THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 270

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Wednesday, August 27, 2008 Mercredi, le 27 août 2008

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Pete	r Engelmann	Lead	Commission	n Counsel
----------	-------------	------	------------	-----------

Ms. Julie Gauthier Registrar

Ms. Brigitte Beaulne

Mr. Peter Manderville Cornwall Community Police

Service and Cornwall Police

Service Board

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

M^e Claude Rouleau Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Ms. Gia Williams Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Mr. Peter Wardle Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Juda Strawczynski

Mr. Rob Talach Victims' Group

Mr. Dallas Lee

Mr. David Sherriff-Scott Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall

M^e Gisèle Levesque and Bishop Eugene LaRocque

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Doug Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Me Danielle Robitaille Mr. Jacques Leduc

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Ms. Kimberly Ishmael Upper Canada District School

Board

Mr. Ian Paul Coalition for Action

Monseigneur Eugène LaRocque Monseigneur Eugène LaRocque

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	iv
MONSEIGNEUR EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment	1
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Peter Wardle(cont'd/suite)	1
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Rob Talach	27

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-2149	(115526) Ottawa Citizen Article "Church paid to silence alleged victim of abuse despite its policy on openness" - 13 Jan, 94	6
P-2150	(104389) Press Release - 30 Jul, 97	21
P-2151	(200252) "A Call To Action"	25
P-2152	(702959) Biographical Profile - Eugène LaRocque	29
P-2153	(200297) Directory	42
P-2154	(702989) CV of Gary Ostler	103
P-2155	(120345) Letter From Frank Setzer to Rosario Brodeur - 25 Mar, 59	165
P-2156	(200298) Newspaper article "Trail of abuse stretched across country"	171
P-2157	(120495) Lettre à Arthur Douville - 14 sep, 56	172
P-2158	(120496) Lettre de H.A. Poirier à Rosario Brodeur - 20 mai, 57	172
P-2159	(120497) Lettre de Rosario Brodeur À Léo Blais - 29 mai, 57	172
P-2160	(711925) Handwritten notes of Richard Abell	191
P-2161	(710647)(PUBLICATION BAN) Interview Report- 19 Mar, 99	239
P-2162	(711971) CAs Project Blue working notes - 1993	243
P-2163	(709905) OPP audio taped interview transcript - 28 Jul, 99	264

1	Upon commencing at 9:03 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h03
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Bonjour.
10	Good morning sir. How are you doing today?
11	MR. WARDLE: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
12	I'm good, thank you
13	MONSIGNOR EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
15	WARDLE (Cont'd/suite):
16	MR. WARDLE: Good morning Bishop LaRocque.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Good morning.
18	MR. WARDLE: Where we left off yesterday
19	afternoon Bishop was we had discussed the events ending in
20	October of 1993 with your phone call with Charles MacDonald
21	and your decision to send him to Southdown.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
23	MR. WARDLE: And I just wanted to confirm
24	that the removal of Father Charles from his parish, that
25	would not have been public in any way?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it certainly was
2	public insofar as the people knew that he was no longer
3	there and there was an administrator taking his place.
4	MR. WARDLE: But they wouldn't have known
5	the reason for his removal; that would have been kept
6	confidential at that point?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At that particular point, I
8	believe so, yes.
9	MR. WARDLE: And then if we move forward to
10	January 1994, you'll recall that that was when the
11	settlement began to break into the open. And there was
12	first, I think, a TV report that mentioned rumours about
13	the settlement and then an article in the Cornwall
14	Freeholder; do you recall that?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't recall it but I
16	know that that took place, yes.
17	MR. WARDLE: And I wanted just to take you
18	through a few of the documents that relate to that, some of
19	them my friend has already covered with you. Start with
20	it's Document 722156 and it's been made Exhibit 766.
21	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
22	MR. WARDLE: I'll just wait till that comes
23	up on the screen.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
25	MR. WARDLE: So

1	THE COMMISSIONER: So which one will you be
2	referring to so we can blow it up or both or
3	MR. WARDLE: If we look at the it's
4	really the top article.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
6	MR. WARDLE: And you'll see Bishop LaRocque
7	that it's dated Monday, January $6^{\rm th}$, 1994 and it starts by
8	indicating:
9	"The male victim of a sexual abuse
10	about 20 years go may have been paid
11	more than \$30,000 in 1993 to drop his
12	criminal complaint against a local
13	priest."
14	And then:
15	"Allegations that both Alexandria-
16	Cornwall Diocese Bishop Eugene LaRocque
17	and city police had some involvement in
18	the settlement have been circulating
19	for weeks. The report surfaced
20	Wednesday in an Ottawa television news
21	programme."
22	So you know, we had, as we discussed
23	yesterday the events surrounding the settlement which were
24	kept confidential and now in January of 1994, this begins
25	to really bubble to the surface; doesn't it?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right, yes.
2	MR. WARDLE: And my friend took you to a
3	series of press releases and I want to go back over them
4	very quickly. The first one was Exhibit 58, Tab 28 which
5	was the press release of the Diocese on January $7^{ ext{th}}$.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Volume 2.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. WARDLE: Now, do you recall whether you
9	prepared this press release, sir?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
11	MR. WARDLE: And you'll see it says it's
12	obviously in response to the newspaper coverage that we
13	just looked at; is that correct?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would be correct, yes.
15	MR. WARDLE: And it starts by saying:
16	"In view of recent media allegations of
17	sexual aggression on the part of a
18	member of the clergy of the Diocese of
19	Alexandria-Cornwall, let it be known
20	that the Diocese has acted in
21	accordance with the guidelines."
22	And then a copy of the guidelines is
23	enclosed. And I think you acknowledged yesterday both in
24	response to me and to my friend, Mr. Engelmann, that in
25	fact the guidelines hadn't been complied with in a number

1	of respects. And so, to that extent, this press release
2	was really in error. Is that fair?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would ima yes, I think
4	so, yes.
5	MR. WARDLE: And would you agree with me
6	that a public statement of this kind coming in wake of
7	rumours beginning to circulate about the settlement, the
8	it's important to get the public statement as correct as
9	possible. Because if it's not correct, of course, it can
10	lead to misapprehensions on the minds of reasonable
11	thinking people in the community?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely, yes.
13	MR. WARDLE: Okay. And then, if we go
14	forward a little bit in time, I think, I'm not going to
15	take you to this but you were taken to it yesterday, there
16	is a number of things that happened very quickly in this
17	early period in January.
18	There is a letter from the individual we
19	know here as C-3 which you receive. Certainly, his letter
20	is dated January the 11^{th} , that's Exhibit 416. I won't take
21	you to that, my friend took you to that yesterday.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
23	MR. WARDLE: And then, there is another
24	newspaper article, and I want to take you to this, it's
25	115526.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Is that an exhibit yet?
2	MR. WARDLE: That I don't think has been
3	made an exhibit. It's an article from the Ottawa Citizen;
4	115526, it's dated January 13, 1994.
5	Five two six (526).
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. That will be
7	Exhibit 2149, an article from the Ottawa Citizen, Thursday,
8	January 13, 1994.
9	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-2149:
10	(115526) Ottawa Citizen Article "Church paid
11	to silence alleged victim of abuse despite
12	its policy on openness" - 13 Jan 94
13	MR. WARDLE: And you'll see that the author
14	of this article focuses on the contra-distinction between
15	what appears to have taken place with the Silmser
16	settlement and the policy of openness set out in From Pain
17	to Hope; do you see that?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right, yes.
19	MR. WARDLE: So then as I understand it and
20	you did cover this with my friend Mr. Engelmann, there is
21	the second press release and there is the news conference,
22	and that's Exhibit 1911. And that's really a direct
23	response to the continued newspaper coverage and media
24	coverage; correct?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct. That was

1	on the 14 th I believe.
2	MR. WARDLE: Correct. And that's 118947,
3	Exhibit 1911.
4	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
5	MR. WARDLE: And it consists of a number of
6	parts I'll just wait until it comes up on the screen.
7	So there's a letter to members of the
8	presbyterium. The next page is your statement, which you
9	made at the press conference and then there's a press
10	release, which I understood from your evidence yesterday,
11	was prepared by Mr. Leduc. Is that correct?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That is my recollection,
13	yes.
14	MR. WARDLE: And my friend, Mr. Engelmann,
15	covered with you that at least insofar as your statement
16	goes, the wording that you didn't wish to impede with the
17	police investigation, in fact unbeknownst to you, the
18	document had been drafted by Mr. MacDonald, the release did
19	in fact impede the police investigation.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, much to my
21	consternation.
22	MR. WARDLE: Yes. And in addition, and I
23	won't take you through the details, but it appears now in
24	retrospect that some of the items set out in the press
25	release, the longer press release prepared by your counsel,

1	may not have been completely accurate. And, again, Mr.
2	Engelmann covered that with you the other day.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would be true, yes.
4	MR. WARDLE: Okay.
5	So as this matter starts to bubble to the
6	surface and becomes a matter of general discussion and
7	comment in the community, the Diocese's initial public
8	statements are less than complete and accurate, in
9	retrospect. Is that fair? And I'm not, by the way,
10	suggesting that it was a deliberate attempt to be
11	inaccurate.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, that's what I was
13	thinking, yes. As long as you don't imply that it was
14	deliberate, I accept that, yes.
15	MR. WARDLE: Okay. And you've made it
16	clear, sir, that you didn't know about the provision in the
17	release that caused so much trouble, and I'm not
18	questioning that.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
20	MR. WARDLE: Simply pointing out that when
21	this matter of great interest to the community begins to
22	surface, the initial public statements made by the Diocese
23	are not completely accurate?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct, yes.
25	MR. WARDLE: Now, I want to take you to a

1	document that Mr. Engelmann didn't ask you about and this
2	is Exhibit 1915. It's Document 722167.
3	Perhaps on the screen we could just move it
4	sideways so that we can see the text, which is on the left.
5	So this is an article from The Freeholder on
6	Saturday, January the $15^{\rm th}$. So this is the day after your
7	news conference. So this would be, you know, if I'm Joe
8	Public in Cornwall and I haven't watched T.V. or actually
9	been at the news conference, this would have been the first
10	I would have read about it in my local paper; correct?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct, yes.
12	MR. WARDLE: And you'll see that on the
13	first column about halfway down, you'll see:
14	"LaRocque admitted that he was first
15	against the idea of paying a
16	settlement: 'I could see that by giving
17	money, it would show that it would
18	prevent justice'."
19	And then it says:
20	"'I gave in because this young man had
21	a considerable bill with counselling',
22	LaRocque said adding that the Diocese
23	has in the past agreed to similar
24	settlements involving alleged victims
25	of child molesting priests."

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
2	MR. WARDLE: Now, just stopping there, the
3	first part of that sentence, "I gave in because this young
4	man had a considerable bill with counselling", that's
5	certainly consistent with what you've told us in your
6	testimony over the last several weeks; correct?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, at the second meeting
8	with the lawyers, yes.
9	MR. WARDLE: Correct. And is the last part
10	of that quote correct?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, it is not. It's that
12	we had given a promise of financial help but not
13	settlements of this nature. That was the first and the
14	last that I would have ever made.
15	MR. WARDLE: Okay. Do you know if you were
16	misquoted or if you actually may have said this
17	inaccurately or do you recall?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think I'm misquoted
19	because I don't remember saying and the fact it's not
20	under quotes is just if you notice, it's a statement
21	written by the reporter.
22	MR. WARDLE: Okay. So what you think you
23	would have said at the press conference was something to
24	the effect that in the past, the Diocese had made payments
25	for counselling?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
2	MR. WARDLE: And you would have been
3	thinking about the Deslauriers matter?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
5	MR. WARDLE: Yes. And it's not true, I take
6	it, that the Diocese had in the past agreed to similar
7	financial settlements?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not of that nature you
9	know, civil no, that was the first one.
10	MR. WARDLE: Okay.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Under my under
12	MR. WARDLE: So I mean you obviously can't
13	speak for your predecessors.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As long as far as I
15	know, even my predecessors, but I'm not sure of that, but I
16	know that that's the only that I've ever made.
17	MR. WARDLE: So my question then is did it
18	concern you when this was reported in The Freeholder?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know whether I even
20	caught it at that time, I was so emotionally upset.
21	MR. WARDLE: All right. So, I mean, we know
22	these events were upsetting for you and, of course, we know
23	that a few days later, as you've told us, you in fact
24	looked at the release and had to make another statement to
25	the press, and I'm going to come to that in a moment.

1	And I'm sure all of that was very upsetting
2	for you, but what I'm focussing now on is the fact that
3	here's an article in The Freeholder, which would have said
4	to the public there are other cases out there.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
6	MR. WARDLE: And did it occur to you or
7	anyone at the Diocese that perhaps you should have
8	corrected the record at that point and made it clear that
9	no, this was the only one?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't catch it and
11	nobody mentioned it to me, so I
12	MR. WARDLE: So let's go then to the final
13	statement at the final press conference, which is Exhibit -
14	- I'm sorry, I have the English. The French version is
15	Exhibit 1913.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this the press release
17	of January 24 th ?
18	MR. WARDLE: Correct.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Nineteen-sixteen (1916),
20	sir, is in English I believe.
21	MR. WARDLE: Nineteen-sixteen (1916). Thank
22	you. So could we just turn that up, please?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, that's Leduc's
24	statement, sorry. That's the press release January 24^{th} ,
25	1994? It might not be the one you want, sir, I'm sorry.

1	Is it the one you want?
2	MR. WARDLE: Well, I have one as being
3	Exhibit 1913, and that's the French version.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, let's see.
5	Well, I've got a 1913 that's an English
6	version.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I do too.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, you're looking at
9	maybe in the flip page.
10	MR. WARDLE: I think it's probably been
11	photocopied incorrectly in my book.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
13	MR. WARDLE: So I'm interested in the
14	English version.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You want to exchange?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: And mind you, the French
17	version is one day later, on the 24^{th} of January.
18	MR. WARDLE: Right.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So there we
20	go.
21	MR. WARDLE: So you told us yesterday, I
22	think, that you were made to look a fool by these events.
23	I think those were the words you used, sir?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct, yes.
25	MR. WARDLE: And I'm not interested in that

1	particularly. I'm interested in the point of view of the
2	community in thinking about these events.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
4	MR. WARDLE: And I am not really interested
5	in the personal embarrassment factor.
6	When the Diocese now has to essentially
7	retract and say, look we gave you the wrong information
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
9	MR. WARDLE: and, in fact, it's worse
10	than that because there was the settlement entered into
11	with this clause that the senior person didn't know about,
12	and in fact his lawyer didn't know about it or the Diocese
13	lawyer didn't know about it, it would have led to a loss of
14	trust by members of the community; not just the Catholic
15	community but the community at large. Is that fair?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. Lack of credibility,
17	yes.
18	MR. WARDLE: Because there had been all
19	these events that we've gone through, and at the end of it
20	
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There were attempts to
22	build up the credibility and here the whole thing is just -
23	
24	MR. WARDLE: That's right.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: knocked down. You're

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 quite right on that.

2 MR. WARDLE: And so would it be fair to say that -- and I want you to just listen to my words carefully 3 4 -- that citizens at large would have been left with the 5 impression that this institution had been acting in a 6 secretive and heavy-handed manner to cover up real 7 allegations of sexual abuse involving one of their 8 employees and priests? Would that be fair for a community 9 member to think that way, given what had taken place? 10 MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't answer that 11 question, I'm sorry.

12 MR. WARDLE: All right.

And would you agree with me to this extent, that anyone, you know, sitting back on a Saturday reading their newspaper and watching these events unfold, it wouldn't have been unreasonable for that person to think, "Well, there may be others. There may be others that have been kept secret or slipped under the rug. There may have been other cover-ups of this kind." That wouldn't be an unreasonable conclusion for a citizen to reach?

MSGR. LAROCQUE: I object to the word "cover-up" because that means deliberateness and there was no deliberate cover-up, and I don't know what they would be thinking as they read their paper on Saturday morning, I'm sorry.

1	MR. WARDLE: All right, but you don't you
2	would I'm not suggesting to you that there was a cover-
3	up in any other respect. I'm simply suggesting that, given
4	what had happened, it wouldn't be unreasonable for a
5	citizen of this community to say, "You know what? I don't
6	trust this institution and there may be more going on that
7	I don't know about." Is that fair?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's possible.
9	MR. WARDLE: Okay.
10	And would you agree with this; that once
11	that loss of confidence took place it couldn't easily be
12	restored by your leadership or the clergy as a whole?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. It could be restored
14	by the grace of God only, I suppose. That's what happens
15	when we make errors in our lives, sins, and the grace of
16	God is always available.
17	MR. WARDLE: I appreciate that, sir, but I'm
18	really making a more practical point. Once that
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You mean religion is not
20	practical then? Is that what you're saying?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know that we want
22	to get into that kind of discussion, sir.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: I think he's just trying
25	to

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, he's trying to corner
2	me but
3	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
4	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no, no. If
5	there's any improper questions, I'm sure your counsel will
6	rise and if he doesn't rise I'll interject, so let's carry
7	on.
8	MR. WARDLE: And, again, sir, as I said
9	earlier, I'm not trying to embarrass you personally
10	because, you know, we're looking at this from an
11	institutional point of view.
12	I'm simply saying, you know, once these
13	events had taken place it would have been difficult for you
14	and for the church to restore that confidence. Once it's
15	lost it's not easily replaced. Is that a fair statement?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose so, yes.
17	MR. WARDLE: Okay.
18	And I said to you at the beginning that
19	when we started this cross-examination yesterday if
20	these kinds of allegations are mishandled by an
21	institution, it can lead to a climate where there can be
22	rumour and innuendo.
23	And is it fair now that, looking back, when
24	we go further in time and we look at the allegations of Mr.
25	Leroux, the affidavit, Mr. Dunlop's law suit and all of

25

1 those events, the ground to some extent had been laid by 2 what had taken place, first with Deslauriers and secondly with the Silmser allegations; that is that members of the 3 community had lost confidence in your institution and so 4 5 when these allegations came along there was fertile ground 6 there for them to grow? 7 MSGR. LAROCQUE: The institution was 8 responsible but it was not the only one responsible. The 9 legal side of all this was not my doing. 10 MR. WARDLE: No, and I'm not suggesting 11 that, sir. 12 MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was part of the real problem. Had the civil document been drawn up correctly, 13 14 which is done not only by the church but by all kinds of 15 other people, then this would have been probably not as --16 the following events would not have taken place. 17 So the church is responsible but there are 18 other institutions responsible as well. 19 MR. WARDLE: And I'm not suggesting, by the 20 way -- I'm not using the word "legal" responsibility and 21 I'm not suggesting that there weren't other actors. 22 simply suggesting that there is a background to the Leroux 23 allegations and the background is the series of events that 24 starts with Deslauriers and goes through the Silmser

settlement and the various public statements that we've

1	examined, and it put the church in perhaps a vulnerable
2	position because there had been a loss of faith and trust?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It may have been a
4	contributing factor, but I believe with the Leroux affair
5	there were other factors as well.
6	MR. WARDLE: Okay. Thank you, sir.
7	Can I suggest that if we looked at these
8	events from a systemic point-of-view, that there were a
9	number of contributing factors for what went wrong, and let
10	me suggest some to you and see if you can agree with me;
11	that there was a desire, both with respect to Deslauriers
12	and with respect to the Silmser allegations, to avoid
13	scandal?
13 14	scandal? MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
14 15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. MR. WARDLE: That certainly was a factor?
14 15 16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. MR. WARDLE: That certainly was a factor? MSGR. LAROCQUE: That certainly is a factor,
14 15 16 17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. MR. WARDLE: That certainly was a factor? MSGR. LAROCQUE: That certainly is a factor, yes.
14 15 16 17 18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. MR. WARDLE: That certainly was a factor? MSGR. LAROCQUE: That certainly is a factor, yes. MR. WARDLE: Can we agree in retrospect that
14 15 16 17 18 19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. MR. WARDLE: That certainly was a factor? MSGR. LAROCQUE: That certainly is a factor, yes. MR. WARDLE: Can we agree in retrospect that there was likely a lack of understanding of the nature of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. MR. WARDLE: That certainly was a factor? MSGR. LAROCQUE: That certainly is a factor, yes. MR. WARDLE: Can we agree in retrospect that there was likely a lack of understanding of the nature of historic sexual abuse, and that you and other members of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. MR. WARDLE: That certainly was a factor? MSGR. LAROCQUE: That certainly is a factor, yes. MR. WARDLE: Can we agree in retrospect that there was likely a lack of understanding of the nature of historic sexual abuse, and that you and other members of the clergy probably have a much better understanding of it
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. MR. WARDLE: That certainly was a factor? MSGR. LAROCQUE: That certainly is a factor, yes. MR. WARDLE: Can we agree in retrospect that there was likely a lack of understanding of the nature of historic sexual abuse, and that you and other members of the clergy probably have a much better understanding of it today than you did then?

1	factors would have been an understandable desire to protect
2	your employees and your fellow priests?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not once I was morally
4	certain that they were guilty, no; but until I had that
5	moral certitude, yes.
6	MR. WARDLE: Okay. I think I understand
7	that caveat and I understand it.
8	And would a factor have been the fact that
9	traditionally the church is a relatively closed institution
10	that works in ways that are often confidential and secret,
11	and that that may have been a factor as well?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe that is a factor
13	that was at that time but much less so at the present time.
14	MR. WARDLE: Okay.
15	And when we get a little further forward in
16	time, after the Leroux allegations and after Mr. Dunlop's
17	law suit and the allegations made against you and a number
18	of members of clergy, is it fair to say that your
19	institution at that point is almost under siege?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I wouldn't exactly use that
21	terminology, but I understand what you mean, yes.
22	MR. WARDLE: And some of your reaction
23	and Mr. Engelmann explored this with you the other day; for
24	example, relating to Father Dubé, Father Major can I
25	suggest to you that at least part of your reaction is the

1	fact that you felt that the institution was unfairly under
2	siege and that you had an obligation to protect your
3	priests?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In the case of Father Dubé,
5	yes; Father Major, I wasn't certain.
6	MR. WARDLE: Can I just take you, sir, to
7	one or two final documents in closing. First one is 104389
8	and this is a press release from Scott & Aylen.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this a new document,
10	sir?
11	MR. WARDLE: This is a new document.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
13	MR. WARDLE: It's dated July 30, 1997.
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	MR. WARDLE: Apparently this was in one of
16	our supplementary notices. I have a number of copies in
17	any event.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
19	MR. WARDLE: So I have six copies
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Do we have it on the
21	screen Madam Clerk? It's okay, okay.
22	Thank you. Exhibit Number 2150 is a press
23	release and can we get a date on that? The $30^{\rm th}$ of July
24	1997.
25	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-2150:

1	(104389) Press Release - 30 Jul 97
2	MR. WARDLE: So I took it from the date of
3	this, sir that this is probably in reaction to some of the
4	allegations made in Mr. Dunlop's lawsuit. Just from the
5	time period.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really can't say.
7	MR. WARDLE: Okay.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember.
9	MR. WARDLE: Have you seen this document
10	before, sir?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm trying to read it.
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember seeing
14	this document, no.
15	MR. WARDLE: Okay. But there's no dispute
16	that your lawyers prepared this and
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, but that they were
18	our lawyers at the time. Still are.
19	MR. WARDLE: Right.
20	And I'm just going to draw your attention to
21	you'll see in the second, third paragraph on the first
22	page:
23	"Allegations are as well before the
24	courts and are the subject of
25	aggressive defences. For this reason,

1	neither the Diocese or any of it's
2	clergy will have any public comment."
3	So there obviously was a decision made some
4	time that none of you would comment publicly on any of the
5	allegations made in the Dunlop claim or Mr. Leroux's
6	Affidavit. Do you recall that?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what the document
8	says, yes.
9	MR. WARDLE: Thank you, sir.
10	Finally, I have one last document. This was
11	not this was the subject of a very late in the day
12	notice but I understand from Mr. Englemann and Mr.
13	Sherriff-Scott that they don't object to me putting this
14	document in.
15	It's a I believe the original was
16	probably French on one side and English on the other but I
17	have it as two pages so I'm just going to staple them
18	together. I don't think it's been made an exhibit.
19	The document number I have is 7160115.
20	Doesn't seem right. Wait a minute. Yeah, I've got another
21	copy of the document, Number 200252. Try that?
22	THE REGISTRAR: 200252 that would be Exhibit
23	1435.
24	MR. WARDLE: Mr. Engelmann has a fabulous
25	memory.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: That's because he's still
2	young.
3	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
4	MR. WARDLE: Fourteen thirty-five (1435).
5	THE COMMISSIONER: And what is this
6	document, sir? Is this the internal correspondence?
7	MR. WARDLE: No, it's a document called "a
8	call to action."
9	THE COMMISSIONER: What Exhibit again?
10	MR. WARDLE: Fourteen thirty-five (1435).
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, maybe his memory is
12	faulty because that isn't it. No, 1-4-3-5? No, this is an
13	internal correspondence internal correspondence from
14	Staff Sergeant Wells to the Professional Standards Bureau.
15	One four three five (1435)? He
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm positive it's
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, oh, sure, sure.
18	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
19	THE COMMISSIONER: He recently aged one
20	year; maybe that's what the problem is. So okay, can we
21	it's not an Exhibit. All right.
22	MR. WARDLE: I have copies.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, let's find it on the
24	screen as well and then we'll carry on.
25	You were positive it was an Exhibit, Mr.

1	Engelmann? Is that what you said?
2	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Perhaps under a different
4	document (off mic)
5	MR. WARDLE: So Bishop LaRocque, this is a
6	document which I understand was handed out at some Parishes
7	in the Cornwall area in the period leading up to the
8	petition for a public inquiry and I just wanted to know
9	whether you recall having seen it before or know about the
10	group Catholic Laity for Justice?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: And it will be Exhibit
12	2151.
13	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-2151:
14	(200252) "A Call To Action"
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't recall this, no.
16	MR. WARDLE: Would a
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: What is the date, April the
18	27 th ,
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Two thousand six (2006).
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Two thousand six (2006) I
21	wasn't here.
22	MR. WARDLE: No, no, the date on the
23	document
24	THE COMMISSIONER: That's the fax.
25	MR. WARDLE: is a fax date. It's

1	you'll see that the context of it is in connection with
2	Bill 103, which was the first Bill in connection with the
3	calling of a public inquiry. You'll see in the second
4	paragraph, under "our immediate goals":
5	"We aim to have the said website shut
6	down and so have Bill 103 immediately
7	withdrawn."
8	So, do I take it, sir, you've never seen
9	this document and you've never heard of the group "Catholic
10	Laity for Justice"?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my recollection, no.
12	MR. WARDLE: Okay.
13	Thank you, sir. Those are all my questions,
14	then.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Mr.
16	Wardle.
17	Yes, we'll take a short break. Then we'll
18	start with the next cross-examination.
19	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
20	veuillez vous lever.
21	This hearing will resume at 9:55 a.m.
22	Upon recessing at 9:42 a.m./
23	L'audience est suspendue à 9h42.
24	Upon resuming at 9:58 a.m./
25	L'audience est reprise à 9h58.

1	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
2	veuillez vous lever.
3	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
4	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
5	MONSIGNOR EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
7	MR. TALACH:
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Talach, good morning.
9	MR. TALACH: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
10	Good morning Bishop LaRocque.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Good morning.
12	MR. TALACH: Obviously, Mr. Commissioner,
13	the Coalition has allowed us to advance ahead of them in
14	the order of cross.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. That's fair.
16	MR. TALACH: Bishop LaRocque, my name is Rob
17	Talach. I'm a lawyer for the victim's group and I'm sure
18	at this point you appreciate who they are and their
19	involvement here at this Inquiry.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Could I ask what firm you
21	represent?
22	MR. TALACH: Ledroit, Beckett.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I want to object to a
24	certain extent because I have a liable suit against
25	Ledroit, Beckett and this kind of places me in an awkward

1	situation with regards to my rights.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: To your rights?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Under the Charter.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Under the Charter.
5	Mr. Sherriff-Scott?
6	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'd suggest we just
7	proceed and see how it goes.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
9	I can assure you, Monsignor LaRocque, that
10	Mr. Talach certainly understands that what is going on
11	between his firm and you is outside the scope of this
12	Inquiry; that his questions will certainly be limited to
13	the questions that are relevant to this Inquiry and not to
14	anything external.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: With that assurance, I am
16	willing to go ahead.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Great. Thank you.
18	Go ahead, sir.
19	MR. TALACH: Bishop, I'm going to start with
20	the easy questions and end with the hard ones, and the easy
21	ones to start are about your background.
22	And to assist us there's a document by
23	number of 702959, that's 702959, that I'd like to enter as
24	an exhibit just to give us a guide. It was in ourit's
25	notice given by Commission counsel and the Diocese. It

1	will have the emblem of the Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall
2	at the top.
3	I don't necessarily need it; it was just to
4	provide us a visual as we work through his biography.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Do we have it on the
6	can we get it on the screen? All right. We'll have it on
7	the screen at least.
8	And so that will become Exhibit Number 2152
9	whenever we get a hard copy. All right.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2152:
11	(702959) Biographical Profile - Eugéne
12	LaRocque
13	MR. TALACH: Bishop, this document in front
14	of you is not dated but I thought I'd we'd try and work
15	through together some guidance as to the date of this.
16	If we look at the third full paragraph that
17	begins in 1977?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
19	MR. TALACH: You'll see the very last
20	sentence says:
21	"He is presently President of the
22	Ontario Catholic Conference of
23	Bishops."
24	And it notes 1992 to 1996.
25	With that, I thought we could just get some

1	agreement that this document is likely from around 1996.
2	Is that fair?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose so, yes.
4	MR. TALACH: Okay.
5	Now, going back up to the beginning, you
6	were born in Windsor, Ontario and is that do you
7	consider yourself from Windsor proper or from Tecumseh?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I was Windsor
9	proper. I was a pastor in Tecumseh but I was born in
10	Windsor.
11	MR. TALACH: And we have your birthdate or
12	your tombstone date, as people have referred to it, and we
13	know that you were ordained in the priesthood on June 7^{th} ,
14	1952?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right. In London.
16	MR. TALACH: And doing our working the
17	math backwards, that means you would have commenced
18	seminary in or about 1945. Is that fair?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The end of the war, yes.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay. And at that point, as it
21	is now, the full seminary experience at St. Peter's would
22	have been seven years then?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. It's now eight years.
24	MR. TALACH: Sorry?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It is now eight years.

