaps
6	assuming, maybe falsely, that because Monsignor Schonenbach
7	had met with him perhaps Monsignor McDougald didn't feel it
8	was necessary, but we don't seem to have a meeting between
9	him and Mr. Silmser, at least not right at the beginning.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. You don't know.
12	And it does say that the designated person
13	is supposed to inform I'm looking at 1(c) the
14	complainant of a number of things: that the suspected
15	aggressor will be met; that the advisory committee will
16	study the complaint and the obligation to notify the CAS of
17	the offence if a minor's involved.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This was not in this
19	protocol was not in place at that time because we did not
20	know that we were supposed to notify the CAS of Father
21	Charlie's case. I'm sorry. That was not clear to us. It
22	was not clear to the police. So we're using a document, I
23	think, that is later. If it says that we refer to the
24	Children's Aid, that is not a document that was in force at
25	that time.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, it okay. Now I'm
2	confused.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: So am I, because I'm quite
4	sure of the fact that you know, we were later accused of
5	not having referred it to the CAS, so that if this document
6	had been in place, we wouldn't have had a leg to stand on.
7	And ours say that the police knew it even before we did and
8	they did not refer it to the CAS.
9	This came out, if I'm not it seems to me
10	this came out somewhere in a discussion with these groups
11	when we were drawing up the joint protocol.
12	But I'm quite sure that this was not in
13	because if it says here that we are supposed to notify the
14	Children's Aid, that is not at its proper place. Sorry.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	But it says and, again, I don't want to
17	put words in your mouth here, sir, but it says "if a minor
18	is involved". Could there have possibly been some
19	confusion about that issue?
20	In other words, could this protocol have
21	been followed but there was some confusion as to whether
22	there was a minor involved? Because at the time the
23	complaint is coming to you Mr. Silmser is a man, but the
24	time he's complaining of he would have been a minor.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That too was an issue that

1	was not clear at the time.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	So I just given your evidence earlier and
4	given the evidence of others, you were
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Do you think this protocol
6	was in place at the time?
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I can't give the
8	evidence here. That's what you're here for and you've told
9	us that you were pretty sure. We know at least that in
10	draft form this protocol was presented to your Council of
11	Priests in May of '92.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: And you testified this
13	morning, I believe, or sometime today that while it wasn't
14	proclaimed or anything like that, for all intents and
15	purposes that was your guiding document.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And this is December of
17	'92?
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, when the Silmser
20	complaint comes in. Because, sir, if it's not this
21	protocol, then the previous protocol, if you look in that
22	same book that you got, Exhibit 58, look at Tab 6. I think
23	it's the one you're in, sir, the one you should have open
24	already at Tab 25.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Le numéro 6.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Le numéro 6?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Au début du volume,
3	Monseigneur, Tab 6.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, excuse me. Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So this was the one and I
6	believe we had established that this came into being in or
7	around March of '87. It's called Principles and Procedures
8	for Clergy in Difficulty, and then it lasts for
9	approximately five years and then we see the one we're just
10	looking at at Tab 25 of Exhibit 58, which is Diocesan
11	Guidelines on Sexual Abuse by Priests, Deacons, Seminarians
12	and Pastoral Assistants.
13	And, you know, we already went through, sir,
14	in some detail this morning some of the evolution, some of
15	the ideas
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: you were getting.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: So you seemed fairly
20	certain, at least before lunch
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: that whether this
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, then the explanation
24	that you have offered and that I that we were not sure
25	whether someone

1	MR. ENGELMANN: At the time of the report
2	_
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: an adult was reporting
4	it, whether they fell under the CAS or not.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So there might have
6	been some confusion as to whether you're a minor at the
7	time of the report or the time of the offence?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was not only with us but
11	it was with the police as well.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
13	And we've we've certainly asked some
14	questions of Cornwall police officers about that issue.
15	So I want to try and go through the protocol
16	that you would have had in place at the time of this. So
17	I'm as I said, sir, given your evidence to date and
18	given what we know from other witnesses, I'm assuming that
19	it's what we see at Tab 25.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right? So
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But really you should be
23	questioning Monsignor McDougald on this because it's his
24	responsibility to follow the protocol, not mine.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm hopeful that we'll

