ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO QUEENS PARK TORONTO, ONTARIO

OCTOBER 24TH 1995

DEAR SIRS

I WOULD LIKE TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION, WHAT SEEMS TO BE WRONGS, IN A JUDICIAL DECISION THAT WAS HANDED DOWN, CONCERNING A CASE IN CORNWALL, ONTARIO.

I WANT TO STICK TO WHAT FACT, I DO KNOW, AND "QUOTES" FROM PEOPLE, SURROUNDING THIS ISSUE.

THIS STORY STARTS, BACK ON DECEMBER 9TH, 1992 - A MAN AMPROPLIED CORNWALL, POLICE, WITH A COMPLAINT OF SEXUAL ABUSE, AT THE HANDS OF A LOCAL PARISH PRIEST. THE ABUSE HAS TAKEN PLACE APPROXIMATELY TWENTY YEARS PREVIOUS.

- ON DECEMBER 10TH, 1992 THE ALLEGED VICTIM HAS A MEETING WITH AN ARCHBISHOP IN OTTAWA, WHO FINDS THE MAIN CREDIBLE, AND DIRECTS HIM BACK TO THE LOCAL DIOCESE OF ALEXANDRIA / CORNWALL.
- ON JANUARY 13TH, 1993, A POLICE INVESTIGATION BEGINS INTO THE COMPLAINT, WITH THE COMPLAINTANTS' CO-OPERATION
- * MANY MEETINGS, PHONE CONVERSATIONS AND WRITTEN STATEMENTS TAKE PLACE IN THE FOLLOWING MONTHS.
- SEPTEMBER 3RD 1993 THE COMPLAINTANT INFORMS POLICE TO STOP THE INVESTIGATION, BECAUSE A CIUL SETTLEMENT HAS BEEN REACHED.

AS THIS APPEARS, NOTHING SEEMS OUT OF THE ORDINARY, WITH WHI HAS TRANSPIRED SO FAR. IT WOULD APPEAR THAT THE ALLEGED VICTIM HAS GAINED FINANCIALLY BY BRINGING THIS COMPLAINT FORWARD. EVEN A MINISTRY OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL REPRESENTATIVE (CROW ATTORNEY - MURRAY G. MAC DONALD) IN A LETTER DATED SEPTEMBER 147 1993, STATES, THAT THE ALLEGED VICTIM, SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN PURSUING MONETARY CAIN".

THIS IS WHERE THIS STORY STARTS TO TAKE A STRANGE TWIST.

- ON JANUARY 12TH, 1994 A NEWSPAPER ARTICLE REPORTS THAT A CITY LAWYER (MR. MALCOLM MAC DONALD) WHO WAS INVOLVED IN DEALINGS WITH THE FINANCIAL SETTLEMENT STATES, "THE SETTLEMENT WAS SIMPLY TO AVOID EMBARRASSMENT, IT WAS PERFECTLY LEGAL.

 I AM AGAINST PAYING WHAT CAN AMOUNT TO BRIBERY".
- A SIDE BAR TO THIS NEWSPAPER STORY, HAS ONTARIOS' LIBERAL JUSTICE CRITIC (BOB CHIARELLI) DEMANDING ATTORNEY GENERAL MARION BOYD, APPOINT A SPECIAL CROWN PROSECUTER TO INVESTIGATE THE MATTER.
- AT A NEWS CONFERENCE ON JANUARY 14TH, 1994 BISHOP LAROCQUE STATES "I COULD SEE BY GIVING MONEY, IT WOULD SHOW THAT IT WOULD PREVENT JUSTICE".
- AT THE SAME NEWS CONFERENCE, A LAWYER FOR THE DIOSECE (MR. JACQUES LEDUC) IS QUOTED AS SAYING "THIS IS A CIVIL SETTLEMENT. YOU HAVE TO UNDERSTAND THAT NOBODY HAS THE RIGHT TO STOP A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION".