1	It's been increased.
2	MR. TALACH: And during those seven years at
3	seminary, you study there and your classes are within that
4	facility for the entire period; correct?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct, yes.
6	MR. TALACH: And you also reside there in
7	the sense it's a residential experience?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
9	MR. TALACH: And you would have started in
10	1945 with a class of colleagues or seminarians?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
12	MR. TALACH: And do you remember roughly how
13	big that class was?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Ten or twelve, I think.
15	MR. TALACH: And then there would be some
16	attrition over the years?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
18	MR. TALACH: And these would be individuals
19	that you would live with and study with for seven years?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
21	MR. TALACH: And I take it you developed
22	some lasting friendships with your classmates over those
23	years?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I did, yes.
25	MR. TALACH: And you graduated then in the

1	class of '52. Is that fair?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
3	MR. TALACH: We have a photograph or a
4	composite of that class and the Document Number that's been
5	assigned to it is 200296. And I've already passed a copy
6	up in anticipation to the Clerk.
7	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Excuse me,
8	Commissioner.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes?
10	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: This is, I guess, what
11	you could call a yearbook photo and I wonder at the
12	either the relevance or propriety of having the witness
13	identify pictures of himself from the 1950's.
14	I can tell you that in this litigation that
15	has been alluded to by the witness, identification is very
16	much an issue. It is not here, and in the circumstances I
17	question the need for this kind of examination plan.
18	Thank you.
19	MR. TALACH: I actually have no issue with
20	identifying him. I want to identify his classmates, Mr.
21	Commissioner.
22	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Well, unless his
23	classmates were members of this Diocese, I'm not sure what
24	that would have to do with the institutional response of
25	the Diocese at the relevant periods of time.

1	MR. TALACH: It is cross; I'm about six
2	minutes into it, and I'd like some leeway to be able to
3	proceed on this because if I indicate the relevance of this
4	document, I think it eliminates the importance of the
5	effectiveness of the questions.
6	I don't need the pictures, and maybe what
7	I'll do is I can proceed with names of his classmates.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: There you go.
9	MR. TALACH: And we'll see where we can go.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
11	MR. TALACH: Okay.
12	Bishop, do you know how many people were in
13	your graduating class? I'm not going to hold you to a hard
14	number but
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: My ordination class for the
16	Diocese of London, there were five of us.
17	MR. TALACH: And for those that finished St.
18	Peter's Seminary?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There were also two in our
20	class from Regina and one from Saskatoon.
21	MR. TALACH: And so St. Peter's, to be
22	clear, is even though it is located in the Diocese of
23	London, it would have seminarians from potentially across
24	the country?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.

1	MR. TALACH: And not only from dioceses but
2	also from religious orders?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In my time, even from the
4	United States. The only religious order that would have
5	been the ressurectionists who had their house also on that
6	same property.
7	MR. TALACH: And that's the Congregation of
8	Ressurectionists out of
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
10	MR. TALACH: And their Canadian
11	headquarters, if I can call it that, is at Waterloo?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so.
13	MR. TALACH: And those I'm going to use
14	the short form, "CR". Is that fine?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. That's how
16	they're known.
17	MR. TALACH: They would have been in your
18	classes even though they lived on a house just on
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. And they
20	were not ordained at the same time as us.
21	MR. TALACH: I understand there was 10
22	people in your graduating class and
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, as I just said, there
24	were eight actually. The two others were ordained the year
25	before but they were put in the composite of the class.

1	MR. TALACH: Right. The CR's are ordained
2	the year before. Is that fair? Sorry?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
4	MR. TALACH: Yes, okay.
5	So these people that you went to school with
6	and graduated with, I take it you would keep in touch with
7	them over the years?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: With some of them, yes.
9	MR. TALACH: And Father Zimmey (sic), for
10	example, was one in your graduating class?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Father Zimney.
12	MR. TALACH: Zimney?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
14	MR. TALACH: And you kept in touch with him?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he was a good friend.
16	MR. TALACH: And you may have also kept in
17	touch with seminarians that were either a year ahead of you
18	or a year behind you just because of their proximity to
19	your graduating class?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, in some ways, yes.
21	MR. TALACH: Father Jim Summers for example?
22	MR. TALACH: Yes, Jim Summers was the year
23	behind me.
24	MR. TALACH: Right. So he graduated in '53?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.

1	MR. TALACH: Now, these two individuals that
2	I just mentioned that you knew from seminary, you did keep
3	in touch with these two over the years. Is that fair?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. Father Summers less
5	but Father Zimney as a classmate, more so, yes.
6	MR. TALACH: You travelled to Florida with
7	both of them at some point?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I did not. I met them
9	in Florida but I did not travel with them.
10	MR. TALACH: Just so I'm clear on that
11	answer, did you sort of run across them or was the plan to
12	meet in Florida and
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I had gone I believe to
14	visit I don't know what this has to do really with this
15	inquest here but
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it's not an
17	inquest.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's an Inquiry then.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, but until the
20	lawyers object or I intervene
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
22	I had gone, I believe, to visit Bishop
23	Brodeur at his condominium and it was while I was visiting
24	there, and I believe that I met with Father Zimney and
25	Father Summers and stayed a few days with them at their

1	motel and went to the dog races with them.
2	MR. TALACH: Sorry?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And went to the dog races
4	with them.
5	MR. TALACH: Okay. And I take it from that
6	answer you didn't anticipate them being in the area. It
7	was just coincidence that
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I may have known before I
9	went down that they would be there at the same time, but
10	I'm not sure.
11	MR. TALACH: Now, Bishop Brodeur, he had a
12	cottage in or a condo, a condominium, in Pompano Beach;
13	is that correct?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct, yes.
15	MR. TALACH: And Pompano Beach is the next
16	suburb north of Fort Lauderdale; is that fair?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct, yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes?
19	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Sir, I object to this
20	line of questioning. Thank you.
21	This is clearly designed to is out to
22	evaluate merits, and I think it is offensive to the
23	process. It's outside the jurisdiction, and it's just
24	going to exacerbate the witness' situation and be
25	unproductive.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Got to get to the point.
2	MR. TALACH: Mr. Commissioner, there is an
3	issue here about the OPP's
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second.
5	MR. TALACH: Oh, sorry.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Maybe we can ask the
7	witness to leave. Maybe that would be the best way.
8	Monseigneur, si vous pouviez sortir,
9	MONS. LAROCQUE: Oui, certainement.
10	LE COMMISSAIRE: nous allons discuter de
11	cette chose.
12	(WITNESS WITHDRAWS/TÉMOIN SE RETIRE)
13	THE COMMISSIONER: What is the relevance?
14	MR. TALACH: There's an issue here
15	obviously, as the OPP witnesses are coming up, the extent
16	of their investigation; what they followed up with; how
17	much they looked into the allegations of Fort Lauderdale;
18	the obstruction of justice and the conspiracy aspect of
19	their investigation. I think these questions regarding the
20	Bishop's connection to Fort Lauderdale, his visits there,
21	his reasons to be there are relevant to that institutional
22	response.
23	Additionally, I think it is relevant to the
24	institutional response of the Diocese with respect to the
25	Bishop's reasons potentially not to pursue certain aspects

1	of his own internal investigation, his relation to other
2	priests.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MR. TALACH: And clearly and obviously
5	credibility is an issue in cross-examination, and he has
6	made previous statements with respect to his connections to
7	Fort Lauderdale, and I just want to explore those.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: What about the name of
9	his graduating class? Like you started and
10	MR. TALACH: Oh, I'm still working towards
11	there. I've canvassed the two people from his graduating
12	class that he went to Florida with or met there.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
14	MR. TALACH: And there's another individual
15	in his graduating class who has a connection to Florida.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: And who is that?
17	MR. TALACH: Father Miles.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: And have these people
19	been either accused, investigated with respect to any
20	matters that are the subject matter of this Inquiry?
21	MR. TALACH: No, but Father Miles has
22	resided in the Fort Lauderdale area for the period when the
23	allegations of the visits to Fort Lauderdale occurred.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: So does that mean that if
25	I went to Fort Lauderdale between those years, that I'm

1	tainted with this?
2	MR. TALACH: No, but I think we have to
3	examine when you know, the connections to Fort
4	Lauderdale, the possibility of reasons to visit there and
5	out of a graduating class of 10, of all the places in North
6	America, the one ends up, you know, a stone's throw from
7	the area where the allegations are. I think it's worth
8	seeing if you visited the individual, if you knew the
9	individual, if it was another reason to be in Fort
10	Lauderdale.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Other than the sun, the
12	beach, dog races? I mean isn't Fort Lauderdale a fairly
13	popular place for Canadians to go? If you are trying to
14	say that they've gone there, ergo there's a conspiracy, I'm
15	saying well, come on now.
16	MR. TALACH: No, I don't think I'm pushing
17	that direct connection, but I think it's important to know:
18	(a) when he was questioned about Fort Lauderdale by the
19	OPP, if they followed up and investigated his connection to
20	it; if his answers were full and correct and complete; and
21	if there was reason for him to be in Fort Lauderdale on
22	different occasions and with whom and why.
23	There will be no direct allegations of
24	THE COMMISSIONER: No?
25	MR. TALACH: I mean I'm not going to

1	embarrass this Inquiry and make that leap that you just
2	suggested, but I think we need to move to see how small
3	that gap will become.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: How long do you think
5	this is going to take?
6	MR. TALACH: This is a very small area.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I am sure.
8	MR. TALACH: It just got smaller as well.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well, on the
10	understanding that it should get a lot smaller, bring the
11	witness back in and get to the point, please.
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	THE COMMISSIONER: The trouble with letting
14	anyone out of this room, it's hard to get them back in.
15	BISHOP EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
17	TALACH (Cont'd/Suite):
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Monsignor LaRocque, in
19	your absence, we discussed the scope to which Mr. Talach
20	would be permitted to cross-examine you. I have listened
21	to the submissions from your counsel, and I've given Mr.
22	Talach some instructions as to the scope of where he can go
23	with these questions.
24	So I would ask you to answer his questions
25	until there are any further objections.

41

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Go ahead,
3	sir.
4	MR. TALACH: Mr. Commissioner, what I may do
5	then is just jump right to the exhibit that I was going to
6	ask the question on, which is 21 pages contained within our
7	Supplemental Notice. And it is just simply St. Peter's
8	Seminary Alumni documents. The document number was 200297.
9	It would have been delivered. And so as not
10	to waste anymore time.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: I haven't wasted any time
12	today.
13	MR. TALACH: Oh, no, I'm speaking of myself,
14	Mr. Commissioner.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.
16	Exhibit 2153 is a document entitled,
17	"Marian, Year 1987-88."
18	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-2153:
19	(200297) Directory
20	MR. TALACH: And I understand that is a
21	collection of cover pages and a single internal page from a
22	number of Alumni bulletins.
23	Bishop, you are familiar with the Alumni
24	bulletin from St. Peter's?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, yes; very much so.

1	MR. TALACH: Okay. And I'm not going to
2	take you through those, but the question is do you recall a
3	Father Miles that was in your graduating class, a
4	Congregation of the Resurrection's Priest?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was in my class but
6	ordained the year before, yes.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay. Did you ever visit him
8	in Florida?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I did not.
10	MR. TALACH: Okay. And with respect to any
11	other individuals or activities in Florida, I think you
12	have indicated before that Father Gary Ostler had been in
13	Fort Lauderdale once. You had ran into him down there?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. I had supper
15	with him.
16	MR. TALACH: Okay. And Monsignor McDougald
17	had been down in Fort Lauderdale before?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember whether I
19	had supper with him, whether he was I just had the one
20	supper with priests from this Diocese when I was visiting
21	down there, yes, visiting Bishop Brodeur.
22	MR. TALACH: And if I could just go to
23	actually, I'll forego that document.
24	Are you aware, though you may not have run
25	into him down there, of Monsignor McDougald's attendances

1	to Fort Lauderdale?
2	Are you aware of any trip he took to Fort
3	Lauderdale, though you may not have seen him down there?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I'm not aware of it.
5	MR. TALACH: And when Bishop I believe
6	Bishop Brodeur passed in 1986; does that sound fair?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, say that
8	again?
9	MR. TALACH: Bishop Brodeur passed
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Passed away?
11	MR. TALACH: Passed away in
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he died in February of
13	1986.
14	MR. TALACH: Do you have any information as
15	to where his condo went?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Before he died, much
17	before, he sold his condo and moved in with me in Cornwall.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And lived with me from 1989
20	'79 to his death in '86. He sold the condo and gave the
21	money to the Diocese.
22	MR. TALACH: Let's return to your Bio, which
23	was Exhibit 2152, and it indicates here in the first large
24	paragraph that you worked a few years in a parish, then you
25	studied at St. Michael's College, Toronto and at Laval

1	University, and obtained a Masters degree in French in
2	1956?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
4	MR. TALACH: Is that what periods were
5	you at St. Michael's and Laval then?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was
7	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Excuse me.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes?
9	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I don't wish to express
10	impatience, but this biographical exercise is these
11	issues are also alive in another proceeding and I fail to
12	see how they're germane here; what class he was in at Laval
13	University for his French Masters degree.
14	My friend, Mr. Engelmann, has covered his
15	Bio and unless Mr. Talach can demonstrate some connection
16	with the jurisdiction, I think we're going to be spending a
17	lot of time on matters like this.
18	MR. TALACH: Mr. Commissioner, my questions
19	are really focussed on when his first involvement with this
20	Diocese commenced, informally or unofficially or any
21	contact with individuals from it, and I was simply walking
22	him through his various involvements prior to coming here
23	as Bishop in '74, to see if there was some involvement in a
24	more organized fashion, and I didn't intend to take tons of
25	time on this.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: No, why not just ask him,
2	"When did you ever have any first involvement with anybody
3	from the Diocese of Alexandria?"
4	MR. TALACH: It's just such a broad question
5	it's easy to overlook aspects. I was simply going to ask
6	him, "When you took your MA, was there anyone in your
7	classes who was a priest of this Diocese or associated with
8	this Diocese?"
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: None at all, no.
10	MR. TALACH: No, see, and that question took
11	as long as the objection.
12	Now, you worked at King's from 1956 to 1964.
13	Is that correct, sir?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was yes, I taught
15	French and I was Registrar until 1962, and then I became
16	Dean of Men in '62 till '64, still teaching.
17	MR. TALACH: And you worked with a Mr.
18	Laurier Lapierre at that time, I think.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was a teacher of History
20	at the same college as myself, yes.
21	MR. TALACH: And am I correct that he is the
22	brother of Father Paul Lapierre of this Diocese?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You are correct, yes.
24	MR. TALACH: And prior to coming to this
25	Diocese, did you meet Father Paul Lapierre?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he preached retreats
2	down in the County of Essex when I was pastor down there.
3	MR. TALACH: And I understand your evidence
4	was that he had this sort of travelling assignment with
5	respect to catholic education. Is that fair?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not catholic education;
7	preaching of retreats in missions and parishes.
8	MR. TALACH: Okay. And so you came in
9	contact with him with respect to that in about what time
10	frame? When you were first in a parish in Windsor or after
11	King's?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would be probably in
13	the '68 to '74 timeframe.
14	MR. TALACH: And this is when you were the
15	Dean of the Deanery of Essex in the Diocese of
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was Dean from '70 to '72.
17	No, I was Vice-Dean '70 to '72 and Dean from '72 to '74.
18	MR. TALACH: And we've heard evidence that
19	Father Lapierre was a close, or at least had some
20	association with well, let me just ask it this way.
21	Was there any other priest of this Diocese
22	that you met prior to becoming a Bishop in 1974?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Father Don Scott was also
24	preaching retreats at the retreat house at Oxley in Essex
25	County, and he preached a mission at St. Anne's Parish

1	where I was pastor.
2	MR. TALACH: And that's St. Anne's Parish in
3	Tecumseh, the last parish posting you had before your
4	appointment to
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was there for four years,
6	yes.
7	MR. TALACH: That was the last posting you
8	had before you became a Bishop?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was ordained a Bishop
10	there, yes.
11	MR. TALACH: Okay.
12	Now, again as a priest, before you were
13	Bishop, you were also Chair of the Ontario Council of
14	Priests?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, for the whole not
16	Ontario, the national.
17	MR. TALACH: National.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: For the whole of Canada;
19	English-speaking priests.
20	MR. TALACH: Do I take it there's a
21	representative from each of the dioceses that attends
22	those?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: From most of the dioceses
24	from Canada, yes.
25	MR. TALACH: Okay. And would you have come

1	to know the representative from Alexandria-Cornwall?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I may have, but I can't
3	recall who that would have been.
4	MR. TALACH: Now, you later
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't think no, I'm
6	sorry. I don't think they were represented because they're
7	counted among the French dioceses, and the French dioceses
8	don't belong to the national federation.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay. And you later, as a
10	Bishop, became involved with the Knights of Columbus at a
11	very high level?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. I was the Ontario
13	State Chaplain from '77 to '87, and I was the Chaplain for
14	all the Knights of Canada from '79, I believe, to '89.
15	MR. TALACH: And prior to that involvement
16	with the Knights of Columbus, did you have any involvement
17	with the Knights of Columbus in a diocese in London that
18	would have taken you outside the diocese for
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I was I became a
20	Knight only when I became Pastor at St. Anne's.
21	MR. TALACH: Okay.
22	Now, I just want to turn to Exhibit 680,
23	which is Document 703260 that's 7-0-3-2-6-0. It's the
24	OPP audiotaped interview of yourself, Bishop LaRocque, on
25	the 18 th of December '98.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Six-eight-zero (680)?
2	MR. TALACH: Six-eight-zero (680) is the
3	exhibit number I have.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. What page do you
5	want him to refer to?
6	MR. TALACH: I'll be on Bates page 772,
7	which is on the bottom it says, "Page 3 of 16".
8	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. TALACH: Do you have it there in front
11	of you, Bishop?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I do, yes.
13	MR. TALACH: So I'm on page it says "3 of
14	16". It should be the third piece of paper in.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
16	MR. TALACH: And you've been asked about
17	this interview but, just to refresh you, this was an
18	interview in 1998 by two OPP officers and it was with
19	respect to the large number of allegations that had been
20	made at that point.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay. And they were
23	interviewing you primarily with respect to some of the
24	allegations that had been both posted on the Internet and
25	at some point provided to them by a Ron Leroux. Is that

1	fair?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct, yes.
3	MR. TALACH: What was your understanding of
4	their investigation at that point with respect to that
5	affidavit? Like, why were they there to see you?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was part of Operation
7	Truth.
8	MR. TALACH: Did you know at that
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because I was also accused
10	by Leroux in that document of his.
11	MR. TALACH: Okay, and that was my next
12	question. Did you appreciate at that point that you were
13	either I don't know if they used the term "a person of
14	interest" but they were obviously following up on these
15	allegations that had
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
17	MR. TALACH: Okay.
18	So about the middle of that page and,
19	just for context, they ask you about a gathering or a party
20	near Cameron's Point, and there's your answer, "It's not
21	really the issue here", but the next question is they
22	ask you about a cottage in the area and your answer is:
23	"Not to my knowledge. I came here only
24	in 1974. If it's many years ago I
25	wouldn't have knowledge of that."

52

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
2	MR. TALACH: You see that answer?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, excuse me, yes.
4	Okay, yes.
5	MR. TALACH: And to be fair, your reference
6	to coming here in 1974 is that's when you came here to
7	serve this Diocese; correct?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay. Because you had given
10	some evidence earlier in your chief, and I think it had
11	been touched on in the other cross-examination, about you
12	had come to Cornwall for two occasions prior to 1974?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I came to Alexandria on one
14	occasion and to Cornwall on the second occasion.
15	MR. TALACH: And
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Alexandria for the with
17	the Bishop's Representative of London for Bishop Proulx's
18	installation, and we stayed for the installation and we
19	were out of the Diocese right after the supper. We did not
20	stay overnight.
21	And in Cornwall, it was with my parents on
22	our way to the Montreal Fair.
23	MR. TALACH: I don't know if I've noted this
24	wrong but on your way, either to or from the installation
25	of Bishop Proulx, did you stay at your cottage in Kingston?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, we ald not.
2	MR. TALACH: Okay.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: We stayed at the motel just
4	off 401 on Division Road at Kingston.
5	MR. TALACH: Okay. Then when you came here
6	with your parents in '67, I take it it was just at that
7	point in the drive you guys had gone as far as you had gone
8	and it was
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true, yes. We drove
10	from Windsor to Cornwall and then went on to Montreal the
11	next day.
12	MR. TALACH: And part of the Inquiry
13	process, as you can understand, Bishop, is there's many of
14	these allegations and rumours flying around of things and
15	part of our hope is to clear some of that up.
16	And I'm going to ask you, did you have any
17	family in the area?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: None, no.
19	MR. TALACH: No, okay.
20	And at the time of Bishop Proulx's
21	ordination or installation, you were at that point is
22	the term correct the principal or the rector of Christ
23	the King College?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. I had become
25	principal in '65 and I believe this was in '67.

53

1	MR. TALACH: And that's co-located very
2	closed to St. Peter's Seminary where
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right next door.
4	MR. TALACH: And you drove up with what
5	would have been the rector of that facility?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Who was the representative
9	of the Bishop of London.
10	MR. TALACH: And was that the first
11	ordination ceremony you had been to?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Wasn't an ordination.
13	MR. TALACH: Or installation?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Installation.
15	No, I had been at some of the installations
16	of bishops in London, but that was my first visit here to
17	Alexandria. In fact, Monsignor Mahoney, who was the man I
18	drove, used to pride himself in the fact that he had
19	introduced me to my future diocese.
20	MR. TALACH: But I take it, in 1967, there
21	was no rumblings or even
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, not at all.
23	MR. TALACH: That just came as a sweet
24	surprise after the fact that that was
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know if it's a

1	sweet surprise or not but I didn't ask for the job, I
2	can assure you of that.
3	MR. TALACH: You'd agree with me there was a
4	number of ordinations in southwestern Ontario between when
5	bishops or archbishops from when you became a priest to
6	when you became a bishop?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Now, what are you referring
8	to, like ordinations of priests or consecration of bishops?
9	MR. TALACH: Consecration of bishops.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay. There's a little bit
11	of difference although the terms are used in not that
12	many, no, I don't think because usually at the consecration
13	of bishops, there's such a large crowd that you have to be
14	invited.
15	And as a priest, unless you're very close to
16	one being consecrated, you're usually not invited, unless
17	it's your own diocese.
18	MR. TALACH: So you managed to get to the
19	Cornwall one because Mr. or Monsignor Mahoney needed
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Someone to drive him.
21	MR. TALACH: someone to drive him, okay.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I sat amongst the
23	priests and I sat at the back of the hall at Sacred Heart
24	Parish where the banquet was being held so that we could
25	exit as soon as the banquet speeches were finished.

1	MR. TALACH: Now, I believe your evidence
2	was that it was around '68 or it was after this fact when
3	Paul Lapierre and Don Scott came down to your neck-of-the-
4	woods in Essex County, so you didn't know anyone at all
5	when you went up to that?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As far as I can recollect,
7	no.
8	MR. TALACH: Okay.
9	Now, we mentioned the cottage in, is it fair
10	to say the Kingston area?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was on Big Lake Rideau
12	just outside of Portland and it was a cottage. The genesis
13	of this was that Father Duchesne had a parents had a
14	cottage on that same lake and we would go up in the summer
15	with him and Father Duchesne was the year after me.
16	And we found out that Gerry Livingstone's
17	cottage was for sale because he had bought an island and so
18	Father Duchesne and I approached him and we were able to
19	buy that cottage for the use of priests from London
20	Diocese.
21	And the purpose of it was for the 10 priests
22	who actually became members of that cottage, but also to
23	accept older priests who had no family so that they could
24	come and pass a month or a few weeks there.
25	MR. TALACH: And all these priests you're

1	referring to with respect to this cottage are priests to
2	the Diocese of London I take it?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They were, yes.
4	MR. TALACH: Okay. Now, I'm almost to where
5	you become Bishop which should smooth things a little bit.
6	But I want to turn to the issue, and I'm
7	trying to give you some notice of where we're going so your
8	mind's in that area, about awareness of sexual abuse of
9	minors by clergy. So I'm going to kind of canvass of when
10	you would have been exposed to that.
11	I guess, to start, you'd agree with since
12	you've been Bishop, you've had to deal with your fair share
13	of allegations against priests?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's I don't have to
15	answer that question, it's quite obvious, yes.
16	MR. TALACH: But before you were Bishop, I
17	just want to canvass when you first heard of those
18	allegations, and I'm going to start at King's College in
19	1956. You would have been the rector or principal at that
20	time?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I was registrar.
22	MR. TALACH: The registrar, okay. And there
23	would be other priests assigned to Christ the King College?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, there were I believe
25	five or six priests along with me at that time, yes.

1	MR. TALACH: And there was a bursar assigned
2	in '58 by the name of Father Charles Sylvester?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He came yes, assigned by
4	the bishop to that position, yes.
5	MR. TALACH: Okay. And he left about two
6	years later. Does that sound about right?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's about correct, yes.
8	MR. TALACH: Were you aware at that time,
9	either through facts that you were told or talk amongst
10	priests, that he had been moved in there and moved out
11	because of sexual abuse of
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I was not aware of that
13	at all.
14	MR. TALACH: Okay. So at least up until the
15	time you leave King's, there's no awareness of in a
16	sense, the kind of first-hand awareness of this happening
17	around you?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, not to my knowledge,
19	no.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay.
21	And then you taught a year at St. Peter's in
22	'64-'65. Is that correct?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was teaching there and I
24	was also assistant spiritual director because Monsignor
25	Forrester was getting old and they felt that they had to

1	get him some help.
2	MR. TALACH: Did your spiritual directing
3	duties actually act outside that year as well, that school
4	year, because you were so close to the seminary? Is that a
5	task that you'd do from time-to-time just being co-located
6	with St. Peter's?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I hadn't done that
8	before because I was full-time with registration of
9	students, promoting the college, and so the spiritual
10	direction of students and of the whole group in spiritual
11	lectures was restricted to that one year, '64 to '65.
12	MR. TALACH: To give us some timing, and
13	let's turn right to 1974, this is the year you became
14	Bishop of this Diocese; correct?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In September, yes.
16	MR. TALACH: Okay. So in September, prior
17	to that, did you become aware in early 1974 that a Father
18	Barry Glendinning at the very St. Peter's Seminary had been
19	arrested?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was aware of that fact,
21	yes.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay. So you became aware of
23	that around that contemporary time?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: About the time that
25	actually the arrest took place, yes.

I	MR. TALACH: So is it fair to say that would
2	kind of be your first awareness of this
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it was kind of a
4	shock, right, because he was a teacher at St. Peter's
5	Seminary for many years, but I was not a personal friend of
6	his at all.
7	MR. TALACH: So in '74 just before you're
8	consecrated, you are the Pastor at St. Anne's Parish in
9	Tecumseh, Ontario?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Tecumseh, right.
11	MR. TALACH: And that's within the Deanery
12	of Essex in the Diocese of London?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. TALACH: And you said you were the Vice-
15	Dean that year or you've left that post?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think I was Vice-Dean
17	from '70 to '72 and then I became Dean, '72 to '74, but I -
18	- it's around in those years, I'm not quite sure.
19	MR. TALACH: Before you were and to give
20	us a little background I think I have some understanding
21	what a dean is, but can you just give us a little
22	background of what a dean and a deanery does?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I was just in a way
24	under the bishop responsible for the priests along with
25	Father Doyle, who became Bishop Doyle, for all the 178

1	priests in Essex County at that time.
2	MR. TALACH: Now, after Father Glendinning's
3	conviction and when he was on probation, were you aware
4	that he then came to your deanery?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I wasn't there at that
6	time.
7	MR. TALACH: You were gone by the time he
8	got to Windsor?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
10	MR. TALACH: Okay.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: What's the French
12	equivalent to a dean?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Doyenné.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Parfait.
15	MR. TALACH: I take it in 1974 you still had
16	your association with Father Jim Summers?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I knew him, yes, but I
18	wasn't a close friend of his. I was much closer to Father
19	Zimney.
20	MR. TALACH: In that '74-'75 time period
21	either as a priest or as a dean, did he tell you that
22	Father Glendinning came to his parish on after his
23	arrest and conviction?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I didn't know that.
25	MR. TALACH: Okay. So your only knowledge

1	of Glendinning is the shock of hearing about it and then
2	you
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. TALACH: So because I've got the terms
5	right, or I've got the terms wrong, just give me the
6	sequence here. You become Bishop of this Diocese. I guess
7	that first of all the first announcement is you're
8	appointed. Is that the first thing that happens? There's
9	an announcement; is that called the appointment?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I was called in to
11	Bishop Carter's office on the $18^{\rm th}$ or $19^{\rm th}$ of June and he
12	told me that I had been named by the Holy See as the future
13	Bishop of Alexandria, and it was a complete surprise to me.
14	So I said, "Do I have to accept?" and he
15	said, "Well, you'd better have a good reason if you're
16	going to turn it down." So I went to the chapel and prayed
17	for about a half an hour, and came back and said, "Yes,
18	I'll accept." And he relayed that to the Nuncio in Ottawa
19	by telephone in my presence.
20	MR. TALACH: And that was a complete
21	surprise. There's no application or politicking or
22	anything
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I can assure you.
24	MR. TALACH: Okay.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.