1	be able to do that, sir, but in the event that we might not
2	be able to, it's quite important that I ask you some
3	questions about it.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'll answer as best I can,
5	but I
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I understand that.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm not the one
8	responsible.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I understand that, and if in
10	if you don't remember or if you can't remember Monsignor
11	McDougald reporting to you, that's fine.
12	Sir, what I wanted to then ask you about was
13	1(d), and under this protocol which we believed to be in
14	existence at the time of the Silmser complaint, it required
15	the designated person to open a file on each case, take
16	notes of the events in their chronological order and write
17	a report of the meeting. All right?
18	And, sir, are you aware if Monsignor
19	McDougald ever opened a file in that matter?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no knowledge on that
21	matter at all.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Because we don't have we
23	don't have a file from the Diocese on this. So I'm
24	assuming, perhaps wrongly, but I don't know, that there was
25	not a file opened.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, the file would not
2	have been at the Diocese. He didn't work at the Diocese.
3	The file would be in his possession.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: No, but a but a file that
5	would be open, presumably would the file not be turned
6	over to the Diocese for safekeeping? It would be an
7	important document, would it not?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no knowledge of that
9	file, whether he opened one or not.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, we've heard from
11	Gordon Bryan that the Diocese did not have a file on this
12	matter.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Then he must still have it
14	in his possession, if he opened one.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: If he opened one. All
16	right. And, sir, you
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And if he didn't, well then
18	he didn't follow the protocol.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
20	And you did not open a file?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I was that would be
22	interfering, and I'm not interfering.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	But, sir, you would agree with me that you
25	could have had a file just to keep some notes, but we don't

1	have any notes from you about the Silmser investigation?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, because I didn't make
3	any.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	So if Mr sorry, Monsignor McDougald
6	didn't open a file, you didn't open a file, Gordon Bryan
7	has told us there was no file, do you know whether the
8	lawyer, Mr. Leduc, would have been instructed to open a
9	file?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If he were, it was not by
11	me.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And who would have instructed him during the
14	course of his dealings with the Diocese on the Silmser
15	case? Who would have been instructing Maître Leduc?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It depends what the matter
17	would have been, I would imagine.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: On the Silmser matter.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, but I mean, like with
20	regard to the press conferences, I'm the one that invited
21	him to be present.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But, I mean, I didn't
24	invite him to come and see me about the settlement. That
25	was something he did on his own.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	But at the initial stage of this matter,
3	would Monsignor McDougald have had the right to consult him
4	as the Diocese lawyer, or was that something that would
5	have had to come through you?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He would have had a right
7	to consult him.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As the Bursar, he could
10	have consulted him without consulting me.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And he had been your lawyer
12	for some time, sir?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He had been, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Since about 1976?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes, but I'm
16	not sure.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: It's what I believe he told
18	us. So just a couple years after you became Bishop?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I guess so, yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And would he have been
21	retained and consulted on a regular basis over those years?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, he was consulted
23	mostly with the sale of properties
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: and things of that

1	nature.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: He was consulted, of course,
3	on the Deslauriers matter?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I yes, he was, because
5	he was present at the trial
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: to protect the
8	interests of the Diocese.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: He was also a member of the
10	committee. You've talked about that already.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. Yes, he was.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: But in this particular case
13	involving him in the early part of 1994, you would have not
14	instructed him; it would have been Monsignor McDougald or
15	someone else?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If he was instructed, it
17	would have been Monsignor McDougald probably.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: You said 1994?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Early sorry, 1993. I
20	apologize. I'm getting my dates confused now. All right.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: January of '93, yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. All right.
23	So we've also heard that Mr. Leduc didn't
24	open a file on this matter. So we have personal designate,
25	and you've told us why you didn't open a file. We have no

1	diocesan file and the diocesan lawyer doesn't have a file.
2	I'm just wondering, sir, why nobody who
3	seems to be involved in this matter has opened a file.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no answer.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you agree with me,
6	sir, that that's certainly not consistent with a principle
7	of openness as recommended by the Canadian Conference of
8	Catholic Bishops in June of 1992?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If it was deliberate, yes.
10	If it was not deliberate, then
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, whether there's intent
12	or not, sir, the effect of not opening a file is making it
13	very difficult to look at this in any kind of transparent
14	way. Isn't that fair?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I would agree. Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, it's clearly
17	contrary to this protocol not to open a file.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it says so right in
19	1(a) in 1(d), yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Have you inquired from
22	Monsignor McDougald if he has a file?
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I can assure you that
24	we're trying to do that.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As he's the one that should