- RLSO AT THIS NEWS CONFERNCE MR. LEDUC STATES THAT
 THE VICTIM FIRST MADE THE REQUEST FOR MONETARY
 COMPENSATION IN EXCHANGE FOR AGREEING NOT TO PURSUE
 ACTION AGAINST THE DIOCESSE OR THE PRIEST.
- IN A NEWSPAPER ARTICLE ON JANUARY 16TH, 1994 THE VICTIM STATES "THAT A GAG ORDER IS WRITTEN IN BLACK AND WHITE AS PART OF THE AGREEMENT FOR MONEY".
- MR. LEDUC DENIES THAT THERE IS ANY SUCH CLAUSE IN THE AGREEMENT.
- THE VICTIM STATES THAT HE NEVER DEMANDED MONEY FROM
 THE CHURCH, THAT THE CHURCH OFFERED IT AFTER HIS FIRST
 MEETING WITH THEM.
- "IN A NEWS ARTICLE ON JANUARY 17TH, 1994 MR-LEBUC ONCE MORE REITERATES HIS CLAIM, THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO CONDITIONS REGARDING THE CRIMINAL CASE, HE STATES,
 "YOU CAN'T DO THAT. IT WOULDN'T BE LEGAL, IT WOULDN'T STAND UP".
- IN A JANUARY 18TH, 1994 NEWS ARTICLE, IT ONCE AGAIN HAS
 LIBERAL BOB CHIARELLI CALCING FOR AN INVESTIGATION
 INTO THE MATTERS, ONE WEEK AFTER HE MADE HIS FIRST
 ATTEMPT TO MARION BOYD.
- IN A JANUARY 24TH, 1994 NEWS ARTICLE QUOTES BISHOP
 LARCOQUE AS SAYING "I HAVE SINCE LEARNED THAT THE
 SIGNED RELEASE, DOES IN FACT RULE OUT BOTH CIVIL AND
 CRIMWAL ACTION. I AM SORRY FOR UNWITTINGLY

MISREPRESENTING THIS FACT. THIS IS MORALLY UNJUST AND DOES NOT REFLECT THE BASIS IN WHICH THE DIOCESCE CO-OPERATED WITH THIS ACTION ".

"ON JANUARY 25th, 1994 JACQUES LEDUC IS QUOTED AS SAYING" WE ALL MAKE MISTAKES. IT WAS LACK OF JUDGE-MENT ON MY PART. I KNOW YOU MAY FIND THIS DIFFICULT TO BELIEVE. SOME WOULD SAY THAT WERE LYING, BUT WERE, I CAN'T EXPLAIN TO YOU HOW THE CLAUSE IS IN THE DOCUMENT. I MADE A MISTAKE BY NOT READING THE RELEASE, MAYBE BECAUSE, I WAS TOO TRUSTING".

THIS IS THE LAST WORDS ON THIS MATTER UNTIL
FEBRUARY 7TH, 1995. A NEWSPAPER ARTICLE REPORTS THAT
AFTER A YEAR LONG INVESTIGATION, THAT O.P.P. HAVE CHARGED
CORNWALL LAWYER MALCOLM MAC DONALD WITH ATTEMPTING
TO OBSTRUCT JUSTICE, FOR HIS PART IN NEGOTIATING A
DEAL WITH THE VICTIM:

THE ARTICLE STATES THAT IF MR MAC DONALD IS CONVICTED, THE LAW SOCIETY OF UPPER CANADA COULD LAUNCH AN INVESTIGATION INTO "CONDUCT UNBECOMING", THAT COULD YIELD ANYTHING FROM A PUBLIC REPRIMAND TO DISBARMENT.

THE NEXT WORD THAT IS RECIEVED ON THIS MATTER IS AN OCTOBER 12TH 1995 NEWSPAPER ARTICLE THAT STATES, MR. MALCOLM MAC DONALD HAS PLEAD, GUILTY TO A CHARGE UNDER SECTION 139 (2) OF THE CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA. "DID UNLAWFULLY ATTEMPT TO OBSTRUCT OR DEFEAT THE COURSE OF JUSTICE, BY ARRANGING FOR THE PAYMENT OF A SUM OF MONEY TO (VICTIMS NAME), A WITNESS IN A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION, TO DISSUADI

THE SAID (VICTIMS NAME) FROM PARTICIPATING IN THE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION OR ANY PROPOSED JUDICIAL PROCEEDING". THIS CHARGE WAS FILED BY MICHAEL D. FAGEN (ONTARIO PROVINCIAL POLICE OFFICER). HEARD IN PROVINCIAL COURT, BY JUDGE B.W. LENNOX IN OTTAWA, ON SEPTEMBER 12th, 1995, WITH CROWN ATTORNEY MR. KURT FLANNIGAN REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE.

WITH THIS PLEA OF GUILTY TO THE CHARGE, MR. MAC DONALD RECIEVED AN ABSOLUTE DISCHARGE MR. MAC DONALD ALSO RECIEVES NO CRIMINAL RECORD. THE REASONS THAT MR. FLANNIGAN GIVES FOR RECOMMENDING THESE OPTIONS ARE:

- 1 THE EXEMPLARY BACKGROUND OF THE ACCUSED
- 2 THE ACCUSED AGE (65)
- 3 THE GULTY PLEA- WHICH SAVED THE EXPENSE OF A TRIAL
- 4 THE FACT THAT, IRRESPECTIVE OF THE
 OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE CHARGE, NO
 SEXUAL ASSAULT CHARGES WERE LAID
 BY POLICE AGAINST THE PRIEST
- 5 THE POTENTIAL INVOLVEMENT OF THE LAW SOCIETY OF UPPER CANADA AFTER MR. MAC DONALD WAS CONVICTED.