1	MR. TALACH: And then after that in June
2	what then happens is a consecration ceremony in Tecumseh?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not June. June the $24^{\rm th}$ the
4	was supposed to be the day on which it was made public,
5	because I wanted it on June the $24^{\rm th}$, the Feast of Saint-
6	Jean-Baptiste, the patron saint of French Canadians, and I
7	was being named to a French diocese.
8	But CBC broke the embargo and so it came out
9	in the news on the $23^{\rm rd}$ and I remember that we were having
10	graduation for St. Anne's High School in the parish and
11	when I came out from the high school CBC was on the phone
12	wanting to know, you know, what this was all about. So
13	that's vivid in my mind.
14	And then the preparation; from June the $24^{\rm th}$
15	I no longer was pastor and they I prepared for
16	ordination and the ordination took place on September the
17	$3^{\rm rd}$ at St. Anne's in Tecumseh, and many of the priests from
18	the Diocese of Alexandria came to that ordination.
19	MR. TALACH: And between when you first
20	learned of it, I think you said on the 12^{th} of June, and
21	your actual I'll call it ceremony here or
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, here was the
23	installation, yes.
24	MR. TALACH: Right, in September.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was on the $15^{\rm th}$, I

1	believe, of September.
2	MR. TALACH: You'd agree with me that this,
3	if I can call it this seat, was empty because Bishop Proulx
4	had already moved to Hull?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, but there was an
6	administrator here. The administrator was Monseigneur
7	Villeneuve.
8	MR. TALACH: Were you aware of that right
9	away on the 12^{th} when you were appointed? Did you know that
10	this was going to be kind of a quick hand-off or
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It wasn't a quick hand-off,
12	I'm sorry.
13	MR. TALACH: Well, there was nobody here
14	physically when you got here to brief you, right?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Sure. There was the
16	administrator.
17	MR. TALACH: Well, with respect to Bishop
18	there were some notes on your desk, I think you told us.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, the Bishop wasn't
20	here. The Bishop was gone to Hull
21	MR. TALACH: Right.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe in February
23	of that year. But the administrator administers the
24	Diocese and I was in touch with him after I had been named.
25	MR. TALACH: So you were named on the 23 rd .

1	You had been in touch with him since that time forward; as
2	part of a kind of a handover, is that?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. I couldn't
4	be with because there was a secrecy there with regard to
5	the nomination until it was made public by the Holy See.
6	So it was after the $24^{ ext{th}}$.
7	MR. TALACH: So after the $24^{\rm th}$ were you free
8	to come down here and start measuring for curtains and so
9	on
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no, I was not. I came
11	down the once in July when I was at the cottage at the
12	Rideau, and met with the consulters and Monseigneur
13	Villeneuve about some matters that were rather urgent.
14	Some people wanted to go away to study, some a deacon
15	wanting to go away to study. I was here for that meeting.
16	I went back through Alexandria, because the
17	meeting was in Cornwall, and met with the Sisters of the
18	Precious Blood, who have always been very close to me
19	because their founder was a Bishop LaRocque. I'm the
20	fourth Bishop LaRocque in Canada.
21	And after meeting with them I continued on
22	Highway 53 to Smith Falls and down Smith Falls to the
23	cottage. So that was I did not stay overnight.
24	MR. TALACH: When you say Smith Falls, this
25	is the same cottage you already referred to

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
2	MR. TALACH: just for clarity?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The cottage is south of
4	Smith Falls.
5	MR. TALACH: I just wanted to clarify that.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And Highway just off
7	Highway 15.
8	MR. TALACH: Now, when you met with the
9	administrator was there any issues or outstanding issues of
10	sexual misconduct by priests or allegations that he alerted
11	you to? Was
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: None whatsoever, no.
13	MR. TALACH: And there was I think your
14	evidence was there was none of that indicated in the notes
15	as well.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. TALACH: And did you have an opportunity
18	to start looking at files before looking at the priests'
19	files? I think you indicated you reviewed the priests'
20	files.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Only after I had visited
22	them in their parishes.
23	MR. TALACH: Okay, so that was the process
24	after you were here and then things were rolling.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I visited them from, well,

1	September late September till December, and then I
2	started gradually to look through the files, yes.
3	MR. TALACH: And there was we were trying
4	to I think earlier you had well, what's your memory
5	of how many priests were here at the time? I mean, just to
6	give us an idea.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: About 45.
8	MR. TALACH: And to show how accurate that
9	is, for your benefit, the exhibit which was your bio, which
10	is 2152, has some stats at the bottom of it and maybe we'll
11	just take a quick look at that because it gives us an idea
12	of the priests you're responsible for and where they are
13	and where they come from.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: That's the one we don't
15	have a hard copy for, so we're going to have to put it on
16	the screen. Or do we have a hard copy?
17	MR. TALACH: Now, to be fair, this document
18	comes from 1996 is our best guess so would you say
19	these numbers would be relatively similar to '74 or they
20	would have ballooned or shrunk?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, they would have
22	probably shrunk a bit, yes.
23	MR. TALACH: So in 1996 we see, in the
24	statistics of the Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall with
25	respect to Diocesan priests, it says 44.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. TALACH: And then under that there's
3	religious priests, which are three. So around that time
4	you had about 47.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
6	MR. TALACH: And you had seven absent from
7	the Diocese, and in brackets it's two retired, I take that,
8	so there's five still active in absence from the Diocese?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
10	MR. TALACH: I guess the question is how
11	does that occur? What leads a priest of the Diocese to go
12	and work elsewhere?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, there are many
14	reasons for that really, and
15	MR. TALACH: You'd agree there's some
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And some of these were
17	already out of the Diocese by the time that I got here.
18	MR. TALACH: Right. When you got here in
19	'74 would you describe the situation as you had a surplus
20	of priests, you sort of
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, we didn't have a
22	surplus but we had sufficient.
23	MR. TALACH: You had a sufficient number, so
24	that allowed some to go work outside?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, there were some that

1	were already, as I said, like Paul Lapierre and Ken Martin
2	were already out of the Diocese. I forget who the others
3	might have been in that group. Raoul Poirier was in the
4	a chaplain in the Air Force.
5	MR. TALACH: And when it says 44 Diocesan
6	priests, these are priests that are incardinated to this
7	Diocese?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. TALACH: And that means, you know,
10	according to canon law you can call them home if you need
11	them. Is that fair?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can, yes.
13	MR. TALACH: So there'd be no shortage if
14	you had some outside the Diocese because if things got
15	tight you could bring them home. Is that a fair depiction?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You could try to bring them
17	home, yes.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay. Well, I know there's
19	reality and then there's the canon law but technically you
20	have the power to bring them home, right?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Technically, yes.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay. And you have three
23	religious priests listed there. That would be priests from
24	religious orders that they really don't have a geographical
25	region so they come and work in the various dioceses,

1	correct?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: With the permission of
3	their superiors, yes.
4	MR. TALACH: Okay. And while they're here,
5	though they are not incardinated, they are partly your
6	responsibility and partly that of the religious superiors.
7	Is that correct?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct, yes. Yes.
9	MR. TALACH: Is it fair to say you're more
10	their immediate supervisor and the religious superior is
11	more their legal supervisor?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would be correct, yes.
13	MR. TALACH: Okay. And so we talked about
14	1974 was your first awareness, and you have a stretch,
15	there was no awareness brought to your attention in your
16	transition into Bishop. There was nobody who was
17	considered someone to watch or there was no ongoing
18	problem. Is that fair?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's fair.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay. And Father Stone doesn't
21	enter the picture till 1981. Does that sound right?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct. He had
23	been here before but not when I was not here.
24	MR. TALACH: Right. We know that he had
25	been there in the '50s and '60s. So you had about seven

70

1	years in your first seven years with no allegations or
2	problems or need to deal with this to respond
3	institutionally
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To my knowledge, that's
5	correct, yes.
6	MR. TALACH: Okay. A bit of a calm before
7	the storm I guess?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know whether to
9	agree with that or not.
10	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
11	MR. TALACH: Now, let's talk about Father
12	Stone a little bit. I know it was canvassed by Commission
13	counsel and others; just to remind us of some of the key
14	sequences.
15	It was Father Gary Ostler who asked you to
16	consider him coming into this Diocese; right?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
18	MR. TALACH: And I believe that your
19	evidence was that Father Ostler was your best priest or one
20	of your best priests, something along that line?
21	MR. TALACH: Yes. He was a very reliable
22	and personable man.
23	MR. TALACH: And I take it he would be
24	therefore complete and honest with you in his dealings with
25	you?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose so, yes.
2	MR. TALACH: So when he first mentioned
3	Father Stone, he came right out and let you know he had
4	been recently convicted in Albany, New York?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, he let me know that he
6	was on the rebound from the Southdown
7	MR. TALACH: Okay.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: and that he had gone
9	through the whole treatment at Southdown and that he was
10	seeking some work in a diocese.
11	MR. TALACH: And it wasn't until you
12	interviewed Stone that you learned the reason for his visit
13	at Southdown?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. And at some point,
16	either speaking to Father Ostler or Father Stone, I believe
17	you indicated that you would have went back and reviewed
18	Stone's file in the Diocese because you would have been
19	aware that he had been there prior?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
21	MR. TALACH: And I just want to look at
22	Exhibit 2066, which is from counsel, a Document Number
23	120337. That's Exhibit 2066, Document 120337.
24	And this is a letter dated August 3 rd , 1957
25	on Diocese of Ogdensburg letterhead from their Chancellor

1	to Bishop Brodeur who would be the bishop here at the time.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: We've seen that before,
3	yes.
4	MR. TALACH: Yes.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: What was the
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Two-zero-six-six (2066).
7	Okay, just a second. Can we put it on the screen; 2066.
8	MR. TALACH: Two-zero
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Six-six.
10	MR. TALACH: Six-six.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: No, this finishes at
12	oh, okay, sorry I do have it; 2066, okay.
13	MR. TALACH: And what I want to do, Bishop,
14	is glean the kind of key points, the key information that
15	this letter would convey to you as you read it there in
16	1981.
17	The first thing it would tell you is that in
18	the opinion of the Bishop of or at least in the opinion
19	of the Chancellor of Ogdensburg that Father Stone had a
20	problem with children or trouble with children; in that
21	first paragraph, under One?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
23	MR. TALACH: Now, they use Latin there and
24	what's the translation? I think you said with children or
25	is it

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Cum pueris is with
2	children, yes, in the plural.
3	MR. TALACH: Do you have any understanding
4	as to why it just didn't say I know you didn't draft
5	this letter, but have you seen before that the use of Latin
6	when a term is potentially scandalous?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it would certainly be
8	understandable to the one who's receiving the letter
9	anyway.
10	MR. TALACH: Right. But if I was to pick
11	this up without your help, I wouldn't know what he's
12	talking about.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, but you could find out.
14	MR. TALACH: Okay.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose it's if you
16	want, a certain code.
17	MR. TALACH: Right. And we're going to talk
18	about what I call "a cultured secrecy".
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Like, like yeah.
20	MR. TALACH: Or confidentiality if you
21	prefer that term, but that use of Latin, that switching to
22	Latin when we're talking about something potentially
23	scandalous. That's a practice you've seen before?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I've seen before. It used
25	to be much more common than it is at the present time, but

1	
2	MR. TALACH: Okay. So any church official
3	reading this would understand it loud and clear. This is -
4	- this is saying that he has a trouble with children and
5	would you take more from that because of the use of Latin
6	that it isn't trouble preaching to children, it's sexual
7	trouble with children?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Why it would be quite
9	obvious what the problem was.
10	MR. TALACH: Okay.
11	So within this letter there is an
12	allegation, if we can call it that at this point, from the
13	very diocese where Father Stone had just worked, that he
14	had sexually abused or had sexual misconduct with children.
15	That's fair?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Trouble with them.
17	MR. TALACH: Trouble. But we had just kind
18	of reviewed
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
20	MR. TALACH: that a person would know
21	what that meant; right?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
23	MR. TALACH: And you'd agree that making
24	that allegation in this forum here, a letter to another
25	diocese, is a very serious matter?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
2	MR. TALACH: Okay.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's for the consideration
4	of the bishop, so and Bishop Brodeur was the bishop at that
5	time.
6	MR. TALACH: Right. And the Diocese of
7	Ogdensburg making that allegation, can we agree that they
8	would have some grounds to be that open about it and
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, they wanted to warn
10	the bishop here, but what could happen if he wasn't
11	watchful.
12	MR. TALACH: Now you've talked about moral
13	certitude. I know this is far before your time, but would
14	you agree with me in saying that to put this type of
15	content in a letter to another diocese that Bishop of
16	Ogdensburg must have been pretty close or already into
17	morale certitude about Stone's misconduct?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would imagine so, yes.
19	MR. TALACH: Okay.
20	Now, the second thing this letter tells you
21	is that at the end of that first paragraph that's number
22	or that paragraph numbered One, it says:
23	"There is a past history to this
24	trouble before he came to this
25	diocese."

1	So would you agree that the second thing
2	that this letter tells you is that this is not a first
3	offence per se for Father Stone with respect to this
4	problem with children?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, it's a warning.
6	MR. TALACH: Okay. And, again, we can
7	conclude or come to an understanding that the Diocese of
8	Ogdensburg would have again had some solid information or
9	facts to base that allegation?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose that they
11	wouldn't put it down if they didn't, yes.
12	MR. TALACH: Now, the third thing this
13	letter shows you is at the bottom of paragraph Two. It
14	says:
15	"He is therefore in a sense a refugee
16	religious."
17	And I believe it might have been the
18	Commissioner who used the term "AWOL". He's somewhere
19	without authority. He's
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, his superior still
21	has authority, but he's not in the superior's immediate
22	jurisdiction. He's not in the house belonging to the
23	superior.
24	MR. TALACH: Is it fair to say that that
25	term also incorporates he's not adhering to his

1	instructions of where he should be?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It could have that
3	connotation.
4	MR. TALACH: Okay.
5	And it says a couple of sentences above
6	that:
7	"As of July 23 rd , he had not yet
8	reported to his superior."
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
10	MR. TALACH: I get the impression that he
11	sort of they're kind of were wondering where he was.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
13	MR. TALACH: Yes. Okay. Now
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Your expression A-W-O-L is
15	quite
16	MR. TALACH: Absent without leave.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: quite apropos, yes.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay.
19	Now, I want to pause there and say when you
20	read this letter in 1981, you understand that all this
21	problem that's been alerted to in this letter is is with
22	respect to sexual misconduct with children. All these
23	references to trouble and problems
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
25	MR. TALACH: that's in the very

1	subject matter that we're focussing on here. This is with
2	respect to Stone misbehaving with children?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. My problem is I can't
4	remember reading the letter.
5	MR. TALACH: Okay.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because the letter would
7	have been down in the archives, it would not have been in
8	my active file and I can't remember asking for the letter
9	to be file to be brought up to me, I should have, but
10	actually I can't remember having read this before I went to
11	make my representations to the government.
12	MR. TALACH: Well, Stone would have had an
13	interview with you as well; correct?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
15	MR. TALACH: And would you have not gone
16	equipped to that interview with his file that you had?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I should have. I can't
18	remember whether I did or not.
19	MR. TALACH: And in that interview, do you
20	recall Stone talking about his any of these difficulties
21	he had had prior?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall. I believe
23	he was with Father Gary when he came in, and it was such a
24	long time ago, but it seems to me that he asked for another
25	chance; that he had gone through treatment, he knew now

1	what his problem was and he really wanted another chance.
2	MR. TALACH: These letters were put to you,
3	these letters from '57 through to '63. The letters before
4	your time were put to you by Commission ounsel here;
5	correct?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. That's right.
7	MR. TALACH: And I don't want to know any
8	conversations you had with your lawyers, but there was just
9	a pile of documents that you were asked to look at or try
10	and look at before you testified. Did you see these at
11	that time or were you kind of shocked when they were
12	they hit you here last week?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. I believe I'd seen
14	them before, yes.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. So I'm just trying to
16	get some clarity on when you would have had this knowledge
17	about Stone, and I had originally thought from your answer
18	that you reviewed files that you had this at the time he
19	got there in '81 or after the request was to put him in
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That could be so. I just
21	can't remember, I'm sorry.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay, but you weren't taken
23	aback when these things were put to you for the first time
24	in Commission counsel's examination in-chief. Your
25	reaction wasn't like, "Wow, this is the first I ever heard

1	of this"?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no, that's right.
3	MR. TALACH: Okay.
4	Now, if you go to Exhibit 2069, which is
5	Document Number 120341, again Exhibit 2069, this is a
6	letter of April 25 th , 1958.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Two-zero-six-nine (21069)?
8	THE COMMISSIONER: That's not the one.
9	MR. TALACH: Is it 68? Am I off by a digit
10	there?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, which letter do you
12	want?
13	MR. TALACH: April 25 th , 1958.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so what that's -
15	
16	MR. TALACH: Exhibit 2068, you're correct.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, you're right, yeah.
18	MR. TALACH: I was wrong initially on that
19	one, yes.
20	So this is a letter dated April 25 th , 1958,
21	again from the Diocese of Ogdensburg from the Chancellor
22	this time to the Chancellor of this Diocese.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
24	MR. TALACH: See that in front of you,
25	Bishop?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I do, yes.
2	MR. TALACH: Now, if you look at the second
3	paragraph, it indicates:
4	"The Bishop of Ogdensburg is greatly
5	concerned as there is a danger that the
6	civil authorities would apprehend
7	Father Stone if they thought he was
8	trying to contact certain young men of
9	that community."
10	Now, my question with respect to that
11	sentence is, the impression I get from that is that the
12	concern of the Bishop of Ogdensburg is more with respect to
13	scandal. Is that an impression that you took from the
14	letter when you read it?
15	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: The difficulty I am
16	sure the witness would have, as I would have, is he's being
17	asked to interpret the understandings and impressions or
18	theories of a person who's writing the letter and
19	presumably then the impression of the reader in 1958, when
20	his testimony is he's not even sure whether he read the
21	document in 1981.
22	So I the letter, you know, implies many
23	things and we can argue about what it means and what it
24	doesn't. The people who wrote and received are all dead
25	and I'm just wondering about the utility of putting that

1	kind of proposition to the witness.
2	MR. TALACH: And it's my fault for not
3	laying the foundation, but my question is really, you know,
4	where does the Bishop learn what experience what
5	exposure does he have to this practice or to the suggestion
6	that scandal should be avoided? And I thought this was an
7	occasion where I could ask him if this and my next
8	question would have been, "Is this a letter or a situation
9	where you walk away with the impression that the most
10	important thing was the avoidance of scandal"?
11	Clearly, later when we get into his own
12	reactions, the issue of avoiding scandal versus other
13	priorities will be a very live issue.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, go ahead. So do
15	you want to re-do the question?
16	MR. TALACH: My question was, did you walk
17	away from this letter when you read it in or about 1981, if
18	that was the time, that the way they had operated in this
19	situation was that the concern the big concern
20	emphasized here is avoidance of scandal, avoidance of civil
21	authorities arresting Father Stone?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what the letter
23	says, yes.
24	MR. TALACH: But as when you were
25	appointed Bishop, you didn't go to a bishop training course

1	or anything; right?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, there wasn't one at
3	that time.
4	MR. TALACH: There's a lot of
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There is one now though.
6	MR. TALACH: There is one now?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. TALACH: And there is a lot of on-the-
9	job training, in a sense?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. Just as a lawyer
11	becomes a judge from one day to the next, a priest becomes
12	a bishop from one day to the next.
13	MR. TALACH: Exactly, and a lot of so
14	you, when you become a bishop, you're learning from how you
15	see other bishops handle situations. You're learning from
16	all kinds of different sources as you head into this?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: From precedent, yes.
18	MR. TALACH: Right. And I guess from this
19	whole Stone experience in '58 to '63, do you learn that the
20	priority there or do you get the impression that at
21	least one of the priorities was the avoidance of scandal?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would have had that even
23	before that.
24	MR. TALACH: You would have had that before
25	that?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Sure. It's logical that
2	you would want to avoid scandal, especially, you know, when
3	you're a minister of religion.
4	MR. TALACH: So is that a logical conclusion
5	or is it something that's that you're taught or is it a
6	principle or something that you're brought up through the
7	system with?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It depends what you mean.
9	I mean, the avoidance of scandal at any cost, no; the
10	avoidance of scandal when it can be done legitimately, yes.
11	MR. TALACH: Would you agree it's sometimes
12	hard it's a hard judgment where to draw that line on
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, sure.
14	MR. TALACH: prioritizing scandal? It's
15	a difficult decision?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And putting ourselves back
17	in the 60s or in the 50s, it was quite a different
18	situation.
19	MR. TALACH: And you're saying scandal was -
20	- there was even a greater emphasis on preventing scandal
21	back in that
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think so, yes.
23	MR. TALACH: And that emphasis on scandal
24	has declined over the years?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There's a greater openness

1	to letting the police inviting the police even, to
2	and from what I said yesterday, you know, that we go
3	directly to the police the first time we hear something.
4	So there's been an evolution certainly in the church here
5	in Canada and the United States because of what we've lived
6	over these many years.
7	MR. TALACH: So as we sit here today, I mean
8	you'd agree that scandal is sort of an outdated old
9	avoidance of scandal at any cost is an outdated concept?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At any cost, yes.
11	MR. TALACH: Right.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The avoidance of scandal is
13	still a priority though.
14	MR. TALACH: It's still a priority?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Why, sure. You have to
16	take the measures to try to avoid scandal as much as
17	possible.
18	MR. TALACH: And we're talking scandal, and
19	it has a sort of a secular term. Maybe just to help
20	everyone, the term with respect to a religious context, is
21	it fair to say it's information that will cause a person to
22	question their faith?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not just a person; the
24	general faithful, yes. It would harm the faith of ordinary
25	people.

1	MR. TALACH: And you would agree that
2	information that a priest is accused or alleged or has
3	committed sexual offences against minors is clearly
4	something that would scandalize the faithful?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, absolutely, yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Talach, we should be
7	taking a break shortly.
8	Thank you, let's take a break.
9	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
10	veuillez vous lever.
11	The hearing will resume at 11:20 a.m.
12	Upon recessing at 11:07 a.m./
13	L'audience est suspendue à 11h07
14	Upon resuming at 11:24 a.m./
15	L'audience est reprise à 11h24
16	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
17	veuillez vous lever.
18	The hearing is now resumed. Please be
19	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
20	MONSIGNOR EUGENE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
22	TALACH (cont'd./suite):
23	MR. TALACH: We were discussing Father
24	Stone, Bishop, and I'm going to turn to Exhibit 2070.
25	That's Document 120344. It's Exhibit 2070. It's a letter

1	of 31 st October, '59.
2	It's again from the Chancellor of the
3	Diocese of Ogdensburg to Bishop Brodeur here in well,
4	would have been in Alexandria at the time.
5	It's a very short letter, so I'll just read
6	it. It's not up in front of you yet:
7	"Your Excellency, I have the
8	unfortunate duty of advising you that
9	the"
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have the letter here; you
11	don't have to read it.
12	MR. TALACH: Oh, do you have it there now?
13	And that letter would make it clear to the
14	reader that Carl Stone's problem with children was with
15	boys, and it seemed that the authorities in Ogdensburg were
16	looking into it further. Is that fair?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what it says, yes.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay.
19	I apologise if I asked this earlier, but I
20	think in the totality of the letters at this point you'd
21	agree with me that there was a clear conclusion that before
22	Ogdensburg, Stone had also had this similar-type problem.
23	Is that correct?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know.
25	MR. TALACH: Well, in the first letter

1	and I won't go back to it, but do you remember that we
2	talked about there's a past history of this trouble before
3	he came to the Diocese?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what it says, yes.
5	MR. TALACH: Now, the file seems to indicate
6	that Father Stone was in the Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall
7	until August 1963 and my reference to assist with that is
8	Exhibit 2071. That is Document 120356, Exhibit 2071. That
9	is an October $21^{\rm st}$, '63 letter, and I know we've jumped some
10	years there from '58 to '63, but you would agree that
11	Father Stone as then a member of a religious or a
12	religious priest of the Montfort Fathers would need annual
13	permission to work outside his Order. Is that fair?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right. Right.
15	MR. TALACH: Yes. And this is really a
16	letter seeking the Montfort Fathers seeing if they want
17	that extension extended for another year in the Diocese of
18	Alexandria at the time. Is that fair?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what it says, yes.
20	MR. TALACH: And your evidence earlier was
21	that it was the practice of Bishop Brodeur to write his
22	response at the bottom of the letter, and we see that
23	there. Correct?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct.
25	MR. TALACH: And it mentions that there's

1	a statement that Carl Stone has left Alexandria Diocese
2	sometime in August this would be August '63 when the
3	Cornwall police threatened to intervene after his
4	misdemeanour. Did you ever learn from Stone or Bishop
5	Brodeur before he passed or anyone what that misdemeanour
6	was?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I didn't.
8	MR. TALACH: Is the use of the term
9	"misdemeanour," is that a term used with a canonical term
10	or does it mean something to you as a Bishop?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It means what the English
12	word means as far as I know, yes.
13	MR. TALACH: Some sort of criminal offence?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. And you would agree it's
16	clear it's a misdemeanour with respect to criminal law
17	because there's immediate mention there that Cornwall
18	Police threatened to intervene. Is that fair?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose so, yes.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay.
21	Now, you were assessing Father Stone in 1981
22	to come into this Diocese?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
24	MR. TALACH: And you were also aware of his
25	conviction in or about that time in the State of New York

1	and Albany?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. He was sent
3	to Southdown, I would imagine.
4	MR. TALACH: Okay. And did you contact
5	anyone in the Diocese at Albany to find out exactly what
6	criminal offence in New York was?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my recollection, no.
8	MR. TALACH: If you had, would you have
9	expected you would have made a note or you may have done it
10	in writing if you had?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Probably.
12	MR. TALACH: And did you contact anyone at
13	Southdown with respect to the progress or diagnosis of
14	Father Stone?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember.
16	MR. TALACH: You would agree that we haven't
17	canvassed any material or letters from Southdown or to
18	Southdown in this Stone matter?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Pardon?
20	MR. TALACH: We haven't seen you haven't
21	seen any letters from Southdown or to Southdown regarding
22	Father Stone?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They would have been in his
24	file, if I had.
25	MR. TALACH: Okay, so what we'd expect to be

1	there would be in his file?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. TALACH: And you have no memory of any
4	interactions with Southdown with respect to Father Stone?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, except that he was to
6	be following their outpatient program.
7	MR. TALACH: And he told you that he was
8	involved in the outpatient program or Father Ostler told
9	you that; one of the two?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think he told me that in
11	the interview, yes.
12	MR. TALACH: Did you know how recent his
13	conviction in Albany was? Were you aware if he was on
14	let me just stop there.
15	Do you know how recent it was?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't know anything
17	about it.
18	MR. TALACH: Were you aware, did he inform
19	you or anyone inform you whether he was still on a
20	probation or any type of control by the justice system?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember that, no.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay, so the extent of your
23	knowledge with respect to the Albany matter is that it's
24	some sort of sexual criminal offence that he had been
25	convicted of?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
2	MR. TALACH: Now
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what my memo says.
4	MR. TALACH: You are the Bishop of the
5	Diocese at that time and is it fair to say, and I think
6	it's a common analogy, you are the shepherd of the flock
7	with respect to the parishioners in that Diocese?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. TALACH: And like a shepherd, you are to
10	protect them from danger?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
12	MR. TALACH: Both spiritual danger and
13	physical danger to them?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As much as possible, yes.
15	MR. TALACH: And it would be clear to you at
16	the time that you wouldn't want to do something to risk
17	their physical integrity with respect to sexual assault or
18	sexual offences?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's why I put all the
20	caveats that I did when he reinstated the Diocese.
21	MR. TALACH: Okay.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: We've gone over that
23	before. I'm sorry, but
24	MR. TALACH: Right. I'm just and let's
25	talk about the caveats and the restrictions. When Father

1	Stone came into the Diocese, did you put any canonical
2	restrictions on him with respect to his faculties?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I limited his ministry to
4	the villa where he was staying and to the alcoholic rehab
5	centre that was in St. Raphael's.
6	MR. TALACH: That's where you assigned him.
7	Correct?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's the ministry that I
9	gave him.
10	MR. TALACH: He wasn't restricted from
11	dressing in Roman collar as a priest?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, not at all.
13	MR. TALACH: He wasn't under any form of
14	geographical house arrest as far as you were concerned with
15	you?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's not a usual way of
17	dealing with priests, no.
18	MR. TALACH: Right. He was free to go to
19	other parishes or other activities.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But his ministry was
21	restricted.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay. So were his faculties,
23	religious faculties and when I use that term, I mean the
24	power you give him to carry out sacraments, was it
25	restricted to those two particular locations?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe so, no.
2	MR. TALACH: Right, because that restriction
3	would have to be done in writing. It would have to be done
4	pursuant to the canons, correct?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. TALACH: Okay, and it could only be done
7	if there was sort of a penal prosecution in the canons,
8	correct?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
10	MR. TALACH: You can't do that
11	administratively?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, he has a right to
13	appeal to a legal process.
14	MR. TALACH: And you didn't want to go down
15	that road at that point. You were going to give him a
16	chance?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
18	MR. TALACH: So is it fair to say that he
19	could have done mass or attended confessions for a priest
20	in the area that might not have been available?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was not within his
22	mandate as that I gave him.
23	MR. TALACH: I understand that, but he
24	wasn't clearly canonically restricted from doing that?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not canonically, that's

1	right.
2	MR. TALACH: Okay. And he was to work with
3	Gary Ostler at is it the Mount Carmel Treatment Centre,
4	is that the right term?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not with Gary Ostler but he
6	was to go there to be available for the fifth step in the
7	AA program.
8	MR. TALACH: Wasn't Gary Ostler assigned
9	there as well at the time? Wasn't that the connection to
10	working there?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe so.
12	MR. TALACH: Okay. That could be my
13	mistake. Now, is Mount Carmel right it's in St.
14	Raphael's; correct?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it's the old bishop's
16	house that was built in 1807 for the first Bishop outside
17	of Quebec.
18	MR. TALACH: I don't know if everyone here
19	is as familiar with the geography but how close is that to
20	Iona Academy?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right next door.
22	MR. TALACH: Right next door. And what is
23	Iona Academy?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's a school.
25	MR. TALACH: For children of what ages?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At that time, it was I
2	think it was a grade school.
3	MR. TALACH: Okay, so children up to the age
4	of 12 or 13, depending on when they finished Grade 8?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. TALACH: And who would be running Iona
7	Academy? Is there a religious person?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Sisters of no, the
9	Sisters of the Holy Cross were there before. It would have
10	been the school board at the time, yes, the Catholic School
11	Board.
12	MR. TALACH: Is it fair to say that no one
13	at the school board at Iona Academy knew that there was a
14	convicted sex offender working at Mount Carmel right next
15	door?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He wasn't working there on
17	a he went there once a week to be available to the
18	people who wanted the fifth step. That's all that he was
19	doing. He was not there overnight. He was there for a few
20	hours in order to be available to them. That's all.
21	MR. TALACH: I understand that. Iona
22	Academy is a Catholic facility?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
24	MR. TALACH: Okay. Stone is attending next
25	door at Mount Carmel dressed as a Catholic priest?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. TALACH: The children at Iona Academy
3	would know to respect and trust priests?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They would not come in
5	contact with him, to my knowledge.
6	MR. TALACH: Okay. I'm not alleging there
7	was contact, but I'm looking at the proximity of a
8	dangerous person.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. TALACH: None of the students and none
11	of the staff at Iona Academy knew Stone was going to be
12	right next door?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would imagine so. I
14	don't know.
15	MR. TALACH: Now, I know we've talked about
16	hindsight, but at the time no hindsight factored in; at the
17	time, did you consider warning the young people at Iona
18	Academy?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I wouldn't have warned the
20	young people. I would have warned the administration of
21	the and that should have been done, but it was not done,
22	to my knowledge.
23	MR. TALACH: So my question then is did you
24	consider warning the administration?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I just told you I didn't.