1	be able to answer that question.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: But again, you would expect,
3	if he'd had a file, that it would have been turned over to
4	the Diocese?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not necessarily.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Wouldn't it have been
7	disclosed under the obligation of the Diocese?
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, of course. We have not
9	received a file.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I don't know of the
11	existence of one, so I can't help you on that question.
12	Now, we know, sir, under part three or
13	phase three of the protocol; meeting with the suspected
14	aggressor, that again, the designated person, Monsignor
15	McDougald, was to meet with Father MacDonald; correct?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, we know that he met
18	with him at least twice in December; once shortly after
19	receiving Monsignor Schonenbach's letter, at which time he
20	advised him to contact a lawyer of his choice; and then
21	again on December 17^{th} when he met with him and a lawyer by
22	the name of Malcolm MacDonald. And Malcolm MacDonald had
23	been retained by Father MacDonald to assist with this
24	matter.
25	Would you have been informed of that in

1	December of 1992?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If not in December
3	eventually I knew about it, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So presumably
5	Monsignor McDougald would have told you that he met with
6	Father MacDonald and also Father MacDonald and his lawyer?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, and he denied
8	everything.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And that Father MacDonald
11	denied all of it.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, he denied the
13	allegations.
14	Now, sir, whether he met with him within the
15	48 hours that he was supposed to or not, he was to prepare
16	some type of report of this meeting, was he not?
17	I'm just looking I'm just looking
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The report of that meeting
19	would be the letter Monsignor Schonenbach.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm talking about a meeting
21	with Father MacDonald.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm sorry. Okay.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: It says
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There's no record of it?
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, sir, it says at phase

1	three if you look at the second page of the protocol
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: which is 697, this is
4	phase 3C, the designated person files a report of the
5	meeting.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. So presumably, not
8	only should a file have been opened but then a report of
9	Monsignor McDougald's meeting with Father MacDonald and/or
10	Father MacDonald and Malcolm MacDonald should have been
11	placed on the file, if we're following the protocol.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I don't know whether
13	that happened or not so I can't say anything about it.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And, sir, you certainly you didn't
16	receive a written report from Monsignor McDougald about a
17	meeting with Father MacDonald?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, and it's not in the
19	protocol that I should. I'm going to be objective.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, presumably at some
21	point in the protocol process
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He lets me know what's
23	going on but he does not give me reports.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Written reports.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: But at some point, even if
2	you have to be objective and you should be objective,
3	you would think you would get a report. Would you not?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, no. If you're
5	"D" says:
6	"The information is transmitted to the
7	Bishop of the Diocese."
8	So how would it be transmitted, orally or
9	written?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can verify getting phone
11	calls from Bishop from Monsignor McDougald, but I don't
12	remember written reports as such.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. All right.
14	So in any event, if in phase 3D the
15	information is transmitted to the Bishop of the Diocese, it
16	certainly wasn't transmitted to you in writing.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge. If it
18	had been, you would have it.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	And you could assume, because I'm asking for
21	it, that we don't. But sir, it would appear that that
22	portion of the policy wasn't followed either.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If it wasn't followed
24	that's not my responsibility. It's the one the
25	designate.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If. I don't know so I
4	can't comment one way or the other except that it should
5	have been followed.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, another thing that
7	is supposed to happen under phase three and this is the
8	meeting with the suspected aggressor; and I'm looking at
9	Bates page 697. It's the second page of the document.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: We don't have Bates pages
11	for this.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry; it's the second page
13	of the document, sir. It's the
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The second full page or the
15	back of the page?
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, sorry, it's the back of
17	the page. One, two, three, four
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Reassure him that so
19	it's where we were before
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. Just above "C". There
21	are a number of bullets, sir.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And one of them says:
24	"Inform him that he is not to have any
25	contact with the complainant, the