THE ABOVE POINTS ARE QUOTES FROM MR. FLANNIGAN, HE GOES ON TO SAY "THAT AT BEST THE CHARGE WAS A TECHNICAL OFFENCE".

MR. MAC DONALD'S LAWYER, BOB WAKEFIELD STATES THAT "IF THIS HAD GONE TO COURT, MY CLIENT WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN CONVICTED" MR. FLANNIGAN COUNTERS THAT "HE WOULDN'T LET A PERSON PLEAD GUILT TO A CRIME THAT HE DIDN'T COMMIT. MR. MAC DOWALD PLEADED GUILTY... PER THE COURT FOUND HIM GUILTY."

HR. MAC DONALD HAS STATED THAT HE WASN'T EVEN PRESENT WHEN THE DOCUMENT WAS SIGNED, AND THAT HIS SIGNATURE APPEARS NOWHERE ON THE DOCUMENT.

SIR, NEAR THE BEGINNING OF OCTOBER, AN INDIVIDUAL I KNOW, WENT
TO THE COURTHOUSE IN OTTAWA. SHE WAS ASKING FOR A TRANSCRIPT FROM
THIS HEARING. SHE WAS TOLD BY THREE PERSONS, THAT THE FILE WAS
SEALED. SHE FOUND THIS TO BE A VERY DISTURBING AUSWER, BECAUSE
THIS IS SUPPOSED TO BE A PUBLIC COURT OF LAW.

SIR, I FEEL COMPELLED TO EXPLAIN TO YOU, WHY I AM SO DISTURBED WITH THIS MATTER. FIRST AND FOREMOST IS, THAT, JUSTICE SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN DENIED IN THIS CASE. SOMETHING ABOUT THE WHOLE THIS IS WRONG.

SECOND IS THE TIMING OF THE MATTER BEING BROUGHT TO COURT. ON MONDAY AUGUST 21ST, 1995, CORNWALL POLICE BECAME AWARE THAT A SECOND VICTIM, WAS TEETERING ON THE EDGE OF COMING FORWARD WITH COMPLAINT OF SEXUAL ABUSE, AT THE HANDS OF THE SAME PRIEST. ON SEPTEMBER 12TH, 1995 THE SECOND VICTIM APPROACHED. CORNWALL POLICE WATH A WRITTEN STATEMENT. NONE OF THE POLICE OFFICERS PRESENT I STATION, WOULD AGREE TO ACCEPT THE STATEMENT. THIER ANSWER FOR NOT ACCEPTING THE STATEMENT WAS "THAT A POLICE INVESTIGATION IS ALREADY UNDERWAY". HE WAS TOLD, THAT KINGSTON O.P.P. WOULD BE IN TOUCH WITH HIM.

ON SEPTEMBER 28TH, 1995, THE SECOND VICTIM WAS INTERVIEWED BY KING O.P.P. HE HAD HIS STATEMENT VIDEO RECORDED.

SIR, I AM THAT SECOND VICTIM, AND POLICE SAY THAT THEY WILL INVESTIGATE WHAT HAPPENED WITH ME. WHY THIS CASE AFFECTS ME, IS THE THIS WHOLE THING WOULD HAVE BEEN STOPPED, BACK ON SEPTEMBER 3RD 1993, WHEN A CRIME OF OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE TOOK PLACE, BY PAYING THE FIRST VICTIM, TO STOP ANY JUDICIAL PROCEDINGS, I WOULD NOT BE SITTED WHERE I AM TODAY. READY TO MOVE AHEAD WITH MY LIFE, BY DEALING WITH THE WAY THE PAST HAS AFFECTED ME.

SIR, ONCE AGAIN, MY STORY IS MINE, WHAT HAPPENED TO ME TWENTY THREE YEARS AGO, AND WHAT HAPPENED TO THE FIRST VICTIM, TO COME FORWARD, THENTY THREE YEARS AGO HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH TH CASE. IN TIME, THESE ISSUES WILL REACH A CONCLUSION FOR US.

THIS LETTER TO YOU, HAS TO DO WITH A CASE OF OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE, AND THE DECISION HANDED DOWN TO A GUILTY PLEA. I AM TRULY HOPING THAT YOU CAN GIVE ME SOME ANSWERS, TO MY DISTURBING QUESTIONS ON THIS DECISION. JUSTICE SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN DENIED, AND WHEN JUSTICE IS DENIED, EVERYONE SUFFERS.

SIR, I HAVE ALL THESE FACTS, LETTERS, AND NOTES INCLUDING THE DOCUMENT INVOLVED IN THE CENTER OF ALL OF THIS IN MY POSSES.

ANY QUERRIES YOU MAY HAVE, I WOULD BE PLEASED TO ANSWER, THE BEST THAT I CAN. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND EFFORT.

1-613

RESPECTEULLY YOURS,