99

1	MR. TALACH: You didn't consider it?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At that time, no. I would
3	now, but I didn't at that time.
4	MR. TALACH: Is it fair to say that the
5	balance between avoiding scandal and other considerations,
6	such as in this case, the safety of the children, at that
7	time, it was more balanced towards avoiding scandal?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It would seem so.
9	MR. TALACH: Let's talk about Father Stone
10	and Gary Ostler. When you first learned that Father Stone
11	was in your Diocese, he was staying at the Rectory with
12	Gary Ostler; is that correct?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what I was told,
14	yes.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. And that was news to
16	you. You didn't permission wasn't sought beforehand,
17	that was kind of the first time they were raising the issue
18	with you?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was visiting Father Gary
22	because
23	MR. TALACH: Sorry?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was visiting Father
25	Gary.

1	MR. TALACH: Right, And prior to that, had
2	you known, in your time as Bishop, any of your priests to
3	associate with convicted sex offenders?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't.
5	MR. TALACH: So when you found out Stone was
6	recently convicted and in treatment, did it come as a bit
7	of a surprise that your perfect or your best priest,
8	Gary Ostler, had taken him in?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He had received him as a
10	guest and was trying to get him to have an opportunity to
11	exercise his priesthood in a very guarded situation.
12	MR. TALACH: But your understanding of how
13	Stone came to be at Gary Ostler's Rectory is not one of
14	Stone just showing up and seeking sanctuary from whatever
15	priest was working there; right? That's not what happened.
16	He knew Gary Ostler.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He knew him because they
18	Gary Ostler had been an altar boy under him at St.
19	Columban's.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay. So their association or
21	whatever relation they had went back to when Gary Ostler
22	was an altar boy; is that correct?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At St. Columban's.
24	MR. TALACH: At St. Columban's. And what's
25	the significance of that, was Stone at St. Columban's at

1	some point?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. That's where
3	he was assigned I believe. But I'm not sure.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: I think for the records sir,
5	it was St. John Bosco.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: St. John, excuse me.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay. I was going to correct
8	that but I mean the name of the parish doesn't matter,
9	what's important is Gary Ostler served as an altar boy
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
11	MR. TALACH: in a parish wherever Stone
12	was; is that right?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
14	MR. TALACH: Okay. Now, I've looked at the
15	documents and I seem to have noted that Father Stone was in
16	what would then be the Diocese of Alexandria, his prior
17	service, from the summer of '57 till about August '63,
18	about a six-year period; does that sound right?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I wasn't here. I don't
20	know.
21	MR. TALACH: Okay. I can take us back. But
22	from the documents we've reviewed and maybe what I'll do
23	just to be fair is Exhibit 2066
24	THE COMMISSIONER: It's okay.
25	MR. TALACH: Is it okay?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: It's fair. The documents
2	show that he left in August of '63.
3	MR. TALACH: Yeah.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: There you go.
5	MR. TALACH: That was my barometer to see if
6	I was going too slow, Mr. Commissioner. I got the message.
7	Would you agree these two men, Father Gary
8	Ostler and Father Carl Stone, would have a significant age
9	difference between them?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At that time, yeah. Yes, I
11	suppose so.
12	MR. TALACH: Almost a father and son age
13	difference.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, if he was an altar
15	boy and he was a priest, in their first relation in
16	their first meetings, yes, I suppose.
17	MR. TALACH: I can take us to the CVs but I
18	have a difference of 26 years between the two. Does that
19	sound fair? I mean it's not key if it's 25 or it was a
20	couple decades.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, you have the
22	evidence; I don't. So it's
23	THE COMMISSIONER: So you don't disagree
24	with that?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, not at all.

1	MR. TALACH: And I WIII Just quickly go to
2	the CV of Gary Ostler which is Document 702089 and this
3	will have to become an exhibit. It is not previously
4	entered. Document 702089, the Rule 38 notice was given by
5	my friend the Diocese.
6	It's two pages, I really just need the first
7	oh sorry, it's three pages, I really just need the first
8	page. And I'm going to put to you, Bishop, that the date
9	of birth of Gary Ostler was in 1946, April 5^{th} .
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't have any
11	information on my
12	MR. TALACH: Sorry.
13	Seven zero two zero eight nine (702089).
14	What's that? Am I wrong again? Oh, yes, it is. I am
15	wrong, 702989. I apologize; 702989.
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 2154?
18	Is that a five? Okay. CV of Gary Ostler.
19	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-2154:
20	(702989) CV of Gary Ostler
21	MR. TALACH: Bishop, the second line is date
22	of birth April 5 th , 1946; do you see that there?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I do.
24	MR. TALACH: So if we just do some math when
25	Father Stone came here in the summer of '57, Gary Ostler

1	would be about 11 years old.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
3	MR. TALACH: And you previously indicated
4	you knew that he had served as an altar boy with Father
5	Stone?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. TALACH: And again, just math, when he
8	left in August 1963, I put Father Gary Ostler at the age of
9	17.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Good mathematics.
11	MR. TALACH: So it looks like Father Stone
12	knew Gary Ostler through his late adolescent teenage years;
13	is that fair?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Teenage years, yes.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. Have you followed some
16	of the testimony here from the victims, Bishop? At the
17	very beginning of this, there was some testimony from
18	people that I represent. Did you follow any of that?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, you mean on television
20	or?
21	MR. TALACH: Yeah, or updates. Were you
22	aware of the evidence of Fern Vivarais?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Who?
24	MR. TALACH: Oh
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Fern Vivarais.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Vivarais?
2	MR. TALACH: Yes. That's my Anglo tongue.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe that I saw some,
4	yes, some testimony of that nature.
5	MR. TALACH: And he gave evidence that he
6	was assaulted by Father Stone at the age of 11 years old;
7	does that sound right?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall but
9	MR. TALACH: Okay.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: if you say so, fine,
11	yes. At St. John Bosco?
12	MR. TALACH: Yes.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
14	MR. TALACH: Not I mean the assault
15	didn't take place at the parish.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no but while he was St.
17	John.
18	MR. TALACH: While he was there, yes.
19	And you later, in '83, essentially fired
20	Stone for some what you believed to be sexual misconduct
21	with then boys aged 17 to 19?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I fired him because he
23	had come into contact with young people which had been
24	forbidden him.
25	MR. TALACH: Okay. And it was forbidden

1	because you were trying to prevent sexual misconduct.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
3	MR. TALACH: And he had thrown he had
4	shown almost three decade propensity for that at that
5	point; correct?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At that point, yes.
7	MR. TALACH: Did you not come to the
8	conclusion or did you consider that his activity with those
9	boys would, at the villa, was sexual?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I had doubts, yes.
11	MR. TALACH: You had doubts as to the
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As to the nature of the
13	relationship, yes.
14	MR. TALACH: Right. Okay. So between Mr.
15	Vivarais and your own experience with Stone, we know that
16	he assaulted boys as young as 11 and as old as 17; correct?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know about as old
18	of 17.
19	MR. TALACH: But you had suspicions.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I don't know if they
21	were assaulted or not but they were in his room. That's
22	what was reported to me.
23	MR. TALACH: After the Stone, because I
24	think chronology is important and to be fair to you, after
25	the Stone incident, the next one that really hits the radar

1	for you is Deslauriers, correct?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Eighty-six ('86), yes.
3	MR. TALACH: And in the Deslauriers
4	situation you did speak to a number of the victims and
5	offer counselling and is that correct?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They came to me and I
7	offered counselling, yes.
8	MR. TALACH: And you think your evidence was
9	you also kind of sent out through Claude Thibault who knew
10	them kind of you know
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, yes, that anyone
12	should come forward, that's right. It was public as well.
13	MR. TALACH: Right. And your efforts
14	through Father Thibault were in sort of an outreach, is
15	that fair, to find these other victims and get them help?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
17	MR. TALACH: In the case of Stone and the
18	boys at the Villa or the 17 and 19 year old individuals at
19	the Villa, was there any effort at outreach in that earlier
20	time period?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
22	MR. TALACH: And how did you know that these
23	boys were 17?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because the sisters told
25	me.

1	MR. TALACH: And that's based on their
2	estimate of the boys' age?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose. That's the
4	report that was made to me.
5	MR. TALACH: Did the association of Gary
6	Ostler Father Gary Ostler and Father Carl Stone ever
7	concern you with respect to the information we have just
8	gone through that Gary Father Gary Ostler would have
9	been in the age group at the time Stone was here that Stone
10	was interested in?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, and that thought never
12	entered my mind.
13	MR. TALACH: Let's switch gears to your
14	dealings with on behalf of Father Stone and the
15	authorities and you sought help from Mr. Ed Lumley.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Lumley.
17	MR. TALACH: Lumley. And we talked about
18	that letter and it's Exhibit 2075. Exhibit 2075 and the
19	_
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Do we have to go through
21	all this again? I mean we've how many times do we have
22	to go through the same thing?
23	MR. TALACH: Well, I've tried my best to
24	make sure the questions aren't identical or on the same
25	issue but I think there's some other areas there to be

1	reviewed, Bishop, so just
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: All right.
3	MR. TALACH: bear with us.
4	You understand that everybody in Cornwall
5	wants this inquiry to be thorough and get to the bottom of
6	it, right?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, to get to the truth of
8	everything.
9	MR. TALACH: And that's why you're here to
10	cooperate with that process?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's why I'm here on my
12	seventh day, yes.
13	MR. TALACH: Now, Mr. Lumley was the former
14	Mayor of Cornwall.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Lumley.
16	MR. TALACH: Lumley was the former Mayor of
17	Cornwall.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he was.
19	MR. TALACH: But at the time you wrote him
20	he was the Member of Parliament for this riding in the
21	Federal Parliament.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
23	MR. TALACH: And not just that but he was a
24	Minister of the Crown at that time. Is that correct?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was the Minister of

Justice, I believe.

2	MR. TALACH: Minister of Justice for the
3	government of Pierre Elliott Trudeau, is that about the
4	right time?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
6	MR. TALACH: And he originally comes from
7	Windsor just like you.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. TALACH: Was that your association to
10	him or did you not get to know him until you came to
11	Cornwall?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I never knew him in
13	Windsor.
14	MR. TALACH: Now, this letter's dated
15	October 12 th , 1982. And to start off with, the salutation
16	is "Dear Ed." I take it at your time prior to this as
17	Bishop you had had occasion to interact either socially or
18	professionally with him.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, when I first came he
20	invited I think he invited me or came to see me to say
21	that Windsor was taking over Cornwall.
22	MR. TALACH: Because it was significant that
23	you Windsor
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was the Mayor and I was
25	the Bishop.
	<u> -</u>

1	MR. TALACH: Right. Were sorting of running
2	the city secular and religious.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
4	MR. TALACH: And you understand when you're
5	writing this letter you're passing this information not
6	just to a colleague, a former Mayor but to a Minister of
7	the Federal government, correct?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's right.
9	MR. TALACH: And your efforts would be to
10	give us as full and complete picture as possible?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
12	MR. TALACH: Did you ever consider attaching
13	Stone's personnel file so that they'd have the complete
14	picture?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I didn't.
16	MR. TALACH: Do you know if that was ever
17	provided to Immigration or anyone in authority that was
18	making a decision on his immigration status?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know.
20	MR. TALACH: They didn't ask for it.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
22	MR. TALACH: And accordingly you didn't
23	provide it, right?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's my recollection.
25	MR. TALACH: Okay. And that's similar

1	we'll get into the OPP if they didn't ask for it you didn't
2	provide it, right?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The which?
4	MR. TALACH: It's similar system with the
5	OPP, if they didn't ask for it you wouldn't provide it.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: For what?
7	MR. TALACH: Well, if we're dealing with
8	Stone here
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, for Stone.
10	MR. TALACH: Yeah.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Were the OPP ever involved
12	with Stone?
13	MR. TALACH: Well, we're going to get to
14	that but I believe that they did put his name on a list of
15	people they were interested in. This was reviewed with you
16	earlier. I don't necessarily want to
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay. I had forgotten.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay. And the facts here that
19	you give the then what you understood to be the Minister of
20	Justice or at least a Federal Minister, in paragraph 3 it
21	states:
22	"The problem at hand concerns a sexual
23	offence for which"
24	And my copy is very bad so bear with me
25	here.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Father Stone was tried"
2	MR. TALACH: "Father Stone was tried
3	and convicted in a Court in Albany.
4	This was the first time that Father Stone
5	was convicted on this or any other"
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Charge.
7	MR. TALACH: "charge."
8	Now I'm going to stop there. That is
9	accurate to the T right, he had never been convicted of a
10	charge before.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what he told me.
12	MR. TALACH: But it doesn't give the full
13	impression of his past as we know it, correct?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct.
15	MR. TALACH: It doesn't mention his problems
16	prior to Ogdensburg.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This is Albany, it's not
18	Ogdensburg.
19	MR. TALACH: Right. But it doesn't mention
20	any of his problems back in the '50s or '60s is that fair?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's right.
22	MR. TALACH: And we've reviewed that he had
23	problems before Ogdensburg, right?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
25	MR. TALACH: He had problems in Ogdensburg.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Apparently, yes.
2	MR. TALACH: And he had some sort of
3	misdemeanour here which we could never really figure out
4	what it was, right?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: From Bishop Brodeur's
6	writings, yes.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay. So that information is
8	not provided in this letter. I'm going to suggest to you,
9	sir, that this letter was drafted with the intent, and I
10	think it's clear, to obtain the immigration status for Mr.
11	Stone.
12	That's why you were writing, correct?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct.
14	MR. TALACH: And when you drafted this did
15	you consider that I definitely want to put Father Stone in
16	the best light because I'm advocating on his behalf, here,
17	correct?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose.
19	MR. TALACH: It's fair to say with this
20	letter it's not a complete picture of Father Stone's past
21	as known by the Diocese?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct.
23	MR. TALACH: Right. Are you familiar with
24	the term "mental reservation"?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. TALACH: Can you tell us what that is?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That you tell what the
3	is to reserve something that is truthful but that you
4	don't want to reveal.
5	MR. TALACH: Right. I think Father Morrisey
6	and his term was to be "economical with the truth," does
7	that summarize it?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it could be, yes.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay. So it's not lying
10	because you don't create a falsehood but you limit the
11	amount of true information that's provided.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You yes, that's right.
13	MR. TALACH: Okay. Is it fair to say that
14	what happens in this letter would qualify as mental
15	reservation?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It would look it would
17	appear that way, yes.
18	MR. TALACH: Now mental reservation is a
19	doctrine that arises from St. Augustine, if we do a little
20	bit of I think theology, is that fair?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I thought it was rather
22	from St. Ignatius but
23	MR. TALACH: My point is it's a longstanding
24	doctrine or belief within the Catholic Church, is that
25	fair?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it's one of the
2	teachings, yes.
3	MR. TALACH: And that
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Of some theologians.
5	MR. TALACH: And there's no limit to when
6	mental reservation can be applied. It can even be applied
7	under Oath, correct?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. If you're asked for
9	the whole truth and nothing but the truth you've got to
10	tell the truth, as far as I know.
11	MR. TALACH: Okay. So your understanding is
12	that when it comes to Oath it the buck stops there on
13	mental reservation?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would say so, yes.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. When we're on
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: All depending on the way
17	the questions are asked, I suppose too, but when you're
18	telling the whole truth you have to tell the whole truth.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: So just out of curiosity,
20	in the seven days that you've been here have you exercised
21	any
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Mental reservation?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: mental reservation?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I can assure you I have
25	not.

1	MR. TALACH: But it's fair to say you're
2	free to deal with to exercise mental reservation in your
3	dealings with Immigration as you did here?
4	There's no restriction on you using mental
5	reservation as I think we established you did here,
6	correct?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. TALACH: And you're free to use it in
9	dealings with the police that are not under oath?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose so, yes.
11	MR. TALACH: And you're free to use it with
12	the media?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose.
14	MR. TALACH: And mental reservation, if I
15	can use this term, is a tool that can be used to reduce
16	scandal. I mean, it definitely helps to have a doctrine
17	that limits what information you have to give when you're
18	trying to limit information.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct.
20	MR. TALACH: Now, this letter then leads to
21	you being put in touch with then the Honourable Lloyd
22	Axworthy. Is that correct?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. I went to see him in
24	his office.
25	MR. TALACH: And he was then the Minister of

1	Employment and Immigration, so he was the guy to see.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. TALACH: And I take it that meeting was
4	arranged with Mr. Lumley.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
6	MR. TALACH: That meeting was very close to
7	Christmas, wasn't it? Do you remember that?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
9	MR. TALACH: Was it an emergency meeting, in
10	a sense, because at the New Year Stone's immigration status
11	would be in trouble?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe that was part of
13	the question, yes.
14	MR. TALACH: While you were Bishop did you
15	ever meet with a Minister for the Crown on an emergency
16	basis with respect to the plight of a victim of sexual
17	abuse?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge, no.
19	MR. TALACH: And in 1983 you saw it as a
20	priority to get Father Stone here and not have him sent
21	back to Albany. Is that fair?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was an attempt to give
23	him a chance, yes.
24	MR. TALACH: It was really your grace; is
25	that the proper term? There was no immediate need for him

1	here.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, not at all. No. He
3	was helpful insofar as he was Chaplain at the Villa for the
4	older people there.
5	MR. TALACH: Right. You could find a job
6	for him if you got him, but you also had priests you could
7	bring back to the Diocese if there was a real emergency?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay. And it was really in the
10	spirit of your Christian values as a Bishop to give this
11	man a second chance, right?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
13	MR. TALACH: Or fifth chance, or whatever.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Or fifth, whatever, yes.
15	With certain restraints.
16	MR. TALACH: Okay.
17	Did you balance that generosity with the
18	importance or the safety of your parishioners? Did that
19	did you consider those two I think conflicting
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I tried to. That's
21	why the restraints were put on.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay.
23	Now, I'm going to finish up with Stone so
24	I'm going to have to fast-forward, Bishop, up to when the
25	OPP were dealing with the request that Commission counsel

1	took you through, and I can assure you I'm not going to go
2	through them in that detail, but do you remember that in or
3	about 1998 the OPP asked you for some information on a
4	number of priests?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. Yes.
6	MR. TALACH: And there was a number of
7	requests over a number of months. Does that fit with your
8	memory?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: With all kinds of
10	information, yes.
11	MR. TALACH: Okay.
12	Now, between that 1998 period and 1983,
13	during that period I believe your evidence was that your
14	understanding of how to deal with these problems evolved.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
16	MR. TALACH: It was a learning process for
17	you, right?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
19	MR. TALACH: By '98 you had been through the
20	Stone betrayal, if I can call it that what he had done?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, let down. Yes.
22	MR. TALACH: And you had been through the
23	Deslauriers situation, which may even be described as
24	worse?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. TALACH: And by '98 you had also you
2	weren't clear of all the ordeal around Father Charles
3	MacDonald but you were through a lot of it. Fair?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
5	MR. TALACH: So would you
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was accused at that time,
7	which I had never been before, and that was a much more
8	painful situation.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay.
10	Would it be fair to say that would you
11	assess your evolution of dealing with these by 1998 as it
12	had changed significantly over the period from '83 to '98?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It had evolved, yes.
14	MR. TALACH: It had evolved. Okay.
15	I'm going to suggest, though, your use of
16	mental reservation had remained.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You may suggest it but I
18	may not agree with you.
19	MR. TALACH: I'm asking if you agree with me
20	and then I'll take you through some details. Do you
21	believe that your application of mental reservation, as
22	your institutional response to these allegations against
23	your priests if it had remained as it was in '83?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really don't know.
25	MR. TALACH: Okay, I'll be fair and narrow

1	it. Had it remained the same with respect to providing
2	additional information on your own initiative to civil
3	authorities?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: By "additional information"
5	you mean what?
6	MR. TALACH: Well, the OPP had written you,
7	I understand, in March and in October and in November of
8	1998 with different requests about different priests.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. TALACH: Okay.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Photographs and things of
12	that nature, yes.
13	MR. TALACH: Right, and I believe your
14	evidence was you responded, and essentially to the letter,
15	as to what they requested you provided right up to that
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As quickly as possible,
17	yes.
18	MR. TALACH: Yes. But like the situation
19	back with the letter to the Minister of the Crown, you
20	didn't provide any additional information that may have
21	given a fuller picture. Is that correct?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I gave them what they
23	requested.
24	MR. TALACH: Okay. Each time you got these
25	requests, you or someone on your behalf would have to go

1	well, let me rewind. When you got these requests, I take
2	it by the responses in handwriting and everything, you
3	dealt with them on your own.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I did most of them, yes.
5	MR. TALACH: Most of them this was a
6	priority matter for you. You'd go get the file, you'd get
7	this information and deal with it?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. TALACH: And therefore with three
10	requests you'd have three opportunities where you had to go
11	and get these files and you had to deal with this, right?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
13	MR. TALACH: And in all three of those
14	opportunities you never considered, "I should tell them"
15	for example in Stone, "I should tell them about all this
16	stuff about Stone"?
17	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Commissioner, it seems
18	to me we've been through this quite exhaustively with Mr.
19	Engelmann. Is there someone else my friend is concerned
20	of, so that we're not duplicating the same area?
21	MR. TALACH: I think the issue is unique;
22	that I'm emphasising that he had three opportunities here
23	to make a decision, and in all three of the opportunities
24	he had made the decision not to disclose or take the
25	initiative to disclose additional information, and that was

1	really my emphasis, Commissioner.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I'll allow
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was asked for a
4	photograph; I gave them the photograph. I was asked for a
5	curriculum vitae; I gave the curriculum vitae.
6	MR. TALACH: But to get that, Bishop, you'd
7	have to go into that file and those very letters with cum
8	pueris and trouble with boys and all that stuff would be
9	right there at your fingertips. Correct?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
11	MR. TALACH: And on those three occasions of
12	going to the files you never considered giving those to the
13	OPP?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I didn't.
15	MR. TALACH: And was that mental
16	reservation?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
18	MR. TALACH: It was just
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was just answering what
20	they requested.
21	MR. TALACH: Now, you mentioned that you
22	were an accused at that point in '98 as well. Correct?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
24	MR. TALACH: Would that cause your response
25	to their requests to be different? Were you irritated with

1	the OPP for doing this?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not at all.
3	MR. TALACH: Were you irritated with the
4	people that had made the allegations?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Accusing me falsely?
6	Certainly I was irritated.
7	MR. TALACH: The people that made the
8	allegations against you, clearly you'd be irritated because
9	
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And against some of my best
11	priests.
12	MR. TALACH: Would that irritation against
13	those the people that made those allegations, would that
14	affect your outlook on whether to provide additional
15	information?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not at all. It would have
17	incited me to give the truth as I knew it, and as
18	requested.
19	MR. TALACH: The truth both inculpatory and
20	exculpatory, so the truth that both shows that the
21	allegations are true and the truth that shows the
22	allegations are false, correct?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
24	MR. TALACH: There's a doctrine of the
25	Church, the truth will or from Scripture, the truth will

1	set you free. Correct?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
3	MR. TALACH: And I believe Father Morrissey
4	told us that in the Church in a canonical setting they're
5	more concerned with the truth than with justice, whatever
6	the difference may be between the two. Correct?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Truth and justice; they go
8	together.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay. With that
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And charity covers them
11	all.
12	MR. TALACH: And "the truth will set you
13	free" is a doctrine that has been with you since you became
14	a priest. Correct?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it's the very words
16	of Christ himself.
17	MR. TALACH: They've been around a long
18	time.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
20	MR. TALACH: Did you not think that the
21	truth would set some of these priests free or set some of
22	the victims free to prove their allegations, by giving this
23	additional information?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't consider it at
25	that time.

1	MR. TALACH: Okay.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Before we go on, I'll ask
3	you this way: When you were looking at Father Stone's file
4	and when these police things were coming in, requests did
5	you think, I'm not going to give them this because it's
6	going to be more fodder, more ammunition for people to
7	attack the church or me because I'm charged?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe that
9	consideration came to my mind, no.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you have any
11	consideration? Did any consideration come to your mind?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: With regard to Stone?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, about giving to the
14	offering up to the police something that they hadn't
15	asked for but that would, in all likelihood, be very
16	relevant to their investigation?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would not have refused to
18	give it had I been requested.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. No, of course
20	not.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To take the initiative at
22	that time, I don't think entered my mind.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
24	MR. TALACH: Now, I don't think we can probe
25	your mindset any further on that period where there was a

1	question of initiative and providing information, but if I
2	just take you back to 2075, Exhibit 2075, which for counsel
3	is Document 120370.
4	That's again your letter of October 12^{th} ,
5	1982. These are your words, a letter you drafted.
6	Correct, Bishop?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
8	MR. TALACH: And I'm going to try and look
9	at some of the considerations that you raise in this
10	letter. And if I take you to the third paragraph on the
11	first page, I believe it's the last sentence so if we work
12	backwards on it.
13	That last sentence says:
14	"He received a suspended sentence, is
15	presently being monitored by a
16	probation officer there in Cornwall,
17	this being confidential due to Stone's
18	integrity and ability to function here
19	in the community."
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes?
21	MR. TALACH: So at least in '82, in this
22	letter or even prior to this letter, there had been some
23	arrangement of confidentiality with respect to Father Stone
24	and the probation office; correct?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, not by myself though.