1	victim, nor the victim's family."
2	Do you see that?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right. Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So according to the protocol
5	then, Monsignor McDougald was to inform Father MacDonald
6	that he was not to have any contact with the complainant,
7	the victim, nor the victim's family; correct?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what it says, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	And sir, would you agree that it's important
11	to have this requirement or this restriction, that the
12	accused person should not be contacting the victim or
13	alleged victim?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's why it's in the
15	procedure.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	And when Monsignor Schonenbach testified
18	here he said that in January of 1994 Monsignor McDougald
19	told him that Father MacDonald wanted to meet with David
20	Silmser and he asked Monsignor Schonenbach to approach
21	David Silmser to set up that meeting with Father MacDonald.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no knowledge of
23	that.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: I was going to just then ask
25	you whether you were aware of that.

1	And you would agree, sir, that that would
2	not have been appropriate
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not according to our
4	MR. ENGELMANN: for Monsignor McDougald
5	to do?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not according to our
7	protocol, no.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: No, in fact, it wouldn't
9	it would be contrary to the protocol and it's just simply
10	not appropriate
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: for him to do that.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, do you think it
15	was appropriate for Father MacDonald to suggest that?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You mean
17	MR. ENGELMANN: To suggest to meet with the
18	victim or alleged victim?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Now you've got me confused.
20	Who's making the suggestion, Monsignor McDougald or Father
21	MacDonald?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: No, Monsignor McDougald is
23	the conduit. Father MacDonald is asking Monsignor
24	McDougald
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I see; okay.

228

And in this case we know the CAS was not

1	notified and we know why there was some confusion about
2	whether it was necessary in a historical case
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: where the person
5	reporting is then an adult.
6	But presumably Monsignor McDougald should
7	have met with Mr. Silmser to give him the reasons for that
8	decision, if he was following the protocol; correct?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And so, again, if that
11	didn't happen, we have no indication that it did, that
12	again would have been a breach of the protocol; correct?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's quite apparent, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Now, phase four talks about
16	a meeting of the Advisory Committee. And, sir, we know
17	that the Advisory Committee or at least portions of the
18	Advisory Committee actually met with Mr. Silmser in
19	February of 1993, but this talks about minutes of a meeting
20	of the Advisory Committee, and under C it says:
21	"The minutes of the meeting are
22	written down."
23	So again, sir, I'm going to ask you, at any
24	point, did you receive minutes of the meeting of the
25	Advisory Committee?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember and if
2	they had I had received them they would have been made
3	available to you because all my files are made available.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: We don't have any, sir.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I didn't have it
6	then.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	And it says:
9	"The Bishop of the Diocese is
10	informed of the meeting."
11	Now, sir, I would suggest to you that the
12	meeting of the Advisory Committee at least, the meeting
13	with Mr. Silmser on February 9 th 1993, you would have
14	received some form of oral report of that?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Now, when he testified here Jacque Leduc
18	said that he told you many times to follow the protocol.
19	Do you recall Mr. Leduc advising you to
20	follow the protocol?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was not up to me to
22	follow the protocol, it was up to the Bishop's designate.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, just a second.
24	Just Monsignor, whose protocol was it? Was it yours or
25	was it the designates?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was the Diocese's
2	protocol.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: And who is the head of
4	the Diocese?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I am.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. And so
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Excuse me. I think I just
8	pushed off the
9	THE COMMISSIONER: No, you're fine. You're
10	fine.
11	And is it not the ultimate responsibility of
12	the head of the Diocese to make sure that its protocols are
13	followed?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If the protocol is given to
15	the designate, it is his responsibility.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you not keep an
17	overseeing responsibility to ensure that
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You can't possibly do that
19	with everything that's going on in the Diocese. That's
20	absolutely impossible. I'd have to be God to be able to do
21	that.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, do you have some idea
24	why this protocol was not followed in so many respects?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no idea whatsoever.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Might it have been because
2	it was new and people hadn't been trained on its use?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That could be the reason.
4	The other reason was the uncertainty, I think, of the whole
5	accusations for quite some time.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: How would that leave the
7	protocol not to be followed?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it's not a reason for
9	not following it but it's the fact that the accusation
10	was made and then it was left for so long, both by the
11	police and Silmser, led us to at least led me to think
12	that this was not a serious accusation.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, I'm not going to speak
14	for the police for a minute, and we certainly asked them
15	some questions about the delays in
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: All I can tell you is
17	MR. ENGELMANN: that investigation, but
18	
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: in my own mind what
20	happened in my mind.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: if Mr. Silmser makes a
22	complaint
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: to the Diocese and to
25	the police and it's a complaint of a serious criminal

