

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

Bernard Cameron, Eugene Phillip LaRocque, Rejean Lebrun,
Ranald Roderick MacDonald, Kevin Joseph Maloney,
Donald Bernard McDougald and Gary Ostler,

Plaintiffs

-and-

James Bateman, NBC Internet, Inc., Paul Likoudis, Alphonse J. Matt Jr.,
Wanderer Printing Company, Dick Nadeau, AltaVista Company,
Tera-Byte Dot Com Inc.

Defendants

January 03, 2003.


TO: THE CLERK REGISTRAR

Dear Registrar and/or Customer Representative,

PLEASE find the attached Case Management Motion Form, (Dismiss With Costs),
with supporting Affidavit and applicable fees.

Thank-you,

Sincerely,



JAMES P. BATEMAN

Defendant, Self-Represented

901-200 Shakespeare Drive,
Waterloo, Ontario. N2L 6C1.

Tel: 519-883-0944

E-Mail: jamespbateman200@rogers.com



**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

Between:

**BERNARD CAMERON, EUGENE PHILLIP LaROCQUE, REJEAN LEBRUN,
RANALD RODERICK MacDONALD, KEVIN JOSEPH MALONEY,
DONALD BERNARD McDOUGALD, and, GARY OSTLER**

Plaintiffs

-and-

**JAMES BATEMAN, NBC INTERNET INC., PAUL LIKOUDIS,
APLHONSE J. MATT JR., WANDERER PRINTING COMPANY,
DICK NADEAU, ALTA VISTA COMPANY and TERA-BYTE DOT COM INC.**

Defendants

CASE MANAGEMENT MOTION FORM

This form filed by: (check appropriate boxes to identify the party filing this form as a Moving/responding party on this motion AND to identify this party as plaintiff, defendant, etc. in this action)

moving party plaintiff/petitioner/applicant _____

responding party defendant/respondent-name James Bateman

other-specify kind of party and name

FILED SUPERIOR COURT
OF JUSTICE AT OTTAWA

JAN 06 2005

DÉPOSÉ À LA COUR
SUPÉRIEURE DE JUSTICE À OTTAWA

MOTION MADE: (filing fee required)

on consent of all parties on notice to all parties and unopposed

without notice on notice to all parties and expected to be opposed

Notice of this motion was served on Plaintiffs by means of: FAX and ARESPOST

Schedule "A"

Roman Catholic Clergy. The alleged defamatory allegations were published in newsprint and on the Internet via the website, "www.projecttruth.com" and www.projecttruth2.com.

2. The Defendant, James Bateman, served a Notice of Intent to Defend on or about October 10, 2000, and subsequently served a Statement of Defence on or about November 27, 2000.
3. On or about November 16, 2000, counsel for the Plaintiffs was served with a Notice of Assignment of Roster Mediator appointing Mr. Alan Sobel as mediator in this matter.
4. On or about December 4, 2000, counsel for the Plaintiffs requested a 60 day Extension pursuant to Rule 24.1 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*.
5. Thereafter, the Plaintiff's requested an extension by three months of the 180 day period from the issuance of the Statement of Claim, stating, "in order to permit the remaining Defendant, Alta Vista Company, to file a defence."
6. On or about May 29, 2001, the Plaintiff's requested an extension of time within which to mediate to October 31, 2001 and thereafter, on or about, July 11, 2001, the Plaintiff's requested to adjourn the case conference scheduled for July 12, 2001 because, "David Sherriff-Scott, solicitor for the Plaintiff's, is on vacation from July 9 to July 30, 2001." "There is no one with knowledge of the file who can attend on Mr. Sherriff-Scott's behalf."
7. In or about September 2001, the Plaintiff's agreed to discontinue litigation against several personal and corporate defendants, without costs and subsequent to one or more Defendants signing agreements, never to disclose the alleged defamatory allegations again. The Plaintiff's and their Lawyers declared to the world in news-media coverage that the Plaintiff's were vindicated, that all of the alleged, defamatory allegations were proven false and that the Plaintiff's were innocent of any wrongdoing.
8. In or about September 2001, the Plaintiffs offered Defendant James Bateman, to discontinue litigation against him without costs and conditional on James Bateman's agreement to be censored regarding this litigation and all related matters. James Bateman declined the Plaintiff's offer and counter-offered, in that; James Bateman would immediately agree to a dismissal of the litigation with costs. In November 2001, James Bateman is self-represented.
9. No further recorded, action, prosecution or progress occurred in this litigation.