1	MR. TALACH: But it goes on to say, due to
2	his ability to function here in the community; function as
3	a priest for your Diocese?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
5	MR. TALACH: But you're not any part of that
6	confidentiality?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As far as I can remember,
8	no.
9	MR. TALACH: But you mention that here as a
10	detail in your letter; correct?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That is information that I
12	have.
13	MR. TALACH: Right.
14	And then on the second page, and again if we
15	work backwards from the end of the paragraph, I believe
16	it's the third last sentence that starts, "Due to his
17	role"?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
19	MR. TALACH: The bottom third of that
20	paragraph, it starts:
21	"Due to his role in the community, I
22	would ask you to keep this matter
23	confidential."
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
25	MR. TALACH: So, again, you're specifically

1	requesting here confidentiality?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To Ed Lumley, yes.
3	MR. TALACH: And that's for the same reason,
4	so that Stone can function and people don't know his
5	background; right?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, can have some chance
7	of exercising ministry, yes.
8	MR. TALACH: Right, because people are going
9	to very much doubt his abilities as a priest if they know
10	he's a convicted sex offender?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
12	MR. TALACH: And in that doubt is scandal;
13	correct?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
15	MR. TALACH: Right. So at least in '83 or
16	'82, when you write this letter, your mind has turned to
17	the issue of "I've got to avoid scandal with this guy";
18	right?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
20	MR. TALACH: And that mindset of '83 or of
21	your letter of '82, that doesn't return to you when you're
22	
22	dealing with the OPP in '98 is your evidence?
23	dealing with the OPP in '98 is your evidence? MSGR. LAROCQUE: It may have influenced it,

1	MR. TALACH: Right.
2	Now, let's turn to an exhibit here, 2114.
3	Exhibit 2114 is Document 702967 and this was entered
4	previously. The only date we have is a notation in
5	handwriting of 20 March '98, and I understand this to be
6	Bishop, do you have it there?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. TALACH: To be the first communication
9	you received with respect to a request from the OPP;
10	correct?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't recall, but if it's
12	the first or when it came, but it is one from them, yes.
13	MR. TALACH: It's near the beginning of when
14	they started asking for information at least?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
16	MR. TALACH: Sir, it's near the beginning of
17	when they started; it might not have been the first but
18	your memory, it's at the outset?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay.
21	Prior to this, I think your evidence was you
22	had not seen the Project Truth website and all this
23	affidavits and things that were out in the public but
24	someone had told you about them?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. TALACH: Would you agree with me or
2	I'll ask you this. When you saw this list of 27
3	individuals who the primary most of them are priests,
4	were you not shocked?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, they were accused by
6	Leroux, so I wasn't shocked as much as I would have been
7	had that information not been available beforehand.
8	MR. TALACH: Okay, but your understanding
9	that it was all these names arose from just a single
10	individual, Mr. Ron Leroux?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was the main source,
12	yes, as I recall.
13	MR. TALACH: I just look at it this way.
14	You get a list of 27 religious people, most of them are
15	priests, with allegations of sexual abuse that the police
16	are investigating, does that not knock you back?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There is no allegation that
18	they were investigating for sexual in the thing here at
19	all and
20	MR. TALACH: Well, what did you think
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: most of these well,
22	many of them are not even involved in any kind of maybe
23	not Brother André at the very top, I mean as far as I
24	know, has never been accused of anything.
25	MR. TALACH: Okay, but you're getting this

1	letter from the police Project Truth; correct?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right, for information.
3	MR. TALACH: And you know that Project Truth
4	is looking into allegations of sexual offences; correct?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct, yes.
6	MR. TALACH: And they're also looking into
7	conspiracy and collusion?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
9	MR. TALACH: And many of these priests are
10	still living practicing priests in your Diocese at that
11	time in '98?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
13	MR. TALACH: So I'm asking you what is your
14	institutional response to getting this list? What do you
15	do as Bishop?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I give it to them. I give
17	the information they require.
18	MR. TALACH: Do you go to these priests'
19	files and look through and see if there's something
20	supporting the allegation?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There are not no
22	allegations made in here. All I had is a list of names.
23	MR. TALACH: Right. So when you get this
24	list in or about the 20 th of March '98
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And they asked for the date

1	of birth, present location, previous locations, and I give
2	them as much as I possibly can.
3	MR. TALACH: Okay and I guess maybe I'm
4	assuming something. So to be fair, I'll say what did you
5	think the OPP were interested in these 27 people for?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That they were under
7	suspicion of being in what they would call a pedophile
8	clan.
9	MR. TALACH: Exactly. So you know that at
10	least the OPP have some sort of basis to ask for these
11	names; correct?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct.
13	MR. TALACH: And what do you do about it on
14	20th March, '98?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I give them what they
16	request.
17	MR. TALACH: That's it?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's it, yes.
19	MR. TALACH: You don't initiate the protocol
20	for these guys?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Why should I?
22	MR. TALACH: Sir, a Bishop has
23	accoutrements; correct? He has a hat for ceremonies, and
24	he has a staff. Is that fair?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. TALACH: And that staff is symbolic of a
2	shepherd's staff?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. TALACH: And that staff is because you
5	are to protect the flock; correct?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I tried to do that as best
7	I could.
8	MR. TALACH: Okay. Now, using that analogy,
9	is this not a list of 27 potential wolves?
10	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no. Come on,
11	enough, enough.
12	MR. TALACH: Okay. I'm just trying to
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He's going a little far
14	there, sir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Enough.
16	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: The other concern is
17	some of these names, there's never been an allegation
18	about.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
20	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And the OPP, there's no
21	evidence what they told him about these individuals. They
22	could just as much be witness.
23	For example, number two, Gordon Bryan,
24	there's never been an allegation against him. There's
25	never been any information that he was ever investigated

1	for ever doing anything in matters relating to sexual
2	impropriety and the first individual so, you know, there
3	are all kinds of premises being put to the witness, and
4	there's no specific information the OPP ever gave him
5	information about who these people were accused by or in
6	connection with what as a basis for that kind of
7	investigation.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know that you've
9	laid any groundwork that would suggest that because this
10	letter, or anything else came in, would click in the
11	protocol.
12	MR. TALACH: Well, I'm not necessarily
13	saying that the protocol should have. I'm wondering what
14	his reaction is. I believe I
15	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no. You were
16	gearing up and raising your voice and talking about the
17	protocol and asking him why not, you were accusing him
18	almost of not having used the protocol and there's no no
19	basis for that
20	MR. TALACH: Well, let me I'll try
21	I'll try it different then Mr. Commissioner.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Perhaps, okay.
23	MR. TALACH: Mr. Sherriff-Scott (sic), your
24	Counsel says, and I agree, there's no information on this
25	list as to what exactly the OPP is interested in with these

I	individuals other than there tombstone data; correct?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't like your way of
3	putting it, they want more than tombstone death. They want
4	information, the name, the date, the birth, present
5	location and previous assignments.
6	MR. TALACH: Okay.
7	Did you consider or did it worry you that
8	there may be a suspect or two within this list?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The whole thing worried me.
10	MR. TALACH: Did you ask the OPP for
11	clarification of who the suspects were or who you should,
12	as an employer, be concerned about at that point?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not at that point, no.
14	MR. TALACH: Okay.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't see any reason to
16	do so. I was furnishing information.
17	MR. TALACH: Did you at a later point ask
18	the OPP to clarify who was a suspect or where there was an
19	allegation and
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: We were all suspect.
21	MR. TALACH: It's my fault, Bishop. I'm
22	just trying to understand what you did with respect to
23	protection of your parishioners when you were getting these
24	repetitive requests from the OPP?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I tried to protect them the

1	best way I knew how.
2	MR. TALACH: So there would have been
3	something done diocesan-wide or something done at that
4	point? Is that the effort you're referring to or
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not necessarily, no.
6	MR. TALACH: Okay. Was there any change in
7	business at the Diocese with respect to the parishioners
8	and the parishes as a result of these three requests?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: What do you mean?
10	MR. TALACH: Did you send out any mandate?
11	I'm just going to use an example that
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You know we're all we're
13	all being investigated by the OPP?
14	MR. TALACH: Or just a general young
15	people shouldn't visit the rectory. Something to just to
16	kind of until you can figure out who's a suspect and
17	who's not and who's true and who's false?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I did not.
19	MR. TALACH: Okay.
20	Now, there's two versions of this and this
21	is one of your responses.
22	Okay, so let's look at Exhibit 1993, if we
23	could? Exhibit 1993, which for counsel is Document Number
24	702872.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll need a new book,

1	Madam Clerk, for the witness. I have mine.
2	MR. TALACH: And just it should be a
3	letter on Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall letterhead;
4	handwritten. And, sir, this is a previous exhibit.
5	It was a handwritten letter, I understood,
6	of October 28 th , 1998. It's your response to one of these
7	numerous OPP requests. Is that fair?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true.
9	MR. TALACH: And this is response to the
10	information and they were primarily asking I'll just
11	read it from a previous letter:
12	"The full name, date of birth, present
13	location and previous locations of the
14	priests on the list."
15	Correct?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right, yes.
17	MR. TALACH: And with respect to Number
18	Three, Reverend Carl Stone, you laid out some information -
19	
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
21	MR. TALACH: And
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Would have sent a card
23	along with it.
24	MR. TALACH: Right. And I'm going to read
25	it just because I'm going to ask you about the specifics of

1	it. Correct me if I get your handwriting wrong:
2	"Former member of the Montfort Fathers,
3	New York, from the Diocese of
4	Ogdensburg, New York held an
5	appointment in the diocese in 1957 to
6	'63, St-Jean-Bosco, and '91 to '85 St.
7	Joseph's Villa."
8	And then you put, "See card". Is that fair?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. TALACH: So you would have attached as
11	we've already mentioned the CV's?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
13	MR. TALACH: And let's just look at that CV
14	of Stone's that you provided then. It's Exhibit 2065;
15	2065. It's Document 120477. And you'll recognize it
16	because it has a very black streak at the top from the
17	photocopy.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I have it.
19	MR. TALACH: From your memory, is this
20	similar to or the document you were provided to the OPP?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it's the record of
22	priests as existed in the time of Monsignor Brodeur. I
23	just added onto the bottom of it.
24	MR. TALACH: Right. And did you add onto
25	the bottom of it with respect, like for the OPP at the time

I	you handed it to them?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's right.
3	MR. TALACH: Yes.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
5	MR. TALACH: So your add-on is you added:
6	"In August '63, he had left the
7	Diocese."
8	You'd have that from the letters in his
9	file; correct?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
11	MR. TALACH: And then, again, from your own
12	correspondence when you've been involved, you would have
13	added in the December, 1981 to June, 1985 here inright?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
15	MR. TALACH: There's a blank line in
16	between. You were aware at the time in '98 that Father
17	Stone had spent 11 years in the Albany Diocese; correct?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
19	MR. TALACH: You see this document has
20	locations outside your Diocese at the beginning
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Which was put there by
22	Bishop Brodeur, yes.
23	MR. TALACH: Right. But you didn't put in
24	that he had been in Albany there on that line.
25	And my question is, sir, would you agree

1	with me that if that was in there it would leave the OPP to
2	discover that he had been, or potentially leave the OPP to
3	discover that he had been convicted in that Diocese?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's possible, yes.
5	MR. TALACH: And you had to go to his file
6	to get these dates that you handwrote in; correct?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would imagine so, yes.
8	MR. TALACH: You had to read that handful of
9	letters that was in his file to get the firm dates?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Didn't have to read them
11	no, but I they had to
12	MR. TALACH: They helped.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
14	MR. TALACH: Yes.
15	So, again, back to this issue again of
16	mental reservation. Did you not I guess I'd put it this
17	way this is not complete in what you provided the OPP?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In hindsight, yes, that's
19	correct.
20	MR. TALACH: And the difference with this
21	example is this is an initiative; this is providing them
22	with what they requested. And in this particular case, you
23	left a piece out; right?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe it was
25	intentional, but it's obvious that it's not there.

1	MR. TALACH: Well, when Stone left the Villa
2	and let's just I'm sorry to well, I'm not going to
3	play word games.
4	Let's just say, when Stone left the Villa
5	you were aware that he had broken his terms of his
6	Minister's Permit. Those conditions that were in play to
7	keep him here in Canada; right.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And my conditions, yes.
9	MR. TALACH: Right. But they were also
10	conditions that were laid out in a letter from Lloyd
11	Axworthy. Do you remember that?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
13	MR. TALACH: Okay. So Immigration at least
14	felt that those were important conditions and had put them
15	in a letter to you?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. TALACH: And you were aware, or at least
18	the correspondence seems to be to show that you were
19	aware that he was on probation here because one of the
20	letters was
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
22	MR. TALACH: a carbon yes?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
24	MR. TALACH: Okay.
25	Did you consider that what he did, his

1	activity with young boys that you had or with 17 year-
2	old boys that you had suspicions of was a breach of
3	either his Immigration conditions or his probation
4	conditions or both? Did you consider that at the time?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know if I had that
6	in my mind or not, but it certainly was de facto, yes.
7	MR. TALACH: But you didn't I mean, to be
8	clear, you didn't tell Immigration that he had breached the
9	conditions in Lloyd Axworthy's letter? You didn't tell
10	probation that he had been caught with young boys and you
11	suspected more?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, query whether or
13	not he was still on probation. I mean you can't put things
14	to him if you don't you know, if they're not true.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay.
16	Do you know if he was still on probation?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall this.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay. Do you know if you
19	contacted anyone at Immigration to tell them about the
20	situation in full?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe there's a letter
22	to Mr. Lebrun that he would not be was it Lebrun? Some
23	local man at Immigration that his permission he would no
24	longer be working in the Diocese, and he was returned to
25	New York.

1	MR. TALACH: Right, but he was returning
2	because he had breached the conditions of Immigration that
3	you were to supervise; right?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
5	MR. TALACH: And the impression or the
6	information that Immigration gets is that he just
7	voluntarily kind of left; correct?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know what their
9	impression was. I can't answer that.
10	MR. TALACH: Well, let's put it this way;
11	you didn't tell Mr. Lebrun that he had been caught with
12	young boys, which was a restriction with 17-year-old
13	boys, which was a restriction of his minister?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall what was in
15	the letter.
16	MR. TALACH: Mr. Commissioner, I'm in your
17	hands; maybe if we
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Dangerous place to be.
19	MR. TALACH: we may need to go to that
20	letter, so I can find that on lunch, and we can pick right
21	up. Thank you.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine. Thank you,
23	we'll go for lunch and come back at two.
24	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre
25	veuillez vous lever.

1	The hearing will resume at 2:00 p.m.
2	Upon recessing at 12:27 p.m./
3	L'audience est suspendue à 12h27
4	Upon resuming at 2:02 p.m./
5	L'audience est reprise à 14h02
6	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed.
7	Please be seated; veuillez vous asseoir.
8	MR. TALACH: Good afternoon.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Talach, can you give
10	me an idea. I'm looking at scheduling and timing. So how
11	much time do you think you will require to finish your
12	cross?
13	MR. TALACH: I will try my best to get it
14	done today.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, m'hm, okay. Go
16	ahead.
17	MONSIGNOR EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
19	TALLACH (Cont'd/Suite):
20	MR. TALACH: Good afternoon, Bishop.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Good afternoon.
22	MR. TALACH: When we left just before the
23	break, we were talking before lunch, we were talking
24	about your correspondence to an official at Immigration
25	regarding the departure of Father Stone. And I managed to

1	come up with the exhibit number for that. It was
2	previously entered as 2083, that's Document Number 120391.
3	Two-zero-eight-three (2083), it should be a
4	letter dated June 21 st , 1985.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Two-zero-eight-three
6	(2083).
7	MR. TALACH: Do you have that in front of
8	you, Bishop?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I do.
10	MR. TALACH: So there was some question as
11	to what exactly you told Immigration in this letter. I
12	think it's fair to say that you advised them that Father
13	Stone had resigned?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
15	MR. TALACH: And that he had returned to New
16	York State?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
18	MR. TALACH: And that's the extent of the
19	information?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
21	MR. TALACH: Okay. With respect to Father
22	Stone, I think it's fair to say that you operated on a
23	need-to-know basis with respect to the information, the
24	depth of information about him?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't understand the

1	question, I'm sorry.
2	MR. TALACH: Well, you provided the
3	information to Immigration that you felt they needed to
4	know?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, because it
6	was a question of renewing his stay in Canada.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I know, but you didn't
8	advise them that he had breached all many of the
9	conditions?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not in this letter at
11	least, and I don't think there was any other communication.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: No, m'hm.
13	So you know, I guess, one might say, "Well,
14	why do they have to know?"
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, what happens if
17	Father Stone were to reapply
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: and they'd say well
20	there's nothing on file, whereas if you would have sent a
21	letter saying he breached the conditions, that would have -
22	- might have assured that he has a more difficult time next
23	time coming in.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

22

23

24

25

individuals?

1	MR. TALACH: On the heels of that, Bishop,
2	you'd agree that when you sent Father Stone out of this
3	Diocese in 1985, it was because I mean, part of it, do
4	you agree with me, is because you determined that he was
5	still a potentially dangerous person with respect to sexual
6	offences?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because he had breached the
8	condition that I had set down not to be in contact with
9	people and young men in Cornwall, which is also what the
10	Immigration had set down.
11	MR. TALACH: Okay. I am going to turn now
12	to an issue of your of these three letters from the OPP
13	that information was requested from a number of priests,
14	and the first one is Exhibit 2114. We have been there
15	before, 2114. It's Document 702967, and over lunch, I
16	tried to think of the best way to do this, so we could be
17	efficient. And what I am going to propose is you look at
18	these documents, these names on the list, and I'm not going
19	to take you through every individual, but just take some
20	time and look at them and let me know. I'm going to have

And you can just take some time and look

two questions: The first question is up until the date of

this document, were you ever aware of any allegations

coming to the Diocese's attention against any of these

us.

1	through that and flag to us anyone that you were aware of
2	allegations prior to the 20 March 1998 against.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, 20 March '98 was
4	after the public accusation of myself and all other
5	priests.
6	MR. TALACH: I meant allegations that came
7	to you not on the website, not like someone marched in
8	your office or a priest came in and told you, a prior
9	allegation.
10	And don't feel rushed by this. I think it's
11	generally more efficient and it saves us putting all these
12	names on the record.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: See, first of all, I've
14	just realised that writing is not mine.
15	MR. TALACH: All on the right column, that's
16	not yours?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: All of it is not mine, I
18	don't think. I I don't believe that's my handwriting.
19	MR. TALACH: Well, I'm not so concerned
20	about the handwriting now, just the names as they were
21	provided to you.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right. Well, certainly
23	Gilles Deslauriers.
24	MR. TALACH: Right. That one is clear to

150

1	Would you agree with Father
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Paul Lapierre because of
3	what I had from Venice.
4	MR. TALACH: Okay, so you had that; was it
5	Naples or Venice? Or it's the Diocese in Naples; is it?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Diocese of Naples, yes.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay. So that was pre-1998?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think so, yes.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: When was Lapierre
10	charged? Does anybody know?
11	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Lapierre was charged in
12	Ontario and in Quebec.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, when? Was it before
14	March of 1998?
15	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: He was charged in '99.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Okay. So can
17	you go through the list there, Monsignor?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To my knowledge that's all,
19	but I can't I may be wrong. I don't but those stand
20	out at least.
21	MR. TALACH: You had not talked to Father
22	Lapierre I think that's what the Commissioner was
23	getting at you had not talked to Father Lapierre, Paul
24	Lapierre, yet about Father Donald Scott? That happened
25	after '98; right?

l	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
2	MR. TALACH: Okay. And with respect to
3	Father Lussier, and I know it's a very difficult process to
4	do, but would you agree with me there was a document on his
5	file outlining
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: A suspected
7	MR. TALACH: a suspected some
8	activities with a young boy.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: With one young boy, which
10	he was in continual, I'll say, friendship.
11	MR. TALACH: Right, and that was on that
12	was on his personnel file but you had never received any
13	_
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Official complaints on him.
15	MR. TALACH: Right. Okay. So with respect
16	to the information from the Diocese of Naples, I take it
17	that information was not provided to the OPP because it was
18	not requested; correct?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
20	MR. TALACH: And just to remind us, your
21	information, I appreciate it's second or third or how
22	many hands before it got to you but it was something along
23	the lines that he had been at least detained or he had been
24	arrested by an undercover police officer in Naples with
25	respect to some sexual issue.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Sexual advance.
2	MR. TALACH: Sexual advance, okay. And
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: On the officer himself.
4	MR. TALACH: On the officer himself. Okay.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: A male officer.
6	MR. TALACH: A male officer.
7	And with respect to the letter of Lucien
8	Lussier's file, that, I take it, was also not provided to
9	the OPP because it was not requested?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
11	MR. TALACH: Okay. Now, there is two more
12	of these documents and we'll just do the same exercise.
13	So, the next one is Exhibit 2115. Exhibit 2115, Document
14	Number 702886. Now there are some repeats on here. But if
15	you could look at this again with the question in mind, did
16	you receive I guess, generally, did you have any
17	knowledge of an accusation or a complaint either received
18	or in their personnel file prior to and in this case
19	THE COMMISSIONER: March 9th
20	MR. TALACH: it's 27 October '98.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. No.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay. The first individual, I
23	believe we later you'll see in brackets they think it's
24	Roy and then somebody writes in Royer.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.

1	MR. TALACH: And I believe it's would you
2	agree it's later determined that they are likely looking
3	for Yvon
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yvon Royer, yes.
5	MR. TALACH: Right. Now, I believe Father
6	Lebrun testified that are you aware of his testimony
7	that he had some information about this priest; second-hand
8	information? Bishop, are you?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I didn't did I or
10	did I not read? I've read so much that I can't remember.
11	MR. TALACH: I understand. I'll put in on
12	the record I believe, and I am open to correction, that
13	Father Lebrun testified along the lines that in
14	approximately 1970, his 15-year old brother told him
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, oh, oh, yes, yes. Do
16	you understand where we're going with this?
17	MR. TALACH: I understand where it's going.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So
19	MR. TALACH: I don't think it's an
20	allegation of an
21	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: No, that was a
22	publication ban.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Ban.
24	So were you aware
25	MR. TALACH: I'll leave that line of

1	questioning.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, good.
3	MR. TALACH: That's the fairness
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, no, no. You can do
5	it another way; you can say "Were you aware that" which
6	father?
7	MR. TALACH: I don't even need to go there
8	Mr. Commissioner. That's fine.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well, then that's
10	fine, good.
11	MR. TALACH: Your answer was, you weren't
12	aware of any allegations about these people on that page,
13	and that's fine.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
15	MR. TALACH: We'll move on to Exhibit 2116.
16	And this will be Document Number 114317, 114317; it's dated
17	23 rd November '98. Now, this should be four pages or five
18	pages.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: It's already an exhibit
20	so it's there.
21	MR. TALACH: Right. And if we go forward to
22	the third page, I believe there is another handwritten list
23	right there. Again just consider this list and whether
24	you'd received any allegations or aware of any
25	documentation against any of these priests.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I am certain Carl Stone for
2	sure.
3	MR. TALACH: Right.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: None of the others, no.
5	None of the others.
6	MR. TALACH: Sorry, I'm just waiting for the
7	screen to settle so we know where we're at.
8	If you count down to the sixth priest, the
9	first name Pedro.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes?
11	MR. TALACH: Was that individual
12	incardinated to this Diocese or was
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, he is a Philippine
14	priest, he never was incardinated wait a minute now.
15	No, I believe he was never incardinated.
16	MR. TALACH: Okay. Now, for each priest
17	that is incardinated to the Diocese, there is a priest
18	personnel file, if I can call it that, on them; correct?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There is a priest personnel
20	file on everybody who has worked in the Diocese.
21	MR. TALACH: So regardless of their
22	incardination or where they came from, if they worked in
23	this Diocese, there is a priest personnel file started
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There should be a card on
25	them, yes.

1	MR. TALACH: There should. And that
2	contains all the letters and correspondence and any
3	documents with respect to them that is felt should be
4	retained; correct?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, the question the
6	card is the card of where they placed, where they came
7	from, their ordination and all that; their CV. The others
8	would be distinct from that. That would be their file
9	you're talking about.
10	MR. TALACH: Yeah. Am I correct in saying
11	there is a file and that a CV is one document in that file
12	and then the rest of the file can contain documents,
13	letters, whatever else is on that priest?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. And would that be the
16	single repository of information on that priest? And where
17	I'm going is I'm going to ask you if there's a confidential
18	file that's maintained by the Bishop?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: From my time at least, I
20	had everything in the file of the priest.
21	MR. TALACH: You're familiar with the term
22	"secret archives"?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I am, yes.
24	MR. TALACH: It's a confidential file that
25	the canons indicate should be created?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. TALACH: And would you agree with me
3	that it's more confidential, it's going to find some
4	material in there that could present scandal?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That material might be
6	found there, yes.
7	MR. TALACH: Do you when you took over as
8	Bishop in 1974, was there a division between what I'll call
9	normal files and a secret archive?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe so.
11	MR. TALACH: Was there any type of separate
12	just drawer or confidential area that Bishop Proulx had
13	kept that you took over?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my recollection, no.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. And over your time as
16	priest, you did not distinguish; all the documents that
17	pertained to a priest went into that single priest
18	personnel file.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you keep a secret
22	file, sir?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really don't know if
24	there was one. The archivist would have been the one to
25	know that. They would have been down in the archives. But

1	I didn't have one in my office, I'm sure of that.
2	MR. TALACH: Was there any purging policy
3	while you were Bishop with respect to when these priest
4	personnel files would be vetted and older material would be
5	cleaned out?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not in my time, I don't
7	think. That's why you have so much material.
8	MR. TALACH: Are you aware of any time prior
9	to you whereas material would be vetted and go somewhere
10	else?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really don't know.
12	MR. TALACH: Okay. Does the Diocese
13	maintain microfiche archives?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The bulletins of the
15	parishes at one time were put on microfiche. Whether there
16	are other documents, I'm not aware.
17	MR. TALACH: And again, I'm open to
18	correction on this, but I understand that the canons do
19	have a purging policy of documents that would be in the
20	secret archives of 10 years. Did you ever apply anything
21	like that to any of your archives?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my recollection, no.
23	MR. TALACH: Okay. And when a priest passed
24	away, there would be no purging of his file as well?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The file would be sent down

1	to the archives from the active files.
2	MR. TALACH: Okay. So there is at least
3	that section separation between the living and the
4	deceased files.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. TALACH: Now, I know how much everyone
7	enjoys to hear more about Father Stone so I'm going to try
8	and really get to the heart of this issue on him.
9	Would you agree and we've reviewed the
10	documents many times like you've said well, actually, I
11	think you gave evidence on this, that as soon as Bishop
12	Brudeau found out about a violation
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Brodeur.
14	MR. TALACH: Brodeau.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Brodeur.
16	MR. TALACH: Brodeur?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
18	MR. TALACH: I'll have you pronounce some
19	Polish words later.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: (Laughter/rires) Okay,
21	yeah.
22	MR. TALACH: When he as soon as he found
23	out that there was some activity of Father Stone, I think
24	your evidence was he ordered him out of the Diocese?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what the note on the

1	end of his letter says. I wasn't there, so that's all I
2	have to go by.
3	MR. TALACH: But I had some note that you
4	had said, "Oh, no, he would have ordered him out. He
5	wouldn't have gone on his own."
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I lived with him for
7	quite a few years.
8	MR. TALACH: Okay, so that's based on that
9	impression of him as a person. Correct?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
11	MR. TALACH: Now, there was reference, and
12	again I don't know if we need to go to the letter but there
13	was a comment in one of the letters about, you know,
14	something along the lines of he had taken in Bishop
15	Brodeur had taken in 18 of 20 fallen priests. Do you
16	remember that reference?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay, well
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think it may be in the
20	letter that we didn't read.
21	MR. TALACH: I think you're right.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The only one.
23	MR. TALACH: And I think that letter is
24	Exhibit 2067 2067. It's Document 120339 and it's the
25	middle paragraph, the centre paragraph. Two zero six nine

1	(20690; sorry, I'm a letter or two behind. That's what
2	happens when you leave your script. So that will be a
3	letter of May 2^{nd} , 1958.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
5	MR. TALACH: And it is still the second
6	paragraph.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. TALACH: Is it fair to summarize that
9	paragraph is the Bishop sort of explaining why he's taken
10	in Father Stone?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
12	MR. TALACH: And one of the factors he
13	points to is a pressing very pressing need for priests.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I guess so, yes.
15	MR. TALACH: So we take it in May of '58,
16	for whatever particular circumstances, there was a need for
17	priests in this Diocese?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what he says.
19	MR. TALACH: And he makes a comment that
20	he says, "A personal weakness for" and I think we had
21	concluded that was "fallen priests"; the "f" had fallen
22	away.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Where are you now?
24	MR. TALACH: Still that first sentence of
25	the second paragraph. It's a long sentence. Third line.

1	So I'll read it onto the record.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I have it. Okay.
3	MR. TALACH: Okay.
4	And in parentheses he says:
5	"(To date, in 19 years as a pastor and
6	17 years as a Bishop, with God's help I
7	have been instrumental in saving 18 out
8	of 20 unfortunate priests from despair
9	and enabling them to resume their
10	ministry)."
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
12	MR. TALACH: Now, when we talked about that
13	in your examination for (sic) chief, you were quick to
14	indicate that, you know, no one take away the impression
15	that these were 20 sexual offenders. Correct?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. TALACH: Did you have any information to
18	base that answer on? I mean, did you know anything about
19	who these people could be or
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would have no information
21	whatsoever.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because as pastor that
24	would be in Saint Boniface, Manitoba, and then he came from
25	Saint Boniface to be Bishop here. So he says that it's in

1	both places that he has been able to help this number of
2	priests.
3	MR. TALACH: And it's almost an equal time
4	period that he had been a pastor compared to a Bishop.
5	It's 19 versus 17 years, right?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At that time, yes.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay.
8	With respect to this did you ever speak to
9	the Bishop about in the years you resided with him,
10	about any priest that he was proud to have helped resume
11	their ministry or get back on their feet?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not that I can recall. It
13	was mostly a general because he was elderly at that
14	time. He died at 96, you see, so but I don't recall any
15	specific priests that we had discussed together, no.
16	MR. TALACH: Is there any information, or I
17	guess, any belief on your part that this could be related
18	to why you've had the number of priests in this Diocese
19	that you have had to deal with?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would be one of the
21	causes, I would imagine.
22	MR. TALACH: That would be one potential
23	reason?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
25	MR. TALACH: Okay.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But he went with the
2	knowledge he had at that time.
3	MR. TALACH: Right, and we went through the
4	documents as to, you know at least with Stone, the
5	surprisingly detailed knowledge that he did have. Correct?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay.
8	Just on this last issue of mental
9	reservation, I want to just take a quick look at and I
10	think I'm proud to announce the last Stone document. It
11	wasn't entered. It's the Document 120345. It comes from
12	our Rule 38 notice; 120345. It's a March 25 th , 1959 letter.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2155 is a letter
14	dated March 25 th , 1959 to Monseigneur Brodeur from Reverend
15	Frank Setzer.
16	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2155:
17	(120345) Letter to Msgr. Brodeur from Rev.
18	Frank Setzer, dated 25 Mar 59
19	MR. TALACH: You have that in front of you,
20	Bishop?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I do.
22	MR. TALACH: Now, you indicated earlier that
23	it was the practice of Bishop Brodeur at that time to put a
24	note or reply at the bottom of the letter.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. TALACH: And this is again one of these
2	letters requesting a renewal of the indult with the Holy
3	See. That's correct?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
5	MR. TALACH: And at the bottom he puts just
6	a little comment about Father Stone, and he notes, "He is
7	doing well." You see that comment?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
9	MR. TALACH: This is in 1959. We reviewed
10	the letters coming across the border in late '57 and '58
11	about his visits back and forth, and the concerns of the
12	Diocese at Ogdensburg. Correct?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
14	MR. TALACH: I guess if this letter is in
15	well, I guess that's all we can take from the letter, but
16	by '59 there's some indication from the Bishop that Stone
17	is doing well.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what it says, yes.
19	MR. TALACH: My conclusion from this letter,
20	and I want to know if you agree with it, is it provides
21	very limited again very limited information on what's
22	actually going on with Stone. Correct?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It appears so, yes.
24	MR. TALACH: Okay. Let's turn to a new
25	issue and a new priest.

1	Mr. Commissioner, I believe there was some
2	issue about the name of this priest at the time of Mr.
3	Lee's list, and we did not at that time have a document to
4	show that he had been public before or that he had been
5	charged, and I have at least a newspaper article to do that
6	in this
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know who you're
8	talking about.
9	MR. TALACH: Sorry, I'm just concerned about
10	
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Is there an order of
12	confidentiality with respect to this priest?
13	MR. TALACH: With respect to the list, I
14	believe when you're asking about him you have to provide
15	his name on paper, so I would believe yes.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know who it is.
17	MR. TALACH: Mr. Lee tells me that it's
18	Document C-1856, which was the list, if you recall, for
19	many of the Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall witnesses. Mr.
20	Lee in his cross-examination has put a list of names as a
21	compromise
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
23	MR. TALACH: with respect to
24	confidentiality.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

1	MR. TALACH: I have a document in our
2	Supplementary Notice, which is a publicly-issued summary of
3	a newspaper article from the Toronto Star that addresses
4	this priest.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: So what you want to do is
6	have me revisit whether or not we can use that name
7	publicly? Is that what you're saying?
8	MR. TALACH: Yes, because part of my
9	question is did he become aware of the public nature. I
10	guess we could go through it calling him Priest X to
11	continue the process.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, first of all, I
13	guess on C-1856 who are we talking about?
14	MR. TALACH: Do you have a number?
15	If it comes up on the counsel screen I can
16	point it out by
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Put it up on the counsel
18	screen, please.
19	MR. TALACH: by number on that list. I
20	can inform you the individual is deceased.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: You might want to get the
22	hard copy from Mr. Lee, or get the number so we can
23	MR. TALACH: I think I do. It is Number 5
24	and I can actually hand this hard copy over.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: I have the hard copy.