1	offence
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To the police.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: isn't it incumbent upon
4	you as a Diocese to respond and respond quickly and in
5	accordance with rules?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And we did.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: But, sir, we've just gone
8	through
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: We observed some of the
10	rules and some of the others I don't know whether they were
11	not observed or not and neither do you because I don't know
12	whether Monsignor has the files or not.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: But even if this policy had
14	not been officially adopted, it was certainly something
15	that you
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was a serious guideline
17	for the Diocese.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And why would you
19	have a protocol if so many of the requirements are not
20	followed? What would the point of it be?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Ask Monsignor McDougald.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, sir, would you at
23	least agree with me that after the incident with Father
24	Deslauriers several years earlier you, as the Bishop, would
25	want to ensure that this new complaint was handled

1	correctly and in accordance with rules?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would have been my
3	desire, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And, therefore, as Bishop,
5	you have some responsibility as the leader of this Diocese
6	to ensure that happens, do you not, sir?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I do, and I choose
8	people that are responsible in order to put it into effect
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And would you agree, sir,
10	that if you stress the importance of following a particular
11	protocol and show leadership in that sense that it's more
12	likely that the protocol would be followed?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Perhaps.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you agree, sir, that
15	perhaps that wasn't done in this case?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If you mean that I was not
17	on the back of Monsignor McDougald to find out exactly what
18	he was doing, you're correct.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, when Monsignor
20	McDougald advised you about his meeting or meetings with
21	Father MacDonald, he told you that Father MacDonald denied
22	the allegations?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And he would have told you
25	presumably that, at least at one of those meetings, that

1	Father MacDonald had a lawyer and the lawyer's name was
2	Malcolm MacDonald?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And Malcolm MacDonald was
5	known to you, sir?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not very well, no.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, I understand that he
8	was
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think I had met him only
10	once. He was on the Finance Commission of St. Columban's
11	Parish.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Was he aside
13	from being actively involved in his parish, was he not also
14	actively involved in the Knights of Columbus here in
15	Cornwall?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He may have been, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: In fact, I understand, sir,
18	he was a past Grand Knight of the Knights of Columbus.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Could be.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, you were actively
21	involved in the Knights of Columbus, were you not?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But not with that council.
23	I was involved with the Knights on the whole of Ontario and
24	the whole of Canada, not with one individual council.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So he was at least known to

1	you in December of 1992?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I had a nodding
3	acquaintance with him.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you aware that he was a
5	friend of Father Charles MacDonald?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I became aware of that
7	later.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But it would make sense
10	because Father Charles had been in his parish and had
11	worked with him.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, given the policy
13	protocol, were you aware, sir, of the Diocese paying for
14	Malcolm MacDonald's fees from the beginning in December of
15	1992?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was not aware of that at
17	all.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: That was the expectation
19	under the priests and difficulty protocol and then under
20	this protocol
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: was it not?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It would be following that.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: As long as there was no
25	admission of guilt on the part of Father Charles or any

1	finding of guilt by a court
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: his legal fees were to
4	be paid for?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	And were they? You say they were?
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, I'm assuming they
8	were, sir. That's what the policy called for.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I can't say yes or
10	no.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, did you meet with
12	Father MacDonald following Monsignor McDougald's meeting
13	with him?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not at that time, no, I
15	don't believe.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, we know
17	that you met with him on October $7^{\rm th}$, 1993.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And what I'm wondering about
20	is whether you would have met with him at some time before
21	then to discuss these issues?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember that I
23	did, no.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And would that
25	have been and you've made the comment several times that