Schedule "A"

10. In late November 2002, Defendant James Bateman learned that, in or about September 2002, a Settlement Conference, between the Plaintiffs and Defendant, James Bateman was scheduled for January 20, 2003.
11. In late December, 2002, and through the Defendant, James Bateman's own initiative, the defendant, James Bateman, received a Case History Report, of this litigation, and interprets the Case History Report indicating, that Defendants, Paul Likoudis, Wanderer Printing, Alphonse J. Matt, Jr., had agreed with the Plaintiffs to discontinue the litigation against them with costs.
12. At NO TIME has Defendant Bateman, been notified by any of the "parties", that any of the action described in the aforementioned #11, regarding the plaintiff's and defendant's mentioned, was taking place or had taken place and/or of the eventual outcome or current status. As of, January 03, 2003, Defendant James Bateman, correctly or incorrectly, believes he is the only remaining Defendant in this litigation.
13. At all material times, the Defendant James Bateman, has demonstrated his continuing intention to defend himself and follow all rules relating to the "*Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure*" and any other Rules of Court.
14. I assert, at all material times, the Plaintiffs have demonstrated their intent to delay and postpone this litigation. In response to paragraph #06, herein; in addition to David Sherriff-Scott, the Plaintiff's have many talented and well-versed, professional Lawyers, on record and otherwise, representing the Plaintiff's. However, the Plaintiff's know this litigation is without foundation, nor does this litigation have merit. Even if this litigation held merit, this litigation was not filed and served on the Defendant, James Bateman within the applicable time statutory requirements, nor does this litigation meet basic statutory requirements, expected to be adhered to, by any reasonable person, before suing an individual such as Defendant, James Bateman. On the contrary, Defendant Bateman believes, the Plaintiff's never intended to prosecute Defendant, James Bateman; instead, the "*Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure*" have been maliciously, misused and misrepresented at significant costs to all parties, including the Court's, in an effort by the Plaintiff's, to silence, injure, discredit, mislead, coerce, compel, intimidate and threaten, Defendant, James Bateman into silence.
15. Defendant, James Bateman, requests that this litigation be dismissed with costs, immediately, and that Defendant James Bateman be granted any additional relief, deemed appropriate and applicable, under the circumstances.


Schedule "A"

16. Defendant, James Bateman, is not a Lawyer, nor does he profess to be a Lawyer, neither has, Defendant James Bateman, ever studied Civil Law. James Bateman realizes he is at a significant disadvantage because he does not have a Lawyer advising or representing him; all Lawyers who were solicited in this litigation, refused to take the case.
17. The Defendant, Bateman, worries that the guidelines and Rules of "*Ontario Civil Case Management: Rule 77*" and possibly, other "*Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure*" have not been adhered to, by the Plaintiff's, in this litigation, whereas, in part, "critical features of case management" in Ontario, including, "management of issues", the "mandatory mediation rule", "settlement conferences" and applicable "timelines", have not been followed; whereas, approximately 853 days will have elapsed from the date, September 19, 2000, when this litigation was filed, to, January 20, 2003, the date of a scheduled, settlement conference in this matter, or, approximately 785 days since Defendant, James Bateman filed his Defence Pleading in this matter, to, January 20, 2003, the date of a scheduled, settlement conference in this matter. Also, in or about 2000 and early 2001, the Mediator appointed to this matter was advised this litigation was not proceeding, which was contrary to the Plaintiff's actions and communication with the Defendant and therefore, actions, arbitrarily circumventing *Ontario Civil Case Management and other Rules, Law*, occurred. Furthermore, because of Defendant James Bateman's ignorance of Ontario Civil Law and *Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure*, he believes his personal Rights are not being adequately represented in this matter and that he may or may not, be, seriously discriminated against and/or prejudiced against, in this matter; because of his ignorance of *Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure* and/or any other related Laws, Rights or Rules.

CERTIFICATION BY PLAINTIFF:

I certify and SWEAR, that I am the, self-represented Defendant, James Bateman, in this litigation and the above information, contained within this "Case Management Motion Form", including Schedule "A", is, true, and correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Signature of Defendant.



 James P. Bateman
 Defendant, Self-Represented
 901-200 Shakespeare Drive,

DISPOSITION BY CASE MANAGEMENT JUDGE/MASTER

order to go as asked

adjourned to

order refused

order to go as follows

return to be dismissed with costs to be assessed
by the case manager officer.

Hearing method: in person

Hearing Duration: 20 min

Heard in: courtroom

office

Successful party MUST prepare formal order for signature

No copy of disposition to be sent to parties

Other directions-specify

Date: Jan 13, 2012

Judge's/Master's
Name: BEAUDOIN

Judge's/Master's
Signature: [Signature]