1	MR. TALACH: Okay. It's Number 5.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Help me out here,
3	gentlemen; Mr. Sherriff-Scott and Mr. Engelmann. We argued
4	this, did we not, whether or not these people should be on
5	their names should be mentioned? Mr. Scott, can you
6	help me out?
7	MR. TALACH: I guess the new issue, Mr.
8	Commissioner, is I'm going to put documents to him that
9	will have this person's name in it; a variety of both a
10	media document as well as documents from the Diocese' own
11	files.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I don't think I was
13	present. I believe there were two lists. One was a longer
14	list. Perhaps Mr. Lee could speak to it, or Mr. Sherriff-
15	Scott.
16	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: As I understood the
17	issue at the time, the question was whether the individual
18	was there was a public allegation of proceedings about
19	him, and my friend didn't have anything to offer to verify
20	that. I understand he's provided a <u>Toronto Star</u> article in
21	connection with that issue which he now proposed to put
22	into the record.
23	I'm just reading it for the first time but
24	it appears to mention that individual's name. I'm not sure
25	if it's the same individual but and I don't know if the

1	witness can help him. It may well be, and if it I mean,
2	if you're satisfied it's the same individual then I won't
3	have any objection to maintaining the confidence on the
4	issue.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: You won't have any
6	objection of having his name put on public
7	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I think what should be
8	clarified with the witness is whether it's the same person.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Correct. Well, let's do
10	that step then.
11	So what are you showing me now?
12	MR. TALACH: It is a document in our
13	Supplementary Notice, Document 200298, and for now it
14	should obviously not go on the public screen until we've
15	determined this issue. Two zero zero two nine eight
16	(200298).
17	THE COMMISSIONER: So you might want to put
18	
19	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
20	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'm satisfied. My
21	friend and I have had a brief chat. I'm satisfied you can
22	withdraw the confidentiality.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, so go ahead.
24	Exhibit 2156 is a document called ProQuest, which shows an
25	article of the Toronto Star, the first one in any event, on

I	February 11 th , 1990.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2156:
3	(200298) ProQuest document showing Toronto
4	Star article, dated 11 Feb 90
5	MR. TALACH: Bishop, do you have that
6	newspaper article in front of you?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I've just been given it,
8	yes.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay, and I will take you
10	through it unless you feel more comfortable in reading it
11	in its entirety now, but I'm not going to be going through
12	it in great depth.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would like to read the
14	whole thing since I've never seen it before.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay.
16	And Mr. Commissioner, if it's acceptable
17	with you I have another three supplementary exhibits.
18	Should we use that time to put these in now?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Just by way of explanation,
22	sir, these would have been documents we, the Commission,
23	would have received very recently and therefore the witness
24	would not have had an opportunity to look at them; nor
25	would counsel until very recently.

1	MR. TALACH: So there's three more documents
2	which all originate from the Diocese of Alexandria's files,
3	and the first one has been given the Document Number 120495
4	and that's a letter of 14^{th} September, 1956.
5	The next and while the Bishop is reading
6	that I will just proceed to enter these or request that
7	they go before the Commission. The second one is assigned
8	Document Number 120496; 120496. It's a two-page
9	handwritten note of, I believe, 20 th of May, 1957.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, so Exhibit
11	Number 2157 is a letter to Monseigneur Douville from
12	l'Évêque d'Alexandria; 14 th of September, 1956.
13	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2157:
14	(120495) Letter to Msgr. Douville from
15	l'Évêque d'Alexandria, dated 14 Sep 56
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2158 will be a
17	letter from to Monseigneur Brodeur from it says
18	Meunier, L. Meunier, right.
19	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2158:
20	(120496) Letter to Msgr. Brodeur from L.
21	Meunier
22	THE COMMISSIONER: And Exhibit Number 2159
23	is a letter dated 29 th of May 1957, addressed to Msgr. Léo
24	Blais from l'Évêque d'Alexandria.
25	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2159:

1	Letter to Msgr. Léo Blais from l'Évêque
2	d'Alexandria, dated 29 May 57
3	MR. TALACH: And I think it would assist if
4	the witness had all of them there handy because I will jump
5	back and forth between the newspaper article and one of the
6	letters.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well, give me a
8	moment now.
9	MR. TALACH: And I can assure everyone I
10	will not be long on these because they're in French and my
11	French language skills are lacking.
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	MR. TALACH: Bishop, what I'm going to do is
14	I'm going to just make some comparisons between the first
15	exhibit, the newspaper article, which is 2156, and the last
16	of those exhibits which is the letter of $29^{\rm th}$ May '57.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
18	MR. TALACH: And really the purpose here is
19	to make sure we aren't confusing the person in the
20	newspaper article with the person in the Diocese documents.
21	And so to start with, in the newspaper
22	article, you see in the very first sentence, it says:
23	"The known trail begins in 1951, Luc
24	Meunier, a priest ordained in"
25	Is it Giselle, Quebec in 1939?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. TALACH: And if you are then, I'm going
3	to pause there, flip back to the letter of $29^{\rm th}$ of May.
4	This first paragraph gives some biographical information on
5	the Father Meunier we're dealing with there and would you
6	agree you see that in notes he is ordained in 1939 in the
7	Diocese of Saint Hyacinthe?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. TALACH: And are you aware that Giselle,
10	Quebec is in the Diocese of Saint Hyacinthe?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm not aware of that but I
12	would have.
13	MR. TALACH: Okay. But the Diocese of Saint
14	Hyacinthe is in Quebec?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it's on the south
16	shore of the St. Lawrence.
17	MR. TALACH: And if you have the newspaper
18	article in front of you
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Bishop Brodeur was a
20	graduate of the seminary in Saint Hyacinthe.
21	MR. TALACH: So, if we go down the newspaper
22	article, you'll see about the top third, there is a word
23	"note" that divides the top third from the bottom third
24	or the top third from the bottom two thirds. And then if
25	you come down, one, two, three, four paragraphs from there,
43	you come down, one, two, three, rour paragraphs from there,

1	there is a sentence:
2	"The events in his note referred to happened
3	in the early 1960s when Reverend Luc Meunier
4	was parish priest in St. Charles Church in
5	Marsden."
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. TALACH: You are aware that Marsden,
8	Saskatchewan is in the Diocese of Prince Albert?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I didn't know.
10	MR. TALACH: Okay. Are you aware that
11	Marsden is in Saskatchewan?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know that part of
13	the country very well.
14	MR. TALACH: Okay. Well, if you come down
15	two more paragraphs, it notes about the RCMP estimates his
16	individual assaults number into the thousands and stretch
17	from New Liskeard, Ontario to Marsden, Saskatchewan.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
19	MR. TALACH: And then it goes on to some
20	other locations. And you'd see that the letter of $29^{\rm th}$ May
21	is addressed to the then Bishop of Prince Albert,
22	Saskatchewan; correct?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
24	MR. TALACH: Okay. And in that first
25	going back to the newspaper article, it made reference in

1	that last bit I read to your about New Liskeard, Ontario;
2	are you aware that that's in the Diocese of Timmins?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It is, yes.
4	MR. TALACH: Okay. And if we go back to the
5	letter of 29^{th} May, you'll see in that first paragraph
6	there's reference that some time prior to '57, he was
7	ministering in the Diocese of Timmins.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it says he exercised
9	most of his ministry there.
10	MR. TALACH: Okay.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Almost all of it.
12	MR. TALACH: And if you return to the
13	newspaper article, you'll see near almost the bottom
14	you'll see a sub-heading titled "Boy abused."
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
16	MR. TALACH: And that sentence says:
17	"The known trail in 1951, Meunier, a
18	priest ordained in Giselle, Quebec in
19	1939 was posted to northern Ontario
20	town of New Liskeard."
21	And then it goes to indicate that the altar
22	boy said he was abused from '51 to '55. So we know that
23	Meunier was there at least for those years; correct?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
25	MR. TALACH: Would you agree with me that

1	comparison we just did that is the same Lucien Meunier in
2	these documents that's in the newspaper articles or it
3	likely appears so?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, yes.
5	MR. TALACH: Okay. And when you say "Oh,
6	yes" do you have any background on this priest or is this
7	the first
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think he was on one of
11	the lists at the OPP.
12	MR. TALACH: Okay. Okay, so that helps.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But I have no personal
14	knowledge of it.
15	MR. TALACH: I thought you were going to
16	tell me I did a good job of that comparison but I'll wait
17	for my kudos later.
18	So, if we return to the first document which
19	is Exhibit 2157, this is a letter of $14^{\rm th}$ September 1956.
20	And I take it you had time to read all these in the break.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I did, yes.
22	MR. TALACH: I'm just going to ask you about
23	this letter in general is sort of a
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This letter of '56?
25	MR. TALACH: Yes, 14 September '56.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right, okay.
2	MR. TALACH: Would you agree with me it's
3	Bishop Brodeur writing the Bishop of the Diocese of which
4	Meunier belongs to sort of seeking permission to have him
5	in his jurisdiction; is that fair?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he is writing before
7	he gives jurisdiction to this priest to work in his
8	Diocese.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay. And in the centre
10	paragraph, he sort of, I take it, he puts out the
11	conditions in which he'd be happy to have this person or
12	_
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
14	MR. TALACH: And he there's a comment
15	there about something as long as there is no incidents,
16	that's my rough English translation, or without incidents.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Any "facheux"
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Any negative incidents.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose, yeah. Doesn't
20	necessarily mean sexual or
21	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no, no.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That could be any kind of
23	incidents.
24	MR. TALACH: And Bishop, we're with you on
25	that, you don't have

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I think he's being just
2	a little facetious when it says "or desire to immigrate,"
3	he is talking a fellow from Quebec coming to Ontario, you
4	see.
5	MR. TALACH: And knowing Bishop Brodeur and
6	you'd made comment about the likely way he would have
7	operated as Bishop before, does that reference to without
8	any negative incidents, does it mean anything to you
9	knowing the way he operated? Does that tell us something
10	about his concerns about this priest?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't interpret his mind.
12	I don't know how
13	MR. TALACH: That's fair. Turning to the
14	next page which is handwritten, the next exhibit, 2158,
15	it's two pages handwritten on the parish bulletin or the
16	parish letterhead of St. Jean Bosco. I believe it is dated
17	20^{th} of May '57 and I believe it's signed by Father Meunier
18	himself.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
20	MR. TALACH: I just want to look at that
21	first paragraph on page 1 and the last, I think that's one
22	sentence, but that sentence:
23	"I take it that he's saying I have
24	carried out your orders or I've
25	executed your orders without delay."

1	Is that accurate?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
3	MR. TALACH: Now, is the use of that verb
4	"ordre", is that unusual to you or is that the type of
5	language that's used. I know in the military everything is
6	an order, but
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it's not exactly an
8	order, it's a command.
9	MR. TALACH: A command?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Your request, your command.
11	I've executed, I've done what you asked me to do. The
12	pastor in other words, the pastor relayed to me your
13	message and I have executed that message as you requested.
14	MR. TALACH: And on page the bottom of
15	that page and the beginning of the next page, it appears
16	he's looking for an introduction to the Bishop of Prince
17	Albert, which had been mentioned in a meeting?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know about the
19	meeting, but
20	MR. TALACH: Or sometime in the past?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It says:
22	"May I once again hope that you will
23	present me to His Excellency, a Bishop
24	Blais of Prince Albert, just as you had
25	proposed it to me on one of the days of

1	recollection last fall."
2	MR. TALACH: Would you agree with me it
3	sounds here that he's being he's leaving the diocese?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It would seem so, yes.
5	MR. TALACH: Okay. And it has some
6	though we can't read into it more, it has some relation to
7	carrying to some command that the bishop has given him
8	to carry out with due haste?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really don't I don't
10	make that connection, but
11	MR. TALACH: Okay.
12	Now, the last document, which we already
13	referred to to a degree, is Document 2159, and that's an
14	introductory letter a form to the Bishop of Prince
15	Albert, 29 th of May, 1957?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
17	MR. TALACH: And it's fair to say that first
18	paragraph, it's a rather glowing review of Father Meunier?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, he says that Bishop
20	Rhéaume of Timmins seemed to appreciate him very much.
21	"He's been here for a year as an
22	assistant in Cornwall. He has shown
23	himself to be a good priest. He has
24	given proof of piety and of goodwill.
25	He preaches well and speaks the two

102210 111	32211
AUDIENCE	PUBLIQUE

1	languages perfectly. His health is
2	good and he has his own personal funds
3	from his family."
4	MR. TALACH: So he sounds like a pretty good
5	priest, bilingual, no problems; that's fair?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what I read.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay, but in the second
8	paragraph, he essentially says I can't keep him because I
9	don't have a spot for him. Is that a decent summary? That
10	it would be unfair to other priests here?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what he says, yes.
12	MR. TALACH: This letter is 1957, May 1957?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
14	MR. TALACH: Do you recall in the Stone
15	letter of the following year that we've reviewed not too
16	long ago, Bishop Brodeur saying he had a pressing, pressing
17	need for priests?
18	THE COMMISSIONER: In his letter to the
19	bishop in the United States.
20	MR. TALACH: Ogdensburg.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Ogdensburg.
22	MR. TALACH: Ogdensburg, yes.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe I remember that,
24	yes. Yes.
25	MR. TALACH: So over that year time period,

1	I guess one option is that the situation with respect to
2	priests in the diocese had changed drastically?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, the death of one
4	priest would bring that about I would imagine, but I really
5	can't comment, I don't know.
6	MR. TALACH: I am going to suggest that
7	knowing what we do from his later history, as in the
8	newspaper article, that Bishop Brodeur ordered him out of
9	the diocese because he was abusing here. Do you have any
10	information to support that?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no information in
12	that regard at all.
13	MR. TALACH: Are you aware though that
14	Réjean Vivarais of this Diocese has alleged abuse during
15	that time period when Father Meunier was here?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have learned of that,
17	yes.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay. I have a small area I
19	can keep going, okay.
20	Let's talk about priests that transfer in
21	and come from other locations. We know Stone came from a
22	number of prior postings. Correct, Bishop?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
24	MR. TALACH: He was a Montfort Father and
25	then he worked in the Diocese of Ogdensburg and then he

1	came over nere. Is that a fair summary?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
3	MR. TALACH: Okay. And as a priest of the
4	Montfort Fathers, his C.V. had indicated he had been from
5	B.C. to Illinois, all over the place; right?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's of the nature of a
7	religious though.
8	MR. TALACH: Okay, but you would agree his
9	transfers from Ogdensburg to Cornwall and out of Cornwall
10	were related to his trouble with children?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Obviously, yes.
12	MR. TALACH: And would you agree that
13	priests, diocesan priests, are typically assigned to a
14	parish for a particular duration of time?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They are now. It was not
16	always the case.
17	MR. TALACH: But there try to be some method
18	to the madness in transfers
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can remember one priest
20	in Windsor who was there for 50 years as pastor, you know.
21	So that's why I say it's the policy now is the
22	appointment is for six years renewable for another six
23	years, which is usually what they try to do in most
24	dioceses.
25	MR. TALACH: But you would agree there's

1	exceptions, but there would be some attempt to be
2	systematic in the moving of priests around a diocese?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Usually what happens,
4	especially in a small diocese, is that when you move one,
5	the snowball effect; you have to move others in order to
6	make a rotation.
7	MR. TALACH: So you therefore have to make
8	all those moves at the same time?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And in a bilingual diocese,
10	it becomes even more complicated because you can send some
11	priests only to certain parishes; others only to other
12	parishes.
13	MR. TALACH: The question here is, when you
14	get a priest from an outside diocese, do you ever look at
15	his C.V. and assess how much he's been moved and how he's
16	been moved? Is that a test or a thing you put your mind to
17	assess them; whether there's flags in that, red flags that
18	appear?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose they would
20	do that more now than they did in the past, but
21	MR. TALACH: Now, Father Deslauriers also
22	came into the Diocese from other places.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was not a priest. He
24	was a brother of a Jesuit Brother who taught in Sudbury.
25	MR. TALACH: In Sudbury.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And became secretary to
2	Bishop Proulx while Bishop Proulx was Auxiliary Bishop in
3	Sudbury.
4	MR. TALACH: And prior to that, were you
5	aware he was a Jesuit Brother in Montreal at some point?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I didn't know that, no.
7	MR. TALACH: Did you know he was in Haiti
8	for a while too?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He said that in a
10	conversation that he had that he had been in Haiti, yes.
11	MR. TALACH: Okay. Fair to say he was
12	relatively mobile compared to a diocesan priest?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, as a Jesuit, he would
14	go where his superiors tell him to go.
15	MR. TALACH: Lussier, Father Lussier, who
16	we've referred to before, he was also not from this Diocese
17	originally?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
19	MR. TALACH: He was born in the U.S. and did
20	some studies in a number of seminaries?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay. And he eventually was
23	ordained here?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was ordained by Bishop
25	Brodeur here, yes.

1	MR. TALACH: Father Don Scott also again
2	didn't originate in this Diocese; came in from somewhere
3	else?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: From Ohio or some place I
5	believe, yes.
6	MR. TALACH: And he was very mobile in his
7	assignments. I think you used the term "a butterfly"?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay.
10	And we just reviewed Father Meunier and, at
11	least from the newspaper article, he was really on the
12	move?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, on the go.
14	MR. TALACH: So I'm going to suggest to you
15	that some of these mobile priests are mobile because they
16	are keeping ahead of their troubles. Is that something
17	that you would accept as a not all but some?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It could be one of the
19	reasons for some of them, yes.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay. And sometimes that
21	trouble could be sexual misconduct?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I would imagine.
23	MR. TALACH: And I would think that as
24	Bishop, the last thing you would want to do is be able to
25	facilitate them moving again to avoid their trouble;

1	correct?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
3	MR. TALACH: That would go to the
4	institutional response in your own backyard of how you deal
5	with these people?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And to the church as a
7	whole also.
8	MR. TALACH: And the church as a whole.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
10	MR. TALACH: They can't be shuffled around;
11	you'd agree that's part of the problem?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It has been part of the
13	problem, yes.
14	MR. TALACH: Would you agree with me that
15	wouldn't be a problem if there was full disclosure when
16	they went to a new location?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It would minimize it, yes.
18	MR. TALACH: Because the new bishop would
19	_
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because you would have some
21	bishops like Bishop Brodeur who would want to give a person
22	a chance.
23	MR. TALACH: Right.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And myself, exactly with
25	Stone. But I would say it would minimize it, yes.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Time for a break.
2	Prendre la pause maintenant.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Merci.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Merci.
5	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
6	veuillez vous lever.
7	This hearing will resume at 3:15.
8	Upon recessing at 3:01 p.m./
9	L'audience est suspendue à 15h01.
10	Upon resuming at 3:18 p.m./
11	L'audience est reprise à 15h18.
12	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed.
13	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
14	MONSIGNOR EUGENE LAROCQUE: Resumed/Sous le même serment:
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
16	TALACH (cont'd/suite):
17	MR. TALACH: Bishop, if I'm moving through
18	different subject areas here a little too fast let me know.
19	I'm going to turn now to a priest by the
20	name of Father Gaetan Deschamps.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
22	MR. TALACH: And he is a priest who was
23	incarnated to the Diocese of Prince Albert, Saskatchewan?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct. Yes.
25	MR. TALACH: He is originally from Cornwall

1	though?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. TALACH: Okay. And he came here as
4	priest from Saskatchewan while you were Bishop?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct. Yes.
6	MR. TALACH: And can you tell us about the
7	circumstances of him coming here?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm not quite sure. That's
9	I'd have to renew my memory, but I'm not quite sure how
10	to answer that question. Yes.
11	MR. TALACH: Did he come here from
12	Saskatchewan direct or did he come here from some facility
13	in Ontario?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: As in Southdown, you
15	mean?
16	MR. TALACH: Yeah, I'm going to go to a
17	document to suggest that he was there, Mr. Commissioner.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, well
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall so I
20	would
21	MR. TALACH: I don't have any timing on that
22	Bishop. Why don't we I guess the next question is while
23	you were Bishop did you have any allegations with respect
24	to him?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: None whatsoever. No.

1	MR. TALACH: Okay. I have a supplementary
2	notice document 711925. They are CAS notes of, I believe,
3	Richard Abell.
4	Again, for counsel that's a supplementary
5	notice, Rule 38, the Document number 711925, handwritten
6	notes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2160.
8	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE No. P-2160:
9	(711925) Handwritten notes of Richard Abell
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we put that on the
11	screen, Madame Clerk, and blow it up so that we can see if
12	we can read this.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Hard to read.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: It will if you look or
15	the screen, Monsignor.
16	Watch some more. It might even get
17	better. We'll magnify it some more. There we go. Now
18	bring it to the top. Okay.
19	MR. TALACH: It appears to be cut off all
20	the copies that I've looked at, Mr. Commissioner.
21	Bishop, this is a there's reference in
22	this document to a Father Gates. I take it that's
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's that was the way
24	he was called. Yes.
25	MR. TALACH: Okay.

1	So Father Gates and Father Deschamps are the
2	same person.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Father Gaetan Deschamps and
4	he was called Father Gates. Yeah.
5	MR. TALACH: And I'll just if you look
6	here there's a note that we'll we've lost the top but
7	something
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Is there a date on this, do
9	you know?
10	MR. TALACH: No, we don't have a timeframe
11	for this. It says, I think it says:
12	"Uncle, she spoke to me regarding
13	Father Gates, earlier, Father Gates"
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Bought a cottage", yeah.
15	MR. TALACH: " bought a cottage this
16	Summer; been taking boys up there"
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Sons of a cousin".
18	MR. TALACH: " sons of a cousin. Father
19	Gates hangs out with her sister Carol.
20	He buys gifts for her
21	boys."
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Lynn.
23	MR. TALACH: "Lynn spoke to Carol about her
24	concerns; alerted her. She's
25	concerned."

1	And then it says: "He's been to Southdown"
2	and then there's a question mark, I think of what he was
3	there for.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, it's a question mark.
5	"Is Southdown only for pedophiles?"
6	MR. TALACH: Okay, so
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Pedophiles.
8	MR. TALACH: Do you know anything about
9	Father Gates going to Southdown?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall. No. I
11	certainly didn't send him there.
12	MR. TALACH: And when he came here, it was
13	in the nineties; correct?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, at the
15	beginning of the nineties. Yes.
16	MR. TALACH: And would you have done some
17	sort of assessment on his background as you had done with
18	Stone and others, before you allowed them into the Diocese?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe I wrote to the
20	Bishop of Prince Albert, yes. But I that would be in
21	his file if I wrote to him, to the Bishop.
22	MR. TALACH: Well, we don't have his file.
23	So,
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I see, well I
25	MR. TALACH: You don't recall any background

1	information on him when he came here?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not in that regard. No.
3	MR. TALACH: And of course our focus here is
4	background information with respect to potential sexual
5	misconduct.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. Yes.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay. Now there is the next
8	reference is that they've spoken to an OPP officer and then
9	it says:
10	"Bishop told Father Gates not to have
11	boys up there (cottage)."
12	This came from a cousin of think it's
13	Lynn again
14	Do you remember telling Father Gates not to
15	take boys to his cottage?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't even remember
17	Father Gates having a cottage, to tell you the truth.
18	If you have a date; because Father Gates was
19	still an active priest when I left.
20	MR. TALACH: He came here when you were here
21	and he was still a priest when you left in 2002.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was still an active
23	priest. Yes.
24	MR. TALACH: Well, I can tell you that I
25	don't have any belief that this document is later than

1	2002.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Is that right? Okay.
3	MR. TALACH: Okay. And maybe what we'll do
4	is we'll try and clear that up at some point, likely when
5	we get
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, if she says that. I
7	mean I have no recollection to tell you the truth.
8	MR. TALACH: Did
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't even have a
10	recollection that he had a cottage to tell you the truth.
11	MR. TALACH: Do you have any recollection
12	about him? Did you know he was an avid camper?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, all that I remember is
14	that he was a terrifically hard worker and worked mostly in
15	marriage preparation and with children who had been who
16	needed encouragement and I forget what he called them, the
17	doves.
18	MR. TALACH: Sorry?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The doves or something like
20	that. There was
21	MR. TALACH: So it was a group for children
22	that for some reason needed
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: With some adults helping
24	him, for children who needed some kind of encouragement and
25	but I never received any any negative comments with

1	regard to but he was a very, very hard worker that I can
2	vouch for but
3	MR. TALACH: But the doves is the group that
4	you referred to?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It seems to me that that
6	was the name that was given to me. There were three or
7	four groups that he that he was involved in with lay
8	people.
9	MR. TALACH: And is this developmentally
10	delayed children or is this a confidence building sort of
11	core program?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall exactly what
13	it was. I'm sorry.
14	MR. TALACH: But I take it we're at the
15	extent of your information about Father Gates?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I knew him and he was a
17	pastor in Blessed Sacrament I think when I left.
18	MR. TALACH: Yeah, I believe that you
19	appointed him in 1991 to Blessed Sacrament; does that sound
20	right or sorry, 2001 when you left, just before you
21	left?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
23	MR. TALACH: So there was no restrictions
24	you placed on his ministry when he came here?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: None whatsoever; I had no

1	reason to.
2	MR. TALACH: Okay. And have you learned of
3	any allegations against him to date?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It seems to me that the
5	present Bishop did share something with me on that score,
6	yes.
7	MR. TALACH: That there was some complaint
8	in Prince Albert.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, yes. Yeah. I believe
10	that was it. Yeah.
11	MR. TALACH: Prince Albert and Cornwall, I
12	just want to examine that just momentarily because there
13	seems to be some interaction between priest is this a
14	sister diocese or something, is there some official
15	connection?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. Not that I know
17	certainly not in my time anyway.
18	MR. TALACH: Do you remember the individual
19	Richard Hickerson?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
21	MR. TALACH: He came from Prince Albert as
22	- as a priest of there, as a laicise or some form of
23	retirement and he came here to Cornwall. Does that ring a
24	bell? Did you have any interaction with his arrival here?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge. When

1	did he arrive?
2	MR. TALACH: I don't have that specific
3	date. But if you have no recall of any interaction
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The name doesn't mean
5	anything to me at the present time. No.
6	MR. TALACH: Okay. And I did I wasn't
7	clear on that the interaction between Prince Albert and
8	Cornwall, is there some relation between the Bishops or
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I believe Bishop
10	Brodeur would have known Bishop Morin, and I know Bishop
11	Morin because he was a his family was in Tecumseh when I
12	was Pastor there. There are six brothers who are priests
13	and one of them is a bishop.
14	MR. TALACH: So at the time you're Bishop
15	here, Bishop Morin, who grew up in Tecumseh
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
17	MR. TALACH: is the Bishop in Prince
18	Albert.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
20	MR. TALACH: And did the two of you have any
21	occasion to talk about, "You need a priest, I'll give you
22	one," or, "I've got this priest coming your way," or was
23	there any interaction like that?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, but when we would meet
25	at the Bishops' meetings we would talk about Father Gates,

1	and never did anything surface in our conversation with
2	regard to sexual matters.
3	MR. TALACH: And it sounds like you knew
4	Bishop Morin enough that you could pick up the phone when
5	Gates wanted to come back to Cornwall and say, "What's the
6	story on this guy?"
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I could have done that,
8	yes, I suppose.
9	MR. TALACH: You don't have any memory of
10	that though?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't.
12	MR. TALACH: Okay, let's turn to Father
13	Deslauriers now. When you took over as Bishop, the notes
14	that you had on this Diocese, I take it there was no
15	mention, either negative or positive, about Father
16	Deslauriers?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my recollection.
18	MR. TALACH: Would you agree he had somewhat
19	of an accelerated career when under Bishop Proulx here in
20	the Diocese?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, but that's common for
22	people who come into the priesthood at an older age
23	sometimes.
24	MR. TALACH: I got a smile out of you on
25	that comment about an accelerated career. Is there more to

1	that?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, just that he was a
3	friend of Bishop Proulx's and everybody knew it.
4	MR. TALACH: He had been brought here as a
5	brother by Bishop Proulx and ordained here.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay, and he had
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Prepared ahead of time. He
9	was sent for two years, I believe, of theology and then a
10	third year after his first year of ordination.
11	MR. TALACH: And were you aware he had sort
12	of a unique set up; that he went to the Dominican Seminary
13	instead of St. Paul's like everyone else?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it's not unique, and
15	I sent one of my students to the Dominicans. He's now a
16	Bishop in Alberta, so it's unusual but not unique.
17	MR. TALACH: Okay. And were you aware he
18	I take it a normal seminarian, when he's attending
19	seminary, doesn't get a pay cheque, does he?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not usually, no.
21	MR. TALACH: Are you aware that Father
22	Deslauriers did get a pay cheque from Bishop Proulx when he
23	was going through seminary?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I was not aware of
25	that.