1	you didn't want to interfere in this
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was
3	MR. ENGELMANN: investigation or
4	protocol?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was the main reason.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And in keeping
7	with that same logic, it would make sense that you not
8	speak with his lawyer about these matters either?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But, sir, I
13	understand that you would have spoken with Malcolm
14	MacDonald as early as December of 1992 concerning this
15	matter?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not that I can recall.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, perhaps the witness
18	could be shown Exhibit 312.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: You would have the book.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I have it here. Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Perhaps, sir, if you want to
22	just take a minute.
23	It's a letter from Malcolm MacDonald to
24	Monsignor McDougald dated December 21 st , 1992. It is not
25	copied to you.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: But there is a PS at the
2	back.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, certainly. And that's
4	the PS is perhaps the most important part of the letter
5	for my question.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If he spoke to me it would
7	have been a telephone call then because he did not come in
8	to see me personally.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	Well, what he's saying in the PS to
11	Monsignor McDougald is:
12	"Since dictating this letter, I spoke
13	briefly with Bishop LaRocque and
14	brought him up to date on what we are
15	doing."
16	"We" being presumably Malcolm and Charles
17	MacDonald.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: "He also indicated that I
20	should continue dealing with you until
21	advised otherwise."
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "You" being Monsignor
23	McDougald.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's just

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So does that refresh your
2	memory, sir, as to whether or not you might have spoken
3	with Malcolm MacDonald about this matter in December?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If he says so then it
5	refreshes my memory, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And, again, sir, following
7	your earlier logic, that might not have been a good idea?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If he calls me I have to
9	answer the phone.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, it appears you did
11	more than answer the phone, sir. He says:
12	"I brought him up to date on what we
13	are doing."
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, then he was defending
15	Father, that's all he was saying. He didn't give me a
16	there was no program or anything at that time.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, you weren't speaking
18	to Mr. Silmser or a representative of his, were you?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I was not.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, there's a fax from
21	there's a letter from Monsignor Schonenbach to Malcolm
22	MacDonald that I'd like you to look at. It's in that same
23	book probably. It's Exhibit 313.
24	And to understand the facts you may also
25	want to have Exhibit 312 handy.

1	But essentially what's happening is Malcolm
2	MacDonald, the lawyer for Charles MacDonald, in his letter
3	to Monsignor McDougald is suggesting how Monsignor
4	McDougald should proceed in his dealings with Mr. Silmser.
5	He's suggesting that in the form of a
6	statement that Monsignor McDougald should take from Mr.
7	Silmser and he is suggesting that they get it done under
8	oath and have it sworn by a lawyer or a notary public:
9	"and once we have a full disclosure
10	we will then be in a position to answer
11	any charges and produce our evidence in
12	reply."
13	And then he suggests a lie detector, et
14	cetera.
15	So he seems to be
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Where do you get all that?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry. This is in 312,
18	the document before. I'm just giving you the context for
19	313.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, I'm sorry, okay, right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Give him a moment.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry, sir. I didn't
24	intend to confuse you.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was in 313.

1	Yes, okay, fine.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All I was trying to do, sir,
3	was set the context for the fax because what's happening in
4	Malcolm MacDonald's letter to Monsignor McDougald is he is
5	essentially telling Monsignor McDougald how he should go
6	about conducting his inquiries of Mr. Silmser and he's
7	suggesting some things. He makes that suggestion through
8	Monsignor Schonenbach. And the idea is then that Monsignor
9	Schonenbach will speak to Mr. Silmser and see if he will
10	agree to Malcolm MacDonald's procedure.
11	So this fax comes back from
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: How did Monsignor
13	Schonenbach get a letter that was addressed to Monsignor
14	McDougald?
15	MR. ENGELMANN: It says just at the end of
16	the letter, sir, at 312, the last full paragraph of the
17	letter:
18	"I understand that you will be passing
19	my comments on to Monsignor
20	Schonenbach. If you wish, you may send
21	him a copy of my letter."
22	It's my understanding that that's in fact
23	what happened. Monsignor Schonenbach got a copy of this
24	letter, he spoke to Mr. Silmser and then he sent this fax
25	back.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I see, okay. Thank you.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So I guess my question to
5	you, sir, is a couple of things.
6	Were you aware, sir, of Malcolm MacDonald's
7	efforts to give instructions to Monsignor McDougald as how
8	to essentially carry out the protocol?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't remember. No, I
10	don't think so.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: None of this was copied to
13	me.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: No, I realize that, sir, but
15	you have told us that Monsignor McDougald was keeping you
16	informed from time-to-time. I was just wondering if you
17	recall him informing you about this?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: So not only does Mr. Silmser
20	not want to go through this process, but apparently at this
21	point he says, essentially, he'd rather go to the police or
22	words to that effect because what we see from Monsignor
23	Schonenbach is, "I called complainant" and this is the fax
24	note that I showed you at 313.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Three-thirteen (313).

1	MR. ENGELMANN: "Under the circumstances
2	outlined he does not want to cooperate
3	further. He intends taking the matter
4	to the police."
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He had already done so.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Fair enough.
7	And when did you find out? Did you know
8	already at that point that he had gone to the police?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I know now.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. When did you
11	find out that he had gone to the police?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really don't know, but at
13	one point I got the advice that he had started off the
14	genesis that he had been in jail, told someone, a parole
15	officer or something, that he was there because of some
16	priest abusing him, that the whole thing started off and
17	that he had some police agent before he even came to the
18	Diocese. That's what I was told.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And who told you that, sir?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was in a written
21	document that I've seen sometime or other.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, and do you remember
23	when you would have read that? Would it have been after
24	the fact?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In preparation for this,
3	actually.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, all right. Fair enough.
5	Because we know that he contacted Monsignor
6	Guindon or Father Guindon pardon me before going to
7	see Monsignor Schonenbach.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And after he had gone to
9	the police.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: We're just not sure about
11	that, sir. We know that he went to the Cornwall Police
12	Service on December 9^{th} , 1992 and we know that he met with
13	Monsignor Schonenbach on December $10^{\rm th}$. We're not sure when
14	he called Father Guindon, whether that was the $9^{\rm th}$, the $10^{\rm th}$
15	or possibly earlier. It's hard to say.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: But my question to you is,
18	would you have been informed at some point in December or
19	possibly in January that Mr. Silmser had gone to the
20	Cornwall Police Service?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember that.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: At some point, sir, you were
23	informed of that?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And you would have been

1	informed of that before the fall of 1993, presumably, when
2	Mr. Shaver or Chief Shaver came to see you?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, can you recall if
5	you had been informed by Monsignor McDougald that he had
6	arranged for a meeting between himself, Jacques Leduc and
7	Father Vaillancourt with Mr. Silmser in February of 1993?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I wasn't advised. I don't
9	remember that.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	And if you were not
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I probably would not have
13	been around because I usually take the first two weeks of
14	February off.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Would you perhaps
16	have been informed upon your return from vacation, sir?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no recollection.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Presumably if they were
19	following the protocol in that instance, there should have
20	been some kind of a report back to you about that meeting.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you know, sir, who
23	decided who was going to be in on that interview?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I do not.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

I	So Jacques Leduc's attendance, we know he
2	was there, would not have been sought approval for that
3	would not have been sought through you?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know, sir and I
6	don't know if this was ever explained to you why in the
7	case of Mr. Silmser three people from the Diocese, or three
8	people representing the Diocese, met with him, whereas in
9	the case of the alleged offender, Father MacDonald, there
10	was only one person who met with him?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no idea.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever question
13	Monsignor McDougald about that?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Did that concern you at all
16	that three people met with the alleged victim as opposed to
17	just one as with the alleged offender?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I did not stick my nose
19	into his affairs. I told you that once before.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just wondering, you
21	know, after you found out about that whether you had any
22	concerns?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't make the I
24	didn't make the comparison between the two meetings to tell
25	you

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, fair enough.
2	Are you doing okay? Can you go on for
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm a little shaky. I
4	think that I would appreciate a break, yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I think it will be
6	the end of the day.
7	And we will continue tomorrow.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Nine-thirty (9:30), thank
10	you.
11	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
12	veuillez vous lever.
13	This hearing is adjourned until tomorrow
14	morning at 9:30 a.m.
15	Upon adjourning at 4:12 p.m./
16	L'audience est ajournée à 16h12
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	I, Marc Demers a certified court reporter in the Province
6	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
7	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
8	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
9	
10	Je, Marc Demers, un sténographe officiel dans la province
11	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
12	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
13	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
14	
15	
16	Maite
17	
18	Marc Demers, CM
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	