1	MR. TALACH: Okay. Did you learn when you
2	took over I take it you get a flavour for the Diocese
3	and the morale of the priests. Is that fair?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
5	MR. TALACH: And did you discover there was
6	any animosity amongst the other priests with respect to
7	Father Deslauriers?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, there was.
9	MR. TALACH: And what was your conclusion on
10	that; that it was founded or it was simply jealousy?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't know what to think
12	really at the time because the comments I got, just from a
13	few of the priests, was that he had the ear of the Bishop
14	and nobody else could unless they went through him,
15	could get to the Bishop effectively.
16	MR. TALACH: Now, moving forward in the time
17	line, at some point there's a request that comes in
18	specifically for Father Deslauriers to work at La
19	Citadelle. Correct?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct, from the
21	principal of La Citadelle.
22	MR. TALACH: And that principal was Jeannine
23	Seguin?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Jeannine Seguin, yes.
25	MR. TALACH: And she lived with Bishop

1	Proulx's sister?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Sister, that's correct.
3	MR. TALACH: So when you got that request
4	did you see that as a request really coming originating
5	from Bishop Proulx for on Deslauriers' behalf?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, I don't think Bishop
7	Proulx would have been involved, no, but it could have been
8	Father Deslauriers working through his friend's sister.
9	MR. TALACH: Right, his familiarity with
10	Proulx.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But I don't know. That's -
12	
13	MR. TALACH: That's just but that thought
14	did cross your mind?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe it did, no.
16	MR. TALACH: Now and again this is where
17	I'm warning you I'll be jumping around a bit, Claude
18	Thibault
19	THE COMMISSIONER: You wanted to add
20	something, Monseigneur?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it's just that he was
22	already chaplain at the high school in Alexandria and doing
23	a good job there, and I would imagine that would have had
24	some influence in them asking for him for La Citadelle.
25	MR. TALACH: But the set-up at La Citadelle

1	was totally a new concept, right?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
3	MR. TALACH: He was going to be working
4	there and that would be his primary function.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Full-time, yes.
6	MR. TALACH: Full-time, okay.
7	Just a moment, Mr. Commissioner.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
9	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
10	MR. TALACH: One of your priests, either as
11	a young priest or as well, I take it to be a young
12	priest due the time, in 1983 approached you. Claude
13	Thibault approached you about Deslauriers?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
15	MR. TALACH: And I believe your evidence
16	earlier was that there was no talk of sexual abuse; it was
17	talk of kind of manipulation.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Domination and
19	manipulation.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay. And you were confident
21	in your evidence that there was no talk of sexual abuse
22	about that conversation of 25 years ago, correct?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Is it already 25 years?
24	MR. TALACH: Time flies when you're having
25	fun.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm quite sure of it.
2	MR. TALACH: Okay.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would have certainly
4	acted if I'd known.
5	MR. TALACH: And you're aware that Father
6	Claude Thibault has testified to the exact same thing; that
7	there was no talk of the sexual abuse?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In spite of the letter that
9	Mrs. Brisson wrote, and she corrected that afterwards but
10	that went all the way to Rome.
11	MR. TALACH: You understood that Lise
12	Brisson had an understanding, or at least had thought that
13	Father Thibault had mentioned it to her that he had in that
14	meeting talked about sexual
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, there was a mis
16	and she acknowledged that, to her credit, yes.
17	MR. TALACH: You would agree that if you did
18	find out about information in that meeting, if everybody's
19	memories are wrong, you would have acted?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I certainly would have
21	acted and I wouldn't have let him be a chaplain in a place
22	where he'd be meeting young people, that's for sure.
23	MR. TALACH: But in Stone, when you got the
24	first complaint from the sister at St. Joseph's Villa
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. TALACH: you then talked to Father
2	Stone. Correct?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
4	MR. TALACH: And he denied everything?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
6	MR. TALACH: And then I took it that he was
7	going to continue in the villa and the Sister got back to
8	you, upset about that.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. I talked to the
10	Sister, asking her to give me
11	MR. TALACH: More information.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: more information.
13	MR. TALACH: Okay. So I believe the time
14	line that Ms. Brisson
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And she was a little
16	insulted by that.
17	MR. TALACH: Because she took it that you
18	weren't believing her and her
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, that I needed more to
20	convince me that there was something going on.
21	MR. TALACH: Is it fair to say in this
22	evolution of your belief, or evolution of how you handle
23	these matters, closer to the beginning when you first
24	started dealing with them, with Stone and Deslauriers, you
25	tended to take your priests' word for it when they told you

1	that when they denied it?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not with Stone. With
3	Deslauriers, yes, because of the domination that he had
4	over me and the confidence that I'd placed in him.
5	MR. TALACH: But earlier with Stone when he
6	said, "I'm right, I'm back on the right track," and he
7	wanted a chance, you took his word for that at that time.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But I did not take his word
9	when the Sister told me and he denied it. I asked for more
10	information.
11	MR. TALACH: And you got lots of
12	information.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: On the same day
14	practically.
15	MR. TALACH: So I believe Ms. Lise Brisson
16	believes that Father Thibault and, to be clear, he has
17	corrected that here told her that it was two or three
18	years before everything came out with
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That he was still a
20	seminarian at the time.
21	MR. TALACH: Right, okay. So you don't have
22	any activity or any or aware of any investigation or
23	anything that went on at that time because you had no
24	reason to do it. Correct?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.

1	MR. TALACH: Okay.
2	Now, on another matter of an earlier
3	reporting or an allegation of an earlier reporting I'm
4	going to go to Exhibit 72, which is the very large Ad Hoc
5	Committee documents.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: You're still on Father
7	Deslauriers there?
8	MR. TALACH: Yes, I am.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So Exhibit 72?
10	MR. TALACH: Yes, and it's Bates page 086 it
11	will be on.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll get that.
13	While we're waiting, did you ever get any
14	instructions from Rome on how to deal with the Father
15	Deslauriers complaint from Madame Brisson?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Nothing, no.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Nothing? They didn't
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: An acknowledgment that it
19	had been sent.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: So is that the policy,
21	that you take care of those things internally well, not
22	internally but I mean on a local basis?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. I would say that is
24	the policy, yes, but the Bishop is responsible for and -
25	- yeah.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: And? I'm sorry, okay.
2	What exhibit were we going to? Sixty
3	MR. TALACH: Seventy-two (72). Exhibit 72,
4	Bates page 086 and it's the second paragraph.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Could I have the page
6	again?
7	MR. TALACH: If you look on the top left
8	corner
9	THE COMMISSIONER: He knows all about that.
10	MR. TALACH: Okay.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Zero eight six (086).
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Zero eight six (086), okay.
13	MR. TALACH: Actually, if you can bring it
14	down on the screen, it's that paragraph that's cut off.
15	Okay, that's good.
16	So it's that first large paragraph after the
17	question.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right, right.
19	MR. TALACH: And this is with respect to
20	some information, but I take it the source says had been
21	Father Bisaillon.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Bisaillon, yes.
23	MR. TALACH: And it indicates that three or
24	four years ago, he had been approached by Monsignor Aimé
25	Leduc and posed the question of whether in a very

1	discreet manner, posed the question of whether he had ever
2	heard about Father Deslauriers and young people.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: More than that; having
4	sexual contact with young people.
5	MR. TALACH: Sexual contact, sorry. Do you
6	see that there?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I see it.
8	MR. TALACH: Now of course, the response was
9	no, and it looks like Monsignor Leduc did not raise that
10	again.
11	Monsignor Leduc was deceased by the time of
12	the Ad Hoc Committee; is that fair?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he was.
14	MR. TALACH: Did he ever approach you in
15	relation to his suspicions that he had gone to Father
16	Bisaillon?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, he did not.
18	MR. TALACH: No, he did not. And I take it
19	you never heard of any of his suspicions prior to the
20	events of
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not until this was
22	reported.
23	MR. TALACH: Okay. And then if we go up to
24	Bates page 094 on the same exhibit, Exhibit 72.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. TALACH: You will see the reference to
2	the name Paquette in the paragraph.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Could you be careful with
4	the names please, sir, when we're dealing with this
5	exhibit?
6	MR. TALACH: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. I
7	believe it's just a witness to the source of the hearsay.
8	Okay.
9	So if you go down to the paragraph near the
10	bottom, you will see that Monsignor Guindon is asking a
11	question, a very long question with some basis as to in
12	very vague terms, it's the information, it appears they
13	learn after the fact that that Monsignor Leduc had come
14	through another source, and it notes that source.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we move the to the
16	left? No, the other way.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Are we in that Monseigneur
18	Guindon paragraph? Is that where we are at?
19	MR. TALACH: Yes, and you will see there's
20	reference to an educational facility. It's the largest
21	paragraph in the centre of the page.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
23	MR. TALACH: And my question is did you ever
24	speak to the individual that is
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Mentioned there.

1	MR. TALACH: That it appears from this,
2	Monsignor Leduc got his information from?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I never did.
4	MR. TALACH: Or did that person ever come to
5	you with their concerns?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. She was his sister.
7	She was Monsignor Leduc's sister. She never came to me
8	with this information.
9	MR. TALACH: And there is some reference
10	that there was her position was within the educational
11	system. Did anyone from that from the school board or a
12	school come to you with concerns of information they had
13	learned?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not at all, no.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. Now, again, I stand to
16	be corrected, but I believe Father Claude Thibault
17	testified that when he did talk to you about the domination
18	or manipulation by Father Deslauriers, that he just didn't
19	feel and I'm going to summarise it that you were
20	approachable to the issue?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what he says, yes, I
22	can't gainsay. I mean that is the reaction that he had in
23	my presence, I guess.
24	MR. TALACH: And you have dealt with some
25	victims after that meeting, because you dealt with some

1	victims; you met firsthand with victims of Father
2	Deslauriers?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I met with Father
4	Thibault especially, yes.
5	MR. TALACH: And then you met with some of
6	the other young men?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
8	MR. TALACH: And did you then come to gain
9	an appreciation that part of your personal response to
10	these allegations is to be approachable to them?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, to be much more open,
12	but remember there was no allegation of sexuality. This
13	was just a character and I knew that he was I mean, I
14	was one of his I was under his influence myself. He had
15	a very strong personality and, from what Father Thibault
16	says, I kind of cut him off with my reaction, "Well, be
17	careful what you are saying because, you know, he's a man
18	with great responsibility in the Diocese."
19	MR. TALACH: Now I want to compare your
20	reaction with Stone in 1985 to your reaction really the
21	next year or so with Deslauriers. And would you agree with
22	me in both the cases your immediate reaction, once you had
23	enough information to be certain, was that you ordered them
24	physically out of the Diocese; correct?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I took action right away,

1	yes.
2	MR. TALACH: You removed them from their
3	duties?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I took away their faculties
5	and removed them from their duties.
6	MR. TALACH: But you went further than that
7	and had them ordered them to physically leave the
8	Diocese jurisdiction?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
10	MR. TALACH: And we don't know what happened
11	with Father Stone after he left, so we can't assess the
12	effectiveness of that, but you would agree with me when you
13	ordered Deslauriers out of the jurisdiction, that caused
14	some real problems with you then with respect to
15	controlling?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have admitted that more
17	than once.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay. And so, I mean, we are
19	trying to assess the institutional response, and like you
20	say with hindsight, things that are good and things that
21	are bad.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah. Now I would have had
23	much more control had he remained in the Diocese.
24	MR. TALACH: But canonically, and we
25	approached this right at the beginning, you do have the

1	legal control within the Church no matter where they
2	geographically go because they're incardinated; right?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, but when
4	they're with another bishop, it's much more difficult.
5	MR. TALACH: And to be clear for the record,
6	Stone was not incardinated to you; correct?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely not.
8	MR. TALACH: But Deslauriers was?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely, yes.
10	MR. TALACH: And you paid his pay cheque?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: For a certain time, yes.
12	MR. TALACH: Yeah. So and I know they
13	canvassed this before, but I just want to understand the
14	nuance; why couldn't you just turn off the tap, the money
15	and tell him to come home to deal with the issues?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, we were dealing with
17	the issue. He was seeing a therapist and one of the things
18	that the parents wanted, they didn't want to see him around
19	anywhere in the Diocese. I actually recall he went to the
20	Caisse Populaire, he went to Hotel-Dieu Hospital and they
21	found fault with that because he was around.
22	MR. TALACH: But there's areas in the
23	Diocese that he could be sent to be put on ice per se;
24	agreed?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Very hard in a small

1	Diocese like ours.
2	MR. TALACH: You would agree with me though
3	that you did have control over him and no other bishop
4	could take him on as long as he was incardinated here?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Could not take him on
6	officially.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay, so they could sort of
8	break the rules, per se, and keep him employed in the other
9	diocese?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, he was once he's
11	in another diocese, he's under the jurisdiction of that
12	bishop. He's not under mine.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Well,
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To a certain extent.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a minute. If he's
16	incardinated here,
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: and he goes to Hull,
19	for example, you are still the one who is calling the shots
20	for him?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I should be, yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23	MR. TALACH: But I mean if you're having a
24	dispute with another bishop; for example, in this case,
25	Bishop Proulx about Deslauriers and incardination, is Rome

1	not the arbitrator that you go to to referee it?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If it reaches that limit,
3	yes.
4	MR. TALACH: Was your impression Rome
5	wouldn't be impressed if you went to them with this
6	problem?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't consider it at
8	all.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay, but you met with Bishop
10	Proulx to try and explain your concerns and the issues of
11	manipulation
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And the delicacy of the
13	situation, yes that he was the main thing was that he
14	was in a parish in Hull, and there were some of the young
15	people who were studying in Ottawa and then Hull-Ottawa as
16	you know is a very Hull is almost a suburb of Ottawa.
17	MR. TALACH: And what was the delicacy that
18	you referred to? Was it that you had said he wouldn't be
19	in a parish and now he was in a parish?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, the parents felt that
21	he was not in a parish in my Diocese and I didn't put
22	him in a parish. And I tried to convince Bishop Proulx
23	that until his therapy was finished, it would be much
24	better that he not be in a parish.
25	And effectively, Bishop Proulx took him out

1	of the parish, I think, before Easter of that year. So he
2	was in a parish probably for a month, a little more than a
3	month.
4	MR. TALACH: You would agree at that time
5	that to you, Father Deslauriers represented I'll use the
6	word a "wildcard"? He was someone that was hard to
7	control; he was manipulating and you had concerns?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I was almost ashamed
9	of having been manipulated so much by him, and I made every
10	effort to convince Bishop Proulx and, as I said before, I
11	don't believe that I did.
12	MR. TALACH: You would agree he was a real
13	human resources problem at that point?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't get quite the gist
15	of your question.
16	MR. TALACH: Well, I mean, he's I'm
17	trying to secularise it a little bit, so we can all wrap
18	our minds around it.
19	He's an employee that isn't listening to
20	you. He's committing criminal acts; he's
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He's not committing; he has
22	committed.
23	MR. TALACH: He had, yeah.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, but to my knowledge,
25	he was not at that time.

217

1	MR. TALACH: I'm going this way; was there a
2	propensity on your part, Bishop, just with the frustration
3	of this guy, just to get rid of him and let him go to Hull?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Might have said to go some
5	place else that's very close to it.
6	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
7	MR. TALACH: Oh, you wanted him to go even
8	further?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But no, it's they say a
10	laugh relieves the tension.
11	It may have entered in the back of my mind,
12	but it was not the main motivation, no.
13	MR. TALACH: But you would agree with me, of
14	all the Church officials far and wide, he's your
15	responsibility while he's incardinated, right?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he is.
17	MR. TALACH: And you convened, in response
18	to the problems with him, an ad hoc committee. Correct?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
20	MR. TALACH: And that was an onerous
21	process. There was lots involved with that, correct?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right, and he refused to
23	appear.
24	MR. TALACH: Just in the title alone, ad
25	hoc, it's not something we find within the canons, within

1	canon law. Correct?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. TALACH: It's pursuant to your
4	administrative powers. That's what you're setting it up
5	under?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
7	MR. TALACH: Did it and I guess the
8	question is, and you may have been asked this, why not the
9	canonical prosecution? It's just as much work.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, that is a trial and
11	this was not a trial. This was to find out information.
12	MR. TALACH: But at the point you initiated
13	the ad hoc committee, you had and I'll use your term
14	moral certitude that he had committed sexual misconduct
15	with these young people. Correct?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
17	MR. TALACH: And you would agree with me in
18	the eyes of the Church that is considered the worst crime?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It is one of the worst
20	crimes, yes.
21	MR. TALACH: And some of the other worst
22	crimes, one of the worst crimes other worst crimes is
23	homosexuality. Is that fair?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's it's among
25	the crimes that the Church looks down upon.

1	MR. TALACH: So he had committed, in a
2	sense, a number of worst crimes in one because most of his
3	victims were male; correct? Or all of his victims that you
4	knew of were male?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely, yes.
6	MR. TALACH: And did you not see that,
7	especially in the context of his character, a reason to
8	invoke the canons?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As I told others before,
10	I'm not a canon lawyer, as you know, and I depended on my -
11	- and the on my lawyers, the two of them who had degrees
12	in canon law. And the recommendation that they gave is
13	that we set up this ad hoc committee. They are the ones
14	who made the recommendation, and I followed through because
15	I know that I'm not knowledgeable in canon law, so I have
16	to depend on others.
17	MR. TALACH: And you had told us you were
18	one of the luckier Bishops because you had a great expert
19	right in your own Diocese, correct?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I had a Doctor of Canon Law
21	and one with his licence, yes.
22	MR. TALACH: When you consulted your canon
23	lawyers were you told that ad hoc committee has these
24	potential outcomes, and a canonical have these?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe they made

1	the they even suggested the canonical trial.
2	MR. TALACH: But before my question
3	really is: Before you initiated the ad hoc committee, did
4	you understand how it would only be able to create
5	recommendations?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was the purpose of the
7	committee, yes.
8	MR. TALACH: And those recommendations would
9	only be as strong as your administrative power to act
10	against Deslauriers. Correct?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That is a presumption, yes.
12	MR. TALACH: And going into that ad hoc
13	committee, all you really had was sort of the tip of the
14	iceberg per se. You didn't know the depth of 40-some
15	victims, correct?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: The number 40 has floated
18	around, but I don't know if that is anchored in any fact.
19	And so before Mr. Sherriff-Scott rises he looks very
20	comfortable there I don't think that's a fair one.
21	MR. TALACH: I believe, and the Bishop can
22	correct me, he gave the number 42 in his Chief that he
23	thought the number got to, but there's no I agree,
24	there's no specific document or record of this in the ad
25	hoc committee. This is just how many youth did you meet

1	with personally? I think you said
2	(OFF-RECORD DISCUSSION/DISCUSSION HORS ENREGISTREMENT)
3	MR. WARDLE: I'm just pointing out to Mr.
4	Talach that there is reference to the number 40.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: There is, in the ad hoc
6	committee, but it's somebody just comes up with that
7	number as far as I'm concerned.
8	MR. TALACH: You met with how many?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: About eight or nine.
10	MR. TALACH: Okay, so at least you knew
11	first-hand, from meeting with these individuals, there was
12	about eight or nine?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. TALACH: And you had belief that there
15	could be more?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I had reasonable doubts
17	that there were more, yes.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay, and I'm just trying to
19	get to the at some point, did you realise, this ad hoc
20	committee is not going to give me the power I need to deal
21	with this man?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I wasn't expecting that
23	from the ad hoc committee.
24	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I think the phrase has
25	been employed when I've been on my feet before. Hasn't

1	this point been made?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, yes.
3	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And it's been made by
4	your counsel. It was made in a number of iterations over
5	two days by Mr. Dumais, and it's now being made again and
6	again.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MR. TALACH: Now, with respect to
9	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, just wait.
10	Members of the public, I would ask that you
11	refrain from either emotional expressions or kind of
12	that could be misinterpreted as either an indication of
13	disapproval or approval, or an indication of how to respond
14	to the questions.
15	As well, for tomorrow, just a reminder, now
16	that we're having more people here, that you're quite
17	welcome to bring water, but newspapers you can't read
18	newspapers, nor can you drink Tim Horton's. You can bring
19	in water, but that's about it. All right? Great.
20	Carry on.
21	MR. TALACH: Bishop, we're trying to assess
22	the institutional response of the Diocese. You're the head
23	of the Diocese at the time of the Father Deslauriers
24	matter. Correct?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. TALACH: And the ultimate outcome is
2	that you allow him to be incarnated (sic) to another
3	diocese with no restrictions, and I take it
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: After having tried to.
5	MR. TALACH: You tried to, and
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And he claimed that he knew
7	canon law and he knew it better much better than I,
8	because he was a canon lawyer, and I wasn't.
9	MR. TALACH: I would take it you would agree
10	with me, the arbitrator, the ultimate arbitrator, how
11	effective that response was, was what happens to
12	Deslauriers after he leaves the Diocese. Correct?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Response to what?
14	MR. TALACH: Your response internally here.
15	If it was effective in dealing with him or if it was not,
16	all we have to do is look to what would happen after he
17	left. Correct?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't understand your
19	question.
20	MR. TALACH: Okay. Do you understand he had
21	any restrictions placed on him after he left this Diocese?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Just the word of Bishop
23	Proulx that he would take into consideration what I had
24	written down and which he refused to accept as written, but
25	that he would consider that seriously when he was making

1	any appointments of Father Deslauriers.
2	MR. TALACH: And did you ever learn that
3	there was allegations against him after he left here?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I did not, no.
5	MR. TALACH: Okay. And did you ever get any
6	historical allegations of his activity here after he left
7	here, besides the individuals that were in the ad hoc
8	committee?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Did more people come
10	forward after he left?
11	MR. TALACH: Yes.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: And did more victims come
13	forward?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe so.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. Let's turn to Father
16	Charles MacDonald, and we know you came here in 1974, and I
17	understand that you were aware that in 1975, that there was
18	a person, a layperson living with Father Charlie for a
19	short period of time in his Apple Hill parish.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
21	MR. TALACH: Okay.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: By the name of Paul Sauvé.
23	MR. TALACH: And you thought that and to
24	be clear, there's no allegation here of this individual
25	being involved in any way with Father Charlie. Just the

1	fact that he lived in the Rectory, would that be a typical
2	thing? Were priests allowed to have laypeople living in
3	the Rectory with them?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They had laypeople living,
5	because many of them, for years, had housekeepers.
6	MR. TALACH: I mean outside staff and
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. No, this would be
8	abnormal, not the usual thing, but he had taken him in
9	because his parents had put him out.
10	MR. TALACH: And you knew that some of the
11	priests thought this wasn't prudent what was going on?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I wasn't aware of that, but
13	I don't know where you got that what the basis for that
14	comment is, but I have never heard a priest saying that it
15	was imprudent.
16	MR. TALACH: Well, Exhibit 1889, which is an
17	Examination for Discovery transcript, Document Number
18	738153.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Just while we are getting
20	this out, sir, I'm just wondering about how late we might
21	be sitting tonight. If we are going to see until fivish,
22	if Monsignor LaRocque is able, we should probably take a
23	break at sometime before 4:15. It's four o'clock now. I
24	just wanted to get a gauge on the other thing is also
25	starting in the morning. We do have, just as a reminder,

1	we have those two motions to deal with in the morning.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: It should be well, one of
4	them should be very brief. I would anticipate the other
5	one may be a bit longer, but they'll probably take 30 to 40
6	minutes. I just wanted to remind you about that as well.
7	So it's end time and starting time.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. How are you
9	feeling?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm willing to go on until
11	midnight if I have to, so I can go to my brother's birthday
12	party.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, well, we'll be
14	here. So how long well, so have we gone for now? No.
15	No, not yet. So we'll go to 4:15-4:20 and then we'll take
16	a break.
17	MR. TALACH: That was the first year that
18	you oh, sorry, we were going to Exhibit 1889, and so
19	this is an Examination for Discovery of yourself. It
20	happened December 12 th , 1995.
21	And if we go to Bates page 559 and while
22	you are doing that, you remember attending this Examination
23	for Discovery?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Let me find out what the
25	dates are first.

1	MR. TALACH: It's Exhibit 1889, I believe,
2	and Bates 559.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
4	MR. TALACH: It's a December 12 th , 1995
5	transcript.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yup, so
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This was done in Ottawa?
8	MR. TALACH: Yes. It seems to be an address
9	in Ottawa.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Hang on, let's see.
11	MR. TALACH: It's page 205 of the
12	transcript; it's own page.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Two-hundred-and-five (205).
14	MR. TALACH: Well, 205 would be the
15	electronic where the
16	THE COMMISSIONER: The last three digits on
17	the top left are 559?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Five-five-five (555)?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Five-five-nine (559).
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you. Yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: At the bottom of the
22	page?
23	MR. TALACH: Yes, we're at the bottom of the
24	page.
25	Now, you've seen lots of interviews and

1	transcripts now, Bishop, but just to be clear here, the
2	witness is you and what you are saying is verbatim. You
3	understand that. Okay, sir?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
5	MR. TALACH: And if you just if you look
6	at the bottom, "The Witness"
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. TALACH: you are responding to
9	something else which I don't think is pertinent and if my
10	friends think it should be read in, that's fine, but you
11	say:
12	"I can help you with that one, I think,
13	because Allan Sauvé lived with Father
14	MacDonald for over a year, I think,
15	while he was Pastor at Apple Hill. He
16	left his home, no place to go, so he
17	finished [on the next page] his high
18	school living in a residence with
19	Father, and some of the priests I can
20	remember felt that this was imprudent,
21	at least that's what I recall. Maybe
22	there were other things, but I recall
23	that definitely."
24	And to be fair to you, this is you are
25	here today. It's been a long time, this was very

1	was closer to the incident. Does this help
2	refresh your memory?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. Okay.
4	MR. TALACH: So you had been in the Diocese
5	a year or thereabouts and you find out one of your priests
6	have a lay person living with him?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Who is a young boy who is
8	finishing high school.
9	MR. TALACH: Yes, so that gives us an age
10	range.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
12	MR. TALACH: You would agree that likely,
13	unless they had failed some grades, someone in their later
14	teens, if they were there finishing their high school?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
16	MR. TALACH: Okay. And
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I met him there. So
18	I know I know exactly who he is and why he was there.
19	MR. TALACH: You were still new to the
20	Diocese in the sense, kind of getting a feel for who's who
21	and knowing or getting to know your priests?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe that I had moved
23	Father from to Apple Hill, but I'm not sure.
24	MR. TALACH: You had some familiarity with
25	Father MacDonald at that point; correct?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I had moved him, I
2	think. In order to build up the basement church, he was to
3	add the top to a basement church.
4	MR. TALACH: And in this situation, there's
5	other priests of the Diocese who have been there longer
6	than you, who have some problem with this arrangement and
7	you are aware of it; correct?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
9	MR. TALACH: Do you take any action herein
10	and talk to Father Charlie, talk to the young man? Is
11	there anything
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I told you that I did, yes.
13	MR. TALACH: Sorry?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I told you I talked to both
15	of them.
16	MR. TALACH: Okay.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I visited while they were
18	both there, and as I know when I found out that Father
19	Charles had taken him, he had no place else to go, and they
20	both had separate bedrooms. I mean, I didn't have any
21	reason to doubt Father Charles at that moment.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay, but it was an unusual
23	situation for someone to be in those living arrangements?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In that circumstance, yes.
25	MR. TALACH: Okay.

1	Now, would you agree with me that there's at
2	least two other people who either move in with Father
3	MacDonald at some point while you are Bishop or sent to
4	live with him?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Could you name them?
6	MR. TALACH: Well, that's my next issue.
7	Mr. Commissioner, I'm going to ask for an
8	exhibit which I believe has a publication ban on it.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
10	MR. TALACH: So we will refrain from using
11	the individual's name. We'll just call him "the
12	individual" within the document. And it is Exhibit 1096.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: One-zero-nine-six (1096).
14	MR. TALACH: For counsel, it's 710198,
15	Exhibit 1096.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: This is an interview
17	report of Jos van Diepen?
18	MR. TALACH: Yes, that's correct.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
20	MR. TALACH: And we are going to go to Bates
21	page 440, which should be the third page in. And that will
22	be page 3 of 8 of the transcript, the Bates pages, 440,
23	Bishop.
24	And I am going to be asking you about the
25	individual mentioned in that first question and answer, and

1	we are going to not mention his name, though there is no
2	moniker for him. We are just going to call him "the
3	individual".
4	And to put this in perspective, this is an
5	OPP interview of someone who had worked at the Probation
6	and Parole office here in Cornwall. And I am going to ask
7	you about that first answer there and what information you
8	may have with respect to it.
9	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's the first time I've
11	ever seen this.
12	MR. TALACH: Okay. Just so everyone knows
13	what we are looking at, this is it gives us some
14	information that another individual had been directed by
15	Probation and Parole or at least, you know, point him in
16	that direction, to move in with Father Charlie when he was
17	in St. Raphael's in Glengarry.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
19	MR. TALACH: So to give us a timeframe,
20	that's after the Apple Hill posting; correct?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was in Apple Hill much
22	longer because he built the church.
23	MR. TALACH: Right. This is some years
24	after.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. If you look at
3	the next paragraph, the next answer
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Seventy-six, seventy-seven
5	('76-'77).
6	MR. TALACH: Oh, sorry.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: And he says:
8	"I want to change what I said about St.
9	Raphael's and Father Charlie. It was
10	Apple Hill not St. Raphael's."
11	MR. TALACH: Sorry. It's Apple Hill and it
12	gives us the date.
13	So that gives us the date when they're
14	talking about 76/77 time period. So it seems to be some
15	time shortly after this first individual moved out;
16	correct?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose, yes.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay. And, the note indicates
19	that the mechanism of this individual ending up at
20	Father Charlie's was that he had mentioned to his probation
21	officer
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
23	MR. TALACH: that he had nowhere to live
24	and the probation officer had directed him to a Nelson
25	Barque, who was another probation officer who in the

1	office. You following that?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I've got that. Yeah.
3	MR. TALACH: And then Nelson Barque had
4	suggested to the young to the individual that he go and
5	see if there's accommodations with Father Charles
6	MacDonald.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I guess that's the way it
8	is, yes.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay. And I don't know if
10	you're familiar with all the evidence but we had some
11	evidence from Corrections that the probation officer,
12	Nelson Barque, was asked to resign from his job there
13	because of allegations of sexual impropriety.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. Part of this Commission
16	is to get through this fog of whether there was any
17	interaction amongst offenders or people that are alleged to
18	be offenders.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
20	MR. TALACH: Would you agree that this fact
21	at least shows that and I'll limit to very much that
22	Nelson Barque had known to that Father Charlie would
23	take someone in?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was probably aware of
25	that because Paul Sauvé whether he was involved with

1	Paul Sauvé leaving his home I'm not sure. And I didn't
2	even know of this. I must say, this is the first time I am
3	aware of this.
4	MR. TALACH: So you knew Mr. Sauvé had lived
5	in Apple Hill around '75 but you weren't aware of this
6	other individual
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
8	MR. TALACH: moving in after, okay.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I was not.
10	MR. TALACH: And this goes on to alert us
11	that the individual didn't stay there long because at
12	least he alleges here that there was
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
14	MR. TALACH: I don't even know if I'd call
15	it assault but he just didn't feel comfortable there.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. TALACH: Okay. So that my summary of
18	it's not incorrect, he said
19	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: The witness has already
20	said three times he doesn't know anything about this
21	incident, so perhaps my friend could move on.
22	MR. TALACH: Well, I'm just trying to be
23	clear for the record that there's well the statements in
24	the record, if people dig up the document that's fine.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

1	MR. TALACH: Now, can we surmise that had
2	you known about this individual it wouldn't have been a
3	problem in your mind because the earlier individual hadn't
4	been a problem in your mind?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, unless he had come to
6	me with what he says in this particular paragraph.
7	MR. TALACH: Okay. Now, I'm going to turn
8	to another document which also has a publication ban. It's
9	in relation to C-4, is the moniker, so when we talk about
10	this individual who's identified here, Bishop, we'll be
11	calling him C-4 and it's Exhibit 446.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
13	MR. TALACH: You know what, I'm just going
14	to I apologize; I'm going to cut that part to save us
15	some time and just move on to a document in our
16	supplementary notice which I'm going to ask for a
17	publication ban on and I'll hand that up. It's Document
18	710647 710647.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	And
21	MR. TALACH: Mr. Commissioner, this is an
22	individual that we represent, he has requested the minimum
23	confidentiality that's been afforded to other victims whose
24	names have been mentioned in documents and that is simply a
25	publication ban on the document and I won't refer to him.

1	Again, I'll just refer to him as the individual; I don't
2	see a need for a moniker at this time but I leave that to
3	your discretion.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Is that the test?
5	MR. TALACH: Well, I believe we have to
6	weigh the effects, both positive and negative. My question
7	here is really about showing that I believe the evidence
8	will show that another individual also resided and really
9	the name of the individual is not key to that evidence; it
10	simply establishes the pattern and the fact that there was
11	people living at the Parish, lay people.
12	So I think his name doesn't add much to the
13	evidence and of course the deleterious affect on him would
14	be the publication of the fact that later in this document
15	there's other allegations.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Any objections at this
17	point?
18	Very well, publication ban on the name, and
19	we're going to have to add this to the list growing list
20	of things we're going to have to do in camera, kind of
21	clear up the record.
22	So Exhibit 2161 is an interview report of
23	the person who will remain unnamed; 22 of August '68. I'm
24	sorry, it was the date of interview was $19^{ ext{th}}$ of March
25	1999.

1	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-2161:
2	(710647)(PUBLICATION BAN) Interview Report
3	19 Mar 99
4	MR. TALACH: And this will be the last of
5	this particular theme, so I'll move through it quickly.
6	If you look again, Bishop, we're just
7	going to refer to this person that's being interviewed as
8	the individual. And you'll note right on the first page
9	which would be Bates 302, in his answer at the bottom of
10	the page there's an indication that he too stayed in a
11	rectory for approximately six weeks, when he was 14 years
12	old.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
14	MR. TALACH: And that was a rectory with
15	Father he calls him Father Charlie, Father Charles
16	MacDonald, who offered him that opportunity and some work
17	around the church.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It'd be around 1982 then.
19	MR. TALACH: And that's because of the
20	reference to you can see the parish there, I believe he
21	refers to it as I'm thinking it's Williamstown but he
22	was never in oh sorry is St. Mary's Parish, Apple
23	Hill?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no. St. Mary's Parish
25	is Williamstown.

1	MR. TALACH: Williamstown, okay.
2	Now, were you aware that there was a 14 year
3	old boy living, for about a month and a half, with Father
4	Charles MacDonald at some point?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not that I can recall, no.
6	MR. TALACH: Okay. Now, if this had been in
7	the sequence, a third individual, a teenage boy that had
8	moved in and lived some time with Father Charlie MacDonald
9	would that then raise some concerns with you, because now
10	it seems to be something that's repetitive?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I might have asked more
12	questions, yes.
13	MR. TALACH: Okay. But this information,
14	unfortunately, never got to you?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
16	MR. TALACH: Okay.
17	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: For the record,
18	Commissioner, I don't want the innuendo left that any
19	that there's any suggestion of any impropriety in this
20	statement in connection with this matter.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Is there
22	MR. TALACH: No, I'm just going through the
23	pattern of young lay people living with Father MacDonald
24	and if at any point that triggered any institutional
25	response or investigation by the Bishop or the Diocese;

1	that's the extent of it, Mr. Commissioner.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was aware only of the
3	first.
4	MR. TALACH: Okay.
5	I believe you gave me leash to about quarter
6	after.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I gave you what?
8	MR. TALACH: A leash to about quarter after.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't deal with leashes
10	but I gave you time.
11	So we'll take a short break and we'll come
12	back, all right.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Merci.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
15	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
16	veuillez vous lever.
17	The hearing will resume at 4:25.
18	Upon recessing at 4:16 p.m./
19	L'audience est suspendue à 16h17
20	Upon resuming at 4:29 p.m./
21	L'audience est reprise à 16h29
22	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed.
23	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann?
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, just on

1	timing, if I can just speak for a moment. Again through
2	witness, I've been advised the witness would like to start
3	at 9 o'clock, if possible, as he has earlier this week.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So I'd propose, perhaps, and
6	I'll try and get a hold of the lawyer for Officer Miller
7	who is making the motion tomorrow.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: But what I propose is we
10	start with the witness at nine
11	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: and then at 10 when we
13	take the first break the witness can take a break and we'll
14	do our motion
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: at 10 o'clock. I've
17	spoken to Mr. Sherriff-Scott, he's willing to put their
18	motion off until the next day.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: This is the motion for
21	supplementary funding.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So I think that's perhaps
24	the best use of our time in the morning.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Sounds very good.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm not sure how late
2	you wanted to sit tonight, sir.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm feeling a little
4	under the weather, so maybe till 5:00, 5:15 at the latest.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	BISHOP EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
8	MR. TALACH (Cont'd/suite):
9	MR. TALACH: I'm going to look now, Bishop,
10	to Project Blue. You're familiar with that term?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I've been aware of it, yes.
12	MR. TALACH: It's the CAS, the Children's
13	Aid Society investigation activities. Yes?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. And there is a document
16	that we'll be putting in, 711971. It's part of our
17	Supplementary Notice, 711971.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 2162
19	is a document entitled Project Blue.
20	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2162:
21	(711971) Document entitled Project Blue
22	MR. TALACH: So, Bishop, that's a document
23	in front of you and it summarises in the first two pages
24	really their investigation with respect to the allegation,
25	the first allegation that was received, and I really want

1	to turn to the third page, which is Bates page 884, and the
2	title up there appears to be cut off but it says, "Other
3	Issues To Be Addressed."
4	Do you know, sir, if a copy, as this appears
5	now, was given to you or someone at the Diocese around
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall it being
7	given to me and I don't know about somebody else at the
8	Diocese.
9	MR. TALACH: Okay. The reason I'm going to
10	this document is because of my impression that these are
11	some of the issues that the Children's Aid Society had, and
12	I guess we'll get confirmation from Children's Aid Society
13	wanted to clear up in their inner institution
14	cooperation, and you do remember at some point I think you
15	gave evidence that there was a cooperation or a protocol
16	that was set up between the Diocese and CAS?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And the police, yes.
18	MR. TALACH: And the police. Okay.
19	And these are some numbered concerns of the
20	Children's Aid Society. I want to ask you about them.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Is there a date for this?
22	These are notes taken is there a date?
23	MR. TALACH: Yeah. We can only go that it
24	would have been sometime during near the conclusion of
25	the Project Blue investigation.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And the dates for that?
2	MR. TALACH: Well, they would have come
3	involved in the after everything was made public about
4	Father MacDonald, which would be in the late '94-'95
5	period. I believe they investigated in both years.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: No, it's before that.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, we'll be hearing
8	evidence about this, and I took the witness to the first
9	meeting that the members of this team had with him on
10	October 12 th of 1993.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: We did that.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: So it would have started
13	already the fall of '93.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And carried on through the
16	calendar year '94.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you. Right.
18	MR. TALACH: So since this seems to be a
19	document with some of their conclusions, or at least some
20	of the results of their investigation, we can take it it
21	would have been the latter part of that period. Is that a
22	fair
23	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: (off mic)
24	MR. TALACH: Well, I'm not too concerned
25	about the time actually. It's your witness that's raised

1	the issue. I just want to ask him about these issues.
2	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: The concern I have is
3	that the man hasn't identified it yet. He's never seen the
4	document before and he doesn't know anything about it, so
5	I'm just reacting to the fact that he obviously doesn't
6	know when it was made. He's asking and struggling to sort
7	of peg something on it. The first question might be has he
8	ever seen it before.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: I think you've asked that
10	though.
11	MR. TALACH: I tried to work up if he had
12	received a copy of this in some form, or the Diocese had.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Do you recall
14	ever seeing this document before?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't.
16	MR. TALACH: Now, on the first line there's
17	a reference to "the commission." Is that a term you use
18	within the Diocese to describe one of the elements of the
19	protocol; of the sexual abuse protocol? Is the commission
20	akin to the group of designates that meet with the sexual
21	aggressor and
22	THE COMMISSIONER: You mean the Ad Hoc
23	Committee?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I've never heard it called
25	the commission, no.

1	MR. TALACH: Okay.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Where
3	MR. TALACH: I'm at the very first line,
4	"the commission."
5	What did you refer to when
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: First line where?
7	MR. TALACH: I said I wasn't dealing with
8	the first two pages. I'm dealing with
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, I'm sorry.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Page 884.
11	MR. TALACH: Yeah.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
13	MR. TALACH: And maybe what we'll do then,
14	because you weren't on that page, is just ask you again:
15	this page and the following page, did you ever receive
16	anything like that from
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my recollection, no.
18	MR. TALACH: Okay. Well, what did you
19	understand or what was the term you used to describe the
20	individuals that, pursuant to the protocol, would meet
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The committee.
22	MR. TALACH: The committee, okay.
23	We're going to get some clarification on
24	this document when the CAS attends, but the next comment,
25	"Internal equals biased," I took that to be sort of a

1	conclusion or a concern or, as it says, an issue to be
2	addressed with the Diocese.
3	Were you ever was there ever any
4	expression made from the Children's Aid Society that your
5	committee or your internal protocol should have some
6	outside influences?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall. Not for
8	myself. They may have made that recommendation to Father
9	Vaillancourt, who was working along with them, but I can't
10	recall that being addressed to me, no.
11	MR. TALACH: Okay, so at least with respect
12	to you, no information reached you in any form that this
13	was a concern of the Children's Aid Society?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
15	MR. TALACH: Okay. Then the next point, and
16	this is under the heading "1, The Commission," and again
17	I'm operating on the assumption that it's in reference to
18	the committee, and I think this confirms that. It says,
19	"Lack of knowledge or expertise," and it uses in brackets,
20	I believe, an example:
21	"(Meeting with D.S. Leduc says the
22	priests embarrassed)."
23	So was there any concern or information that
24	reached you from CAS that maybe the people doing the
25	interviews for your committee weren't the best people

1	suited for that job?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I've never heard that
3	comment, no.
4	MR. TALACH: Was there any you had
5	appointed Monsignor McDougald as the designate, the primary
6	designate to handle the
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: My delegate for the
8	English.
9	MR. TALACH: Right.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And
11	MR. TALACH: Vaillancourt for the
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no. Memory lapse.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, me too.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was Gérald Poirier for
15	the French.
16	MR. TALACH: For the francophone, okay.
17	Well, the complaint, with respect, here that
18	we're dealing with for D.S. was dealt with by the English -
19	
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
21	MR. TALACH: Okay, the anglophone part of
22	that. So was there any special training that was given to
23	your two designates, the anglophone and francophone?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I've answered that question
25	before. No.

1	MR. TALACH: Okay. And the next concern
2	under the commission was inadequacy of their inquiry, no
3	knowledge of the past allegation against F.M., which I take
4	to be we're discussing Father Charles MacDonald here.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
6	MR. TALACH: Did you receive any concerns or
7	complaints that they had not probed or investigated
8	sufficiently from the Children's Aid Society?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge, no.
10	MR. TALACH: Okay. I'm just going to pause
11	there. We've talked about a suggestion of bias, a
12	suggestion about lack of expertise and a suggestion about
13	lack of adequacy of the investigation.
14	Did any party other than the CAS express
15	those concerns to you in the time
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: CAS did not express those
17	concerns to me. I have to repeat it again.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no.
19	MR. TALACH: No, did anyone other than CAS -
20	
21	THE COMMISSIONER: The question was, was
22	there anybody other than the CAS ever make those comments
23	to you?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not that I can recall, no.
25	MR. TALACH: We can agree that From Pain to

1	Hope is out and published and public and in your hands by
2	the time the Father Charlie MacDonald incident
3	investigation and and the settlement and everything
4	occur in '93; correct?
5	I think you were asked this. It came out in
6	′92.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: He was. He was. It came
8	in the summer or fall
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The first edition, it's
10	been re-edited just recently.
11	MR. TALACH: Right, there was a special
12	taskforce more recently; correct?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It just shows how it's
14	still in evolution.
15	MR. TALACH: It's still in evolution. And
16	that evolution to the first From Pain to Hope came out of
17	the Canadian Conference of Catholic Bishops; correct?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It did. Yes.
19	MR. TALACH: And you were a member of that
20	conference at the time.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was.
22	MR. TALACH: Was there ongoing education for
23	you and other bishops while that document was being
24	created?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.

1	MR. TALACH: Okay.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There was discussions but
3	there were no the only education would have been in the
4	discussion that was taking place.
5	MR. TALACH: When it came out, do you
6	remember how it was presented to the Bishops; did it just
7	come in the mail or was it presented at a plenary or \dots
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It came out in the mail and
9	I believe at the next plenary the authors gave some kind of
10	explanation.
11	MR. TALACH: And when you received it in the
12	mail or let me ask you this question, when was the first
13	time you read it?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As soon as I could after I
15	got it.
16	MR. TALACH: Okay.
17	And you kept a copy at the Diocese because
18	it's an important document?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
20	MR. TALACH: And did you did you go to it
21	for reference during the Father Charlie MacDonald matter?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would certainly have
23	consulted it but I was not directly involved in the Charlie
24	MacDonald matter, as you recall.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: I think you quoted it in

1	one of your press releases, didn't you?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. TALACH: And from your knowledge of from
4	Pain to Hope, these first three concerns of an internal,
5	inadequate, lacking expertise committee at the Diocese
6	level, do you know if that was a concern that from Pain to
7	Hope had raised and identified a solution to?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think that it would be a
9	concern to anybody who's trying to arrive at the truth of
10	the situation. I can't answer whether on what page in
11	Pain to Hope.
12	MR. TALACH: And I want to avoid that,
13	Bishop, because it's a big document and and to be fair
14	I'm not going to expect you to know it all.
15	But my question is really, when you
16	before you set up the protocol, in the process of the
17	evolution of setting up your protocols, did you consider
18	outside expertise as something you may or may not want to
19	have involved?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The protocol was not set up
21	by myself, it was set up by Father Vaillancourt. And I
22	trusted him that but I had to take the responsibility
23	for the final outcome. But that protocol, as I stated,
24	went through draft forms and was waiting for the Pain to
25	Hope to come out.

1	I can't recall if the final protocol that we
2	presented was the final result of that or not.
3	MR. TALACH: But at least at the time of
4	Father MacDonald's matter, there the protocol you had
5	the only outside person it contemplated was the Diocese and
6	Cannon lawyer; correct, or Dioceses and counsel, I should
7	say.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, the Jacques Leduc,
9	yes.
10	MR. TALACH: Right. And that person would
11	be bound by confidentiality what they learned in in
12	their activities in the Committee of the Protocol.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would imagine so, yes.
14	MR. TALACH: Right. And therefore, all of
15	the other people would be priests of the Diocese in that
16	committee.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, there
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm
19	sorry. I'm thinking about Father Deslauriers and the
20	MR. TALACH: The ad hoc committee.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: the ad hoc committee
22	and the sister that was there. Sorry. With respect to
23	Father MacDonald it's a different story. Okay.
24	MR. TALACH: So, we know that there was
25	priests and a lawyer involved with the Father MacDonald

1	situation; correct?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
3	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Commissioner, as I look
4	at this document, your counsel spent an sort of a fair
5	amount of time about potential systemic bias arising from
6	the construction of the committee; the training of the
7	individual members appended to the committee structure; the
8	training of the deliquit, you know, all of the deficiencies
9	in connection with what happened in terms of conformity
10	with the and I'm just tracking this down and it looks
11	like his examination plan.
12	But all these points were thoroughly
13	canvassed with
14	THE COMMISSIONER: I know.
15	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: with the Bishop
16	over, you know, the better part of a day, at least, and as
17	was from Pain to Hope and so Mr. Engelmann has covered all
18	of this, sort of exhaustively and I think that we are just
19	ploughing the same field.
20	MR. TALACH: Mr. Commissioner, my intention
21	is to identify these areas that were concerns of the CAS in
22	the MacDonald matter which was after the Deslauriers matter
23	and then to simply examine that none of these concerns
24	would have been present in the Deslauriers matter. To
25	compare the different institutional responses almost in an

1	inverted situation in the sense that you would expect the
2	MacDonald matter which followed Deslauriers to be more
3	sophisticated and have resolved issues rather than regress.
4	It just goes to the issue that the MacDonald
5	matter was treated differently and organized differently
6	for some reason.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you just clip through
8	it?
9	MR. TALACH: Yes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: You know, because a lot
11	of that could be made in submissions.
12	MR. TALACH: Okay.
13	Would you agree, Bishop, that the
14	organization and the training of the committee that
15	examined the allegation, the first allegation against
16	Father MacDonald was very different than the ad hoc
17	committee you established for Father Deslauriers?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was different to an
19	extent, yes. The purpose was different as well. The other
20	one was to interview all people; parents, victims. Whereas
21	this one here was distinctly following the protocol to
22	interview the victim, and the priest was to be interviewed
23	by the delegate.
24	MR. TALACH: And I agree, the Deslauriers
25	one was very thorough with respect to witnesses, but you

1	would agree with me there is no limitation in the protocol
2	to the committee investigating and interviewing
3	corroborating witnesses?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not at all. No.
5	MR. TALACH: Okay. So it was open to the
6	committee in the MacDonald matters to interview other
7	people, supporting witness, parents, spouses, et cetera as
8	had been done in Deslauriers.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what the protocol
10	says, yes.
11	MR. TALACH: And I'm going to suggest that
12	the MacDonald investigation was very truncated in
13	comparison to the Deslauriers.
14	Now, to be fair in Deslauriers you had more
15	victims identified at the time you initiated, but would you
16	agree it is not as deep?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's not as deep because it
18	was a different type of situation.
19	MR. TALACH: The Deslauriers situation, at
20	the end of the day, when the Brisson's went to the press
21	and everything was very embarrassing for you and the
22	Diocese, you'd agree; correct?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
24	MR. TALACH: You would reach the level of
25	what you would describe as scandalous?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
2	MR. TALACH: And is that the reason why
3	MacDonald was treated differently at this point, that you
4	wanted the second time around to avoid that scandal again?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The protocol was put into
6	effect in his case. The protocol did not exist at the time
7	of Deslauriers.
8	MR. TALACH: Right, but the application of
9	the protocol here I'm suggesting is very it's a very
10	limited investigation. The information is very kept
11	tight within the Diocese and I think you were examined on
12	this before.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes and that's the reason
14	for the new protocol with the CAS and the police. That's
15	part of the evolution.
16	MR. TALACH: Is it fair to say that the
17	protocol $$ the protocols that the Dioceses drafted on
18	their own did have as one of one of their mandates, the
19	reduction in scandal.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You'd have to ask those who
21	put up the protocol.
22	MR. TALACH: But you approved them?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
24	MR. TALACH: And
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would always be in any

1	Bishop's mind and in any prudent person's mind would be one
2	of the preoccupations. Yes.
3	MR. TALACH: Okay.
4	Just if you can if I could have your
5	indulgence for a minute, Mr. Commissioner, I'll just try
6	and get some key points here and not go through these
7	submissions as my friend suggests.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I suggested in
9	submissions; he suggested repetition.
10	MR. TALACH: Okay.
11	If you look at number two, Bishop, if we
12	just skip down through those other concerns and we look at
13	number two, it's titled, "The Payoff".
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't like that at all
15	but it's all right, it's theirs.
16	MR. TALACH: Do you have any memory of where
17	that word originated from, was it in the media, was it a
18	term
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no idea.
20	MR. TALACH: When you and the group at the
21	Diocese, Mr. Leduc and Monsignor McDougald and people that
22	were dealing with the protocol and the settlement, did they
23	refer to it as a settlement, a payoff, do you know what the
24	term you used at that time?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was a civil settlement,

1	I precisely
2	MR. TALACH: Okay. Now, the CAS here at
3	number two, with respect to the payoff said:
4	"There's perception of a blatant
5	attempt to suppress the allegation
6	against Father MacDonald, FM."
7	Was that expressed to you by the CAS?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Whose perception?
9	MR. TALACH: Well, I don't know if they're
10	talking about the public or theirs, and we'll find out when
11	we get to look at those witnesses, but did anyone from the
12	CAS come to you and say, "This looks like"
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I tell you, no-one came to
14	me from the CAS with this document.
15	MR. TALACH: No, but these issues. I'm now
16	asking
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no, they did not.
18	MR. TALACH: In your so these points,
19	none of these points were discussed in your meeting with
20	Richard Abell or anyone else from the CAS?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my recollection.
22	MR. TALACH: Okay.
23	Well, let's just skip down to the bottom
24	where it says, "Statement of the Bishop on the first CAS
25	Meeting", and there's a quote there that they say you said,

1	"I just want the truth".
2	And is that what you would have stated at
3	that meeting?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
5	MR. TALACH: And then they note that:
6	"It's totally inconsistent with the
7	prior decision to buy the silence of
8	D.S."
9	Would they express
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second. Just a
11	second.
12	Okay, I mean, that's written there. It's
13	implying that there's a decision to buy the silence of D.S.
14	Now, where does that come from? I don't think it's fair
15	for you to put it to you can ask him, "Did they ever put
16	that to you?"
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
19	MR. TALACH: And that's my question because
20	I want to understand with respect to the institutional
21	response of the Diocese and the institutional response of
22	the Children's Aid Society, if there was animosity or if
23	there was some difficulty premised on some of their beliefs
24	or concerns about the way you did business.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There was no animosity on

1	my part, I can assure you of that.
2	MR. TALACH: And as far as you know
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Mr. Richard Abell was
4	always very open and very kind with me.
5	MR. TALACH: Okay.
6	And the last question on this document
7	and I really don't even need the document you indicated
8	that you advised in a closed session
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That I was advised, yes.
10	MR. TALACH: The Bishops at the Canadian
11	Conference of Catholic Bishops at one of their meetings or
12	plenary, you advised them or you looked to them for advice
13	on
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
15	MR. TALACH: And the overwhelming and how
16	did you get a response? Was it individuals came up to you
17	or was it like
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, it was a meeting just
19	of the bishops with no media present, and they advised me
20	against it because it would be seen as you're trying to buy
21	the silence of the you know, and that agreed, of course,
22	with what my decision had been in the first meeting. My
23	regret has been ever since that I didn't keep the same
24	decision in the second meeting.
25	MR. TALACH: You say it was a closed-door

1	meeting, so there would be is there minutes taken at
2	these meetings?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Of the open meetings but
4	not of the closed meetings.
5	MR. TALACH: Okay, okay.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's the purpose of the
7	closed meetings is to discuss in private.
8	MR. TALACH: Now, turning to the issue of
9	the settlement with the settlement that's at issue here.
10	What do you recall was Malcolm MacDonald's
11	position on the settlement? I believe your evidence was
12	that he was one of the people who were saying, "We should
13	do this, we should do this".
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: My recollection is that
15	both he and Jacques Leduc tried jointly to convince me to
16	change my mind and gave me all the reasons they could
17	possibly allege to do so.
18	MR. TALACH: And did he express an opinion -
19	- he wasn't your lawyer; correct?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was Father MacDonald's
21	lawyer.
22	MR. TALACH: Did he express an opinion to
23	you on, you know, the strength of this case; I guess his
24	opinion on the credibility of the allegations?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He may have done so, yes.

1	MR. TALACH: Do you remember if there was
2	he thought there was something you'd be worried about here
3	or if he thought it was a weak case?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: My recollection is that he
5	thought it was a very weak case.
6	MR. TALACH: Okay. And was that opinion
7	mirrored by well, I'm not going to ask about that. I'm
8	just going to turn to and maybe, Mr. Commissioner, what
9	I'll do is I'll get through this OPP statement I'm going to
10	refer to and then
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Call it quits?
12	MR. TALACH: we can maybe break.
13	It's going to be a document that will become
14	an exhibit; 709905. It's 709905. It's again an OPP
15	audiotaped interview transcript.
16	No, it's not a supplementary, I apologise.
17	It was on our Rule 38 Notice; 709905.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2163.
19	MR. TALACH: Now, Mr. Commissioner, before
20	it goes up on the screen, on the third page in there is in
21	the long list of names, a name that does have a moniker.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yep, okay. In any event,
23	this is 2163. It's an audiotaped interview report of Ron
24	Wilson dated the 28 th of July, 1999.
25	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2163:

1	(709905) Audiotaped interview report of Ron
2	Wilson, dated July 28, 1999
3	MR. TALACH: I'm not seeking a
4	confidentiality order on it. I don't know if any of my
5	friends have issues. It was in our Rule 38 Notice in
6	advance. I won't be referring to the individual with the
7	moniker anyway.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, we'll stamp it with
9	a publication ban as a reminder to the press. All right,
10	so.
11	MR. TALACH: Now, if we look at Bates page
12	108 and, while we're doing that, by way of background I
13	understand this to be an interview of someone who had been
14	a police officer in the Cornwall area previously. And
15	if you get to that page 108, I'm going to look down at the
16	on the bottom half of the page there's a large answer
17	there from the individual, Wilson.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
19	MR. TALACH: And the question is:
20	"Are you aware of the term 'clan of
21	pedophiles' being used around the
22	Cornwall area?"
23	And the answer is:
24	"If I can wholeheartedly tell you, not
25	so far as I'm concerned. In 1967 there

1	was likely a pedophile ring operating,
2	but with my knowledge as a former
3	police officer and as a citizen in this
4	city, I can honestly believe that there
5	is no pedophile ring operating in the
6	City of Cornwall from the information I
7	can derive from any questions of
8	certain people."
9	So he makes reference to something in 1967.
10	I appreciate it's before your time.
11	And if you turn the page, at the top his
12	top answer at Bates page 109, he says he mentions the
13	police officer that was involved in the investigation and
14	he mentions Father Lapierre was one of the people that was
15	earmarked at the time, and I understand, for clarity, that
16	he's referring to
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Paul Lapierre.
18	MR. TALACH: Paul Lapierre.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Could be Hollis as well
20	because there were two Father Lapierres, but
21	MR. TALACH: Now, he goes on maybe this
22	will give us some clarity he goes on to say:
23	"Was there any clergy members that to
24	your knowledge?" (As read)
25	He says:

1	"Well, there was one, that he had died.
2	He had died since and I pretty near
3	lost my job over it. It was a Father.
4	Just give me a few minutes here
5	Father Donald Scott. He was quite
6	active in what we thought at the time
7	might be."
8	With that reference, would you agree with me
9	this is likely Father Paul Lapierre?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suspect so, yes.
11	MR. TALACH: Okay. I take it from your
12	earlier answers this is you have no information or
13	knowledge as to some sort of police investigation or
14	allegations in 1967?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely not.
16	MR. TALACH: Okay. I'm sorry, he does later
17	on on the page indicate that it is Paul Lapierre and I'll
18	go to that question. So if you continue on page 109, he
19	says:
20	"What's Father Lapierre's first name?"
21	He says he makes a mistake and then he says:
22	"No, he's Paul. Paul Lapierre, and he
23	was active with Killer Gagnon at the
24	time."
25	And there's an inaudible portion.

I	Do you have any are you familiar does
2	that term ring a bell, Killer Gagnon?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Never heard it before.
4	MR. TALACH: Okay. And he says the next
5	answer he says:
6	"Yeah, and that was quite the
7	college was quite active at that time.
8	We had it under surveillance."
9	And he says:
10	"Which college would that be?"
11	The answer is:
12	"The Saint oh, where? It was CSV, a
13	priests' college, the old St. Laurence
14	College. Now I forget what they call
15	class, Classical College. They call it
16	Classical College."
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Cornwall Classical College
18	was the proper name.
19	MR. TALACH: So this former police officer
20	is indicating that they in this that they had some sort
21	of, from his memory, surveillance involved and that there
22	was some involvement of the Classical College, the Cornwall
23	Classical College.
24	Do you have any information with respect to
25	this?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't.
2	MR. TALACH: What was the relationship
3	was Classical College closed when you got here as Bishop?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If not, it closed shortly
5	after I got here, but I can't quite recall.
6	MR. TALACH: What was the
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because I remember
8	visiting, and it was St. Lawrence College at the time that
9	I visited. I don't ever remember visiting with the priest
10	present so it must have been closed before I came.
11	MR. TALACH: So as far as you know, when you
12	got here as Bishop in '74, there was no relationship
13	between the Diocese and what would at that time then be St.
14	Lawrence College?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I think there were
16	some priests that worked along with the students at St.
17	Lawrence College as chaplains, but there was no direct
18	involvement in the teaching in faculty, as much as I
19	recall. Yeah, that's all I can remember.
20	MR. TALACH: Do you know if Father Paul
21	Lapierre or Father Don Scott did some of that teaching at
22	the Classical College?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge, no.
24	MR. TALACH: Okay.
25	Mr. Commissioner that ends that area. It's

1	five o'clock.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Let's call it
3	quits. We'll commence at 9 o'clock?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Nine o'clock.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. Okay. Thank you.
6	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
7	veuillez vous lever.
8	This hearing is adjourned until tomorrow
9	morning at 9:00 a.m.
10	Upon adjourning at 5:01 p.m. /
11	L'audience est ajournée à 17h01
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATION
5	
6	I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province
7	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
8	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
9	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
10	
11	Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province
12	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
13	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
14	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
15	
16	ed a wd
17	
18	Dale Waterman, CM
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	